Filomat 32:8 (2018), 2991–2993 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1808991S



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

## Notes on the Results of Lower Bounds for a Class of Harmonic Functions in the Half Space

## David Simms<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tarrant County College, Arlington, Texas 76013, USA

**Abstract.** In this note, we point out several gaps in the paper "On the lower bound for a class of harmonic functions in the half space" by Zhang, Deng and Kou (Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 32(4), 2012) and give the main results under weaker conditions.

The origin of our work lies in Zhang, Deng and Kou [5]. In [5] Lemmas 1 and 2 and therefore also Theorem 1 are erroneous. We give now the correction of these statements. The present notation and terminology in the same as used in [5].

To this end, we start with an auxiliary proposition. Actually, this proposition is a direct corollary of [2, p. 3296], in which harmonic majorization Theorems with respect to a half-space and their applications were introduced. But it plays an important role in our discussions.

**Proposition 1.** Let *H* be an admissible domain with boundary  $\partial H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If *u* and *v* are two harmonic functions in  $\overline{H}$ , then we have

$$\int_{\partial H} \left( u(x) \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} - v(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right) d\sigma(x) = 0,$$

where  $d\sigma(x)$  is the surface element of sphere in H and  $\partial/\partial n$  denotes differentiation along the inward normal into H.

We now return to [5, Lemma 1] and give a corrected proof of it. This result does not seem easy to be proved, hence we refer to utilize a slightly different approach. For more details about this procedure we refer to [1], where a different problem is studied by a similar argument.

**Lemma 1.** Let u(x) be a harmonic function in the upper half space  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  and continuous on  $\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Then

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : |x| = R\}} u(x) \frac{nx_n}{R^{n+1}} d\sigma(x) + \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : r < |x'| < R\}} u(x') \left(\frac{1}{|x'|^n} - \frac{1}{R^n}\right) dx' = c_1(r) + \frac{c_2(r)}{R^n}$$
(1)

for 0 < r < R, where

$$c_1(r) = \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : |x| = r\}} \left( \frac{(n-1)x_n}{r^{n+1}} u(x) + \frac{x_n}{r^n} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right) d\sigma(x)$$

and

$$c_2(r) = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : |x|=r\}} \left( \frac{x_n}{r} u(x) - x_n \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right) d\sigma(x).$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 31B05; Secondary 31J05, 31J10

Keywords. Harmonic function, Carleman's formula, Lower bound, Half space.

Received: 15 August 2017; Accepted: 16 January 2018

Communicated by Miodrag Mateljević

Email address: david.simms821@gmail.com (David Simms)

**Remark 1.** In [5, Lemma 1] the definition of  $\partial u/\partial n$  is inaccurate, the expressions of  $c_1(r)$  and  $c_2(r)$  are incorrect.

Proof. Put

$$v(x) = \frac{x_n}{|x|^n} - \frac{x_n}{R^n}$$

in

$$B^+(r,R) = \{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : r < |x| < R \}.$$

It is easy to see that v(x) is a harmonic function in  $B^+(r, R)$ . It follows that

$$v(x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} = \frac{nx_n}{R^{n+1}} \tag{2}$$

on the half sphere  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : |x| = R\}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} = -\frac{x_n}{r} \left( \frac{n-1}{r^n} + \frac{1}{R^n} \right) \tag{3}$$

on the half sphere  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : |x| = r\}$  and

$$v(x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} = \frac{1}{|x|^n} - \frac{1}{R^n}$$
(4)

on the set  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : r < |x'| < R\}$ .

By applying Proposition 1 to two harmonic functions u(x) and v(x) in  $B^+(r, R)$ , we obtain that

$$U_1 + U_2 + U_3 = 0, (5)$$

where

$$U_{1} = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}: |x|=R\}} \left( u(x) \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} - v(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right) d\sigma(x),$$
$$U_{2} = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}: |x|=r\}} \left( u(x) \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} - v(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right) d\sigma(x)$$

and

$$U_3 = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+: r < |x| < R\}} \left( u(x) \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial n} - v(x) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right) d\sigma(x).$$

It follows that

$$U_1 = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+ : |x| = R\}} u(x) \frac{nx_n}{R^{n+1}} d\sigma(x), \quad U_2 = -c_1(r) - \frac{c_2(r)}{R^n}$$

and

$$U_3 = \int_{\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n_+: r < |x| < R\}} u(x') \left(\frac{1}{|x'|^n} - \frac{1}{R^n}\right) dx',$$

from (2), (3) and (4), respectively, which together with (5) give that (1) holds. This lemma is proved.  $\Box$ 

The proof of [5, Lemma 2] fails at Line 3, p. 1491. The formula

$$G_R^+(x, y) = G_R^+(x, y) - G_R^+(x^*, y)$$

should read

$$G_R^+(x, y) = G_R^+(x^*, y) - G_R^+(x, y^*).$$

More importantly, the definition of the set  $B_R^+$  is incorrect. Moreover, the hypothesis n > 2 should be added in Lemma 2.

A correction of Lemma 2 reads as follows, which improve the corresponding one established by Kuran in [2].

**Lemma 2.** Let n > 2 and u(x) be defined as in Lemma 1. Then

$$u(x) = \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}: |y|=R\}} \frac{R^{2} - |x|^{2}}{\omega_{n}R} (\frac{1}{|y - x|^{n}} - \frac{1}{|y - x^{*}|^{n}})u(y)d\sigma(y) + \frac{2x_{n}}{\omega_{n}} \int_{\{y \in \overline{\mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}}: |y'|< R\}} (\frac{1}{|y' - x|^{n}} - \frac{R^{n}}{|x|^{n}} \frac{1}{|y' - \widetilde{x}|^{n}})u(y')dy'$$

for any

$$x \in \{x \in \overline{\mathbf{R}^n_+} : |x| \le R\},\$$

where  $\widetilde{x} = R^2 x/|x|^2$  and  $x^* = (x', -x_n)$ .

Finally, what we get instead of [5, Theroem 1] is the following. The proof of it is carried out in the same way as for Theorem 1 in [5], except that instead of the erroneous Lemmas 1 and 2 their corrected versions above are used.

**Theorem 1.** Let u(x) be a harmonic function in  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  and continuous on  $\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Suppose that

$$u(x) \le Kr^{\rho}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}, \quad r = |x| > 1, \quad \rho > 1$$
(6)

and

$$u(x) \ge -K, \quad |x| \le 1, \quad x_n \ge 0.$$
 (7)

Then the result in [5, Theorem 1] holds.

**Remark 2.** Conditions (6) and (7) are weaker than conditions (1) and (2) in [5, Theorem 1]. For the conical version of Theorem 1, we refer the reader to the paper by Armitage [1] and Li & Vetro [3].

## References

- D. H. Armitage, A Nevanlinna theorem for superharmonic functions in half-spaces, with applications, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 23 (1981) 137–157.
- [2] Ü. Kuran, Harmonic majorizations in half-balls and half-spaces, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 21 (1970) 614–636.
- [3] Z. Li, M. Vetro, Levin's type boundary behaviors for functions hamonic and admitting certain lower bounds, Boundary Value Problems 2015 (2015) 139.
- [4] D. H. Armitage, S. J. Gardiner, Classical Potential Theory, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2001.
- [5] Y. Zhang, G. Deng, K. Kou, On the lower bound for a class of harmonic functions in the half space, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 32 (2012) 1487–1494.

2993