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#### Abstract

We prove the equiconvergence related to conjugate Fourier-Jacobi series and differentiated Fourier-Jacobi series for functions of harmonic bounded variation. A jump of a such function is determined by the partial sums of its conjugate Fourier-Jacobi series.


## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

"Conjugacy" is an important concept in classical Fourier analysis which connects the study of the fundamental properties of harmonic functions to that of analytic functions [16]. Conjugate Fourier-Jacobi series was introduced by B. Muckenhoupt and E. M. Stein [11] when $\alpha=\beta$, and by Zh.-K. Li [9] for general $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
Let $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ be the Jacobi polynomial of degree $n$ and order $(\alpha, \beta), \alpha, \beta>-1$, normalized so that $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)=$ $\binom{n+\alpha}{n}$. These polynomials are orthogonal on the interval $(-1,1)$ with respect to the measure $d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(x)=$ $(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta} d x$.

Define $R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)=\frac{P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}$, and denote by $L_{p}(\alpha, \beta),(1 \leqslant p<\infty)$ the space of functions $f(x)$ for which $\|f\|_{p(\alpha, \beta)}=\left\{\int_{-1}^{1}|f(x)|^{p} d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(x)\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is finite.

For functions $f \in L_{1}(\alpha, \beta)$, its Fourier-Jacobi expansion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \omega_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{f}(n)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(y) R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(y)
$$

are the Fourier coefficients and

$$
\omega_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\left\{\int_{-1}^{1}\left[R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y)\right]^{2} d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(y)\right\}^{-1} \sim n^{2 \alpha+1}
$$

[^0]An alternative way is to define Fourier-Jacobi expansion of a function $f$ on $(0, \pi)$ by (2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) \omega_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{f}(n)=\int_{0}^{\pi} f(\varphi) R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \varphi) d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}=\left\{\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[R_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \varphi)\right]^{2} d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi)\right\}^{-1} \sim n^{2 \alpha+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and correspondingly $d \mu_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta)=2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \sin ^{2 \alpha+1} \frac{\theta}{2} \cos ^{2 \beta+1} \frac{\theta}{2} d \theta$.
To the Fourier-Jacobi series of the form (2), its conjugate series is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(\theta) \sim \frac{1}{2 \alpha+2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \hat{f}(n) \omega_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} R_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [11]). If we start with (1), and $x=\cos \theta$, this would correspond to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}(x) \sim \frac{-1}{2 \alpha+2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \hat{f}(n) \omega_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)} R_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ the $n$-th partial sum of (1), and by $\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ the $n$-th partial sum of (5). If $\alpha=\beta=-\frac{1}{2}$, the corresponding Fourier-Jacobi series becomes Fourier-Tchebycheff series, so by $S_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f, x)$ we denote the $n$-th partial sum of the Fourier-Tchebycheff series of $f$ (see [4]).

This paper consists of three main parts. In the first part we give a review of results about the determination of a jump of functions of generalized bounded variation by their Fourier series. Determination of a jump through generalized Fourier-Jacobi series is presented in the second part, where we also give a proof of the corresponding $(C, \alpha)$ summability result for the Wiener's classes $\mathcal{V}_{p}$ from the review paper [12] (by the author of this work Samra Sadiković - former surname Pirić and the coauthor Z. Šabanac). In the third part we prove the equiconvergence theorem related to conjugate Fourier-Jacobi series and the differentiated Fourier-Jacobi series and obtain a new way for determination of a jump by conjugate Fourier-Jacobi series.

## 2. Determination of a jump trough Fourier series

The problem of determination of jump discontinuities in piecewise smooth functions from their spectral data is relevant in signal processing [6]. Locating the discontinuities of a function by means of its truncated Fourier series, arises naturally from an attempt to overcome the Gibbs phenomenon, the poor approximative properties of the Fourier partial sums of a discontinuous function (i.e. the finite sum approximation of the discontinuous function overshoots the function itself, at a discontinuity by about 18 percent).

If a function $f$ is integrable on $[-\pi, \pi]$, then it has a Fourier series with respect to the trigonometric system $\{1, \cos n x, \sin n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and we denote the $n$-th partial sum of the Fourier series of $f$ by $S_{n}(x, f)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(x, f)=\frac{a_{0}(f)}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}(f) \cos k x+b_{k}(f) \sin k x\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k}(f)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos k t d t$ and $b_{k}(f)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \sin k t d t$
are the $k$-th Fourier coefficients of the function $f$.
By $\tilde{S}_{n}(x, f)$ we denote the $n$-th partial sum of the conjugate series, i.e.,

$$
\tilde{S}_{n}(x, f)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}(f) \sin k x-b_{k}(f) \cos k x\right)
$$

The identity determining the jumps of a function of bounded variation by means of its differentiated Fourier partial sums has been known for a long time. Let $f(x)$ be a function of bounded variation with period $2 \pi$, and $S_{n}(x, f)$ be the partial sum of order $n$ of its Fourier series. By the classical theorem of Fejér [16] the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n}^{\prime}(x, f)}{n}=\frac{1}{\pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds at any point $x$. To characterize continous periodic functions of BV in terms of their Fourier coefficients, Wiener [15] has introduced a concept of higher variation. A function $f$ is said to be of bounded $p$-variation, $p \geq 1$, on the segment $[a, b]$ and to belong to the class $\mathcal{V}_{p}[a, b]$ if

$$
V_{a p}^{b}(f)=\sup _{\prod_{a, b}}\left\{\sum_{i}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty,
$$

where $\Pi_{a, b}=\left\{a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n}=b\right\}$ is an arbitrary partition of the segment $[a, b] . V_{a p}^{b}(f)$ is the $p$-variation of $f$ on $[a, b]$.
B. I. Golubov [7] has shown that identity (7) is valid for classes $\mathcal{V}_{p},(1 \leq p<\infty)$, i.e. for $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n}^{(2 r+1)}(x, f)}{n^{2 r+1}}=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{(2 r+1) \pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0))
$$

Problems of everywhere convergence of Fourier series for every change of variable have led D. Waterman [14] to another type of generalization.

Let $\Lambda=\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}$ diverges and $\left\{I_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonoverlapping segments $I_{n}=\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \subset[a, b]$. A function f is said to be of $\Lambda$-bounded variation on $I=[a, b]$, i.e. $f \in \Lambda B V$ if $\sum \frac{\left|f\left(b_{n}\right)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|}{\lambda_{n}}<\infty$ for every choice of $\left\{I_{n}\right\}$. The supremum of these sums is called the $\Lambda$-variation of $f$ on $I$. In the case $\Lambda=\{n\}$, one speaks of harmonic bounded variation (HBV).

The class $H B V$ contains all Wiener classes. M. Avdispahić has shown in [3] that equation (7) holds for any function $f \in H B V$ and that $H B V$ is the limiting case for validity of the identity (7) in the scale of $\Lambda B V$ spaces.

The third interesting generalization of the Jordan variation was given by Z. A. Chanturiya [5]. The modulus of variation of a bounded $2 \pi$ periodic function $f$ is the function $v_{f}$ with domain the positive integers, given by

$$
v_{f}(n)=\sup _{\Pi_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|f\left(b_{k}\right)-f\left(a_{k}\right)\right|
$$

where $\Pi_{n}=\left\{\left[a_{k}, b_{k}\right] ; k=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is an arbitrary partition of $[0,2 \pi]$ into $n$ nonoverlapping segments.
By [1], there exist the following inclusion relations between Wiener's, Waterman's and Chanturiya's classes:

$$
\left\{n^{\alpha}\right\} B V \subset \mathcal{V}_{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \subset V\left[n^{\alpha}\right] \subset\left\{n^{\beta}\right\} B V
$$

for $0<\alpha<\beta<1$.
Clearly, Fejér's identity (7) is a statement about Cesàro summability of the sequence $\left\{k b_{k} \cos k x-\right.$ $\left.k a_{k} \sin k x\right\}, \quad a_{k}=a_{k}(f)$ and $b_{k}=b_{k}(f)$ being the $k$-th cosine and sine coefficient, respectively. As it is well-known, a sequence $s_{n}$ is Cesàro or $(C, 1)$ summable to $s$ if the sequence $\sigma_{n}$ of its arithmetical means converges to $s$, i.e. $\sigma_{n}=\frac{s_{0}+s_{1}+\ldots+s_{n}}{n+1} \rightarrow s, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Analogously, the sequence $s_{n}$ is $(C, \alpha), \alpha>-1$, summable to $s$ if the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{(\alpha)}=\frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha}{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n-k+\alpha-1}{n-k} s_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges to $s$.
Looking at Fejer's theorem in this way, several mathematicians have extended it to more general summability methods. We note two results [2] which represent the extension to (C, $\alpha$ ) summability, $\alpha>0$ :

Theorem(A). If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{p}, p>1$ the sequence $\left\{k b_{k} \cos k x-k a_{k} \sin k x\right\}$ is ( $\left.C, \alpha\right)$ summable to $\frac{1}{\pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0))$ for any $\alpha>1-\frac{1}{p}$ and every $x$.

Corollary(B). If $f \in V\left[n^{\beta}\right]\left(\left\{n^{\beta}\right\} B V\right)$ for some $0<\beta<1$, then the sequence $\left\{k b_{k} \cos k x-k a_{k} \sin k x\right\}$ is summable to $\frac{1}{\pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0))$ by any Cesaro method of order $\alpha>\beta$.

Theorem (A) and Corollary (B), are in some sense the most natural generalization of Fejér's theorem. Indicating the relationship between the order of Cesàro summability of the sequence $\left\{k b_{k}(f) \cos k x-k a_{k}(f) \sin k x\right\}$ and the "order of variation" of a function $f$, they complete the earlier picture whose elements were:

1) $(C, \alpha)$ summability for $\alpha>0$ and the class $B V$;
2) ( $C, \alpha$ ) summability for $\alpha>1$ and whole class of regulated functions $W$ (i.e. functions possessing the one-sided limits at each point), which is the union of all $\Lambda B V$ spaces;
3) $(C, 1)$ summability for the class $H B V$.

As an application of Theorem (A) we point to the next result [2].

Corollary(C). If $f \in \mathcal{V}_{p}, p>1$, then the conjugate series $\tilde{S}(f ; x)$ is $(C, \alpha), \alpha>-\frac{1}{p}$, summable to

$$
\tilde{f}(x)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \frac{f(x+t)-f(x-t)}{2 \operatorname{tg} \frac{t}{2}} d t
$$

whenever this limit exists.
The following theorem was proved by Ferenc Lukács [10]. This well-known result determines the generalized jumps of a periodic, Lebesgue integrable function $f$ in terms of the partial sum of the conjugate series to the Fourier series of $f$.

Theorem(D). Let $f \in L^{1}(T)$ and $x \in T$, where $T:=[-\pi, \pi)$. If there exist a number $d_{x}(f)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h}\left|[f(x+t)-f(x-t)]-d_{x}(f)\right| d t=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{S}_{n}(f, x)}{\log n}=-\frac{1}{\pi} d_{x}(f) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By "log" we mean the natural logarithm.
It is clear that if the finite limit

$$
d_{x}(f):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+}[f(x+t)-f(x-t)]
$$

exists, then condition (9) is also satisfied with the same $d_{x}(f)$. In particular, if a periodic function $f$ is of bounded variation over $[-\pi, \pi]$, then (10) is satisfied at every point $x \in T$ with

$$
d_{x}(f):=f(x+0)-f(x-0)
$$

## 3. Cesàro summability and determination of a jump through generalized Fourier-Jacobi series

We say that a function $w$ is a generalized Jacobi weight and write $w \in G J$, if

$$
\begin{gathered}
w(t)=h(t)(1-t)^{\alpha}(1+t)^{\beta}\left|t-x_{1}\right|^{\delta_{1}} \ldots\left|t-x_{M}\right|^{\delta_{M}} \\
h \in C[-1,1], h(t)>0(|t| \leq 1), \omega(h ; t ;[-1,1]) t^{-1} \in L[0,1] \\
-1<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{M}<1, \quad \alpha, \beta, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{M}>-1
\end{gathered}
$$

By $\sigma(w)=\left(P_{n}(w ; x)\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ we denote the system of algebraic polynomials $P_{n}(w ; x)=\gamma(w) x^{n}+$ lower degree terms with positive leading coefficients $\gamma_{n}(w)$, which are orthonormal on $[-1,1]$ with respect to the weight $w \in G J$, i.e.,

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(w ; t) P_{m}(w ; t) w(t) d t=\delta_{n m}
$$

Such polynomials are called the generalized Jacobi polynomials.
If $f w \in L[-1,1], w \in G J$, then the $n$-th partial sum of the Fourier series of $f$ with respect to the system $\sigma(w)$ is given by $S_{n}(w ; f ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}(w ; f) P_{k}(w ; x)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) K_{n}(w ; x ; t) w(t) d t$, where $a_{k}(w ; f)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) P_{k}(w ; t) w(t) d t$ is the $k$-th Fourier coefficient of the function $f$ and

$$
K_{n}(w ; x ; t)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{k}(w ; x) P_{k}(w ; t)
$$

is the Dirichlet kernel of the system $\sigma(w)$.
For a given weight $w \in G J$ it is assumed that $x_{0}=-1$ and $x_{M+1}=1$. In addition,

$$
\Delta(v ; \varepsilon)=\left[x_{v}+\varepsilon ; x_{v+1}-\varepsilon\right]
$$

for a fixed $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{x_{v+1}-x_{v}}{2}\right), \quad v=1,2, \ldots, M$.
When $h(t) \equiv 1,|t| \leq 1$, and $M=0$ (i.e. a weight does not have singularities strictly inside of the segment $(-1,1)), w \in G J$ is called a Jacobi weight, and in this case we use the commonly accepted notation " $(\alpha, \beta)^{\prime \prime}$ instead of " $w$ " throughout. For example, we write $S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ instead of $S_{n}(w ; f ; x)$, and so on.

The following theorem establishes an identity which determines the jumps of a bounded function by means of its differentiated partial sums of generalized Fourier-Jacobi series [8].

Theorem(E). Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, w \in G J$, and suppose $\Lambda B V$ is the class of functions of $\Lambda$-bounded variation determined by the sequence $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(S_{n}(w ; f ; x)\right)^{2 r+1}}{n^{2 r+1}}=\frac{(-1)^{r}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2 r+1) \pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0)) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for every $f \in \Lambda B V$ and each fixed $x \in(-1,1), x \neq x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$, if $\Lambda B V \subseteq H B V$. If, in addition, the weight $w \in G J$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \geq-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta \geq-\frac{1}{2}, \delta_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, \delta_{M} \geq 0, \omega(h ; t) t^{-1} \ln t \in L^{1}([0,1]) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then condition $\Lambda B V \subseteq H B V$ is necessary for the validity of identity (11) for every $f \in \Lambda B V$ and each fixed $x \in(-1,1), x \neq x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$ as well.

In [12], we established the corresponding $(C, \alpha)$ summability result for the Wiener's classes $\mathcal{V}_{p}$ in the case of Fourier-Jacobi series.

Theorem(F). Let $f$ be a function of bounded $p$-variation, i.e. $f \in \mathcal{V}_{p}, p>1$, such that $f w \in L^{1}([-1,1]), w \in G J$. Then the sequence $\left(a_{n}(w ; f) P_{n}^{\prime}(w ; x)\right)$ is $(C, \alpha), \alpha>1-\frac{1}{p}$ summable to $\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0))$ for every $x \in(-1,1), \quad x \neq x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$, where $a_{n}(w ; f) P_{n}^{\prime}(w ; x)$ is the $n$-th term of the differentiated Fourier-Jacobi series of $f$.
Proof. We use the uniform equiconvergence of Fourier-Tchebycheff series and Fourier series with respect to the system of generalized Jacobi polynomials for an arbitrary function $f \in H B V$ and a fixed $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{x_{v+1}-x_{v}}{2}\right), v=0,1,2, \ldots, M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{n}(w ; f ; x)-S_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f, x)\right\|_{C\left[\Delta\left(v ; \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right]}=o(1) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

proved by G. Kvernadze [8, (63)]. By the triangle inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{n}(w ; f) P_{n}(w ; x)-a_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f) P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(x)\right\|_{C\left[\Delta\left(v ; \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right]}=o(1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, it is enough to show that the sequence $\left(a_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f) P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\prime}}(x)\right), f \in \mathcal{V}_{p}$ is $(C, \alpha)$ summable, $\alpha>1-\frac{1}{p}$, to $\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0))$ for each fixed $x \in(-1,1), \quad x \neq x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}$.

From an obvious identity [13]

$$
S_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f, x)=S_{n}(g, \theta)
$$

where $x=\cos \theta$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f) P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(x)=a_{n}(g) \cos n \theta+b_{n}(g) \sin n \theta \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the identity (15) we obtain

$$
a_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f) P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\prime}}(x)=-\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(n b_{n}(g) \cos n \theta-n a_{n}(g) \sin n \theta\right)
$$

By Theorem (A) and taking into account that $f(x \pm)=g(\theta \mp), \quad \theta \in[0, \pi]$, we derive $(C, \alpha)$ summability of the sequence $\left(a_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(f) P_{n}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\prime}}(x)\right), \alpha>1-\frac{1}{p}$.

Finally, the result follows from the equiconvergence formula (14).

Consesequently, the corresponding ( $C, \alpha$ ) summability result holds for the Waterman's classes $\left\{n^{\beta}\right\} B V$ and the Chanturiya's classes $V\left[n^{\beta}\right]$ if $\alpha>\beta, 0<\beta<1$.

Corollary(G). If $f$ belongs to $\left\{n^{\beta}\right\} B V$ or $V\left[n^{\beta}\right], 0<\beta<1$, then the claim of Theorem (F) is valid for ( $C, \alpha$ ), $\alpha>\beta$.

Using the equiconvergence formula [8, (63)] i.e.

$$
\left\|S_{n}(w ; f ; x)-S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right\|_{C[\Delta(v ; \varepsilon)]}=o(1)
$$

for every fixed $\varepsilon \in\left(0,\left(x_{v+1}-x_{v}\right) / 2\right), v=0,1, \ldots, M, G$. Kvernadze in [8] obtained an identity determining the jumps of a piecewise continuous function of bounded harmonic variation by means of its Fourier-Jacobi partial sums. In particular,

Theorem(H). Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \alpha>-1, \beta>-1$, and $f \in H B V$. Then the identity

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right)^{2 r+1}}{n^{2 r+1}}=\frac{(-1)^{r}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2 r+1) \pi}(f(x+0)-f(x-0))
$$

is valid for each fixed $x \in(-1,1)$.

## 4. Main results

Theorem 4.1. Let $\alpha>-1, \beta>-1$, and $f \in \operatorname{HBV}$. If $S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ denotes the $n$-th partial sum of the Fourier-Jacobi series and $\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ denotes the $n$-th partial sum of conjugate Fourier-Jacobi series, then for $-1<x<1$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right)^{\prime}}{n}+\frac{\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\log n \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right)=0
$$

Proof. Differentiating the expression for the $n$-th partial sum

$$
S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} R_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)
$$

and using [13, 4.21.7.] i.e.

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left[P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right]=\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+\beta+1) P_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)
$$

we get $\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{d}{d x}\left(R_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right)$
$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{d}{d x} \frac{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}=$
$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{d}{d x}\left(P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right) \frac{1}{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}=$
$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{(k+\alpha+\beta+1)}{2} \frac{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)}{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}=$
$=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+\alpha+\beta+1) \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \frac{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)}{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}$.

As $P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(1)=\binom{k+\alpha}{k-1}$, we have

$$
\frac{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(1)}{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}=\frac{\binom{k+\alpha}{k-1}}{\binom{k+\alpha}{k}}=\frac{k}{\alpha+1}
$$

So $P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)=\frac{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(1)}{\frac{k}{\alpha+1}}$, and we get

$$
\frac{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)}{P_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}=\frac{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)}{P_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(1)} \cdot \frac{k}{\alpha+1}=R_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) \cdot \frac{k}{\alpha+1}
$$

Now, we have

$$
\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k \cdot(k+\alpha+\beta+1)}{2 \alpha+2} \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot R_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x)
$$

According to (4)

$$
-\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)=\frac{1}{2 \alpha+2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \cdot \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot R_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}}=
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \alpha+2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+1}(k+\alpha+\beta+1) k \cdot \hat{f}(k) \omega_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \cdot R_{k-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} .
$$

Using Abel's summation formula we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} & =\frac{1}{n+\alpha+\beta+1}\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+1}-\frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2}\right]\left[S_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}= \\
& =\frac{1}{n+\alpha+\beta+1}\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+\alpha+\beta+1)(k+\alpha+\beta+2)}\left[S_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{-\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} & =\frac{1}{n+\alpha+\beta+1}\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}+ \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2}\left(\frac{\left(S_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right)^{\prime}}{k+\alpha+\beta+1}-\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d=f(x+0)-f(x-0)$, as from Theorem (H) for $r=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right)^{\prime}}{n}=\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (16) by $\log n$ (by "log" we mean the natural logarithm) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\log n \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} & =\frac{1}{\log n} \frac{1}{n+\alpha+\beta+1}\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2}\left[\frac{\left(S_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right)^{\prime}}{k+\alpha+\beta+1}-\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\log n} \frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, subtracting $\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}$ from both sides of the last equality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\log n \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} & -\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\log n} \frac{1}{n+\alpha+\beta+1}\left[S_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right]^{\prime}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2}\left[\frac{\left(S_{k}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right)^{\prime}}{k+\alpha+\beta+1}-\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+\alpha+\beta+2}-1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, having in mind (17) and $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \sim \log n$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-\frac{\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\log n \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}-\frac{d}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}}\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, adding (17) and (18) proves the result.
Corollary 4.2. Let $\alpha>-1, \beta>-1$ and $f \in H B V$. Then the identity

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{S}_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)}{\log n}=-\frac{f(x+0)-f(x-0)}{\pi}
$$

is valid for each fixed $x \in(-1,1)$.
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