Filomat 26:4 (2012), 839–844 DOI 10.2298/FIL1204839G Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Remarks on *S*_{*i*}**-separation axioms**

Xun Ge^a, Songlin Yang^b, Yuping Cao^c

^aZhangjiagang Campus, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhangjiagang, P. R. China ^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Soochow University, Suzhou, P. R. China ^cDepartment of Basic Course,Lianyungang Technical College,Lianyungang, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper, a space is a pair (X, \mathcal{H}) , where X is a set and $K \subset \exp X$. This paper gives some new characterizations for S_i -separation axioms in the space (X, \mathcal{H}) (i = 1, 2). As some corollaries of these results, some characterizations for T_i -separation axioms in the space (X, \mathcal{H}) are obtained (i = 1, 2).

1. Introduction

In the general theory of topological spaces, separation axioms had played an important role. In a series of papers, the ordinary separation axioms are modified in the way that the role of open sets is given to other classes of sets (see e.g. [2, 3, 5, 7, 8]). Moreover, Arenas et al. [1, 6] studied some weak separation axioms related with Alexandroff topological spaces. In [3], A. Császár discussed some lower separation axioms T_0 , T_1 , T_2 , S_1 and S_2 in generalized topological spaces, and gave some "nice" characterizations for these separation axioms. Having gained some enlightenment from results on separation axioms obtained by A. Császár in [3], this paper investigates T_i -separation axioms and S_i -separation axioms (i = 1, 2), and obtain some new characterizations for these separation axioms in the space (X, \mathcal{K}).

In this paper, a space is a pair (X, \mathscr{K}), where X is a set and $\mathscr{K} \subset \exp X$. Throughout this paper, we use the following notations.

Notation 1.1. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space and $A \subset X$.

(1) $\kappa A = \{x : x \in K \in \mathcal{K} \text{ implies } K \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$ (2) $\chi A = \bigcap \{K : A \subset K \in \mathcal{K}\}.$

(3) $\overline{\chi}A = \bigcap \{\kappa K : A \subset K \in \mathscr{K}\}.$

Remark 1.2. ([3]) (1) In the sense of Notation 1.1, if no $K \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $x \in K$, then $x \in \kappa A$. (2) In particular, $\chi A = X$ and $\overline{\chi}A = X$ if there do not exist sets $K \subset \mathcal{H}$ satisfying $A \subset K$.

Corresponding author: Songlin Yang

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54A05; Secondary 54D10

Keywords. S_1 -separation axiom, S_2 -separation axiom, T_0 -separation axiom, T_1 -separation axiom, T_2 -separation axiom

Received: 11 October 2011; Revised: 02 January 2012; Accepted: 13 January 2012

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971185 and 11061004) and the Fok Ying Tong Education Foundation(No. 114002)

Email addresses: zhugexun@163.com (Xun Ge), songliny@suda.edu.cn (Songlin Yang)

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall T_i -separation axioms (i = 0, 1, 2) and S_i -separation axioms (i = 1, 2), which come from [3].

Definition 2.1. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space.

(1) T_0 -separation axiom: $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ imply the existence of $K \in \mathcal{K}$ containing precisely one of x and y.

(2) T_1 -separation axiom: $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ imply the existence of $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K$ and $y \notin K$.

(3) T_2 -separation axiom: $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ imply the existence of $K, K' \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K, y \in K'$ and $K \cap K' = \emptyset$.

(4) S_1 -separation axiom: If $x, y \in X$ and there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K$ and $y \notin K$, then there exists $K' \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $y \in K'$ and $x \notin K'$.

(5) S_2 -separation axiom: If $x, y \in X$ and there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K$ and $y \notin K$, then there exist $K', K'' \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $x \in K', y \in K''$ and $K' \cap K'' = \emptyset$.

Remark 2.2. Some of the consequences of these separation axioms are valid in this generality. In particularly, the following hold.

(1) T_2 -separation axiom $\implies T_1$ -separation axiom $\implies T_0$ -separation axiom.

(2) S_2 -separation axiom \implies S_1 -separation axiom.

(3) T_1 -separation axiom $\iff T_0$ - and S_1 -separation axiom.

(4) T_2 -separation axiom $\iff T_0$ - and S_2 -separation axiom.

The following belong to A. Császár [3].

Lemma 2.3. ([3]) Let (X, \mathcal{H}) be a space. Given $x, y \in X$, $\kappa\{x\} \neq \kappa\{y\}$ if and only if there exists $K \in \mathcal{H}$ containing precisely one of x and y. Thus, \mathcal{H} satisfies T_0 -separation axiom if and only if $\kappa\{x\} \neq \kappa\{y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.4. ([3]) Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. \mathcal{K} satisfies S_1 -separation axiom if and only if $x \in K \in \mathcal{K}$ implies $\kappa\{x\} \subset K$.

Definition 2.5. ([3]) Let *X* be a set. A mapping $\lambda : \exp X \longrightarrow \exp X$ is called an envelope operation (or briefly an envelope) on *X* if the following hold (We write λA for $\lambda(A)$).

(1) $A \subset \lambda A$ for $A \subset X$. (2) $\lambda A \subset \lambda B$ for $A \subset B \subset X$. (3) $\lambda \lambda A = \lambda A$ for $A \subset X$.

Lemma 2.6. ([3]) Let $\kappa : \exp X \longrightarrow \exp X$ and $\chi : \exp X \longrightarrow \exp X$ be defined as Notation 1.1. Then both κ and χ are envelopes on *X*, and hence the following hold.

(1) $x \in \kappa\{x\}, x \in \chi\{x\} and \kappa\{x\} \subset \overline{\chi}\{x\}.$

(2) If $x \in \kappa\{y\}$, then $\kappa\{x\} \subset \kappa\{y\}$.

(3) If $x \in \chi\{y\}$, then $\chi\{x\} \subset \chi\{y\}$.

3. The main results

For a space (X, \mathcal{K}) and $x \in X$, we write $\mathcal{H}_x = \{K : x \in K \in \mathcal{H}\}$ for the sake of convenience. Consequently, $x \in K \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $K \in \mathcal{H}_x$. Thus, " $K \in \mathcal{H}_x$ " denotes " $x \in K \in \mathcal{H}$ " in this section.

By the definitions of κ , χ and $\overline{\chi}$, the following remark is obvious.

Remark 3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space and $x \in X$. Then the following hold.

(1) κ {*x*} = {*y* : *K* $\in \mathcal{K}_y$ *implies x* $\in K$ }, i.e., *y* $\in \kappa$ {*x*} if and only if *x* $\in K$ for each *K* $\in \mathcal{K}_y$.

(2) χ {*x*} = \bigcap {*K* : *K* $\in \mathcal{K}_x$ }, i.e., $y \in \chi$ {*x*} if and only if $y \in K$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_x$.

(3) $\overline{\chi}{x} = \bigcap {\kappa K : K \in \mathscr{K}_x}.$

(4) $y \notin \kappa\{x\}$ if and only if there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}_y$ such that $x \notin K$.

(5) $y \notin \chi\{x\}$ if and only if there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}_x$ such that $y \notin K$.

(6) $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$ if and only if there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}_x$ such that $y \notin \kappa K$.

Lemma 3.2. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space and $x \in X$. Then $\kappa\{x\} = X - \bigcup\{K : K \in \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_x\}$.

Proof. Let $y \in \kappa\{x\}$. By Remark 3.1(1), $x \in K$ for each $K \in \mathcal{H}_y$. So, for each $K \in \mathcal{H}$, $y \notin K$ if $K \notin \mathcal{H}_x$. That is, for each $K \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_x$, $y \notin K$. It follows that $y \notin \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_x\}$, and so $y \in X - \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_x\}$. On the other hand, let $y \in X - \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_x\}$. Then we have $y \in \kappa\{x\}$ by reversing the proof above. This proves that $\kappa\{x\} = X - \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}_x\}$. \Box

Lemma 3.3. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space and $x, y \in X$. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) $K \cap \{x, y\} = \{x, y\}$ for each $K \in \mathscr{K}_x$.

(2) $y \in \chi\{x\}$.

(3) $x \in \kappa\{y\}$.

(4) $\mathscr{K}_x \subset \mathscr{K}_y$.

(5) $\chi\{y\} \subset \chi\{x\}$.

(6) $\kappa\{x\} \subset \kappa\{y\}$.

 $(0) \kappa(x) \subset \kappa(y)$

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): Let $K \cap \{x, y\} = \{x, y\}$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_x$. Then $y \in K$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_x$. By Remark 3.1(2), $y \in \chi\{x\}$.

(2) \implies (3): It holds from Remark 3.1(1),(2).

(3) \Longrightarrow (4): Let $x \in \kappa\{y\}$. By Lemma 3.2, $x \in X - \bigcup\{K : K \in \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_y\}$, i.e., $x \notin \bigcup\{K : K \in \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_y\}$. So, if $K \in \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}_y$, then $x \notin K$. Consequently, if $K \in \mathcal{K}_x$, then $K \in \mathcal{K}_y$. This proves that $\mathcal{K}_x \subset \mathcal{K}_y$.

 $(4) \Longrightarrow (5): \text{Let } \mathscr{K}_x \subset \mathscr{K}_y. \text{ Then } \chi\{y\} = \bigcap \{K : K \in \mathscr{K}_y\} \subset \bigcap \{K : K \in \mathscr{K}_x\} = \chi\{x\}.$

(5) \Longrightarrow (1) Let $\chi\{y\} \subset \chi\{x\}$. For each $K \in \mathcal{K}_x$, since $y \in \chi\{y\} \subset \chi\{x\}$, $y \in K$. Note that $x \in K$. It follows that $K \cap \{x, y\} = \{x, y\}$.

 $(4) \Longrightarrow (6) \text{ Let } \mathscr{K}_x \subset \mathscr{K}_y. \text{ Then } \mathscr{K} - \mathscr{K}_y \subset \mathscr{K} - \mathscr{K}_x, \text{ and hence } \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathscr{K} - \mathscr{K}_y\} \subset \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathscr{K} - \mathscr{K}_x\}.$ By Lemma 3.2, $\kappa\{x\} = X - \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathscr{K} - \mathscr{K}_x\} \subset X - \bigcup \{K : K \in \mathscr{K} - \mathscr{K}_y\} = \kappa\{y\}.$ $(6) \Longrightarrow (3) \text{ Let } \kappa\{x\}) \subset \kappa\{y\}. \text{ Then } x \in \kappa\{x\} \subset \kappa\{y\}. \square$

Lemma 3.4. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom.

(2) For every pair $x, y \in X$, $x \notin \chi\{y\}$ implies $y \notin \chi\{x\}$.

(3) For every pair $x, y \in X$, $x \in \chi\{y\}$ implies $y \in \chi\{x\}$.

(4) For every pair $x, y \in X, x \notin \kappa\{y\}$ implies $y \notin \kappa\{x\}$.

(5) For every pair $x, y \in X, x \in \kappa\{y\}$ implies $y \in \kappa\{x\}$.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): Assume that (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \notin \chi\{y\}$. Then there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $y \in K$ and $x \notin K$. Since (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom, there exists $K' \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $x \in K'$ and $y \notin K'$. So $y \notin \chi\{x\}$.

(2) \implies (1): Assume that (2) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and let there exist $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K, y \notin K$. Then $y \notin \chi\{x\}$. Since (2) holds, $x \notin \chi\{y\}$. So there exists $K' \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $y \in K'$ and $x \notin K'$. This proves that (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom.

(2) \iff (3): It is clear.

(4) \iff (5): It is clear.

(3) \implies (5): Assume that (3) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \in \kappa\{y\}$. By Lemma 3.3, $y \in \chi\{x\}$, and so $x \in \chi\{y\}$. By Lemma 3.3 again, $y \in \kappa\{x\}$.

 $(5) \Longrightarrow (3)$: The proof is similar to that of $(3) \Longrightarrow (5)$. \Box

Theorem 3.4.1. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom.

(2) For each $x \in X$, $\chi\{x\} = \kappa\{x\}$.

(3) For each $x \in X$, $\chi\{x\} \subset \kappa\{x\}$.

(4) For each $x \in X$, $\kappa\{x\} \subset \chi\{x\}$.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): Assume that (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom. Let $x \in X$. If $y \notin \kappa\{x\}$, then there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}_y$ such that $x \notin K$. Since (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom, there exists $K' \in \mathscr{K}_x$ such that $y \notin K'$, and so $y \notin \chi\{x\}$. This proves that $\chi\{x\} \subset \kappa\{x\}$. On the other hand, if $y \notin \chi\{x\}$, then there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}_x$ such that $y \notin K$. Since (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom, there exists $K' \in \mathscr{K}_y$ such that $x \notin K'$, and so $y \notin \chi\{x\}$. This proves that $\chi\{x\} \subset \kappa\{x\}$. On the other hand, if $y \notin \chi\{x\}$, then there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}_x$ such that $y \notin K$. Since (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom, there exists $K' \in \mathscr{K}_y$ such that $x \notin K'$, and so $y \notin \kappa\{x\}$. This proves that $\kappa\{x\} \subset \chi\{x\}$. Consequently, $\chi\{x\} = \kappa\{x\}$

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (3)$: It is clear.

(3) \Longrightarrow (4): Assume that (3) holds. Let $x \in X$. If $y \in \kappa\{x\}$, then $x \in \chi\{y\}$ from Lemma 3.3. Since $\chi\{y\} \subset \kappa\{y\}$, $x \in \kappa\{y\}$. By Lemma 3.3 again, $y \in \chi\{x\}$. This proves that $\kappa\{x\} \subset \chi\{x\}$.

(4) \Longrightarrow (1): Assume that (4) holds. Let $x \in K \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\kappa\{x\} \subset \chi\{x\}$. By the definition of $\chi\{x\}, \chi\{x\} \subset K$. So $\kappa\{x\} \subset \chi\{x\} \subset K$. By Lemma 2.4, (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom. \Box

Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Recall \mathcal{K} is called a generalized topology in X if $\mathcal{K}' \subset \mathcal{K}$ implies $\bigcup \{K : K \in \mathcal{K}'\} \in \mathcal{K}; (X, \mathcal{K})$ is called a generalized topological space if \mathcal{K} is a generalized topology in X. We call a family $\{F_x : x \in X\}$ of subsets of a set X constitutes a partition of X if for every pair $x, y \in X, F_x = F_y$ or $F_x \cap F_y = \emptyset$. In [3], A. Császár obtained the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. ([3]) Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a generalized topological space. If (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom, then $\{\kappa\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X.

The following theorem improve Proposition 3.5 by omitting "generalized topological" in Proposition 3.5.

Theorem 3.5.1. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom.

(2) $\{\kappa \{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X.

(3) $\{\chi\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): Assume that (*X*, \mathscr{K}) satisfies *S*₁-separation axiom. Let *x*, *y* \in *X* and $\kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{y\} \neq \emptyset$, Then there exists $z \in \kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{y\}$. By Lemma 3.4, $x \in \kappa\{z\}$ since $z \in \kappa\{x\}$. So $\kappa\{z\} \subset \kappa\{x\}$ and $\kappa\{x\} \subset \kappa\{z\}$ by Lemma 2.6. It follows that $\kappa\{x\} = \kappa\{z\}$. Similarly, $\kappa\{y\} = \kappa\{z\}$. Thus $\kappa\{x\} = \kappa\{y\}$. This proves that $\{\kappa\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of *X*.

(2) \Longrightarrow (3): Assume that (2) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and $\chi\{x\} \cap \chi\{y\} \neq \emptyset$, Then there exists $z \in \chi\{x\} \cap \chi\{y\}$. By Lemma 2.6, $\chi\{z\} \subset \chi\{x\}$ since $z \in \chi\{x\}$. On the other hand, $x \in \kappa\{z\}$ by Lemma 3.3. Thus $x \in \kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{z\} \neq \emptyset$, so $\kappa\{x\} = \kappa\{z\}$, and hence $z \in \kappa\{z\} = \kappa\{x\}$. So $x \in \chi\{z\}$. It follows that $\chi\{x\} \subset \chi\{z\}$. This proves that $\chi\{x\} = \chi\{z\}$. Similarly, $\chi\{y\} = \chi\{z\}$. Consequently, $\chi\{x\} = \chi\{y\}$. So $\{\chi\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X.

(3) \implies (1): Assume that (3) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and $y \notin \chi\{x\}$. By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to prove that $x \notin \chi\{y\}$. Since $y \in \chi\{y\}$, so $\chi\{x\} \neq \chi\{y\}$, and hence $\chi\{x\} \cap \chi\{y\} = \emptyset$. Since $x \in \chi\{x\}$, so $x \notin \chi\{y\}$. \Box

Theorem 3.5.2. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom.

- (2) $x \in K \in \mathscr{K}$ implies $\overline{\chi}\{x\} \subset K$.
- (3) $\overline{\chi}{x} = \kappa{x}$ for each $x \in X$.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): Assume that (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom. Let $x \in K \in \mathscr{K}$ and $y \in \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. It suffices to prove that $y \in K$. In fact, if $y \notin K$, then there exist $K', K'' \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $x \in K', y \in K''$ and $K' \cap K'' = \emptyset$. Thus $y \notin \kappa K'$. Note that $K' \in \mathscr{K}_x$. So $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. This is a contradiction.

(2) \implies (1): Assume that (2) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and let there exist $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K, y \notin K$. Then $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. So there exists $K' \in \mathcal{K}_x$ such that $y \notin \kappa K'$. It follows that there exists $K'' \in \mathcal{K}_y$ such that $K' \cap K'' = \emptyset$. This proves that (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom.

(1) \Longrightarrow (3): Assume that (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom. Let $x \in X$. By Lemma 3.3, we only need to prove $\overline{\chi}\{x\} \subset \kappa\{x\}$. Let $y \in \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. It suffices to prove that $y \in \kappa\{x\}$. In fact, if $y \notin \kappa\{x\}$, then there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}_y$ such that $x \notin K$. And so there exist $K', K'' \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K', y \in K''$ and $K' \cap K'' = \emptyset$. Thus $y \notin \kappa K'$. Note that $K' \in \mathcal{K}_x$. So $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. This is a contradiction.

(3) \Longrightarrow (1): Assume that (3) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and let there exist $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K, y \notin K$. Then $x \notin \kappa\{y\}$, and hence $x \notin \overline{\chi}(y)$. So there exists $K' \in \mathcal{K}_y$ such that $x \notin \kappa K'$. It follows that there exists $K'' \in \mathcal{K}_x$ such that $K' \cap K'' = \emptyset$. This proves that (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom. \Box

Taking Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5.1 into account, the following question is interesting.

Question 3.6. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Are the following equivalent.

- (1) (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom.
- (2) For every pair $x, y \in X, x \notin \overline{\chi}\{y\}$ implies $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$.
- (3) $\{\overline{\chi}\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X.

The following answer the above question.

Proposition 3.7. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then, for every pair $x, y \in X, x \notin \overline{\chi}\{y\}$ implies $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. If $x \notin \overline{\chi}\{y\}$, then there exists $K \in \mathscr{K}_y$ such that $x \notin \kappa K$, and hence there exists $K' \in \mathscr{K}_x$ such that $K' \cap K = \emptyset$. Thus, $y \notin \kappa K'$. So $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. \Box

Proposition 3.8. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. If (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom, then $\{\overline{\chi}\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X.

Proof. Assume that (X, \mathscr{K}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom. By Remark 2.2(2) and Proposition 3.6, $\{\kappa\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X. Also, by Theorem 3.5.2, $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \kappa\{x\}$ for each $x \in X$. So $\{\overline{\chi}\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X. \Box

Example 3.9. There exists a space (X, \mathcal{K}) such that $\{\overline{\chi}\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition of X, and (X, \mathcal{K}) does not satisfy S_1 -separation axiom.

Put $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{c, d\}\}$. It is not difficult to check that $\kappa K = \{a, b\}$ if $K \in \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\kappa K = \{c, d\}$ if $K \in \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\}$. So $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{a, b\}$ if $x \in \{a, b\}$, and $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{c, d\}$ if $x \in \{c, d\}$. Then $\{\overline{\chi}\{x\} : x \in X\}$ constitutes a partition $\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ of X. Since $\kappa\{a\} = \{a, b\}$ and $\chi\{a\} = \{a\}, \kappa\{a\} \neq \chi\{a\}$, so (X, \mathcal{H}) does not satisfy S_1 -separation axiom.

As some applications of Theorem 3.4.1, Theorem 3.5.1 and Theorem 3.5.2, we give some characterizations of T_i -separation axiom (i = 1, 2).

Theorem 3.9.1. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies T_1 -separation axiom.

- (2) For every pair $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ implies $\kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{y\} = \emptyset$.
- (3) For each $x \in X$, $\kappa\{x\} = \{x\}$.
- (4) For every pair $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ implies $\chi\{x\} \cap \chi\{y\} = \emptyset$.
- (5) For each $x \in X$, $\chi\{x\} = \{x\}$.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): Assume that (*X*, \mathscr{K}) satisfies *T*₁-separation axiom. Let *x*, *y* \in *X* and *x* \neq *y*. By Remark 2.2(3), (*X*, \mathscr{K}) satisfies *T*₀- and *S*₁-separation axiom. So $\kappa\{x\} \neq \kappa\{y\}$ from Lemma 2.3, and hence $\kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{y\} = \emptyset$ from Theorem 3.5.1.

(2) \Longrightarrow (3): Assume that (2) holds. Let $x \in X$, then $x \in \kappa\{x\}$. For each $y \in X - \{x\}$, since $y \in \kappa\{y\}$ and $\kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{y\} = \emptyset$, $y \notin \kappa\{x\}$. It follows that $\kappa\{x\} = \{x\}$.

(3) \implies (1): Assume that (3) holds. For every pair $x, y \in X$, if $x \neq y$, then $\kappa\{x\} = \{x\} \neq \{y\} = \kappa\{y\}$, So (X, \mathcal{H}) satisfies T_0 -separation axiom from Lemma 2.3. On the other hand, for each $x \in X$, $\kappa\{x\} = \{x\} \subset \chi\{x\}$. By Theorem 3.4.1, (X, \mathcal{H}) satisfies S_1 -separation axiom. Thus, (X, \mathcal{H}) satisfies T_1 -separation axiom from Remark 2.2(3).

(1) \Longrightarrow (4): Assume that (*X*, \mathscr{K}) satisfies *T*₁-separation axiom. Then (*X*, \mathscr{K}) satisfies *S*₁-separation axiom from Remark 2.2(3). Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then $\chi\{x\} = \kappa\{x\}$ and $\chi\{y\} = \kappa\{y\}$ from Theorem 3.4.1. By the above (1) \Longrightarrow (2), $\kappa\{x\} \cap \kappa\{y\} = \emptyset$. It follows that $\chi\{x\} \cap \chi\{y\} = \emptyset$.

 $(4) \Longrightarrow (5) \Longrightarrow (1)$: The proof can be completed by a similar way as in the proof of $(2) \Longrightarrow (3) \Longrightarrow (1)$, so we omit it. \Box

Theorem 3.9.2. Let (X, \mathcal{K}) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.

(1) (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies T_2 -separation axiom.

(2) $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ imply the existence of $K \in \mathscr{K}$ such that $x \in K$ and $y \notin \kappa K$.

(3) $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ imply the existence of $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K \subset \kappa K \subset X - \{y\}$.

(4) For each $x \in X$, $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{x\}$.

(5) For every pair $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ implies $\overline{\chi}\{x\} \cap \overline{\chi}\{y\} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Assume that (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies T_2 -separation axiom. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. By Remark 2.2(1),(4), (X, \mathcal{K}) satisfies S_2 - and T_1 -separation axiom. By Theorem 3.5.2 and Theorem 3.9.1, $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \kappa\{x\} = \{x\}$, and hence $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. Thus, there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K$ and $y \notin \kappa K$.

(2) \implies (3): Assume that (2) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K$ and $y \notin \kappa K$. Thus $x \in K \subset \kappa K \subset X - \{y\}$.

(3) \Longrightarrow (4): Assume that (3) holds. Let $x \in X$. If $y \in X$ and $x \neq y$, then there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $x \in K \subset \kappa K \subset X - \{y\}$. Thus, $K \in \mathcal{K}_x$ and $y \notin \kappa K$, so $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. This proves that $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{x\}$.

(4) \Longrightarrow (1): Assume that (4) holds. Let $x \in K \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{x\} \subset K$. So (X, \mathcal{H}) satisfies S_2 -separation axiom from Theorem 3.5.2. In addition, $\{x\} \subset \chi\{x\} \subset \overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{x\}$, so $\chi\{x\} = \{x\}$. By Theorem 3.9.1, (X, \mathcal{H}) satisfies T_1 -separation axiom. It follows that (X, \mathcal{H}) satisfies T_2 -separation axiom from Remark 2.2(1),(4).

(4) \Longrightarrow (5): Assume that (4) holds. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. Then $\overline{\chi}\{x\} \cap \overline{\chi}\{y\} = \{x\} \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$.

(5) \Longrightarrow (4): Assume that (5) holds. Let $x \in X$, then $x \in \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. For each $y \in X - \{x\}$, since $y \in \overline{\chi}\{y\}$ and $\overline{\chi}\{x\} \cap \overline{\chi}\{y\} = \emptyset$, $y \notin \overline{\chi}\{x\}$. It follows that $\overline{\chi}\{x\} = \{x\}$. \Box

References

- [1] F.G. Arenas, J. Dontchev, M.L. Puertas, Idealization of some weak separation axioms, Acta Math. Hungar. 89 (2000) 47–53.
- [2] M. Caldas, S. Jafari, T. Noiri, Characterizations of Λ_{θ} - R_0 and Λ_{θ} - R_1 topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 103 (2004) 85–95. [3] Á. Császár, Separation axioms for generalized topologies, Acta Math. Hungar. 104 (2004) 63–69.
- [4] R. Devi, A. Selvakumar, M. Vigneshwaran, (I_{λ}) -generalized semi-closed sets in topological spaces, Filomat 24:1 (2010) 97–100.
- [4] R. Devi, A. Setvakuna, M. Higheshwarah, (A) generalized schule doct sets in topological spaces, informat 24.1 (2010) 77-
- [5] C. Dorsett, Semi-T₂, semi-R₁ and semi-R₀ topological spaces, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 92 (1978) 143–150.
 [6] E. Ekici, On *I*-Alexandroff and I_q-Alexandroff ideal topologial spaces, Filomat 25:4 (2011) 99–108.
- [7] E. Hatir, T. Noiri, Λ_{sp} -sets and some weak separation axioms, Acta Math. Hungar. 103 (2004) 225–232.
- [8] S. N. Maheshwari, R. Prasad, On $(R0)_s$ -spaces, Portug. Math. 34 (1975) 213–217.