The ABC Index Conundrum

Ivan Gutman\textsuperscript{a}, Boris Furtula\textsuperscript{a}, Mohammad B. Ahmadi\textsuperscript{b}, Seyyed A. Hosseini\textsuperscript{b}, Pouria Salehi Nowbandegani\textsuperscript{b}, Maryam Zarrinderakht\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a}Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, P. O. Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac, Serbia
\textsuperscript{b}Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Shiraz University 71454, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract. The atom–bond connectivity (ABC) index of a graph $G$ is defined as the sum over all pairs of adjacent vertices $u, v$ of the terms $\sqrt{[d(u) + d(v) - 2]/[d(u)d(v)]}$, where $d(v)$ denotes the degree of the vertex $v$ of the graph $G$. Whereas the finding of the graphs with the greatest ABC-value is an easy task, the characterization of the graphs with smallest $ABC$-value, in spite of numerous attempts, is still an open problem. What only is known is that the connected graph with minimal $ABC$ index must be a tree, and some structural features of such trees have been determined. Several conjectures on the structure of the minimal-$ABC$ trees, were disproved by counterexamples.

In this review we present the state of art of the search for minimal-$ABC$ trees, and provide a complete bibliography on $ABC$ index.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph and let $d(v)$ denote the degree (= number of first neighbors) of the vertex $v$ of $G$. Then the atom–bond connectivity (ABC) index of $G$ is defined as [14]:

$$ABC = ABC(G) = \sum_{u \sim v} \sqrt{d(u) + d(v) - 2/d(u)d(v)}$$

with summation going over all pairs of adjacent vertices of $G$.

The applicability of the $ABC$ index in chemical thermodynamics [13, 14, 23] and other areas of chemistry [3, 11, 20, 24, 33] is nowadays well documented. On the other hand, $ABC$ is just one of about a dozen of graph invariants of the form

$$\sum_{u \sim v} f(d(u), d(v))$$

that have been examined in the recent chemical literature, and considered as molecular structure descriptors; for details see [12, 27, 28]
In the last few years there is an increased interest for the mathematical properties of the \( ABC \) index, resulting in quite a few published papers [1, 2, 4–10, 15–19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 29–32, 34].

From a mathematical point of view, the first question that needs to be addressed is about the minimal and maximal values of the \( ABC \) index in the class of graphs of a given order \( n \). Because for a graph \( G \), consisting of disconnected components \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), \( ABC(G) = ABC(G_1) + ABC(G_2) \), and because \( ABC(G) = 0 \) if and only if \( G \) is edgeless, it is purposeful to restrict the considerations to connected graphs.

The following results could be obtained quite easily:

**Theorem 1.1.** [4, 9] Among graphs of order \( n \), the complete graph has greatest \( ABC \) index. This maximal-\( ABC \) graph is unique.

**Theorem 1.2.** [16] Among trees of order \( n \), the star has greatest \( ABC \) index. This maximal-\( ABC \) tree is unique.

**Theorem 1.3.** [4, 9] The connected graph of order \( n \) with smallest \( ABC \) index must be a tree. This minimal-\( ABC \) tree needs not be unique.

At the first glance, characterizing the minimal-\( ABC \) tree did not look as a particularly difficult problem, and was expected to be solvable by standard and routine techniques. After the initial failures to achieve this goal, the research continued in two different, but complementary, directions: (a) finding necessary, but not sufficient structural conditions that the minimal-\( ABC \) trees must satisfy, and (b) computer-aided search for these trees. We outline the results obtained along these lines in the two subsequent sections.

### 2. Structural features of the minimal-\( ABC \) trees

Let \( G \) be a graph on \( n \) vertices, and let its vertex set be \( V(G) \). As before, by \( d(v) \) is denoted the degree of the vertex \( v \in V(G) \). In order to avoid complications encountered in the case of trees with the first few values of \( n \), in this section we assume that \( n \geq 10 \). The minimal-\( ABC \) trees with 9 and fewer vertices are depicted in Fig. 1.

![Figure 1: Trees of order \( n \) with smallest \( ABC \) indices, for \( 4 \leq n \leq 9 \).](image-url)
Let $1 \leq k \leq n - 3$ and let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k, v_{k+1} \in V(G)$. We say that the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k, v_{k+1}$ form a path in $G$ if

- $v_{i-1}$ is adjacent to $v_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k + 1$, and
- $d(v_i) = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and
- $d(v_0) \geq 3$ and $d(v_{k+1}) \neq 2$.

If $d(v_{k+1}) \geq 3$, then the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ form an internal path in the graph $G$. Its length is $k$.

If, in turn, $d(v_{k+1}) = 1$, then the vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_k, v_{k+1}$ form a pendant path in the graph $G$. Its length is $k + 1$.

**Theorem 2.1.** [22] The $n$-vertex tree with minimal ABC index does not contain internal paths of any length $k \geq 1$.

**Theorem 2.2.** [22] The $n$-vertex tree with minimal ABC index does not contain pendant paths of length $k \geq 4$.

**Theorem 2.3.** [26] The $n$-vertex tree with minimal ABC index does not contain pendant paths of length 1.

In fact, Theorem 2.3 is a special case of a somewhat stronger result:

**Theorem 2.4.** [26] In a tree with minimal ABC index, every vertex of degree one is adjacent to a vertex of degree two.

According to Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, a minimal-ABC tree can possess only pendant paths of length 2 and 3. Moreover, we have:

**Theorem 2.5.** [22] An $n$-vertex tree with minimal ABC index contains at most one pendant path of length 3.

The next simple result is stated here for the first time.

**Theorem 2.6.** If a minimal-ABC tree possesses three mutually adjacent vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3$, such that

$$d(v_1) \geq d(v_2) > d(v_3) \geq 2$$

then $v_3$ must not be adjacent to both $v_1$ and $v_2$.

**Proof.** If $v_3$ is adjacent to both $v_1$ and $v_2$, then the contribution to ABC of the two pairs of adjacent vertices is

$$X_{132} = \sqrt{\frac{d(v_1) + d(v_3) - 2}{d(v_1)d(v_3)}} + \sqrt{\frac{d(v_2) + d(v_3) - 2}{d(v_2)d(v_3)}}$$

whereas if $v_2$ is adjacent to both $v_1$ and $v_3$, the respective contribution is

$$X_{123} = \sqrt{\frac{d(v_1) + d(v_2) - 2}{d(v_1)d(v_2)}} + \sqrt{\frac{d(v_2) + d(v_3) - 2}{d(v_2)d(v_3)}}.$$ 

It is now straightforward to show that the condition $X_{123} < X_{132}$ can be reduced to

$$2\left[d(v_2) - d(v_3)\right] < d(v_1)\left[d(v_3) - d(v_2)\right]$$

which is always obeyed provided (1) holds. $\square$

Theorem 2.1 has a noteworthy consequence:

**Corollary 2.7.** Let $T$ be a tree with minimal ABC index. Then the subgraph induced by the vertices of $T$ whose degrees are greater than two is also a tree.

Two analogous consequences of Theorem 2.6 are:

**Corollary 2.8.** Let $T$ be a tree with minimal ABC index. Denote by $\Delta$ the greatest vertex degree of $T$. Let $D$ be any integer, such that $0 \leq D \leq \Delta - 1$. Then the subgraph induced by the vertices of $T$ whose degrees are greater than $D$ is also a tree. In particular, the subgraph of $T$, induced by the vertices of degree $\Delta$ is also a tree.

At the time of writing of this review (July 2012), the results stated in this section seem to be the only exactly proven structural features of the trees whose ABC indices are minimal.
3. Computer search for minimal-ABC trees

In an attempt to guess the general structure of the $n$-vertex tree(s) with minimal ABC index, all trees of order $n$ were checked, up to $n$ as large as possible, and the tree(s) with smallest ABC singled out [17, 22]. Whereas the evaluating of the ABC index for any particular tree is an elementary computational task, the true problem is that with increasing value of $n$, the number of trees rapidly increases, see Table 1. In spite of technical difficulties, calculations of this kind were performed up to $n = 31$ [22]; the respective computational details are described in [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>no. of trees</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>no. of trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7 741</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>104 636 890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19 320</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>279 793 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>48 629</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>751 065 460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>123 867</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2 023 443 032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>317 955</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5 469 566 585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>823 065</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14 830 871 802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2 144 505</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40 330 829 030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 623 756</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>109 972 410 221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>14 828 074</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>300 628 862 480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>39 299 897</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>823 779 631 721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Number of trees with $n$ vertices.

In Figs. 2 and 3 are depicted the minimal-ABC trees for $n = 21, 22, \ldots, 29$ and $n = 30, 31$.

Let us, for a moment, stop at the results obtained for $n \leq 29$, cf. Fig. 2.

It was hoped that after some sufficiently large $n$, the form of the minimal-ABC trees will emerge, enabling one to formulate a conjecture on their general structure. Initially, success was in sight. From Fig. 2 (as well as from the minimal-ABC trees of order smaller than 21 [22]), the following structural features can be envisaged:

**Conjecture 3.1.** (a) The minimal-ABC tree has a single high-degree vertex, $v_0$.
(b) To the vertex $v_0$ only three types of branches are attached. These are $B_1$, $B_2$, and $B_3$ shown in Fig. 4.

For $10 \leq n \leq 29$, the minimal-ABC tree of order $n$ was found to be unique, except for $n = 16$ (when there are two distinct minimal-ABC trees). This leaded to:

**Conjecture 3.2.** For $n > 16$ the minimal-ABC tree of order $n$ is unique.

Conjecture 3.1 was used for guessing which tree with $n > 29$ vertices has the smallest ABC-value. According to it, the minimal-ABC tree has

$$n = 1 + 2a_1 + 5a_2 + 7a_3$$

vertices, where $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$ are, respectively, the number of branches of type $B_1$, $B_2$, and $B_3$, attached to the central vertex, see Fig. 4. For a given value of $n$, formula (2) is a Diophantine equation, having only a small number of solutions. For instance, if $n = 30$, then Eq. (2) has only 7 solutions $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ namely: $(0, 3, 2), (1, 4, 1), (2, 5, 0), (4, 0, 3), (5, 1, 2), (6, 2, 1), (11, 0, 1)$. The ABC-values of the respective seven trees are easily computed and the tree with $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (1, 4, 1)$ is found to have the smallest ABC index. By direct checking all the 14 830 871 802 trees of order 30 it was shown that, indeed, this is the (unique) minimal-ABC tree, cf. Fig. 3. In the same manner, it was possible to guess which 31-vertex tree has the
Figure 2: Trees with $n = 21, 22, \ldots, 29$ vertices with minimal value of the $ABC$ index. These were determined by calculating $ABC$ of all $n$-vertex trees.

Figure 3: Trees of order 30 and 31 with smallest $ABC$ index.

smallest $ABC$-index [22], and this prediction was confirmed by checking all the 40,330,829,030 trees of order 31, cf. Fig. 3. The success of this approach was encouraging, but its direct “brute-force” verification for
trees with more than 31 vertices was not feasible [17].

In order to evade this difficulty, the model (2) was extended as

\[ n = 1 + 2a_1 + 5a_2 + 7a_3 + 9a_4 + 11a_5 + \eta \] (3)

where \( a_4 \) and \( a_5 \) are the number of branches of the type \( B_4 \) and \( B_5 \) (depicted in Fig. 4), and \( \eta \in [0, 1] \) is the number of pendant paths of length 3, cf. Theorem 2.5. In the model (3) it is still assumed that Conjecture 3.1(a) holds, but in addition to the branches \( B_1, B_2, \) and \( B_3, \) some of their extension are also considered as possible. If the minimal-ABC solution of the Diophantine equation (3) would yield \( a_4 = a_5 = \eta = 0 \) and would be unique, then Conjectures 3.1 and 3.2 would be corroborated, yet not confirmed.

Calculations based on the model (3) were performed up to \( n = 700. \) These showed that the Conjectures 3.1 and 3.2 are not generally obeyed.

Conjecture 3.1(b) is first time violated at \( n = 80, \) when the minimal-ABC solution of (3) has \( a_4 = 1. \) For still greater values of \( n, \) solutions with \( a_4 > 0 \) were frequently encountered, but \( a_5 = 0 \) was found in all cases up to \( n = 700. \)

Minimal-ABC solutions of (3) with \( \eta = 1 \) were encountered, first time at \( n = 161, \) and then frequently for greater values of \( n. \)

Also at \( n = 161, \) the first violation of Conjecture 3.2 was detected, see Fig. 5. Yet, it appears that such violations are remarkably rare.
4. A modulo 7 conjecture and further counterexamples

The solutions of the model (3), pertaining to smallest ABC index, lead to trees whose structure do not follow any conceivable pattern. However, after a sufficiently large value of \( n \), a peculiar modulo 7 regularity could be envisaged, which we state here in the form of the following conjecture [21]:

**Conjecture 4.1.**

(i) If \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 21 \), and \( n = 7k + 28 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_7 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 175 \) vertices.

(ii) If \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 9 \), and \( n = 7k + 1 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_1 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 64 \) vertices.

(iii) If \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 23 \), and \( n = 7k + 9 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_2 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 170 \) vertices.

(iv) If \( n \equiv 3 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 10 \), and \( n = 7k + 10 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_5 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 80 \) vertices.

(v) If \( n \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 6 \), and \( n = 7k + 11 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_4 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 53 \) vertices.

(vi) If \( n \equiv 5 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 14 \), and \( n = 7k + 19 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_6 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 117 \) vertices.

(vii) If \( n \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \), \( k \geq 8 \), and \( n = 7k + 6 \), then the minimum-ABC tree has the structure \( T_3 \) shown in Fig. 6. The smallest such tree has \( n = 62 \) vertices.

Figure 6: The seven types of minimum-ABC trees from Conjecture 4.1; the form of the branch \( B_3 \) is shown in Fig. 4.
Since Conjecture 4.1 was obtained using the model (3), the uniqueness of the central high-degree vertex, and thus the validity of Conjecture 3.1(a), was a priori assumed.

Note that according to Conjecture 4.1, for sufficiently large values of \( n \), the minimal-ABC tree of order \( n \) is unique (in harmony with Conjecture 3.2). If \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{7} \), then these trees possess a pendant path of length three (\( \eta = 1 \)), whereas otherwise \( \eta = 0 \).

In graph theory it often happens that the structure of extremal graphs of order \( n \) is complicated and irregular for smaller values of \( n \), and begins to follow a reasonably simple pattern when \( n \) is large enough. Conjecture 4.1 looked just to be a result of this kind.

Alas, counterexamples to Conjecture 4.1 were recently discovered [1, 2].

In [2] it was shown that for \( n = 7k + 9 \), \( k \geq 169 \), there exist trees, shown in Fig. 7, whose ABC-value is smaller than that of the trees \( T_2 \) from Conjecture 4.1(iii). The smallest such tree has \( n = 1185 \) vertices. In other words, Conjecture 4.1(iii) is violated at \( n = 1185 \).

In [1] a class of trees with \( n = 7k + 11 \), \( k \geq 43 \), i.e., \( n \geq 312 \) was constructed, shown in Fig. 8, having ABC indices smaller than those predicted by the model (3). By this, these trees provide a counterexample for Conjecture 4.1(v). Even worse, these trees possess two high-degree vertices, thus violating Conjecture 3.1(a) or, what is the same, possess a branch structurally different from the branches \( B_i \), \( i = 2,3,4,\ldots \), thus violating Conjecture 3.2(b) and its extension.

5. Concluding remarks

In spite of the numerous mathematical and computer-aided studies, all conjectures and guesses on the general structure of trees with smallest atom–bond connectivity index failed. At the present moment, only what can be answered to the question:

"Which are the trees of order \( n \) with smallest ABC index?"

is:

"We don’t know."

Yet, our ignorance is not total. Some fragments of the answer are seen or believed to be seen.
References