

COMMUTATOR AND SELF-COMMUTATOR APPROXIMANTS II

P. J. Maher

Abstract

We minimize the quantities (i) $\|T - (AX - XA)\|$, (ii) $\|T - (X^*X - XX^*)\|$ and (iii) $\|T - (AX - XB)\|$ where T is isometric and where in (i) A is paranormal and commutes with T , in (ii) X^* (or X) is paranormal and commutes with T , and in (iii) A and B are paranormal and $AT = TB$ and $TA = BT$. The upshot is that these quantities are minimized when $0 = AX - XA = X^*X - XX^* = AX - XB$. To prove these results we obtain the power norm equality for paranormal operators: if A is paranormal then $\|A^n\| = \|A\|^n$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1 Introduction

As in [11] and [12] we approximate an operator by a commutator $AX - XA$ of operators, by a self-commutator $X^*X - XX^*$ and, as in [4], by a "generalized commutator" $AX - XB$. There, in [4], [11] and [12] the approximation is in the von Neumann-Schatten norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$, on the von Neumann-Schatten classes C_p ; here, the approximation is in the sup norm on $L(H)$ (For operators on Banach space, see the recent paper by Duggal [6]).

The pertinent concept is that of paranormality which, as is well known from [9], is a strong generalization of hyponormality.

For self-commutator approximation with paranormal X we have to restrict ourselves to the sup norm. Consider the more transparent hyponormal special case of this: that is, approximation by a self-commutator $X^*X - XX^*$ for hyponormal X ; that is, approximation by a positive self-commutator. This topic may be regarded as an obvious extension of [12] since there can be no question of minimizing $\|T - (X^*X - XX^*)\|_p$ where $X^*X - XX^*$ is compact and X is hyponormal. For if $X^*X - XX^*$ is compact then $X^*XP = (X^*X - XX^*)P$ is compact where P is the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Ker } XX^*$ (that is, $I - P$ is the orthogonal projection onto $(\text{Ker } XX^*)^\perp = (\text{Ker } X^*)^\perp = \text{Ran } X$); hence X is compact and therefore,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*. Primary: 47B47, 47B20; Secondary: 47A30.

Key words and Phrases. Commutator, self-commutator.

Received: December 1, 2009

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

being hyponormal, is normal [10, Problem 206]. Thus, if $X^*X - XX^*$ is compact for hyponormal X then $X^*X - XX^* = 0$. The same result holds if, more generally, X is paranormal; for, as is proved in Theorem 2.2 below, a compact paranormal operator is normal.

Another property the paranormal operators share with the hyponormal ones is the power norm equality: if A is paranormal then $\|A^n\| = \|A\|^n$ if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as is proved in Theorem 2.1.

We use the power norm equality to obtain the approximation results here (Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3). Theorem 3.1 says that if A is a paranormal operator commuting with the isometry T then $\|T - (AX - XA)\| \geq T$. Theorem 3.2 gives a similar result about minimizing $\|T - (X^*X - XX^*)\|$ for (a) paranormal X^* commuting with the isometry T and for (b) paranormal X commuting with T ; Example 3.1 shows that this commutativity assumption is necessary. From Theorem 3.1 we obtain - via operator matrices - Theorem 3.3, a result about minimizing $\|T - (AX - XB)\|$ for paranormal A and B . Those minimization results are interpreted geometrically in Corollaries 3.1 and 3.4.

2 Paranormality

Definition 2.1. *An operator A in $L(H)$ is paranormal if*

$$(A^*)^* A^2 - 2\lambda A^* A + \lambda^2 \geq 0$$

for all real $\lambda \geq 0$.

With the definition of positivity in $L(H)$ and the discriminant criterion for the quadratic in λ , Definition 2.1 is easily proved to be equivalent to Definition 2.2 [3, Theorem 4].

Definition 2.2. *An operator A in $L(H)$ is paranormal if*

$$\|Af\|^2 \leq \|A^2f\|\|f\|$$

for all f in H .

The class of paranormal operators strictly contains many other classes of operators including the hyponormal operators [5, §3], [7, §1], [9]. Paranormal operators share with the hyponormal ones the following properties given in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 below.

Theorem 2.1 (Power norm equality). *If A is paranormal, $\|A^n\| = \|A\|^n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Equality is trivial for $n = 1$. Proceed by induction. Now, by Definition 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^n f\|^2 &= \|A(A^{n-1} f)\|^2 = \|Ag\|^2, \text{ say} \\ &\leq \|A^2 g\| \|g\| \\ &= \|A^{n+1} f\| \|A^{n-1} f\| \\ &\leq \|A^{n+1}\| \|A^{n-1}\| \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

for all f in H . Therefore, using the induction hypothesis $\|A^k\| = \|A\|^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we get

$$\|A^n\|^2 = \|A\|^{2n} \leq \|A^{n+1}\| \|A\|^{n-1}$$

whence $\|A\|^{n+1} \leq \|A^{n+1}\|$. Since $\|A^{n+1}\| \leq \|A\|^{n+1}$ automatically the inductive step follows. \square

Theorem 2.2. *A compact paranormal operator is normal.*

Proof. Since the paranormal operator A , say, is compact it has a countable spectrum (cf. [13, Theorem 1.8.2]). Isolated points of the spectrum are poles, hence eigenvalues; further, the eigenspaces corresponding to these eigenvalues are mutually orthogonal. So if one generates the space corresponding to these eigenvalues one obtains a diagonal operator. What is left is at best the limit point which is the limit point of the diagonal entries. Conclusion: A is normal. \square

3 Approximation results

The proofs of the approximation results below use Theorem 2.1 and hinge on the following identity: if $AT = TA$ then

$$nTA^{n-1} = A^n B - BA^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^{n-i-1} (T - (AB - BA)) A^i \quad (3.1)$$

for all B in $L(H)$.

The next result is a variant of the well-known result of Anderson [1, Theorem 1.7] on minimizing $\|T - (AX - XA)\|$ for normal A .

Theorem 3.1. *If A is paranormal and T is an isometry such that $AT = TA$ then*

$$\|T - (AX - XA)\| \geq \|T\|$$

for all X in $L(H)$.

Proof. Let " B " = X in (3.1). Take norms:

$$n\|TA^{n-1}\| \leq 2\|A^n\| \|X\| + \|T - (AX - XA)\| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|A^{n-i-1}\| \|A^i\|.$$

Since A is paranormal then, by Theorem 2.1, $\|A^k\| = \|A\|^k$ for all k in \mathbb{N} and so the summation above equals $n\|A\|^{n-1}$; and, further, since T is isometric then $n\|TA^{n-1}\| = n\|A^{n-1}\| = n\|A\|^{n-1}$. Dividing through by $n\|A\|^{n-1}$ gives

$$1 \leq \frac{2}{n} \|A\| \|X\| + \|T - (AX - XA)\|.$$

Since this holds for all n we have $\|T - (AX - XA)\| \geq 1 = \|T\|$. \square

This result may be expressed geometrically. Consider the linear map $\Delta_A : L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ given by

$$\Delta_A = AX - XA$$

for fixed A and varying X . Let the linear subsets $\text{Ran } \Delta_A$ and $\text{Ker } \Delta_A$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ran } \Delta_A &= \{Y \in L(H) : Y = \Delta_A(X) \text{ for varying } X \text{ in } L(H)\}, \\ \text{Ker } \Delta_A &= \{X \in L(H) : \Delta_A(X) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be linear subsets of a normed space \mathcal{L} , say. We say \mathcal{M} is orthogonal to \mathcal{N} , denoted $\mathcal{M} \perp \mathcal{N}$, if for all m in \mathcal{M} and n in \mathcal{N}

$$\|m + n\| \geq \|m\|.$$

For historical remarks on this asymmetric definition of orthogonality see [8, p. 93].

Corollary 3.1. *If A is paranormal and T is an isometry in $\text{Ker } \Delta_A$ then*

$$\text{Ker } \Delta_A \perp \text{Ran } \Delta_A$$

.

The next result generalizes a well-known result for hyponormal A [10, Problem 233].

Corollary 3.2. *If A is paranormal then*

$$\|I - (AX - XA)\| \geq \|I\|$$

for all X in $L(H)$.

Theorem 3.2. (a) *If X^* is paranormal and T is an isometry such that $X^*T = TX^*$ then*

$$\|T - (X^*X - XX^*)\| \geq \|T\|;$$

(b) *The same conclusion holds if, instead, X is paranormal and T is an isometry such that $XT = TX$.*

Proof. (a) In the identity (3.1) take " A " = X^* and " B " = X and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 3.1: then

$$1 \leq \frac{2}{n} \|X^*\| \|X\| + \|T - (X^*X - XX^*)\| \tag{3.2}$$

which gives the result.

(b) In (3.1) take " A " = $-X$ and " B " = X^* . Then, because X is paranormal, $\|A^n\| = \|(-X)^n\| = \|X^n\| = \|X\|^n = \|A\|^n$ and because $\|T - ((-X)X^* - X^*(-X))\| = \|T - (X^*X - XX^*)\|$ we get, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the inequality 3.2 above, giving the result. \square

The following example shows that Theorem 3.2 (b) fails if $XT \neq TX$.

Example 3.1. Let $H = l_2$, the space of square-summable sequences of complex numbers, and let $X = S$, the simple unilateral shift. Then S is hyponormal and hence paranormal: for, with $f = (x_n)_1^\infty$,

$$\langle (S^*S - SS^*)f, f \rangle = |x_1|^2 \geq 0$$

Let T be given by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots)$. Then $\|Tf\| = \|f\|$ and $ST \neq TS$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - (S^*S - SS^*)\| &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle Tf, f \rangle - \langle (S^*S - SS^*)f, f \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle (x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots)(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \dots) \rangle - |x_1|^2| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |x_2\bar{x}_1 + x_1\bar{x}_2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 - |x_1|^2|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Without loss of generality suppose that $\mathcal{R}x_1 \geq \mathcal{R}x_2$, $\mathcal{J}x_1 \geq \mathcal{J}x_2$ and $x_2 \neq 0$ and $\|f\| = 1$. Then one can check that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) &\leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} |2|x_1|^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 - |x_1|^2| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\|f\|^2 - |x_2|^2| < \|f\|^2 = 1 = \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

The next result deals with minimizing $\|T - (AX - XB)\| \geq \|T\|$. It reduces to Theorem 3.1 if $A = B$.

Theorem 3.3. If A and B are paranormal and T is an isometry such that $AT = TB$ and $TA = BT$ then

$$\|T - (AX - XB)\| \geq \|T\|.$$

Proof. On $H \oplus H$, let $\mathcal{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then \mathcal{T} is isometric on $H \oplus H$ (since T is isometric on H) and $\mathcal{AT} = \mathcal{TA}$ (since $AT = TB$ and $TA = BT$). Further, \mathcal{A} is paranormal on $H \oplus H$: for, with $f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$, on using the parnormality of A and B we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}f\|^4 &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^4 = (\|Af_1\|^2 + \|Bf_2\|^2)^2 \\ &\leq (\|A^2f_1\| \|f_1\| + \|B^2f_2\| \|f_2\|)^2 \\ &= \|A^2f_1\|^2 \|f_1\|^2 + \|B^2f_2\|^2 \|f_2\|^2 + 2\|A^2f_1\| \|f_1\| \|B^2f_2\| \|f_2\| \\ &\leq (\|A^2f_1\|^2 + \|B^2f_2\|^2)(\|f_1\|^2 + \|f_2\|^2) \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A^2 & 0 \\ 0 & B^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \left\| \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 = \|\mathcal{A}^2f\|^2 \|f\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

so that $\|\mathcal{A}f\|^2 \leq \|\mathcal{A}^2f\|\|f\|$ as desired (The inequality (3.4) comes from $0 \leq (\|A^2f_1\|\|f_2\| - \|B^2f_2\|\|f_1\|)^2$). Therefore, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{T} satisfy Theorem 3.1 and so $\|\mathcal{T} - (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{X}\mathcal{A})\| \geq \|\mathcal{T}\|$ whence

$$\|T - (AX - XA)\| \geq \|T\|.$$

□

Let the linear map $\Delta_{A,B} : L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$ and the linear subsets $\text{Ran } \Delta_{A,B}$ and $\text{Ker } \Delta_{A,B}$ be given by, for fixed A and B ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{A,B}(X) &= AX - XB, \\ \text{Ran } \Delta_{A,B} &= \{Y \in L(H) : Y = \Delta_{A,B}(X) \text{ for varying } X \text{ in } L(H)\}, \\ \text{Ker } \Delta_{A,B} &= \{X \in L(H) : \Delta_{A,B}(X) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3 can be expressed geometrically as follows.

Corollary 3.3. *If A and B are paranormal and T is an isometry such that $T \in \text{Ker } \Delta_{A,B} \cap \text{Ker } \Delta_{B,A}$ then $\text{Ker } \Delta_{A,B} \cap \text{Ker } \Delta_{B,A} \perp \text{Ran } \Delta_{A,B}$.*

It is proved in [2, Theorem 1.5] that if A and B are normal and T is such that $AT = TB$ then

$$\|T - (AX - XB)\| \geq \|T\|. \quad (A-F)$$

Geometrically: if A and B are normal and if $\text{Ker } \Delta_{A,B} \neq \{0\}$ then

$$\text{Ker } \Delta_{A,B} \perp \text{Ran } \Delta_{A,B}.$$

This last result, (A-F), together with the rest of this paper, prompts the following questions.

Question 1. Can the condition in Theorems 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 (and in Corollaries 3.1 and 3.3) that T is isometric be dropped?

Question 2. More generally, can the condition of normality in (A-F) be weakened to that of paranormality?

Acknowledgement I thank Professor B. P. Duggal for very helpful conversations about paranormality and for giving me his proof of Theorem 2.2.

References

- [1] J. Anderson, On normal derivations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **38** (1973), 135 - 140.
- [2] J. Anderson, C. Foias, Properties which normal operators share with normal derivations and related operators, *Pac. J. Math.*, **61** (1975), 313 - 325.
- [3] T. Ando, Operators with a norm condition, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **33** (1972), 169 - 178.

- [4] S. Bouali, S. Cherki, Approximation by generalized commutators, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **63** (1997), 273 - 278.
- [5] B.P. Duggal, Riesz projections for a class of Hilbert space operators, *Lin. Alg. App.*, **407** (2005), 140 - 148.
- [6] B.P. Duggal, On self-commutator approximants (*to appear*).
- [7] B.P. Duggal, I.H. Jeon, On p-quasihyponormal operators (*to appear*).
- [8] N. Dunford, J.T.Schwartz, Linear operators, Part I, *Interscience*, New York, 1958.
- [9] T. Furuta, Invitation to Linear Operators, *Taylor and Francis*, London, 2001.
- [10] P.R.Halmos, A Hilbert Space Problem Book, 2nd ed., *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 1982.
- [11] P.J. Maher, Commutator approximants, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **115** (1992), 995 - 1000.
- [12] P.J. Maher, Self-commutator approximants, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **134** (2007), 157 - 165.
- [13] J.R. Ringrose, Compact Non-self-adjoint operators, *Van Nostrand Reinhold*, London, 1971.

Department of Economics and Statistics Middlesex University Hendon Campus
The Burroughs London NW4 4BT England
E-mail: p.maher@mdx.ac.uk