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#### Abstract

The aim of paper is to define and study some ideal convergent sequence spaces with the help of generalized difference matrix $B_{(m)}^{n}$ and Orlicz functions. We also make an effort to study some algebraic and topological properties of these difference sequence spaces.


## 1. Background and Preliminaries

The concept of statistical convergence is a generalization of the usual notion of convergence that, for realvalued sequences, parallels the usual theory of convergence (see [9]). Kostyrko et al. [15] and Nuray and Ruckle [21] independently studied in detalis about the notion of ideal convergence which is a generalization of statistical convergence and is based on the structure of the admissible ideal $I$ of subsets of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$. Later on it was further investigated by Tripathy and Hazarika [25, 26], Hazarika and Mohiuddine [10], Hazarika [12] and references therein. Hazarika [11] introduced the notion of generalized difference $I$ convergence in random 2-normed spaces and proved some interesting results. Çakalli and Hazarika [5] introduced the new concept ideal quasi Cauchy sequences and studied some results in analysis.

Let $S$ be a non-empty set. Then a non empty class $I \subseteq P(S)$ is said to be an ideal on $S$ iff $\phi \in I, I$ is additive and hereditary. An ideal $I \subseteq P(S)$ is said to be non trivial if $S \notin I$. A non-empty family of sets $F \subseteq P(S)$ is said to be a filter on $S$ iff $\phi \notin F$, for each $A, B \in F$ we have $A \cap B \in F$ and for each $A \in F$ and $B \supset A$, implies $B \in F$. For each ideal $I$, there is a filter $F(I)$ corresponding to $I$ i.e. $F(I)=\left\{K \subseteq S: K^{c} \in I\right\}$, where $K^{c}=S-K$. A non-trivial ideal $I \subseteq P(S)$ is said to be (a) an admissible ideal on $S$ if and only if it contains all singletons, i.e., if it contains $\{\{x\}: x \in S\}$ (b) maximal, if there cannot exists any non-trivial ideal $J \neq I$ containing $I$ as a subset. Recall that a sequence $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{k}\right)$ of real numbers is said to be $I$-convergent to the number $\ell$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$, the set $\left\{k \in \mathbb{N}:\left|x_{k}-\ell\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I$.

We denote $w$ for the set of all real sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$. The difference sequence space was introduced by Kızmaz [14] as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\Delta)=\left\{\left(x_{k}\right) \in w: \Delta x_{k} \in Z\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Z=\ell_{\infty}, c, c_{0}$ and $\Delta x_{k}=\Delta^{1} x_{k}=x_{k}-x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where the standard notations $\ell_{\infty}, c$ and $c_{0}$ are used to denote the set of bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively. Later this idea was generalized

[^0]by Et and Çolak [8] by considering $\Delta^{n}$ instead of $\Delta$ where $\left(\Delta^{n} x_{k}\right)=\Delta^{1}\left(\Delta^{n-1} x_{k}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$ (see also Et and Başarir [7]). In case of $n=0$ we obtain $x_{k}$. The author of [24] generalized these spaces by taking $\Delta_{m}$ in (1.1) where the operator $\Delta_{m}$ is defined by $\Delta_{m} x=\left(\Delta_{m} x_{k}\right)=\left(x_{k}-x_{k+m}\right)$. By combining the above two operators $\Delta^{n}$ and $\Delta_{m}$, Tripathy et al. [27] defined and studied Kızmaz spaces for the operator $\Delta_{m}^{n}$ and it is given by $\Delta_{m}^{n} x=\left(\Delta_{m}^{n} x_{k}\right)=\left(\Delta_{m}^{n-1} x_{k}-\Delta_{m}^{n-1} x_{k+m}\right)$. In [6], Dutta considered $\Delta_{(m)}^{n} x=\left(\Delta_{(m)}^{n} x_{k}\right)=\left(\Delta_{(m)}^{n-1} x_{k}-\Delta_{(m)}^{n-1} x_{k-m}\right)$ and introduced difference sequences spaces for the sets of bounded, statistically convergent and statistically null sequences, respectively. Başar and Altay [2] introduced the generalized difference matrix $B(r, s)=\left(b_{n k}(r, s)\right)$ which is a generalization of $\Delta_{(1)}^{1}$-difference operator as follows:
\[

b_{n k}(r, s)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{cc}
r, & \text { if } k=n ; \\
s, & \text { if } k=n-1 ; \\
0, & \text { if } 0 \leq k<n-1 \text { or } k>n,
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, r, s \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. Başarir and Kayikci [3] have defined the generalized difference matrix $B^{n}$ of order $n$, and the binomial representation of this operator is

$$
B^{n} x_{k}=\sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n}{v} r^{n-v} S^{v} x_{k-v}
$$

where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Another generalization of above difference matrix was given by Başarir et al. [4] as $B_{(m)}^{n}$ by taking into account operator introduced by Dutta [6], where $B_{(m)}^{n} x=\left(B_{(m)}^{n} x_{k}\right)=\left(r B_{(m)}^{n-1} x_{k}+\right.$ $s B_{(m)}^{n-1} x_{k-m)}$ and $B_{(m)}^{0} x_{k}=x_{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$
B_{(m)}^{n} x_{k}=\sum_{v=0}^{n}\binom{n}{v} r^{n-v} s^{v} x_{k-m v} .
$$

An Orlicz function is a function $M:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0)=0, M(0)>0$ as $x>0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. It is well known if $M$ is a convex function and $M(0)=0$, then $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$ for all $\lambda$ with $0<\lambda<1$. An Orlicz function $M$ is said to be satisfy $\Delta_{2}$-condition for all values of $u$, if there exists a constant $K>0$ such that $M(L u) \leq K L M(u)$ for all values of $L>1$ (see Krasnoselskii and Rutitsky [16]).

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [17] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$
\ell_{M}=\left\{\left(x_{k}\right) \in w: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\left|x_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)<\infty, \text { for some } \rho>0\right\} .
$$

The space $\ell_{M}$ with the norm

$$
\|x\|=\inf \left\{\rho>0: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\left|x_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\right\}
$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. The space $\ell_{M}$ is closely related to the space $\ell_{p}$ which is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(t)=|t|^{p}$ for $1 \leq p<\infty$.

For some recent work related to Orlicz sequence spaces, we refer to Alotaibi et al. [1], Mohiuddine et al. [18, 19], Savaş [23] and references therein.

If $X$ is a linear space and $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that (i) $g(x) \geq 0$, (ii) $x=0 \Rightarrow g(x)=0$, (iii) $g(x+y) \leq g(x)+g(y)$, (iv) $g(-x)=g(x)$ and (v) $g\left(t_{k} x_{k}-t x\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $t_{k} \rightarrow t$ and $x_{k} \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for scalars $t_{k}, t$ and the vectors $x_{k}, x$, then $g$ is said to be a paranorm on $X$ and the pair $(X, g)$ is called a paranormed space. A paranorm $g$ which satifies $g(x)=0 \Rightarrow x=0$ is called a total paranorm.

A sequence space $E$ is said to be (i) normal (or solid) if $\left(\alpha_{k} x_{k}\right) \in E$ whenever $\left(x_{k}\right) \in E$ and for all sequence $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ of scalars with $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, (ii) symmetric if $\left(x_{\pi(k)}\right) \in E$, whenever $\left(x_{k}\right) \in E$, where $\pi$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let $K=\left\{k_{1}<k_{2}<\ldots\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $E$ be a sequence space. A $K$-step space of $E$ is a sequence space $\lambda_{K}^{E}=\left\{\left(x_{k_{n}}\right) \in w:\left(k_{n}\right) \in E\right\}$. A canonical preimage of a sequence $\left\{\left(x_{k_{n}}\right)\right\} \in \lambda_{K}^{E}$ is a sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\} \in w$ defined as

$$
y_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x_{k}, & \text { if } k \in K \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

A canonical preimage of a step space $\lambda_{K}^{E}$ is a set of canonical preimages of all elements in $\lambda_{K}^{E}$. A sequence space $E$ is said to be monotone if $E$ contains the cannical pre-image of all its step spaces. Note that every normal space is monotone (see [13], page 53).

The following well-known inequality will be used throughout the article. Let $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers with $0<p_{k} \leq \sup _{k} p_{k}=H, D=\max \left\{1,2^{H-1}\right\}$ then

$$
\left|a_{k}+b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}} \leq D\left(\left|a_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}+\left|b_{k}\right|^{p_{k}}\right) \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } a_{k}, b_{k} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Also $|a|^{p_{k}} \leq \max \left\{1,|a|^{H}\right\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

## 2. Main Results

We introduce the following new type of ideal convergent sequence spaces using the generalized difference matrix $B_{(m)}^{n}$ and Orlicz functions. Let $M$ be an Orlicz function, and $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers and $m, n$ be nonnegative integers. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity such that $\lambda_{i+1} \leq \lambda_{i}+1, \lambda_{1}=1$ (such type of sequence also used in [20] to define summability methods). For $\rho>0$, we define the following new sequence spaces:

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)=\left\{\left(u_{k}\right) \in w:\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I\right\}, \\
c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)=\left\{\left(u_{k}\right) \in w:\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I, \text { for some } u_{0} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \\
\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)=\left\{\left(u_{k}\right) \in w: \sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)^{n}}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}<\infty\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $J_{i}=\left[i-\lambda_{i}+1, i\right]$. It is easy to see that the inclusions $c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subset c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subset \ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are proper. We can write the following spaces by using the above spaces

$$
m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)=c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \cap \ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)
$$

and

$$
m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)=c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \cap \ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)
$$

Particular cases: For $n=0$, the spaces $c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right), c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n}, p\right), \ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right), m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ becomes $c^{I}(\lambda, M, p), c_{0}^{I}(\lambda, M, p), \ell_{\infty}(\lambda, M, p), m^{I}(\lambda, M, p)$ and $m_{0}^{I}(\lambda, M, p)$ respectively.

The following is easy to prove.
Theorem 2.1. Let $p=\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. The spaces $c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right), c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{n}}^{n}, p\right)$, $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right), m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are linear.
Theorem 2.2. Let $p=\left(p_{k}\right) \in \ell_{\infty}$. Then $m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are paranormed spaces with the paranorm $g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}$ defined by

$$
g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(u)=\inf \left\{\rho^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}>0: \sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right] \leq 1, \text { for } \rho>0\right\},
$$

where $G=\max \left\{1, \sup _{k} p_{k}\right\}$.

Proof. Clearly $g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(-u)=g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(u)$ and $g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(0)=0$. Let $u=\left(u_{k}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{k}\right)$ be two elements in $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. Now for $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}>0$ we put

$$
A_{1}=\left\{\rho_{1}>0: \sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{\rho_{1}}\right)\right] \leq 1\right\} \text { and } A_{2}=\left\{\rho_{2}>0: \sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} v_{k}\right|}{\rho_{2}}\right)\right] \leq 1\right\}
$$

Let us take $\rho=\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}$. Then using the convexity of Orlicz function $M$, we obtain

$$
M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right)\right|}{\rho}\right) \leq \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}} M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{\rho_{1}}\right)+\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}} M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} v_{k}\right|}{\rho_{2}}\right)
$$

which in turn gives us

$$
\sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(u_{k}+v_{k}\right)\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(u+v) & =\inf \left\{\left(\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}\right)^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}: \rho_{1} \in A_{1}, \rho_{2} \in A_{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \inf \left\{\rho_{1}^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}: \rho_{1} \in A_{1}\right\}+\inf \left\{\rho_{2}^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}: \rho_{2} \in A_{2}\right\}=g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(u)+g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha^{i} \rightarrow \alpha$, where $\alpha^{i}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}\left(u^{i}-u\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. To prove that $g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}\left(\alpha^{i} u^{i}-\alpha u\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We put

$$
A_{3}=\left\{\rho_{m}>0: \sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u^{i}\right|}{\rho_{m}}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq 1\right\} \text { and } A_{4}=\left\{\rho_{l}>0: \sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(u^{i}-u\right)\right|}{\rho_{l}}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq 1\right\} .
$$

By the continuity of $M$ we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(\alpha^{i} u^{i}-\alpha u\right)\right|}{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}}\right) & \leq M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(\alpha^{i} u^{i}-\alpha u^{i}\right)\right|}{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}}\right)+M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(\alpha u^{i}-\alpha u\right)\right|}{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}}{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}} M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u^{i}\right|}{\rho_{m}}\right)+\frac{|\alpha| \rho_{l}}{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}} M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(u^{i}-u\right)\right|}{\rho_{l}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the last inequality it follows that

$$
\sup _{i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n}\left(\alpha^{i} u^{i}-\alpha u\right)\right|}{\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}}\right)\right] \leq 1
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{B_{(n)}^{n}}\left(\alpha^{i} u^{i}-\alpha u\right) & =\inf \left\{\left(\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right| \rho_{m}+|\alpha| \rho_{l}\right)^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}: \rho_{m} \in A_{3}, \rho_{l} \in A_{4}\right\} \\
& \leq\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right|^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}} \inf \left\{\left(\rho_{m}\right)^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}: \rho_{m} \in A_{3}\right\}+|\alpha|^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}} \inf \left\{\left(\rho_{l}\right)^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}: \rho_{l} \in A_{4}\right\} \\
& \leq \max \left\{1,\left|\alpha^{i}-\alpha\right|^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}\right\} g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}\left(u^{i}\right)+\max \left\{1,|\alpha|^{\frac{p_{k}}{G}}\right\} g_{B_{(m)}^{n}}\left(u^{i}-u\right) . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by our assumption the right hand side of (1) tends to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be two Orlicz functions. Then
(i) $Z\left(\lambda, M_{2}, B_{(m)}^{n} p\right) \subseteq Z\left(\lambda, M_{1} \circ M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$,
(ii) $Z\left(\lambda, M_{1}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \cap Z\left(\lambda, M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subseteq Z\left(\lambda, M_{1}+M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$,
for $Z=c_{0}^{I}, c^{I}, m_{0}^{I}, m^{I}, \ell_{\infty}$.
Proof. (i) Let $u=\left(u_{k}\right) \in c^{I}\left(\lambda, M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. For $\rho>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M_{2}\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I \text { for every } \varepsilon>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $\alpha$ with $0<\alpha<1$ such that $M_{1}(t)<\varepsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq \alpha$. We define

$$
v_{k}=M_{2}\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)
$$

and consider

$$
\lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; 0 \leq v_{k} \leq \alpha}\left[M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}=\lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; v_{k} \leq \alpha}\left[M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+\lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; v_{k}>\alpha}\left[M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; v_{k} \leq \alpha}\left[M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq\left[M_{1}(2)\right]^{H} \lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; v_{k} \leq \alpha}\left[v_{k}\right]^{p_{k}}, H=\sup _{k} p_{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second summation (i.e. $v_{k}>\alpha$ ), we go through the following procedure. We have

$$
v_{k}<\frac{v_{k}}{\alpha}<1+\frac{v_{k}}{\alpha} .
$$

Since $M_{1}$ is non-decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$
M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)<M_{1}\left(1+\frac{v_{k}}{\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} M_{1}(2)+\frac{1}{2} M_{1}\left(\frac{2 v_{k}}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

Since $M_{1}$ satisfies $\Delta_{2}$-condition, we can write

$$
M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)<\frac{1}{2} K \frac{v_{k}}{\alpha} M_{1}(2)+\frac{1}{2} K \frac{v_{k}}{\alpha} M_{1}(2)=K \frac{v_{k}}{\alpha} M_{1}(2)
$$

We get the following estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; v_{k}>\alpha}\left[M_{1}\left(v_{k}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \leq \max \left\{1,\left(K \alpha^{-1} M_{1}(2)\right)^{H}\right\} \lim _{k \in \mathbb{N} ; v_{k}>\alpha}\left[v_{k}\right]^{p_{k}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), (3) and (4), it follows that $\left(u_{k}\right) \in c^{I}\left(\lambda, M_{1} \cdot M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. Hence $c^{I}\left(\lambda, M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subseteq c^{I}\left(\lambda, M_{1} \circ M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$.
(ii) Let $\left(u_{k}\right) \in c^{I}\left(\lambda, M_{1}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \cap c^{I}\left(\lambda, M_{2}, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n}, p\right)$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M_{1}\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I \text { and }\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M_{2}\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I .
$$

The rest of the proof follows from the following relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[\left(M_{1}+M_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M_{1}\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \bigcup\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M_{2}\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}-u_{0}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that if $M_{2}(x)=x$ and $M_{1}(x)=M(x)$ for all $x \in[0, \infty)$ in the above theorem then $Z\left(\lambda, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subseteq$ $Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ for $Z=c_{0^{\prime}}^{I} c^{I}, m_{0}^{I}, m^{I}, \ell_{\infty}$, where $I$ is an admissible ideal.

Theorem 2.4. The spaces $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n}, p\right)$ and $m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are nowhere dense subsets of $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$.
Proof. From Theorem 3 [25] it follows that $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n}, p\right)$ and $m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n}, p\right)$ are closed subspaces of $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. Since the inclusion relations $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subset \ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subset$ $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are strict, then the spaces $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are nowhere dense subsets of $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$.

Theorem 2.5. The inclusions $Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n-1}, p\right) \subseteq Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n}, p\right)$ are strict for $n \geq 1$. In general $Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{i} p\right) \subseteq$ $Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and the inclusion is strict, for $Z=c_{0}^{I}, c^{I}, m_{0}^{I}, m^{I}, \ell_{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $u=\left(u_{k}\right) \in c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n-1}, p\right)$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I .
$$

Since $M$ is non-decreasing and convex it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{2 \rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} } & \leq D\left[\frac{1}{2} M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+D\left[\frac{1}{2} M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k+1}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \\
& \leq D K\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}+D K\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k+1}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K=\max \left\{1,\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{H}\right\}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{2 \rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \\
& \quad \subseteq\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: D K \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \bigcup\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: D K \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \sum_{k \in J_{i}}\left[M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(m)}^{n-1} u_{k+1}\right|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_{k}} \geq \varepsilon\right\} \in I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left(u_{k}\right) \in c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. The inclusion is strict follows from the following example.
Example 2.6. Let $M(x)=x$ for all $x \in[0, \infty)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose also that $n=5, m=2, r=1$, $s=-1$ and $p_{k}=1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us define the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ by

$$
u_{k}= \begin{cases}k^{3}+2 k+1 & , \text { if } k \text { is even } \\ 0 & , \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Thus we have

$$
B_{(2)}^{4} u_{k}=\sum_{v=0}^{4}\binom{4}{v} r^{4-v} S^{v} u_{k-2 v}
$$

which gives $B_{(2)}^{4} u_{k}=-64$. So, we have $B_{(2)}^{5} u_{k}=0$. Therefore $\left(u_{k}\right) \in c_{0}^{I}\left(M, B_{(2)}^{5} p\right)$ but $\left(u_{k}\right) \notin c_{0}^{I}\left(M, B_{(2)}^{4}, p\right)$.
This completes the proof of the result.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\left(p_{k}\right)$ and $\left(q_{k}\right)$ be two sequences of positive real numbers. Then $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \subseteq m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} q\right)$ if and only if $\lim _{\inf }^{k \in K} \frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}$ where $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \notin I$. Proof. If we take $\left(v_{k}\right)=M\left(\frac{\left|B_{(n)}^{n} u_{k}\right|}{\rho}\right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the result follows from the Theorem $6,[25]$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $\left(p_{k}\right)$ and $\left(q_{k}\right)$ be two sequences of positive real numbers. Then $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)=m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} q\right)$ if and only if $\lim \inf _{k \in K} \frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}$ and $\lim \inf _{k \in K} \frac{q_{k}}{p_{k}}>0$ where $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \notin I$.

The proof of the above result follows from the Corollary 7 in [25].
Theorem 2.9. If $I$ is not a maximal ideal and $I \neq I_{f}$, then the sequence spaces $c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are neither normal nor monotone, where $I_{f}$ denotes the class of all finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.

We prove this result with the help of following example.
Example 2.10. Let $M(x)=x$ for all $x \in[0, \infty)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose also that $r=1, s=-1, n=1, m=$ 1 and $p_{k}=1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking $I=I_{\delta}$, where $I_{\delta}=\{A \subset \mathbb{N}$ : asymptotic density of $A($ in symbol, $\delta(A))=0\}$ and note that $I_{\delta}$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{N}$. Define the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ by $u_{k}=k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$
\alpha_{k}= \begin{cases}-1, & \text { if } k \text { is even } ; \\ 1, & \text { if } k \text { is odd } .\end{cases}
$$

Then we see that $\left(u_{k}\right) \in Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ for $Z=c^{I}$. But $\left(\alpha_{k} u_{k}\right) \notin Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n}, p\right)$ for $Z=c^{I}$. Therefore $c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ is not normal and hence not monotone. Similarly, we can show that $c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $m_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are neither normal nor monotone by considering $u_{k}=3$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.11. If $I$ is an admissible ideal and $I \neq I_{f}$, then the sequence spaces $Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)}^{n}, p\right)$ are not symmetric, where $\mathrm{Z}=c_{0}^{I}, c^{I}, m_{0}^{I}, m^{I}$.

We prove this result only for $c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ with the help of following example. The rest of the results follow similar way.

Example 2.12. Let $M(x)=x$ for all $x \in[0, \infty)$ and $\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $r=1, s=-1, n=1, m=1$. Taking $I=I_{\delta}$ and $p_{k}=1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us define a sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)$ by

$$
u_{k}= \begin{cases}-2 k+1 & , \quad \text { if } k=i^{2}, i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we obtain $\left(u_{k}\right) \in c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. The rearrangement $\left(v_{k}\right)$ of $\left(u_{k}\right)$ defined as

$$
v_{k}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{4}, u_{2}, u_{9}, u_{3}, u_{16}, u_{5}, u_{25}, u_{6}, \ldots\right\} .
$$

This implies that $\left(v_{k}\right) \notin c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$. Hence $c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n}, p\right)$ is not symmetric.
Theorem 2.13. If I is an admissible ideal, then $c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right), c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ are convex sets.

The proof of the above theorem follows directly by using the convexity of Orlicz function.
Theorem 2.14. If I is an admissible ideal, then the spaces $c_{0}^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right), c^{I}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right)$ and $\ell_{\infty}\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n}\right.$, $p$ ) are topologically isomorphic with the spaces $c_{0}^{I}(\lambda, M, p), c^{I}(\lambda, M, p)$ and $\ell_{\infty}(\lambda, M, p)$, respectively.

Proof. Let us consider the mapping $T: Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right) \rightarrow Z(\lambda, M, p)$ defined by

$$
T u=v=\left(B_{(m)}^{n} u_{k}\right) \text { for every } u=\left(u_{k}\right) \in Z\left(\lambda, M, B_{(m)^{\prime}}^{n} p\right),
$$

where $Z=c^{I}, c_{0}^{I}, \ell_{\infty}$. Clearly $T$ is linear homeomorphism.
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