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#### Abstract

In this paper, we extended some inequalities which were proved By F. Kittaneh in [9] to the $\tau$-measurable operators.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathcal{M}$ a finite von Neumann algebra in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with a normal faithful finite trace $\tau$. The closed densely defined linear operator $x$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with domain $D(x)$ is said to be affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $u^{*} x u=x$ for all unitary $u$ which belong to the commutant $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. If $x$ is affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$, the $x$ said to be $\tau$-measurable if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $e(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq D(x)$ and $\tau\left(e^{\perp}\right)<\varepsilon$ (where for any projection $e$ we let $e^{\perp}=1-e$ ). The set of all $\tau$-measure operators will be denoted by $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$. The set $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ is a $*$-algebra with sum and product being the respective closure of the algebraic sum and product. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ be the lattice of projections of $\mathcal{M}$. The sets

$$
\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \delta)=\left\{x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M}): \exists e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text { such that }\|x e\|<\varepsilon \text { and } \tau\left(e^{\perp}\right)<\delta\right\}
$$

$(\varepsilon, \delta>0)$ from a base at 0 for an metrizable Hausdorff topology in $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ called the measure topology. Equipped with the measure topology, $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ is a complete topological $*$-algebra (see [10,11]). For $x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$, the generalised singular value function $\mu(\cdot ; x)=\mu(\cdot ;\|x\|)$ is defined by

$$
\left.\mu(t ; x)=\inf \left\{s \geq 0: \tau\left(\chi_{(s, \infty)}\right)\|x\|\right) \leq t\right\}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

It follows directly that the singular value function $\mu(x)$ is a decreasing, right-continuous function on the positive half-line $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, $\mu(u x v) \leq\|u\|\|v\| \mu(x)$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and

$$
\mu(f(x))=f(\mu(x))
$$

whenever $0 \leq x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and $f$ is an increasing continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies $f(0)=0$.
We remark that if $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\tau$ is the standard trace, then it is not difficult to see that $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{M}$. In particular, if $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{H})=n<\infty$, then $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ may be identified with $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$. In this case,

$$
\mu(t ; x)=s_{j}(x), \quad t \in[j-1, j), \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

[^0]The space $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ is a partially ordered vector space with the ordering defined by setting $x \geq 0$ if and only if $\langle x \xi, \xi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(x)$. If $0 \leq x_{\alpha} \uparrow x$ holds in $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$, then $\sup \mu\left(t ; x_{\alpha}\right) \uparrow_{\alpha} \mu(t ; x)$ for each $t \geq 0$. The trace $\tau$ extends to the positive cone of $L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ as a non-negative extended real-valued functional which is positively homogeneous, additive, unitarily invariant and normal. Further,

$$
\tau\left(x^{*} x\right)=\tau\left(x x^{*}\right)
$$

for all $x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and

$$
\tau(f(x))=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\mu(t ; x) d t
$$

whenever $0 \leq x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and $f$ is any non-negative Borel function which is bounded on a neighbourhood of 0 and satisfies $f(0)=0$. If $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma)$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, if $x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and $y \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ then $x$ is said to be submajorised by $y$ (in the sense of Hardy, Littlewood and Polya) if and only if

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \mu(s ; x) d s \leq \int_{0}^{t} \mu(s ; y) d s
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. We write $x \ll y$, or equivalently, $\mu(x) \ll \mu(y)$ (see [1]).
Given $0<p \leq \infty$ we denote by $L_{p}(\mathcal{M})$ the usual non-commutative $L_{p}$-spaces associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Recall that $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{M}$, equipped with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}:=\|\cdot\|$ (see $\left.[11,14,15]\right)$. The norm of $L_{p}(\mathcal{M})$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$.

It will be convenient to adopt the following terminology. A linear subspace $E \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$, equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{E}$ will be called fully symmetrically normed if $E$ is symmetrically normed and has the property that if $x \in E, y \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfy $x \in E$ and $y \leq x$ then $y \in E$ and $\|x\|_{E} \leq\|y\|_{E}$. (see $[5,6]$ )

If a fully symmetrically normed space is Banach, then it will be simply called a fully symmetric space. in [7], authors obtained following result which we will use it:

Corollary 1.1. Let $E$ be a fully symmetric space on $[0, \infty)$ and suppose that $x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ and $0 \leq a, b \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M})$. If $a x, x b \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $a^{\frac{1}{2}} x b^{\frac{1}{2}} \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and

$$
\left\|a^{\frac{1}{2}} x b^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|a x+x b\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}
$$

Recall the construction of a Banach symmetric operator space $L_{E}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (for convenience $L_{E}(\mathcal{M})$ ). Let $E$ be a Banach symmetric function space. Set

$$
L_{E}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\left\{x \in L_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau): \mu(x) \in E\right\} .
$$

We equip $L_{E}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with a natural norm

$$
\|x\|_{L_{E}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}=\|\mu(x)\|_{E}, \quad x \in E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)
$$

It was further established in $[12,16]$ that $E(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is Banach (see $[2,13]$ ).
We define the direct sum $x \oplus y$ as the block diagonal matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 0 \\ 0 & y\end{array}\right)$ with the following norm

$$
\|x \oplus y\|=\max (\|x\|,\|y\|)
$$

## 2. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. Let $E$ be a fully symmetric space on $[0, \infty)$ and $x, y$ are $\tau$-measurable positive operators such that $x+y \geq a 1$ for some $a \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\|x-y\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \leq\left\|x^{2}-y^{2}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove (1), we need to use the identity

$$
x^{2}-y^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(x+y)(x-y)+\frac{1}{2}(x-y)(x+y)
$$

Then since $f(t)=t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ and by Corollary 1.1 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\|x-y\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} & =\left\|(a 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x-y)(a 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \leq\left\|(x+y)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x-y)(x+y)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\|(x+y)(x-y)+(x-y)(x+y)\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& =\left\|x^{2}-y^{2}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.2. If $x, y$ are positive $\tau$-measurable operators, then

$$
\|x y-y x\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|(x-y)^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|x^{2}-y^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $x, y$ be positive $\tau$-measurable operators, then for all $\tau$-measurable operator $z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x z-z y\|_{2}^{2} \leq\|x z+z y\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, (2) follows from the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|x z+z y\|_{2}^{2}=\tau\left((x z+z y)^{*}(x z+z y)\right) \\
& =\tau\left(\left(z^{*} x+y z^{*}\right)(x z+z y)\right)=\tau\left(z^{*} x^{2} z+z^{*} x y+y^{*} z x z+y z^{*} z y\right) \\
& =\tau\left(z^{*} y^{2} z-z^{*} x z y-y z^{*} x z+y z^{*} z y\right)+2 \tau\left(z^{*} x z y+y z^{*} x z\right) \\
& =\tau\left((x z-z y)^{*}(x z-z y)\right)+2 \tau\left(z^{*} x z y\right)+2 \tau\left(y z^{*} x z\right) \\
& =\|x z-z y\|_{2}^{2}+2 \tau\left(y z^{*} x z\right)+2 \tau\left(y z^{*} x z\right)=\|x z-z y\|_{2}^{2}+4 \tau\left(y^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{*} x z\right) \\
& =\|x z-z y\|_{2}^{2}+4 \tau\left(y^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{*} x^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} z y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)=\|x z-z y\|_{2}^{2}+4\left\|x^{\frac{1}{2}} z y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z=x-y$; then we conclude that

$$
\|x(x-y)+(x-y) y\|_{2}^{2} \geq\|x(x-y)-(x-y) y\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{2}-y^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq\left\|x^{2}-2 x y+y^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now observe that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left(x^{2}-2 x y+y^{2}\right)=(x-y)^{2} \\
\operatorname{Im}\left(x^{2}-2 x y+y^{2}\right)=i(x y-y x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|h\|_{2}^{2}=\tau\left(h^{*} h\right)=\tau\left((\operatorname{Reh}+i \operatorname{Imh})^{*}(\operatorname{Reh}+i \operatorname{Imh})\right) \\
& =\tau((\operatorname{Reh}-i \operatorname{Imh})(\operatorname{Reh}+i \operatorname{Imh}))=\tau(\operatorname{Reh} \cdot \operatorname{Reh})-i \tau(\operatorname{Imh} \cdot \operatorname{Reh})+i \tau(\operatorname{Reh} \cdot \operatorname{Imh}) \\
& +\tau(\operatorname{Imh} \cdot \operatorname{Imh})=\tau\left(|\operatorname{Reh}|^{2}\right)+\tau\left(|\operatorname{Imh}|^{2}\right)=\|\operatorname{Reh}\|_{2}^{2}+\|\operatorname{Imh}\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{2}-2 x y+y^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|(x-y)^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|x z-z x\|_{2}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3) and (4), we obtain the desired result.
Remark 2.3. Both Lemma 2.1 and 2.2 hold for the case $\mathcal{M}$ is semifinite.
Theorem 2.4. Let $x$ is $\tau$-measurable operator with a polar decomposition $x=u|x|$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u|x|-|x| u\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq\left\|x^{*} x-x x^{*}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq\|u|x|+|x| u\|_{\infty}^{2} \cdot\|u|x|-|x| u\|_{\infty}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\||x| u|x| u^{*}-u|x| u^{*}|x|\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\||u| x|-|x| u|^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|x^{*} x-x x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq\|u|x|+|x| u\|_{\infty}^{2} \cdot\|u|x|-|x| u\|_{2}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We have $|x|^{2}-\left(u|x| u^{*}\right)^{2}=x^{*} x-x x^{*}$. So, applying Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [4] to the positive $\tau$-measurable operators $|x|$ and $u|x| u^{*}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(|x|-u|x| u^{*}\right)^{2}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|x^{*} x-x x^{*}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \leq\left\|| | x | + u | x \left|u^{*}\left\|_{\infty}^{2} \cdot| | x|-u| x \mid u^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the unitary invariance of these norms and the fact that $\left\|\left\|\left.x\right|^{2}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}=\right\|\left|x^{*}\right|^{2} \|_{E(\mathcal{M})}$ for every $x$ is $\tau$-measurable operator, we have
and

$$
\left\||x|+u|x| u^{*}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|(|x| u+u|x|) u^{*}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}=\|u|x|+|x| u\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} .
$$

These relations, together with (7), yield inequality (5), and the second inequality in (6). The first inequality in (6), which is a refinement of that Corollary 3.1. in [4] for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, can be obtained from Lemma 2.2 by a similar argument. Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\||x| u|x| u^{*}-u|x| u^{*}|x|\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\||u| x|-|x| u|^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\||x| u|x| u^{*}-u|x| u^{*}|x|\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left(|x|-u|x| u^{*}\right)^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq\left\||x|^{2}-\left|x^{*}\right|^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|x^{*} x-x x^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2.5. If $x, y$ are positive $\tau$-measurable operators, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(x+y) \oplus 0\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \leq\|x \oplus y\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}+\left\|x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for the operator norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\| \leq \max (\|x\|,\|y\|)+\left\|x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x+y) \oplus 0=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x+y & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} & y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
y^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)=T^{*} T \\
& T T^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} & y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
y^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x+y & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=(x+y) \oplus 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(x+y) \oplus 0\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} & =\left\|T T^{*}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}=\left\|T^{*} T\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& =\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
y^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} & y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& =\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
y^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} & y
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& =\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & 0 \\
0 & y
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
y^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& \leq\|x \oplus y\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}+\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
y^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& =\|x \oplus y\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}+\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & y^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})} \\
& =\|x \oplus y\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}+\left\|x^{\frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus y^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{E(\mathcal{M})}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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