Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Filomat **26:1** (2012), 197–206 DOI: 10.2298/FIL1201197D

### More on Laplacian Estrada indices of trees

#### Zhibin Du

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Sen-Yen Shaw

#### Abstract

The Laplacian Estrada index of a graph G is defined as  $LEE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G. We determine the unique tree with maximum Laplacian Estrada index among the set of trees with given bipartition. We also determine the unique trees with the third, the fourth, the fifth and the sixth maximum Laplacian Estrada indices.

## 1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). Let  $\mathbf{A}(G)$  be the adjacency matrix of G. Let n = |V(G)|. The eigenvalues of G, denoted by  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}(G)$  [3]. The Estrada index of a graph G is defined as [7]

$$EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i}.$$

It found various applications in a large variety of problems. It was proved that Estrada index is especially useful to characterize the folding degree of a protein chain, account for the contribution of amino acids to folding [7, 8, 9]. Later, Estrada index was extended to measure the centrality of complex networks [10, 11], extended atomic branching [12], and the carbon-atom skeleton [16]. More mathematical properties of the Estrada index can be found in [1, 6, 13, 14, 15, 17, 20, 21].

Let  $\mathbf{L}(G) = \mathbf{D}(G) - \mathbf{A}(G)$  be the Laplacian matrix of G, where  $\mathbf{D}(G)$  is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of the graph G. Denote by  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  the Laplacian eigenvalues of G [19]. In full analogy with the expression of Estrada index, the Laplacian Estrada index of a graph G is defined as [14]

$$LEE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\mu_i}.$$

Key words and Phrases. Laplacian Estrada index, tree, bipartition.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classifications. 05C50.

Received: January 12, 2011

Communicated by Dragan Stevanović

Ilić and Zhou [18] proved that the path and the star are respectively the unique trees with minimum and maximum Laplacian Estrada indices, which showed that the use of Laplacian Estrada index as a measure of branching in alkanes. In [18], the tree with the second maximum Laplacian Estrada index was also determined. More mathematical properties of the Laplacian Estrada index can be found in [1, 5, 14, 22, 23].

A bipartite graph G is a graph whose vertices can be partition into two disjoint sets  $V_1(G)$  and  $V_2(G)$  such that every edge connects a vertex in  $V_1(G)$  to one in  $V_2(G)$ . If  $|V_1(G)| = p$  and  $|V_2(G)| = q$  with  $p \ge q \ge 1$ , then we say G has a (p,q)-bipartition. It is well-known that every tree is a bipartite graph.

In this paper, we determine the unique tree with maximum Laplacian Estrada index among the set of trees with given bipartition. We also determine the unique trees with the third, the fourth, the fifth and the sixth maximum Laplacian Estrada indices.

## 2 Preliminaries

Denote by  $M_k(G)$  the k-th spectral moment of the graph G, i.e.,  $M_k(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k$ . It is well-known that  $M_k(G)$  is equal to the number of closed walks of length k in G [3]. Then

$$EE(G) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_k(G)}{k!}.$$
(1)

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two graphs. If  $M_k(G_1) \leq M_k(G_2)$  for all positive integers k, then we write  $G_1 \leq G_2$ . If  $G_1 \leq G_2$  and there is at least one positive integer  $k_0$  such that  $M_{k_0}(G_1) < M_{k_0}(G_2)$ , then we write  $G_1 \prec G_2$ . By expression (1) for Estrada index,  $G_1 \leq G_2$  implies that  $EE(G_1) \leq EE(G_2)$ , and  $G_1 \prec G_2$  implies that  $EE(G_1) < EE(G_2)$ .

Let  $M_k(G; u)$  be the number of closed walks of length k in G starting at u.

Let  $u \in V(G_1)$  and  $v \in V(G_2)$ . If  $M_k(G_1; u) \leq M_k(G_2; v)$  for all positive integers k, then we write  $(G_1; u) \preceq (G_2; v)$ . If  $(G_1; u) \preceq (G_2; v)$  and there is at least one positive integer  $k_0$  such that  $M_{k_0}(G_1; u) < M_{k_0}(G_2; v)$ , then we write  $(G_1; u) \prec (G_2; v)$ .

First we give some lemmas will be used in our proof.

**Lemma 1.** ([6]) Let  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$  be two non-trivial connected graphs with  $u, v \in V(H_1)$ ,  $w \in V(H_2)$ . Let  $G_u$  be the graph obtained from  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  by identifying u with w, and  $G_v$  be the graph obtained from  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  by identifying v with w. If  $(H_1; u) \prec (H_1; v)$ , then  $G_u \prec G_v$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  be the line graph of a graph G. Zhou and Gutman [22] gave the following relationship between the Laplacian Estrada index of a bipartite graph and the Estrada index of its line graph.

**Lemma 2.** ([22]) Let G be a bipartite graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$LEE(G) = n - m + e^2 \cdot EE(\mathcal{L}(G)).$$

Let  $d_G(v)$  be the degree of v in G. Let  $d_G(u, v)$  be the distance from u to v in G.

For  $v \in V(G)$ , G - v denotes the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertex v.

For two distinct vertices u, v of a graph G, let  $A_k(G; u, [v])$  be the set of (u, u)walks of length k containing v in G, let  $M_k(G; u, [v]) = |A_k(G; u, [v])|$ , let  $r_k(G; u, v)$ be the number of walks of length  $k \ge 1$  from u to v in G, and  $r_0(G; u, v) = 1$ .

# 3 The maximum Laplacian Estrada index of trees with given bipartition

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two connected bipartite graphs shown in Fig. 1, where P, M, Q are connected subgraphs of  $G_1$ , and  $d_{G_1}(u), d_{G_1}(v), d_{G_1}(w) \ge 2$ . In  $G_2$ , all the neighbors of v in Q of  $G_1$  are switched to be the neighbors of u in  $G_2$ .



Figure 1: The graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in Lemma 3.

Let G be a graph with  $y_1y_2 \in E(G)$ . Denote by  $x_{y_1y_2}(G)$  the vertex in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  corresponding to  $y_1y_2 \in E(G)$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two connected bipartite graphs shown in Fig. 1. If  $d_{G_1}(u), d_{G_1}(v) \ge 2$ , then  $LEE(G_1) < LEE(G_2)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2, we need only to show that  $EE(\mathcal{L}(G_1)) < EE(\mathcal{L}(G_2))$ .

Let H be the graph obtained from  $G_1$  by deleting the vertices in Q different from v. Let  $P^*$  be the graph obtained from  $G_1$  by deleting the vertices in M and Qdifferent from w, and  $M^*$  be the graph obtained from  $G_1$  by deleting the vertices in P and Q different from u, v. Then  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  can be obtained from  $\mathcal{L}(P^*)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(M^*)$ by identifying  $x_{uw}(P^*) \in V(\mathcal{L}(P^*))$  with  $x_{uw}(M^*) \in V(\mathcal{L}(M^*))$ . Let k be any positive integer.

First we will show that  $(\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{uw}(H); x_{wv}(H)) \prec (\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{wv}(H); x_{uw}(H))$ . Clearly,

$$M_k(\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{uw}(H); x_{wv}(H)) = M_k(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*); x_{wv}(M^*)).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*) \cong \mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*)$ , and thus

$$M_k(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*); x_{wv}(M^*)) = M_k(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*); x_{uw}(M^*)).$$

It follows that

 $M_k(\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{uw}(H); x_{wv}(H)) = M_k(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*); x_{uw}(M^*)).$ 

This implies that we need only to show that

$$(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*); x_{uw}(M^*)) \prec (\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{wv}(H); x_{uw}(H)),$$

which follows by noting that  $d_G(u) \ge 2$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}(P^*)$  is not trivial).

Now we will show that

$$M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)]) \le M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), [x_{wv}(H)]).$$

We construct a mapping f from  $A_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)])$  to  $A_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), [x_{wv}(H)])$ . For  $W \in A_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)])$ , we may decompose W into  $W = W_1W_2$ , where  $W_1$  is the shortest  $(x_{wv}(H), x_{uw}(H))$ -section of W, and  $W_2$  is the remaining  $(x_{uw}(H), x_{wv}(H))$ -section of W. It is easily seen that the neighbors of  $x_{wv}(H)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  different from  $x_{uw}(H)$  are also the neighbors of  $x_{uw}(H)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$ . Denote by  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_t$  the common neighbors of  $x_{wv}(H)$  and  $x_{uw}(H)$  in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$ , where  $d_{\mathcal{L}(H)}(x_{wv}(H)) = t + 1$ . By the choice of  $W_1$ , we know that  $W_1$  consists of an  $(x_{wv}(M^*), x_{wv}(M^*))$ -walk in  $\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*)$  whose length may be zero and a single edge  $x_{wv}(M^*)x_{uw}(M^*)$ , or an  $(x_{wv}(M^*), w_i)$ -walk in  $\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*)$  and a single edge  $w_i x_{uw}(M^*)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then

$$\begin{split} &M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)]) = |A_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)])| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{z \in \{x_{wv}(M^*), w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t\}\\ k_1 + k_2 = k, k_1, k_2 \geq 1}} r_{k_1 - 1}(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*); x_{wv}(M^*), z) \\ &\cdot r_{k_2}(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), x_{wv}(H)). \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$M_{k}(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), [x_{wv}(H)]) = |A_{k}(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), [x_{wv}(H)])$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{z \in \{x_{uw}(H), w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{t}\}\\k_{1}+k_{2}=k, k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 1}} r_{k_{1}-1}(\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{wv}(H); x_{uw}(H), z)$$

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{z \in \{x_{uw}(M^{*}), w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{t}\}\\k_{1}+k_{2}=k, k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 1}} r_{k_{1}-1}(\mathcal{L}(M^{*}) - x_{wv}(M^{*}); x_{uw}(M^{*}), z)$$

$$\geq \sum_{\substack{z \in \{x_{uw}(M^{*}), w_{1}, w_{2}, \dots, w_{t}\}\\k_{1}+k_{2}=k, k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 1}} r_{k_{1}-1}(\mathcal{L}(M^{*}) - x_{wv}(M^{*}); x_{uw}(M^{*}), z)$$

For any positive integer s and  $z \in \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_t\},\$ 

$$r_s(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*); x_{wv}(M^*), z) = r_s(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*); x_{uw}(M^*), z)$$

and

$$r_s(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*); x_{wv}(M^*), x_{wv}(M^*)) = r_s(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*); x_{uw}(M^*), x_{uw}(M^*)) = r_s(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*); x_{wv}(M^*)) = r_s(\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}$$

200

since  $\mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{uw}(M^*) \cong \mathcal{L}(M^*) - x_{wv}(M^*)$ , and it is well-known that [3]

$$r_s(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), x_{wv}(H)) = r_s(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), x_{uw}(H)).$$

It follows that  $M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)]) \leq M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), [x_{wv}(H)])$ . Note that

$$M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H)) = M_k(\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{uw}(H); x_{wv}(H)) + M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H), [x_{uw}(H)])$$

 $M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H)) = M_k(\mathcal{L}(H) - x_{wv}(H); x_{uw}(H)) + M_k(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H), [x_{wv}(H)]).$ 

Then we have  $(\mathcal{L}(H); x_{wv}(H)) \prec (\mathcal{L}(H); x_{uw}(H)).$ 

Let  $H_1$  be the graph obtained from  $G_1$  by deleting the vertices in P and Mdifferent from w. It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{L}(G_1)$  can be obtained from  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(H_1)$  by identifying  $x_{wv}(H) \in V(\mathcal{L}(H))$  with  $x_{wv}(H_1) \in V(\mathcal{L}(H_1))$ . Let  $G^*$  be the graph obtained from  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(H_1)$  by identifying  $x_{uw}(H) \in V(\mathcal{L}(H))$  with  $x_{wv}(H_1) \in V(\mathcal{L}(H_1))$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \prec G^*$ .

Note that  $G^*$  is a proper subgraph of  $\mathcal{L}(G_2)$  since  $d_{G_1}(u), d_{G_1}(v) \geq 2$  (i.e., P, Q are not trivial), and thus  $G^* \prec \mathcal{L}(G_2)$ , implying that  $\mathcal{L}(G_1) \prec \mathcal{L}(G_2)$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $S_n(a, b)$  be the *n*-vertex tree obtained by adding one edge between the two centers of two stars  $S_a$  and  $S_b$ , where  $a \ge b \ge 1$ , a + b = n.

**Theorem 1.** Let G be an n-vertex tree with a (p,q)-bipartition, where p + q = n,  $p \ge q \ge 1$ . Then  $LEE(G) \le LEE(S_n(p,q))$  with equality if and only if  $G \cong S_n(p,q)$ .

*Proof.* Let G be a tree with maximum Laplacian Estrada index with a (p,q)bipartition. Let  $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_t$  be a diametrical path of G.

Suppose that the diameter of G is at least four. Then applying Lemma 3 to  $G_1 = G$  by setting  $u = v_2$  and  $v = v_4$ , we may get a tree G' such that LEE(G) < LEE(G'). Clearly, we may partition the vertices of G and G' in the same way, i.e., G' has also a (p,q)-bipartition, which is a contradiction to the choice of G. Then the diameter of G is at most three, i.e.,  $G \cong S_n(p,q)$ .

# 4 The first six maximum Laplacian Estrada indices of trees

**Lemma 4.** ([18]) Let u be a vertex of a tree Q with at least two vertices. For integer  $a \ge 1$ , let  $G_1$  be the tree obtained by attaching a star  $S_{a+1}$  at its center v to u of Q, and  $G_2$  be the tree obtained by attaching a + 1 pendent vertices to u of Q, see Fig. 2. Then  $LEE(G_1) < LEE(G_2)$ .

**Lemma 5.** ([18]) If  $G \cong S_n(a, b)$  with a + b = n,  $a \ge b \ge 5$ , then

 $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < LEE(S_n(n-3,3)) < LEE(S_n(n-2,2)).$ 



Figure 2: The trees  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in Lemma 4.

Recall that a caterpillar is a tree in which removal of all pendent vertices gives a path.

Let  $P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  be the *n*-vertex caterpillar obtained from the path on five vertices, say  $v_0v_1v_2v_3v_4$ , by attaching  $n_i$  pendent vertices to  $v_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3, where  $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = n - 5$ ,  $n_1 \ge n_3$ ,  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \ge 0$ .

Applying Lemmas 4 and 5, Ilić and Zhou [18] showed that  $S_n(n-1, 1)$  and  $S_n(n-2, 2)$  are respectively the unique trees with maximum and the second maximum Laplacian Estrada indices, and the third maximum Laplacian Estrada index for trees on  $n \ge 6$  vertices is uniquely achieved by  $S_n(n-3,3)$  or a caterpillar of diameter four. Ilić and Zhou [18] also used computer to test the trees on  $n \le 22$  vertices, and found that  $S_n(n-3,3)$  and  $P_n(0, n-5, 0)$  are respectively the unique trees with the third and the fourth maximum Laplacian Estrada indices.

Deng and Zhang [5] showed that  $LEE(S_n(n-3,3)) > LEE(P_n(0, n-5,0))$ for  $n \ge 6$ . Now we give another proof for this inequality. Applying Lemma 3 to  $G_1 = P_n(0, n-5, 0)$  by setting w to be the vertex with degree n-3 in  $P_n(0, n-5, 0)$ , we have  $LEE(S_n(n-3,3)) > LEE(P_n(0, n-5, 0))$ .

In the following, we determine the unique trees with the third, the fourth, the fifth and the sixth maximum Laplacian Estrada indices.

**Lemma 6.** ([2]) Let G be a graph on n vertices and vertex degrees  $d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \cdots \ge d_n$ . Suppose that  $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_n = 0$  are the Laplacian eigenvalues of G. If G is not the vertex-disjoint union of the complete graph on s vertices and n - s isolated vertices, then  $\mu_s \ge d_s - s + 2$  for  $1 \le s \le n$ .

Lemma 7. For  $n \ge 8$ ,  $LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < LEE(P_n(n-5,0,0))$ .

*Proof.* The case for n = 8 can be checked by direct calculation. Suppose in the following that  $n \ge 9$ .

Let  $\phi(G, x)$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{L}(G)$ . By direct calculation,  $\phi(S_n(n-4,4), x) = x(x-1)^{n-4}f(x)$ , where  $f(x) = x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (5n-14)x - n$ .

Let  $x_1 \ge x_2 \ge x_3$  be the roots of f(x) = 0. It is easily checked that f(1) = 3(n-5) > 0, f(5) = -n+5 < 0,  $f(n-\frac{5}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}n^2 - 5n + \frac{55}{8} > 0$ , implying that  $x_1 < n - \frac{5}{2}, x_2 < 5, x_3 < 1$ . Then  $LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < e^{n-\frac{5}{2}} + e^5 + e^1 + e^0 + (n-4)e^1$ .

Let  $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2$  be the first two largest Laplacian eigenvalues of  $P_n(n-5,0,0)$ . By Lemma 6,  $\mu_1 \ge n-2$ ,  $\mu_2 \ge 2$ . Then  $LEE(P_n(n-5,0,0)) > e^{n-2} + e^2 + e^0 + (n-5)e^1$ .

Note that

$$\begin{split} &(e^{n-2}+e^2+e^0+(n-5)e^1)-(e^{n-\frac{5}{2}}+e^5+e^1+e^0+(n-4)e^1)\\ &= e^{n-2}-e^{n-\frac{5}{2}}+e^2-e^5-2e^1\\ &> e^{8-2}-e^{8-\frac{5}{2}}+e^2-e^5-2e^1>0, \end{split}$$

and thus  $LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < LEE(P_n(n-5,0,0)).$ 

Lemma 8. For  $n \ge 6$ ,  $LEE(P_n(n-5,0,0)) < LEE(P_n(0,n-5,0))$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2, we need only to show that

$$EE(\mathcal{L}(P_n(n-5,0,0))) < EE(\mathcal{L}(P_n(0,n-5,0))).$$

Let H be the graph obtained by attaching a pendent vertex to a vertex of the complete graph  $K_{n-3}$ . Denote by u the unique pendent vertex in H, v the unique neighbor of u, and w a neighbor of v different from u in H. Let k be any positive integer.

We will show that  $(H; u) \prec (H; w)$ . We construct a mapping f from  $A_k(H; u)$  to  $A_k(H; w)$ . For  $W \in A_k(H; u)$ , let f(W) be the walk obtained from W by replacing its first and last vertex u by w. Obviously,  $f(W) \in A_k(H; w)$  and f is an injection. Since  $n \ge 6$ , we have  $M_2(H; w) = d_H(w) = n - 4 > M_2(H; u) = d_H(u) = 1$ . It follows that f is an injection but not a surjection for k = 2, implying that  $(H; u) \prec (H; w)$ .

It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{L}(P_n(n-5,0,0))$  ( $\mathcal{L}(P_n(0,n-5,0))$ ), respectively) can be obtained by identifying  $u \in V(H)$  ( $w \in V(H)$ , respectively) with an end vertex of a single edge. Then the result follows from Lemma 1.

**Lemma 9.** For  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \ge 8$ ,  $LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)) < LEE(S_n(n-4, 4))$ if  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \ne (n - 5, 0, 0), (0, n - 5, 0).$ 

Proof. By Lemma 4,

$$LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)) < \min\{LEE(S_n(n_1 + n_2 + 3, n_3 + 2)), LEE(S_n(n_1 + 2, n_2 + n_3 + 3))\}$$

if  $n_1 + 2 \ge n_2 + n_3 + 3$ , and

$$LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)) < \min\{LEE(S_n(n_1 + n_2 + 3, n_3 + 2)), LEE(S_n(n_2 + n_3 + 3, n_1 + 2))\}$$

if  $n_1 + 2 < n_2 + n_3 + 3$ .

Clearly,  $n_1 + n_2 + 3 > n_3 + 2$  as  $n_1 \ge n_3$ . If  $n_3 + 2 \ge 4$ , i.e.,  $n_3 \ge 2$ , then by Lemma 5,  $LEE(S_n(n_1 + n_2 + 3, n_3 + 2)) \le LEE(S_n(n - 4, 4))$ , implying that  $LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)) < LEE(S_n(n - 4, 4))$ .

If  $n_1 + 2 \ge 4$  and  $n_2 + n_3 + 3 \ge 4$ , i.e.,  $n_1 \ge 2$  and  $n_2 + n_3 \ge 1$ , then by Lemma 5,  $LEE(S_n(n_1 + 2, n_2 + n_3 + 3)) \le LEE(S_n(n - 4, 4))$  if  $n_1 + 2 \ge n_2 + n_3 + 3$ , and  $LEE(S_n(n_2 + n_3 + 3, n_1 + 2)) \le LEE(S_n(n - 4, 4))$  if  $n_1 + 2 < n_2 + n_3 + 3$ , implying that  $LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)) < LEE(S_n(n - 4, 4))$ .

We are left to consider the cases  $n_3 \leq 1$ , and  $n_1 \leq 1$  or  $n_2 + n_3 \leq 0$ . Obviously, the case  $n_2 + n_3 \leq 0$  does not hold since  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \neq (n - 5, 0, 0)$ . We need only to consider the cases  $n_3 \leq 1$  and  $n_1 \leq 1$ .

It is easily seen that  $P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)$  has an  $(n_1 + n_3 + 3, n_2 + 2)$ -bipartition if  $n_1 + n_3 + 3 \ge n_2 + 2$ , and an  $(n_2 + 2, n_1 + n_3 + 3)$ -bipartition if  $n_1 + n_3 + 3 < n_2 + 2$ . Note that the case  $n_1, n_3 = 0$  does not hold as  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \ne (0, n - 5, 0)$ , and thus  $n_1 + n_3 + 3 \ge 4$ . If  $n_2 + 2 \ge 4$ , i.e.,  $n_2 \ge 2$ , then by Theorem 1 and Lemma 5,  $LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)) < LEE(S_n(n - 4, 4)).$ 

Thus the remaining cases are  $n_1, n_2, n_3 \leq 1$ , recall that  $n \geq 8$ , implying that it only can be  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 1$ . By direct calculation,  $LEE(P_8(1, 1, 1)) < LEE(S_8(4, 4))$ . Then the result follows.

**Theorem 2.** The Laplacian Estrada indices of n-vertex trees with  $n \ge 8$  may be ordered by the following inequalities, where G is an n-vertex tree different from any other tree in the inequalities:

$$LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < LEE(P_n(n-5,0,0)) < LEE(P_n(0,n-5,0)) < LEE(S_n(n-3,3)) < LEE(S_n(n-2,2)) < LEE(S_n(n-1,1)).$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $S_n(n-1,1)$  and  $S_n(n-2,2)$  are respectively the unique trees with maximum and the second maximum Laplacian Estrada indices [18]. Let G be an *n*-vertex tree different from  $S_n(n-1,1)$  and  $S_n(n-2,2)$ . Obviously, the diameter of G is at least three.

Suppose that the diameter of G is three. Then  $G \cong S_n(a, b)$  for some integers a, b with  $a+b=n, a \ge b \ge 3$ . If  $b \ge 5$ , then by Lemma 5,  $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < LEE(S_n(n-3,3))$ .

If G is a caterpillar of diameter four (i.e.,  $G \cong P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ ) different from  $P_n(n-5, 0, 0), P_n(0, n-5, 0)$ , then by Lemma 9,  $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4, 4))$ .

Suppose that G is a non-caterpillar of diameter four. Suppose that G has a (p,q)-bipartition, where  $p \ge q \ge 3$ . If  $q \ge 4$ , then by Theorem 1 and Lemma 5,  $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4,4))$ . Suppose that q = 3. Let  $P = u_0u_1u_2u_3u_4$  be a diametrical path of G. Then G is a tree obtained by attaching  $x_1$  pendent vertices to  $u_1$ , a star  $S_{x_2}(x_2 - 1, 1)$  at its center to  $u_2$ , and  $x_3$  pendent vertices to  $u_3$ , where  $x_1 \ge x_3 \ge 0$ ,  $x_2 \ge 2$ . If  $x_1 \ge 1$ , then by Lemmas 4 and 9,  $LEE(G) < LEE(P_n(x_1, x_2, x_3)) < LEE(S_n(n-4, 4))$ . Suppose that  $x_1 = 0$ . Then  $x_3 = 0$ . If n = 8, then by Lemmas 3 and 9,  $LEE(G) < LEE(P_8(1, 1, 1)) < LEE(S_8(4, 4))$ . If  $n \ge 9$ , then by Lemmas 4 and 5,  $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4, 4))$ .

If the diameter of G is at least five, then by Lemma 4

$$LEE(G) < LEE(P_n(n_1, n_2, n_3))$$

for some non-negative integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  with  $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \neq (n-5, 0, 0), (0, n-5, 0),$ and thus by Lemma 9,  $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4, 4)).$ 

We have shown that  $LEE(G) < LEE(S_n(n-4,4))$  if  $G \not\cong S_n(n-3,3)$ ,  $S_n(n-4,4)$ ,  $P_n(n-5,0,0)$ ,  $P_n(0,n-5,0)$ . By Lemmas 7, 8 and 3,

$$LEE(S_n(n-4,4)) < LEE(P_n(n-5,0,0)) < LEE(P_n(0,n-5,0)) < LEE(S_n(n-3,3)).$$

Then the result follows.

References

- H. Bamdad, F. Ashraf, I. Gutman, Lower bounds for Estrada index and Laplacian Estrada index, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010) 739–742.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, A lower bound for Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph-proof of a conjecture by Guo, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008) 2131– 2135.
- [3] D. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs Theory and Application, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [4] H. Deng, A proof of a conjecture on the Estrada index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62 (2009) 599–606.
- [5] H. Deng, J. Zhang, A note on the Laplacian Estrada index of trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 63 (2010) 777–782.
- [6] Z. Du, B. Zhou, On the Estrada index of graphs with given number of cut edges, Elec. J. Linear Algebra 22 (2011) 586–592.
- [7] E. Estrada, Characterization of 3D molecular structure, Chem. Phys. Lett. 319 (2000) 713-718.
- [8] E. Estrada, Characterization of the folding degree of proteins, Bioinformatics 18 (2002) 697–704.
- [9] E. Estrada, Characterization of the amino acid contribution to the folding degree of proteins, Proteins 54 (2004) 727–737.
- [10] E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez–Valázquez, Subgraph centrality in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 71 (2005) 056103–1–9.
- [11] E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez–Valázquez, Spectral measures of bipartivity in complex networks, Phys. Rev. E 72 (2005) 046105–1–6.
- [12] E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez–Valázquez, M. Randić, Atomic branching in molecules, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 106 (2006) 823–832.

- [13] J.A. de la Peña, I. Gutman, J. Rada, Estimating the Estrada index, Linear Algebra Appl. 427 (2007) 70–76.
- [14] G.H. Fath-Tabar, A.R. Ashrafi, I. Gutman, Note on Estrada and L-Estrada indices of graphs, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. 139 (2009) 1–16.
- [15] I. Gutman, E. Estrada, J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On a graph-spectrumbased structure descriptor, Croat. Chem. Acta 80 (2007) 151–154.
- [16] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, V. Marković, B. Glišić, Alkanes with greatest Estrada index, Z. Naturforsch. 62a (2007) 495–498.
- [17] A. Ilić, D. Stevanović, The Estrada index of chemical trees, J. Math. Chem. 47 (2010) 305–314.
- [18] A. Ilić, B. Zhou, Laplacian Estrada index of trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 63 (2010) 769–776.
- [19] R. Merris, Laplacian matrices of graphs: A survey, Linear Algebra Appl. 197–198 (1994) 143–176.
- [20] J. Zhang, B. Zhou, J. Li, On Estrada index of trees, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011) 215–223.
- [21] B. Zhou, On Estrada index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 (2008) 485–492.
- [22] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, More on the Laplacian Estrada index, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 3 (2009) 371–378.
- [23] B. Zhou, On sum of powers of Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian Estrada index of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62 (2009) 611–619.

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, P. R. China *E-mail*: zhibindu@126.com