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#### Abstract

In this paper, we proved a known theorem under more weaker conditions dealing with absolute Riesz summability of infinite series involving a quasi- $\sigma$-power increasing sequence. And we applied it to the trigonometric Fourier series.


## 1. Introduction

A positive sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)$ is said to be an almost increasing sequence if there exists a positive increasing sequence $c_{n}$ and two positive constants M and N such that $M c_{n} \leq b_{n} \leq N c_{n}$ (see [1]). A positive sequence $\left(X_{n}\right)$ is said to be quasi- $\sigma$-power increasing sequence if there exists a constant $K=K(\sigma, X) \geq 1$ such that $K n^{\sigma} X_{n} \geq m^{\sigma} X_{m}$ for all $n \geq m \geq 1$. Every almost increasing sequence is a quasi- $\sigma$-power increasing sequence for any non-negative $\sigma$, but the converse is not true for $\sigma>0$ (see [10]). For any sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ we write that $\Delta^{2} \lambda_{n}=\Delta \lambda_{n}-\Delta \lambda_{n+1}$ and $\Delta \lambda_{n}=\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+1}$. The sequence $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is said to be of bounded variation, denoted by $\left(\lambda_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{B V}$, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right|<\infty$. Let $\sum a_{n}$ be a given infinite series with the partial sums ( $s_{n}$ ). By $u_{n}^{\alpha}$ and $t_{n}^{\alpha}$ we denote the $n$th Cesàro means of order $\alpha$, with $\alpha>-1$, of the sequences $\left(s_{n}\right)$ and ( $n a_{n}$ ), respectively, that is (see [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} s_{v} \quad \text { and } \quad t_{n}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_{v}, \quad\left(t_{n}{ }^{1}=t_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\alpha}=\frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \ldots .(\alpha+n)}{n!}=O\left(n^{\alpha}\right), \quad A_{-n}^{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad n>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series $\sum a_{n}$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha|_{k}, k \geq 1$, if (see [7], [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1}\left|u_{n}^{\alpha}-u_{n-1}^{\alpha}\right|^{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|t_{n}^{\alpha}\right|^{k}<\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]If we take $\alpha=1$, then $|C, \alpha|_{k}$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_{k}$ summability. Let $\left(p_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=\sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad\left(P_{-i}=p_{-i}=0, \quad i \geq 1\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} s_{v} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)$ of the Riesz mean or simply the $\left(\bar{N}, p_{n}\right)$ mean of the sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)$, generated by the sequence of coefficients $\left(p_{n}\right)$ (see [8]). The series $\sum a_{n}$ is said to be summable $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k^{\prime}} k \geq 1$, if (see [2])

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|w_{n}-w_{n-1}\right|^{k}<\infty
$$

In the special case when $p_{n}=1$ for all values of $n$ (resp. $k=1$ ), $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k}$ summability is the same as $|C, 1|_{k}$, (resp. $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|$ ) summability.

## 2. Known Result

The following theorem is known dealing with the $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k}$ summability factors of infinite series.
Theorem 2.1 ([11]). Let $\left(X_{n}\right)$ be an almost increasing sequence. If the sequences $\left(X_{n}\right),\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$, and $\left(p_{n}\right)$ satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{m} X_{m}=O(1) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty  \tag{6}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} n X_{n}\left|\Delta^{2} \lambda_{n}\right|=O(1) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty  \tag{7}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{P_{n}}{n}=O\left(P_{m}\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty  \tag{8}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}}\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}=O\left(X_{m}\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty  \tag{9}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{n}=O\left(X_{m}\right) \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ is summable $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k}, k \geq 1$.
It should be remarked that Theorem A also implies the known result of Bor dealing with the absolute $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k}$ summability factors of infinite series (see [3]).

## 3. Main Result

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem 2.1 under more weaker conditions. Now we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\left(X_{n}\right)$ be a quasi- $\sigma$-power increasing sequence. If the sequences $\left(X_{n}\right),\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$, and $\left(p_{n}\right)$ satisfy the conditions (6), (7), (8), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}{ }^{k-1}}=O\left(X_{m}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad m \rightarrow \infty  \tag{11}\\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{n X_{n}{ }^{k-1}}=O\left(X_{m}\right) \quad \text { as } \quad m \rightarrow \infty \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

then the series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$ is summable $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k}, k \geq 1$.
Remark 3.2 It should be noted that condition (11) is reduced to the condition (9), when $\mathrm{k}=1$. When $k>1$, condition (11) is weaker than condition (9) but the converse is not true. As in [12] we can show that if (9) is satisfied, then we get that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}^{k-1}}=O\left(\frac{1}{X_{1}^{k-1}}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}}\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}=O\left(X_{m}\right)
$$

If (11) is satisfied, then for $k>1$ we obtain that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}}\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{m} X_{n}^{k-1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}^{k-1}}=O\left(X_{m}^{k-1}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}^{k-1}}=O\left(X_{m}^{k}\right) \neq O\left(X_{m}\right) .
$$

The similar argument is also valid for the conditions (12) and (10). Also it should be noted that if we take $\left(X_{n}\right)$ as an almost increasing sequence, then we get some new results.
We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.
Lemma 3. 3 ([4]) Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{n}\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right|<\infty  \tag{13}\\
& n X_{n}\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right|=O(1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let $\left(T_{n}\right)$ be the sequence of $\left(\bar{N}, p_{n}\right)$ mean of the series $\sum a_{n} \lambda_{n}$. Then, by definition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} \sum_{r=0}^{v} a_{r} \lambda_{r}=\frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{v=0}^{n}\left(P_{n}-P_{v-1}\right) a_{v} \lambda_{v} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $n \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}-T_{n-1}=\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{P_{v-1} \lambda_{v}}{v} v a_{v} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Abel's transformation to the right-hand side of (16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}-T_{n-1}= & \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta\left(\frac{P_{v-1} \lambda_{v}}{v}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{v} r a_{r}+\frac{p_{n} \lambda_{n}}{n P_{n}} \sum_{r=1}^{n} v a_{v} \\
= & \frac{(n+1) p_{n} t_{n} \lambda_{n}}{n P_{n}}-\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_{v} t_{v} \lambda_{v} \frac{v+1}{v} \\
& +\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_{v} \Delta \lambda_{v} t_{v} \frac{v+1}{v}+\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_{v} \lambda_{v+1} t_{v} \frac{1}{v} \\
= & T_{n, 1}+T_{n, 2}+T_{n, 3}+T_{n, 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|T_{n, r}\right|^{k}<\infty, \quad \text { for } \quad r=1,2,3,4
$$

Firstly, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|T_{n, 1}\right|^{k} & =O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{k-1}\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}}\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}=O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}{ }^{k-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta\left|\lambda_{n}\right| \sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{p_{v}}{P_{v}} \frac{\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}}{X_{v}{ }^{k-1}}+O(1)\left|\lambda_{m}\right| \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}} \frac{\left|t_{n}\right|^{k}}{X_{n}{ }^{k-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1}\left|\Delta \lambda_{n}\right| X_{n}+O(1)\left|\lambda_{m}\right| X_{m}=O(1) \text { as } \quad m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Also, as in $T_{n, 1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|T_{n, 2}\right|^{k} & =O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_{v}\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}\left|\lambda_{v}\right|^{k}\right) \times\left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_{v}\right)^{k-1} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{v}\right|^{k-1}\left|\lambda_{v}\right| p_{v}\left|t_{v}\right|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{v}\right| \frac{p_{v}}{P_{v}} \frac{\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}}{X_{v}^{k-1}}=O(1) \text { as } \quad m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, by using (8), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|T_{n, 3}\right|^{k} & =O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}^{k}}\left\{\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_{v}\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\left|t_{v}\right|\right\}^{k} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}^{k}}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{v}}{v} v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\left|t_{v}\right|\right)^{k} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\left(v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\right)^{k}\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}\right) \times\left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\right)^{k-1} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\left(v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\right)^{k-1} v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right| p_{v}\left|t_{v}\right|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right| \frac{\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}}{v X_{v}{ }^{k-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta\left(v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\right) \sum_{r=1}^{v} \frac{\left|t_{r}\right|^{k}}{r X_{r}{ }^{k-1}}+O(1) m\left|\Delta \lambda_{m}\right| \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}}{v X_{v}^{k-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1}\left|\Delta\left(v\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|\right)\right| X_{v}+O(1) m\left|\Delta \lambda_{m}\right| X_{m} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v X_{v}\left|\Delta^{2} \lambda_{v}\right|+O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} X_{v}\left|\Delta \lambda_{v}\right|+O(1) m\left|\Delta \lambda_{m}\right| X_{m} \\
& =O(1) a s m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and and Lemma 3.3. Finally, by using (8), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|T_{n, 4}\right|^{k} & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}^{k}}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\left|\lambda_{v+1}\right|\left|t_{v}\right|\right)^{k} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\left|\lambda_{v+1}\right|^{k}\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}\right) \times\left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\right)^{k-1} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{P_{v}}{v}\left|\lambda_{v+1}\right|^{k-1}\left|\lambda_{v+1}\right|\left|t_{v}\right|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \\
& =O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m}\left|\lambda_{v+1}\right| \frac{\left|t_{v}\right|^{k}}{v X_{v}^{k-1}}=O(1) \quad \text { as } \quad m \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
5. Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period $2 \pi$ and integrable $(L)$ over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Write

$$
f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos n x+b_{n} \sin n x\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}(x)
$$

$\phi(t)=\frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t)+f(x-t)\}$, and $\phi_{\alpha}(t)=\frac{\alpha}{t^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{t}(t-u)^{\alpha-1} \phi(u) d u,(\alpha>0)$.
It is well know that if $\phi_{1}(t) \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}(0, \pi)$, then $t_{n}(x)=O(1)$, where $t_{n}(x)$ is the $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence $\left(n C_{n}(x)\right)$ (see [6]). Using this fact, we get the following main result dealing with the trigonometric Fourier series.
Theorem 5.1 Let $\left(X_{n}\right)$ be a quasi- $\sigma$-power increasing sequence. If $\phi_{1}(t) \in \mathcal{B V}(0, \pi)$, and the sequences ( $p_{n}$ ), $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$, and $\left(X_{n}\right)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then the series $\sum C_{n}(x) \lambda_{n}$ is summable $\left|\bar{N}, p_{n}\right|_{k^{\prime}} k \geq 1$.
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