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#### Abstract

A connected graph $\Gamma$ of even order is $n$-extendable, if it contains a matching of size $n$ and if every such matching is contained in a perfect matching of $\Gamma$. Furthermore, a connected graph $\Gamma$ of odd order is $n \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, if for every vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$ the graph $\Gamma-v$ is $n$-extendable.

It is proved that every connected Cayley graph of an abelian group of odd order which is not a cycle is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable. This result is then used to classify 2-extendable connected Cayley graphs of generalized dihedral groups.


## 1 Introductory remarks

Throughout this paper graphs are assumed to be finite and simple.
A connected graph $\Gamma$ of even order is $n$-extendable, if it contains a matching of size $n$ and if every such matching is contained in a perfect matching of $\Gamma$. The concept of $n$-extendable graphs was introduced by Plummer [8] in 1980. Since then a number of papers on this topic have appeared (see $[2,10,11,12]$ and the references therein). In 1993 Yu [11] introduced an analogous concept for graphs of odd order. A connected graph $\Gamma$ of odd order is $n \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, if for every vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$ the graph $\Gamma-v$ is $n$-extendable.

The problem of $n$-extendability of Cayley graphs was first considered in [3] where a classification of 2-extendable Cayley graphs of dihedral groups was obtained. (For a definition of a Cayley graph see Section 2.) A few years later a classification of 2 -extendable Cayley graphs of abelian groups was obtained in [2]. In this paper we generalize these results in two different ways. First, we consider $n \frac{1}{2}$-extendability for Cayley graphs of abelian groups of odd order. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $\Gamma$ be a connected Cayley graph on an abelian group of odd order $n \geq 3$. Then either $\Gamma$ is a cycle, or $\Gamma$ is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable.

[^0]Second, using Theorem 1 we generalize the result of [3] to generalized dihedral groups as follows.

Theorem 2 Let $\Gamma$ be a connected Cayley graph on a generalized dihedral group which is not a cycle. Then $\Gamma$ is 2-extendable unless it is isomorphic to one of the following Cayley graphs on cyclic groups, also called circulants: $\operatorname{Circ}(2 n ;\{ \pm 1, \pm 2\})$ $(n \geq 3), \operatorname{Circ}(4 n ;\{ \pm 1,2 n\})(n \geq 2), \operatorname{Circ}(4 n+2 ;\{ \pm 2,2 n+1\})$ and $\operatorname{Circ}(4 n+$ $2 ;\{ \pm 1, \pm 2 n\})$.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the notation and some results needed in the rest of the paper.

A Cayley graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G ; S)$ of a group $G$ with respect to the connection set $S \subseteq G \backslash\{1\}$, where $S^{-1}=S$, is a graph with vertex-set $G$ in which $g \sim g s$ for all $g \in G, s \in S$. In the case that $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ the graph $\operatorname{Cay}(G ; S)$ is called a circulant and is denoted by $\operatorname{Circ}(n ; S)$. Let $M$ be a subset of edges of $\operatorname{Cay}(G ; S)$ and let $g \in G$. Then $M g$ denotes the set of all edges of the form $\{u g, v g\}$, where $\{u, v\} \in M$.

A Hamilton path of a graph is a path visiting all of its vertices. The question of existence of Hamilton paths in vertex-transitive graphs and in particular Cayley graphs has been extensively studied over the last forty years (see for instance $[1,5$, $6,7]$ and the references therein). The following result on this topic is of particular interest to us.

Proposition 3 [4] Let $\Gamma$ be a connected Cayley graph of an abelian group and of valency at least three. If $\Gamma$ is not bipartite then for any pair of its vertices $u$ and $v$ there exists a Hamilton path of $\Gamma$ from $u$ to $v$. If $\Gamma$ is bipartite then for any pair of vertices $u$ and $v$ from different parts of bipartition of $\Gamma$ there exists a Hamilton path of $\Gamma$ from $u$ to $v$.

Note that it follows from this proposition that every connected Cayley graph of an abelian group is 1-extendable if the order of the group is even and is $0 \frac{1}{2}$ extendable otherwise. However, as the following proposition (which will be used in the proofs of our main results) shows, not all Cayley graphs on abelian groups of even order are 2-extendable.

Proposition 4 [2] Let $\Gamma$ be a connected Cayley graph of an abelian group of even order and valency at least three. Then $\Gamma$ is 2 -extendable if and only if it is not isomorphic to any of $\operatorname{Circ}(2 n ;\{ \pm 1, \pm 2\})(n \geq 3), \operatorname{Circ}(4 n ;\{ \pm 1,2 n\})(n \geq 2)$, $\operatorname{Circ}(4 n+2 ;\{ \pm 2,2 n+1\})$ and $\operatorname{Circ}(4 n+2 ;\{ \pm 1, \pm 2 n\})$.

We remark that none of the exceptional graphs from Proposition 4 is bipartite. This fact will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

## 3 Cayley graphs of abelian groups

In this section we prove Theorem 1. To do this, we first need the following result.

Proposition 5 Let $G$ be an abelian group of odd order with identity 1, and let $S \subseteq G \backslash\{1\}$ be a nonempty set such that $S=S^{-1}$. Then $\Gamma=C a y\left(\left\langle S \cup\left\{g, g^{-1}\right\}\right\rangle ; S \cup\right.$ $\left\{g, g^{-1}\right\}$ ) is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable for every $g \in G \backslash\langle S\rangle$.
Proof. Recall first that $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S\rangle ; S)$ is $0 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable by the comment following Proposition 3. Let $m$ be the smallest positive integer such that $g^{m} \in\langle S\rangle$. Note that the subgraphs of $\Gamma$ induced on cosets $g^{i}\langle S\rangle, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ are all isomorphic to $C a y(\langle S\rangle ; S)$. Furthermore, for every $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $s \in\langle S\rangle$, the vertex $g^{i} s$ of $\Gamma$ is adjacent to the vertex $g^{i+1} s$. Finally, since the order of $G$ is odd, both $|\langle S\rangle|$ and $m$ are also odd and $m \geq 3$.

Pick an edge $e=\left\{g^{i} s_{1}, g^{j} s_{2}\right\}, i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}, s_{1}, s_{2} \in\langle S\rangle$, and a vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$. We show that there exists a perfect matching of $\Gamma-x$ containing $e$. Since $\Gamma$ is vertex-transitive, we can assume that $x=1$. The proof is split into four cases depending on the numbers $i$ and $j$. Note that we can assume $i \leq j$. Observe also that if $i \neq j$, then $s_{1}=s_{2}$ and either $j-i=1$ or $i=0, j=m-1$.

CASE 1: $i=j=0$. Since the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced on the coset $g^{2}\langle S\rangle$ is isomorphic to $C a y(\langle S\rangle ; S)$, which is $0 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, there exists an almost perfect matching $M$ of this subgraph missing the vertex $g^{2}$. But then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\},\left\{g s_{1}, g s_{2}\right\},\left\{g, g^{2}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\{s, g s\}: s \in\langle S\rangle \backslash\left\{1, s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}\right\} \cup M \cup \\
\left\{\left\{g^{k} s, g^{k+1} s\right\}: k \in\{3,5, \ldots, m-2\}, s \in\langle S\rangle\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ missing 1 and containing $e$.
CASE 2: $i=j \neq 0$. Since $C a y(\langle S\rangle ; S)$ is $0 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, there exists an almost perfect matching $M$ of $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S\rangle ; S)$ missing 1 . If $i$ is odd, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
M \cup\left\{\left\{g^{k} s, g^{k+1} s\right\}: k \in\{1,3, \ldots, i-2, i+2, \ldots, m-2\}, s \in\langle S\rangle\right\} \cup \\
\left\{\left\{g^{i} s, g^{i+1} s\right\}: s \in\langle S\rangle \backslash\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{g^{i} s_{1}, g^{i} s_{2}\right\},\left\{g^{i+1} s_{1}, g^{i+1} s_{2}\right\}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ missing 1 and containing $e$. If $i$ is even, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
M \cup\left\{\left\{g^{k} s, g^{k+1} s\right\}: k \in\{1,3, \ldots, i-3, i+1, \ldots, m-2\}, s \in\langle S\rangle\right\} \cup \\
\left\{\left\{g^{i-1} s, g^{i} s\right\}: s \in\langle S\rangle \backslash\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{g^{i-1} s_{1}, g^{i-1} s_{2}\right\},\left\{g^{i} s_{1}, g^{i} s_{2}\right\}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ missing 1 and containing $e$.
Case 3: $i=j-1 \neq 0$. Recall that in this case $s_{1}=s_{2}$. Since $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S\rangle ; S)$ is $0 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, there exists an almost perfect matching $M$ of $C a y(\langle S\rangle ; S)$ missing 1. If $i$ is odd, then

$$
M \cup\left\{\left\{g^{k} s, g^{k+1} s\right\}: k \in\{1,3, \ldots, m-2\}, s \in\langle S\rangle\right\}
$$

is an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ missing 1 and containing $e$.
Assume now that $i$ is even. Pick an edge $\left\{s, s^{\prime}\right\}$ of $M$. Since subgraphs of $\Gamma$ induced on the cosets $g\langle S\rangle$ and $g^{m-1}\langle S\rangle$ are $0 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, there exist almost perfect matchings $M_{1}$ and $M_{m-1}$ of these subgraphs, which miss vertices $g s$ and $g^{-1} s^{\prime}$, respectively. But now

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(M \backslash\left\{\left\{s, s^{\prime}\right\}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{\{s, s g\},\left\{s^{\prime}, g^{-1} s^{\prime}\right\}\right\} \cup M_{1} \cup M_{m-1} \cup\left\{\left\{g^{k} s, g^{k+1} s\right\}:\right. \\
k \in\{2,4, \ldots, m-3\}, s \in\langle S\rangle\}
\end{gathered}
$$

is an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ missing 1 and containing $e$.
CASE 4: $i=0, j \in\{1, m-1\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume $j=1$ (otherwise replace $g$ by $g^{-1}$ ). Since a subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced on the coset $g^{2}\langle S\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S\rangle ; S)$, there exist an almost perfect matching $M$ of this subgraph missing the vertex $g^{2}$. But then
$\{\{s, g s\}: s \in\langle S\rangle \backslash\{0\}\} \cup\left\{\left\{g, g^{2}\right\}\right\} \cup M \cup\left\{\left\{g^{k} s, g^{k+1} s\right\}: k \in\{3,5, \ldots, m-2\}, s \in\langle S\rangle\right\}$
is an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ missing 1 and containing $e$.
Proof. [Of Theorem 1] Assume that $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}(G ; S)$ is not a cycle and note that this implies $|S| \geq 4$. We show that $\Gamma$ is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable using induction on $|S|$.

Suppose first that $|S|=4$. If for some $s \in S$ we have that $\langle s\rangle \neq G$, then $\operatorname{Cay}(G ; S)$ is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable by Proposition 5 . We are left with the possibility that $S=\left\{s, s^{-1}, t, t^{-1}\right\}$ where $\langle s\rangle=\langle t\rangle=G$. Pick a vertex $x$ and an edge $e$ of $C a y(G ; S)$. Let $n$ denote the order of $G$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x=1$, that $s=t^{\ell}$ for some $\ell \in\{2,3, \ldots, n-2\}$, and that $e=\left\{t^{i}, t^{i} s\right\}$ for some $i \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n-\ell-1, n-\ell+1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We now construct an almost perfect matching $M$ of $\Gamma$ containing $e$ and missing $x$ depending on the parity of $i$ and $\ell$. If $i$ and $\ell$ are both odd, then

$$
M=\{e\} \cup\left\{\left\{t^{j}, t^{j+1}\right\}: j \in J\right\}
$$

where $J=\{1,3, \ldots, i-2, i+1, i+3, \ldots, i+\ell-2, i+\ell+1, i+\ell+3, \ldots, n-2\}$. If $i$ is odd and $\ell$ is even, then

$$
M=\left\{e,\left\{t^{i+1}, t^{i+\ell+1}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{t^{j}, t^{j+1}\right\}: j \in J\right\}
$$

where $J=\{1,3, \ldots, i-2, i+2, i+4, \ldots, i+\ell-2, i+\ell+2, i+\ell+4, \ldots, n-2\}$. If $i$ and $\ell$ are both even, then

$$
M=\left\{e,\left\{t^{i-1}, t^{i+\ell-1}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{t^{j}, t^{j+1}\right\}: j \in J\right\}
$$

where $J=\{1,3, \ldots, i-3, i+1, i+3, \ldots, i+\ell-3, i+\ell+1, i+\ell+3, \ldots, n-2\}$. Finally, if $i$ is even and $\ell$ is odd, then

$$
M=\left\{e,\left\{t^{i-1}, t^{i+\ell-1}\right\},\left\{t^{i+1}, t^{i+\ell+1}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{t^{j}, t^{j+1}\right\}: j \in J\right\}
$$

where $J=\{1,3, \ldots, i-3, i+2, i+4, \ldots, i+\ell-3, i+\ell+2, i+\ell+4, \ldots, n-2\}$.

Now suppose $|S| \geq 6$ and pick a vertex $x$ and an edge $e=\{u, u s\}, s \in S$, of $\operatorname{Cay}(G ; S)$. We will show that there exists an almost perfect matching of Cay $(G ; S)$ which contains $e$ and misses $x$. Let $t \in S \backslash\left\{s, s^{-1}\right\}$, let $S^{\prime}=S \backslash\left\{t, t^{-1}\right\}$ and consider the subgraph $\Gamma^{\prime}=C a y\left(\left\langle S^{\prime}\right\rangle ; S^{\prime}\right)$, which, by induction, is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable. If $\left\langle S^{\prime}\right\rangle=G$, then an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma^{\prime}$, containing $e$ and missing $x$, is also an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma$ containing $e$ and missing $x$. If however $\left\langle S^{\prime}\right\rangle \neq G$, then $\Gamma$ is $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable by Proposition 5 .

## 4 Cayley graphs of generalized dihedral groups

A group $G$ containing an abelian subgroup $H$ of index 2 and an involution $t \notin H$ such that $t h t=h^{-1}$ for each $h \in H$ is called a generalized dihedral group. In this case we denote $G$ by $D_{H}$. Observe that if $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}\left(D_{H} ; S\right)$ is a Cayley graph of a generalized dihedral group $D_{H}$ and $h, t h^{\prime} \in S$, then for any vertex $x$ of $\Gamma,\left(x, x h, x t h^{-1} h^{\prime}, x t h^{\prime}\right)$ is a 4-cycle of $\Gamma$. Note also that for each $t a \in S$ and for each subgroup $H^{\prime} \leq H$ the edges corresponding to $t a$ introduce perfect matchings between components of the subgraph $\operatorname{Cay}\left(D_{H} ; S \cap H^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. [Of Theorem 2] Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Cay}\left(D_{H} ; S\right)$ and let $S_{1}=H \cap S$ and $S_{2}=S \backslash S_{1}$. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced by $\Gamma$ on $H$ and let $\Gamma_{2}$ be the subgraph of $\Gamma$ induced on $t H$. Furthermore pick any two disjoint edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ of $\Gamma$. We distinguish four cases depending on whether the edges $e_{i}$ belong to $\Gamma_{1}$ or $\Gamma_{2}$ or neither of them.

CASE 1: $e_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}$ and $e_{2} \notin \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$. (The case $e_{1} \in \Gamma_{2}, e_{2} \notin \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ is done analogously.)
Let $t a \in S_{2}$ be the unique element such that $e_{2}=\left\{x, t x^{-1} a\right\}$ for some $x \in H$ and let $h \in S_{1}$ be such that $e_{1}=\{y, y h\}$ for some $y \in H$. Then a perfect matching of $\Gamma$ containing $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1} a\right\}: z \in H \backslash\{y, y h, x\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{t y^{-1} a, t y^{-1} h^{-1} a\right\}\right\} .
$$

Case 2: $e_{1}, e_{2} \in \Gamma_{1}$. (The case $e_{1}, e_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}$ is done analogously.)
Since $\Gamma$ is connected, $S_{2}$ is nonempty. With no loss of generality we can assume $t \in S_{2}$. Letting $h, h^{\prime} \in S_{1}$ be such that $e_{1}=\{x, x h\}$ and $e_{2}=\left\{y, y h^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $x, y \in H$ a perfect matching of $\Gamma$ containing $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1}\right\}: z \in H \backslash\left\{x, y, x h, y h^{\prime}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{t x^{-1}, t x^{-1} h^{-1}\right\},\left\{t y^{-1}, t y^{-1} h^{\prime-1}\right\}\right\} .
$$

CASE 3: $e_{1} \in \Gamma_{1}, e_{2} \in \Gamma_{2}$.
If $H^{\prime}=\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ is of even order, then each of the $\left[H: H^{\prime}\right]$ components of $\Gamma_{1}$ (and $\Gamma_{2}$ ), and thus $\Gamma_{1}$ (and $\Gamma_{2}$ ) itself, is 1-extendable by the remark following Proposition 3. Thus in this case $\Gamma$ clearly contains a desired perfect matching. We can therefore assume that $H^{\prime}$ is of odd order. Moreover, we can also assume that $e_{1}=\{1, h\}$ for some $h \in S_{1}$. Let $x, h^{\prime} \in H$ be such that $e_{2}=\left\{t x, t x h^{\prime}\right\}$. If there exists an element
$t a \in S_{2}$ such that $\left\{t a, t h^{-1} a\right\} \cap e_{2}=\emptyset$, then $\left\{x^{-1} a, x^{-1} h^{-1} a\right\} \cap e_{1}=\emptyset$, and so a perfect matching of $\Gamma$ containing $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is
$\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1} a\right\}: z \in H \backslash\left\{1, h, x^{-1} a, x^{-1} h^{\prime-1} a\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{t a, t h^{-1} a\right\},\left\{x^{-1} a, x^{-1} h^{\prime-1} a\right\}\right\}$.
Similarly, if for some $t a \in S_{2}$ we have that $e_{2}=\left\{t a, t h^{-1} a\right\}$, then a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ is

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1} a\right\}: z \in H \backslash\{1, h\}\right\}
$$

We are left with the possibility that for each $t a \in S_{2}$ we have $\left|\left\{t a, t h^{-1} a\right\} \cap e_{2}\right|=1$. In view of the connectedness of $\Gamma$ this implies $H^{\prime}=H$. Suppose first that $\left|S_{1}\right|>2$. Then $|H|>4$, and so there exists an edge $e=\left\{y, t y^{-1} a\right\}$ such that $e \cap\left(e_{1} \cup e_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. By Theorem 1 both $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable, and so a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ clearly exists. Suppose now that $S_{1}=\left\{h, h^{-1}\right\}$. In this case each of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ is isomorphic to a cycle of odd length, say $2 n+1$. Using the remarks from the beginning of this section it is easy to see that the above assumptions imply $\left|S_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 n+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} ;\{( \pm 1,0),(0,1)\}\right) \cong \operatorname{Circ}(4 n+2 ;\{ \pm 2,2 n+1\})$ in the case of $\left|S_{2}\right|=1$, and $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 n+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} ;\{( \pm 1,0),( \pm 1,1)\}\right) \cong \operatorname{Circ}(4 n+2 ;\{ \pm 1, \pm 2 n\})$ in the case of $\left|S_{2}\right|=2$. Hence, in either case $\Gamma$ is a Cayley graph of an abelian group, so that Proposition 4 applies.

CASE 4: $e_{1}, e_{2} \notin \Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$.
With no loss of generality we can assume that $e_{1}=\{1, t\}$ and $e_{2}=\left\{x, t x^{-1} a\right\}$ for some $x, a \in H$. If $a=1$ then a perfect matching of $\Gamma$ containing $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ is $\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1}\right\}: z \in H\right\}$. We can thus assume $a \neq 1$ (implying that $\left|S_{2}\right| \geq 2$ ). We distinguish two subcases depending on whether $\left|S_{2}\right|=2$ or not.
Subcase 4.1: $\left|S_{2}\right| \geq 3$.
We show that in this case a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ can be constructed using just some of the edges corresponding to elements of $S_{2}$. Now, if $x \notin\langle a\rangle$ then a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1}\right\}: z \in H \backslash\langle a\rangle x\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1} a\right\}: z \in\langle a\rangle x\right\}
$$

so that we can assume $x \in\langle a\rangle$. Let $t b \in S_{2} \backslash\{t, t a\}$, let $H^{\prime}=\langle a, b\rangle \leq H$ and consider the subgraph $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ induced on $H^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime} t$ by the edges corresponding to $t, t a$ and $t b$. Note that it suffices to prove that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is 2-extendable. To prove this we use a result of [9] that a bipartite graph with bipartition $A \cup B$, where $|A|=|B|$, is 2-extendable if and only if for each subset $X \subset A$ with $|X| \leq|A|-2$ we have that $|N(X)| \geq|X|+2$ (here $N(X)$ denotes the set of neighbours of vertices from $X$ ). Suppose there exists a subset $X$ of $H^{\prime}$ of cardinality at most $\left|H^{\prime}\right|-2$ for which $|N(X)| \leq|X|+1$. Since $t x^{-1} \in N(X)$ for each $x \in X$, there cannot exist distinct $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ with $x_{1} a^{-1}, x_{2} a^{-1} \notin X$ (in this case $\left\{t x^{-1}: x \in X\right\} \cup\left\{t x_{1}^{-1} a, t x_{2}^{-1} a\right\} \subseteq N(X)$ would contradict $|N(X)| \leq|X|+1)$. Hence, except possibly with one exception, for each $x \in X$ we have that $x a^{-1} \in X$. Similarly, except possibly with one exception, for each $x \in X$ we have that $x b^{-1} \in X$. It is easy to see that these two conditions imply that $|X| \geq\left|H^{\prime}\right|-1$, a contradiction, showing that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and thus $\Gamma$ is 2-extendable.

Subcase 4.2: $\left|S_{2}\right|=2$, that is $S_{2}=\{t, t a\}$. Note that since, by assumption, $\Gamma$ is not a cycle, this forces $S_{1}$ to be nonempty.
Subsubcase 4.2.1: $\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ is of even order.
Suppose first that $x \notin\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ and $t x^{-1} a \notin t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$. Then a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ is obtained by taking $\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1}\right\}: z \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{x z, t x^{-1} z^{-1} a\right\}: z \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle\right\}$ together with perfect matchings of the remaining $2\left(\left[H:\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle\right]-2\right)$ components of $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ (which exist as they are Cayley graphs of an abelian group of even order). Next, suppose $x \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ but $t x^{-1} a \notin t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ (the case $x \notin\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle, t x^{-1} a \in t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ is dealt with analogously). If $\left|S_{1}\right|=1$ (that is, $S_{1}$ consists of a single involution), then either $\langle a\rangle=H$ or $[H:\langle a\rangle]=2$. Hence either $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Circ}(4 n ;\{ \pm 1,2 n\})$ (the cycle of length $4 n$ corresponding to $\pm 1$ is given by the edges corresponding to $t$ and $t a)$ or $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} ;\{( \pm 1,0),(0,1)\}\right)$, depending on whether $\langle a\rangle=H$ or not, respectively. This shows that $\Gamma$ is a Cayley graph of an abelian group of even order and valency three, so Proposition 4 applies. We can thus assume that $\left|S_{1}\right| \geq 2$ implying that there exists some $h \in S_{1} \backslash\{x\}$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Cay}\left(\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle ; S_{1}\right)$ is 1-extendable, there exists a perfect matching $M$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ containing $\{1, h\}$. Let $h^{\prime} \in H$ be such that $\left\{x, x h^{\prime}\right\} \in M$. Taking $M_{1}=M t \backslash\left\{\left\{t, t h^{-1}\right\}\right\}$ and $M_{2}=$ $M t a \backslash\left\{\left\{t x^{-1} a, t x^{-1} h^{-1} a\right\}\right\}$ a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ is obtained by taking

$$
M \backslash\left\{\{1, h\},\left\{x, x h^{\prime}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{h, t h^{-1}\right\},\left\{x h^{\prime}, t x^{-1} h^{\prime-1} a\right\}\right\} \cup M_{1} \cup M_{2}
$$

together with perfect matchings of the remaining $2\left[H:\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle\right]-3$ components of $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$, each of which is isomorphic to $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Finally, suppose $x \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ and $t x^{-1} a \in$ $t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ (note that this implies $\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle=H$.) We can clearly assume $\left|S_{1}\right|>1$ (otherwise $\left.\Gamma=K_{4}\right)$. Now, if $\left|S_{1}\right|=2$, then each of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ is isomorphic to a cycle of length $2 n$ for some $n$. We can thus identify the vertex set of $\Gamma$ with the set $V=\mathbb{Z}_{2 n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ in such a way that $(i, j) \sim(i+1, j)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n}$ and $j \in\{0,1\},(i, 0) \sim(i, 1)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n}$ and $(i, 0) \sim(i+k, 1)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n}$ and some fixed nonzero $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n}$. If $k=2 k_{1}$ for some $k_{1}$, then (relabeling the vertices $(i, 1)$ by $\left(i-k_{1}, 1\right)$ for $\left.i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n}\right)$ we clearly have that $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Cay}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} ;\left\{( \pm 1,0),\left( \pm k_{1}, 1\right)\right\}\right)$. If on the other hand $k=2 k_{1}-1$ for some $k_{1}$, then the permutation $\rho$ of the vertex set $V$ defined by $\rho((i, 0))=\left(i+k_{1}, 1\right)$ and $\rho((i, 1))=\left(i-k_{1}+1,0\right)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 n}$ is easily seen to be an automorphism of $\Gamma$ of order $4 n$, so that $\Gamma$ is a circulant in this case (in particular, $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Circ}(4 n ;\{ \pm 2, \pm k\})$ ). In either case $\Gamma$ is a Cayley graph of an abelian group, so that Proposition 4 applies. We can thus assume $\left|S_{1}\right| \geq 3$, and so Proposition 3 applies to $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$. If $\Gamma_{1}$ is not bipartite, there is a Hamilton path of $\Gamma_{1}$ between 1 and $x$ and there is a Hamilton path of $\Gamma_{2}$ between $t$ and $t x^{-1} a$. Together with $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ this gives a Hamilton cycle of $\Gamma$, and so a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ can be obtained by taking every other edge of this cycle, starting with $e_{1}$ (recall that $\Gamma_{1}$ is of even order). If $\Gamma_{1}$ is bipartite then it is 2-extendable by Proposition 4. It is easy to see that, since $\Gamma_{1}$ contains no triangles, there exist disjoint edges $e=\{1, h\}$ and $e^{\prime}=\left\{x, x h^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $e t$ and $e^{\prime}$ ta are also disjoint. As $\Gamma_{1}$ is 2-extendable we can now find a perfect matching of $\Gamma_{1}$ containing $e$ and $e^{\prime}$ as well as a perfect matching of $\Gamma_{2}$ containing et and $e^{\prime} t a$. It is now clear how to construct a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$.
Subsubcase 4.2.2: $\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ is of odd order.

Consider first the case that $x \notin\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ and $t x^{-1} a \notin t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$. Note that this implies $\left[H:\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle\right] \geq 2$, and therefore the connectivity of $\Gamma$ forces that $a \notin\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$. Let $y \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle x, y \neq x$, and observe that then $y a^{-1} \notin\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ (otherwise $t x^{-1} a \in t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ ). Letting $e=\left\{y, t y^{-1}\right\}$ and $e^{\prime}=\left\{y a^{-1}, t y^{-1} a\right\}$, a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ is

$$
\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1} a\right\}: z \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle x \backslash\{y\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1}\right\}: z \in H \backslash\left(\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle x \cup\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle x a^{-1}\right)\right\} \cup M_{1} \cup M_{2},
$$

where $M_{1}$ is an almost perfect matching of the component of $\Gamma_{1}$ containing $x a^{-1}$ which misses $y a^{-1}$ and $M_{2}$ is an almost perfect matching of the component of $\Gamma_{2}$ containing $t x^{-1}$ which misses $t y^{-1}$. In the case that $x \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ and $t x^{-1} a \in t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ we clearly have $\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle=H$. The existence of a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ then depends on $\left|S_{1}\right|$. If $\left|S_{1}\right|=2$, then each of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ is just a cycle. Similar argument as in Subsubcase 4.2 .1 shows that then $\Gamma$ is a Cayley graph of an abelian group, so that Proposition 4 applies. If $\left|S_{1}\right|>2$, then $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are $1 \frac{1}{2}$-extendable by Theorem 1. Taking $h \in S_{1} \backslash\left\{x, x a^{-1}\right\}$ (which exists since $\left|S_{1}\right|>2$ ) there thus exists an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma_{1}$ which contains $\{1, h\}$ but misses $x$ and there exists an almost perfect matching of $\Gamma_{2}$ which contains $\left\{t, t h^{-1}\right\}$ but misses $t x^{-1} a$. It is now clear how to obtain a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$. We are left with the possibility that $x \in\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ but $t x^{-1} a \notin t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ (the case $x \notin\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle, t x^{-1} a \in t\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle$ is dealt with analogously). Let $M_{1}$ be an almost perfect matching of the component of $\Gamma_{2}$ containing $t$ which misses $t$. By Proposition 3 each component of $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ contains a Hamilton cycle (if $\left|S_{1}\right|=2$, then each component of $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ consists of a single cycle). Take a Hamilton cycle $C$ of the component containing 1 and let $y$ be the neighbor of $x$ on this cycle, such that the length of the subpath of $C$ from 1 to $x$ not passing through $y$ consists of an even number of vertices. Let $M_{2}$ be the unique matching in $\operatorname{Cay}\left(\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle ; S_{1}\right)$ consisting of edges of $C$ which misses $1, x$ and $y$. Furthermore, let $M_{3}=M_{2} t a$ and let $M_{4}$ be an almost perfect matching of the component containing $a^{-1}$ which misses $a^{-1}$. Then a desired perfect matching of $\Gamma$ is

$$
\left\{\left\{z, t z^{-1}\right\}: z \in H \backslash\left(\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle \cup\left\langle S_{1}\right\rangle a^{-1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2},\left\{y, t y^{-1} a\right\},\left\{a^{-1}, t a\right\}\right\} \cup M_{1} \cup M_{2} \cup M_{3} \cup M_{4}
$$
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