Filomat 27:7 (2013), 1305–1314 DOI 10.2298/FIL1307305K Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Nonunique fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces

Erdal Karapınar^a, Salvador Romaguera^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Atilim University 06836, Incek Ankara, Turkey ^bInstituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camí de Vera s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain

Abstract. In this paper we prove the existence of fixed points of certain self-maps in the context of partial metric spaces. In fact, the fixed point theorems presented here can be considered as a continuation, in part, of the works of L.B. Ćirić on the existence of fixed points but not uniqueness in the realm of metric spaces. Our results generalize, enrich and improve earlier results on the topic in the literature.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Fixed point theory has been a subject of great interest since its wide application potential in nonlinear functional analysis. The existence and uniqueness of fixed points of operators in metric spaces have been studied intensively by many authors since the report of Banach [9] on the topic appeared in 1922. In this celebrated paper, Banach proved that every contraction in a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Following this initial paper, a number of fixed point theorems proved in various types of abstract spaces such as metric spaces, quasi-metric spaces, cone metric spaces, Menger spaces, fuzzy metric spaces, *b*-metric spaces, *G*-metric spaces (see e.g. [1, 10, 12–15, 18, 19]). Following this trend, Matthews [30] introduced a new abstract space called partial metric space. In this distinguished paper, the author proved a fixed point theorem which is an analog of the Banach contraction mapping principle. Later some interested authors showed that partial metric spaces have many applications both in mathematics and computer science (see. e.g. [28, 29, 33, 37–39]). Recently, some more results on fixed point theory on partial metric spaces appeared in [2, 3, 6, 7, 17, 20–27, 32, 35, 36, 41], etc.

In the sequel, the letters \mathbb{R}^+ , \mathbb{N} and ω will denote the set of non-negative real numbers, the set of positive integer numbers and the set of non-negative integer numbers, respectively.

The definition of partial metric space is given by Matthews [30] as follows:

Definition 1.1. A partial metric on a (non-empty) set X is a function $p : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

(P1) $x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y),$ (P2) $p(x, x) \le p(x, y),$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 46T99, 54H25, 54E50

Keywords. nonunique fixed point, partial metric space

Received: 10 October 2012; Accepted: 11 April 2013

Communicated by Dejan B. Ilić

The second author thanks the support of the Universitat Politècnica de València, Grant PAID-06-12-SP20120471.

Email addresses: erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com,ekarapinar@atilim.edu.tr (Erdal Karapınar), sromague@mat.upv.es (Salvador Romaguera)

 $\begin{array}{l} (P3) \ p(x,y) = p(y,x), \\ (P4) \ p(x,y) \leq p(x,z) + p(z,y) - p(z,z). \end{array}$

Then, the pair (*X*, *p*) is called a partial metric space. Observe that from (*P*1) and (*P*2) it follows that if p(x, y) = 0 then x = y.

Example 1.2. (See e.g. [30, 31]) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^+$ and p on X defined by $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, p) is a partial metric space.

Example 1.3. (See e.g. [26, 40]) Let (X, d) and (X, p) be a metric space and a partial metric space, respectively. Functions $\rho_i : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) given by

 $\begin{aligned} \rho_1(x,y) &= d(x,y) + p(x,y), \\ \rho_2(x,y) &= d(x,y) + \max\{u(x),u(y)\}, \\ \rho_3(x,y) &= d(x,y) + a, \end{aligned}$

define partial metrics on X, where u : $X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *is an arbitrary function and a* ≥ 0 *.*

Example 1.4. (See [30, 31]) Let $X = \{[a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \le b\}$ and define $p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}$. *Then* (X, p) *is a partial metric space.*

Example 1.5. (See [30]) Let $X = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ and define $p : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $p(x, y) = \begin{cases} \max\{x, y\}, & \{x, y\} \cap [2, 3] \neq \emptyset, \\ |x - y|, & \{x, y\} \subset [0, 1]. \end{cases}$ Then (X, p) is a partial metric space.

Each partial metric p on a set X induces a T_0 topology τ_p on X, which has as a base the family of open p-balls $\{B_p(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0\}$ where $B_p(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \epsilon\}$ for all $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

From the definition of the topology τ_p it immediately follows that a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a partial metric space (X, p) converges to a point $x \in X$ $(x_n \to x, \text{ in short})$ with respect to τ_p if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x, x_n)$.

Notice that the limit of a sequence in partial metric space is not necessarily unique. For example, consider the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the partial metric space defined in Example 1.2. It is clear that

$$p(1,1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p\left(1, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$$
 and $p(2,2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p\left(2, \frac{1}{n+1}\right)$.

However, under certain conditions, the limit of a sequence is unique as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 1.6. (See e.g [26, 40]) Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \to x$ and $x_n \to y$ with respect to τ_p . If

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}p(x_n,x_n)=p(x,x)=p(y,y),$

then x = y.

The usual metric spaces are closely connected to partial metric spaces. One can easily show (see e.g. [31]) that the function $d_p : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined as

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y),$$
(1)

is a metric on *X*.

The functions d_m^p and p_0 defined on $X \times X$ by

1306

$$d_m^p(x,y) = \max\{p(x,y) - p(x,x), p(x,y) - p(y,y)\} = p(x,y) - \min\{p(x,x), p(y,y)\},$$
(2)

and by $p_0(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $p_0(x, y) = p(x, y)$ for $x \neq y$, are also metrics on X (see [5] and [16], respectively).

Observe that if *p* is a metric on *X* then $p = d_m^p$.

The following topological inclusions are well-known and easy to check: $\tau_p \subseteq \tau_{d_p} = \tau_{d_p^m} \subseteq \tau_{p_0}$. Furthermore, the following equivalence will be useful later on:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_p(x, x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} p(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \to \infty} p(x_n, x_m).$$
(3)

Note that in the partial metric space (X, p) of Example 1.2 both d_p and d_p^m are the Euclidean metric on X. Some fundamental concepts like Cauchy sequence and completeness in a partial metric space are defined in the next.

Definition 1.7. (*See e.g.*[25, 30, 31]) *Let* (*X*, *p*) *be a partial metric space.*

- 1. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.
- 2. (X, p) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges with respect to τ_p to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = \lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m)$.

The following lemma provides nice characterizations of Cauchyness and completeness for partial metric spaces. Its proof is easily accessible in the literature or can be derived by elementary means.

Lemma 1.8. (*See* [31]) *Let* (*X*, *p*) *be a partial metric space.*

- 1. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, d_p) .
- 2. (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, d_p) is complete.

The partial metric spaces of Example 1.2, Example 1.4 and Example 1.5 are complete. In our context the following characterization will be useful.

Lemma 1.9. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only *if it satisfies the following condition:*

(*) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p(x_n, x_m) - p(x_n, x_n) < \varepsilon$ whenever $n_0 \le n \le m$.

Proof. We first prove the "if" part. Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in (X, p) satisfying (*). We shall show that then the sequence $\{p(x_n, x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^+ . Indeed, let $\varepsilon = 1$. Then, by (*), there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p(x_n, x_n) \le p(x_{n_0}, x_n) < 1 + p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0})$ whenever $n \ge n_0$. Thus, the sequence $\{p(x_n, x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in \mathbb{R}^+ , so it has a subsequence $\{p(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges to an $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for the Euclidean metric. Now choose an $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that condition (*) is satisfied whenever $m \ge n \ge n_{k_0}$, and condition $|p(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) - a| < \varepsilon$ also holds for all $k \ge k_0$. Take any $n \ge n_{k_0}$. Then, we have

 $p(x_n, x_n) - a \le p(x_n, x_{n_{k_0}}) - a < \varepsilon + p(x_{n_{k_0}}, x_{n_{k_0}}) - a < 2\varepsilon$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_k \ge n$, we deduce that

 $a-p(x_n,x_n)<\varepsilon+p(x_{n_k},x_{n_k})-p(x_n,x_n)<2\varepsilon.$

Consequently $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n, x_n) = a$. Then, by (*), it immediately follows that $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} p(x_n, x_m) = a$. We conclude that $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p).

The converse follows from Lemma 1.8.

1307

Lemma 1.10. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences in X such that $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \to y$ with respect to τ_{d_p} . Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}p(x_n,y_n)=p(x,y).$$

For our purposes, we need to recall the following notion

Definition 1.11. (cf. [11]) Let (X, p) be a partial metric space and T a self-map of X.

1. *T* is called orbitally continuous if

$$\lim_{i,j\to\infty} p(T^{n_i}x,T^{n_j}x) = \lim_{i\to\infty} p(T^{n_i}x,z) = p(z,z),$$
(4)

implies

$$\lim_{i,j\to\infty} p(TT^{n_i}x,TT^{n_j}x) = \lim_{i\to\infty} p(TT^{n_i}x,Tz) = p(Tz,Tz),$$
(5)

for each $x \in X$.

Equivalently, T is orbitally continuous provided that if $T^{n_i}x \to z$ with respect to τ_{d_p} , then $T^{n_i+1}x \to Tz$ with respect to τ_{d_n} , for each $x \in X$.

2. (X, p) is called orbitally complete if every Cauchy sequence of type $\{T^{n_i}x\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges with respect to τ_{d_p} , that is, if there is $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{i,j\to\infty} p(T^{n_i}x,T^{n_j}x) = \lim_{i\to\infty} p(T^{n_i}x,z) = p(z,z).$$
(6)

In this paper, we prove some non-unique fixed point theorems for certain type of self-maps in the context of partial metric spaces. In fact, the fixed point theorems presented here can be considered as a continuation, in part, of the work of Ćirić [11], that is, the given theorems investigate conditions only for the existence of fixed points but not uniqueness. Our results generalize, enrich and improve some earlier results on the topic in the literature (see e.g. [4, 11, 22, 34]). We also give examples that show the advantages of using partial metric spaces instead of metric spaces in this context.

2. The results

In this section we give some non-unique fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces and present some examples illustrating our results.

Theorem 2.1. Let *T* be an orbitally continuous self-map of a *T*-orbitally complete partial metric space (X, p). If there is $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\min\{p(Tx, Ty), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d_m^p(x, Ty), d_m^p(Tx, y)\} \le k(p(x, y) - p(x, x)) + p(y, y),$$
(7)

for all $x, y \in X$, then for each $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges with respect to τ_{d_p} to a fixed point of T.

Proof. Take an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$. We define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ as follows:

 $x_{n+1} = Tx_n, \quad n \in \omega.$

If there exists $n_0 \in \omega$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$, then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of *T*. Assume then that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Substituting $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$ in (7) we find the inequality

 $\min\{p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} - \min\{d_m^p(x_n, x_{n+2}), d_m^p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}$ $\leq k(p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_n, x_n)) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}).$

Substituting now $x = x_{n+1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (7), we obtain

$$\min\{p(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_n, x_{n+1})\} - \min\{d_m^p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), d_m^p(x_{n+2}, x_n)\}$$

$$\leq k(p(x_{n+1}, x_n) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) + p(x_n, x_n),$$

which imply that

$$\min\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} \leq k(p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_n, x_n)) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),$$
(8)

and

$$\min\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} \leq k(p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) + p(x_n, x_n).$$
(9)

Suppose $p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}) \le p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2})$ for some $n_0 \in \omega$. Then, from the preceding two inequalities we deduce that

$$(1-k)p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}) \leq \min\{p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1}) - kp(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}), p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}) - kp(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1})\}$$

If, for instance, $p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1}) \le p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0})$, we have

$$(1-k)p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}) \leq p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1}) - kp(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0})$$

$$\leq (1-k)p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1})$$

$$\leq (1-k)p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}),$$

so, by using (P2), $p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}) = p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}) = p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1})$, and hence $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$, a contradiction.

Therefore $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) > p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ for all $n \in \omega$.

Hence, by (8) we get

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k(p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_n, x_n)) \\ \leq k^2(p(x_{n-1}, x_n) - p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})) \\ \leq \dots \leq k^{n+1}((p(x_0, x_1) - p(x_0, x_0)),$$
(10)

for all $n \in \omega$.

We shall show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p). Indeed, let $n, m \in \omega$ with n < m. Then, by using (10) and (*P*4), we derive that

$$p(x_n, x_m) - p(x_n, x_n) \leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) - \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} p(x_k, x_k)$$

$$\leq (k^n + \dots + k^{m-1}) p(x_0, x_1).$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ satisfies condition (*) of Lemma 1.9, so it is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p). Since $x_n = T^n x_0$ for all n, and (X, p) is T-orbitally complete, there is $z \in X$ such that $x_n \to z$ with respect to τ_{d_p} . By the orbital continuity of T, we deduce that $x_n \to Tz$ with respect to τ_{d_p} . Hence z = Tz which concludes the proof.

Corollary 2.2. [11, Theorem 1]. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map of a T-orbitally complete metric space (X, d). If there is $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\min\{d(Tx, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} \le kd(x, y),$$
(11)

for all $x, y \in X$, then for each $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point of T.

1309

The following are examples where Theorem 2.1 can be applied but not Corollary 2.2 for the metrics d_p and d_m^p , and p_0 , respectively.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and let p be the partial metric on X given by $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define $T : X \to X$ by T0 = T1 = 0 and T2 = 1. Since (X, p) is complete, then it is T-orbitally complete. Moreover, it is obvious that T is orbitally continuous. An easy computation shows that

$$\min\{p(Tx, Ty), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d_m^p(x, Ty), d_m^p(Tx, y)\} \le \frac{1}{2}(p(x, y) - p(x, x)) + p(y, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. However,

 $\min\{d_p(T1, T2), d_p(1, T1), d_p(2, T2)\} - \min\{d_p(1, T2), d_p(T1, 2)\} = 1 - 0 = 1 > k = kd_p(1, 2),$

for any $k \in (0, 1)$ *, so Corollary 2.2 cannot be applied to the complete metric space* (X, d_p) *. In fact, it cannot be applied to* (X, d_m^p) *, because* $d_m^p = d_p$ *, in this case.*

Example 2.4. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ and let p be the partial metric on X given by $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define $T : X \to X$ by Tx = (x + 1)/2 for all $x \in X$. Since (X, p) is complete, then it is T-orbitally complete. Obviously T is continuous with respect to τ_{d_n} , so it is orbitally continuous.

Next we show that T satisfies the contraction condition (7) for any $k \in (0, 1)$. We distinguish two cases for $x, y \in X$: Case 1. x = y. Then

$$\min\{p(Tx, Ty), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d_m^p(x, Ty), d_m^p(Tx, y)\}\$$

= $\min\{\frac{x+1}{2}, x, x\} - (x - \frac{x+1}{2}) = 1$
 $\leq x = p(x, x) = k((p(x, y) - p(x, x)) + p(y, y).$

Case 2. $x \neq y$. We assume without loss of generality that x > y. If $Tx \ge y$, we have

$$\min\{p(Tx, Ty), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d_m^p(x, Ty), d_m^p(Tx, y)\}$$
$$= \min\{\frac{x+1}{2}, x, y\} - \min\{x - \frac{y+1}{2}, \frac{x+1}{2} - y\}$$
$$= y - \left(\frac{x+1}{2} - y\right) = 2y - \frac{x+1}{2}$$
$$\leq y = p(y, y) = k((p(x, y) - p(x, x)) + p(y, y).$$

If Tx < y, we have

$$\min \{p(Tx, Ty), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d_m^p(x, Ty), d_m^p(Tx, y)\}\$$

$$= \min\left\{\frac{x+1}{2}, x, y\right\} - \min\left\{x - \frac{y+1}{2}, y - \frac{x+1}{2}\right\}\$$

$$= \frac{x+1}{2} - \left(y - \frac{x+1}{2}\right) = x + 1 - y\$$

$$< y = p(y, y) = k((p(x, y) - p(x, x)) + p(y, y).$$

Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. In fact T has a (unique) fixed point, x = 1*.*

Finally, we show that Corollary 2.2 cannot be applied to the self-map T and the complete metric space (X, p_0) . Indeed, given $k \in (0, 1)$, choose x > 1 such that x + 1 > 2kx, and let y = Tx. Then

$$\min\{p_0(Tx, Ty), p_0(x, Tx), p_0(y, Ty)\} - \min\{p_0(x, Ty), p_0(Tx, y)\}$$
$$= \min\{\frac{x+1}{2}, x\} - \min\{x, 0\} = \frac{x+1}{2} > kx = kp_0(x, y).$$

Hence, the contraction condition (11) is not satisfied.

Our next result extends [11, Theorem 3] to partial metric spaces.

Theorem 2.5. Let *T* be an orbitally continuous self-map of a partial metric space (X, p). Suppose that *T* satisfies the inequality

$$\min\{p(Tx, Ty), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty)\} - \min\{d_m^p(x, Ty), d_m^p(Tx, y)\} < p(x, y) - p(x, x) + p(y, y),$$
(12)

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. If for some $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ has a cluster point $z \in X$ with respect to τ_{d_p} , then z is a fixed point of T.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be such that the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ has a cluster point $z \in X$ with respect to τ_{d_p} . Define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ as $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n \in \omega$.

If there exists $n_0 \in \omega$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$, then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of *T*. Assume then that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \omega$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, substituting $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$ in (12) we find the inequality

 $\min\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} < p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_n, x_n) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),$

and substituting $x = x_{n+1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (12), we obtain

$$\min\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} < p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x_n)$$

If $p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}) \le p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2})$ for some $n_0 \in \omega$, we deduce from the preceding two inequalities that $p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0}) < p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1})$ and $p(x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1}) < p(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0})$, respectively, a contradiction.

Consequently $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) > p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ for all $n \in \omega$, and thus the sequence $\{p(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0)\}_{n \in \omega}$ is convergent. Since $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ has a cluster point $z \in X$ with respect to τ_{d_p} , then there is a subsequence $\{T^{n_i} x_0\}_{i \in \omega}$ of $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ which converges to z with respect to τ_{d_p} . By the orbital continuity of T we have $T^{n_i+1} x_0 \to Tz$ with respect to τ_{d_p} , so by Lemma 1.10,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} p(T^{n_i} x_0, T^{n_i+1} x_0) = p(z, Tz).$$
(13)

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) = p(z, Tz).$$
(14)

Again, by the orbital continuity of *T* we have $T^{n_i+2}x_0 \rightarrow T^2z$ with respect to τ_{d_v} and hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} p(T^{n+1}x_0, T^{n+2}x_0) = p(Tz, T^2z),$$

so

$$p(Tz, T^2z) = p(z, Tz).$$
 (15)

Assume $Tz \neq z$, that is, p(z, Tz) > 0. So, one can replace *x* and *y* with *z* and *Tz*, respectively, in (12) to deduce that

 $\min\{p(z, Tz), p(Tz, T^2z)\} < p(z, Tz),$

which yields that $p(Tz, T^2z) < p(z, Tz)$. This contradicts the equality (15). Thus, Tz = z. The proof is complete.

Motivated by Ćirić's theorems [11], Pachpatte proved in [34, Theorem 1] that if *T* is an orbitally continuous self-map of a *T*-orbitally complete metric space (*X*, *d*) such that there is $k \in (0, 1)$ with

$$\min\{[d(Tx, Tx)]^2, d(x, y)d(Tx, Ty), [d(Ty, y)]^2\} - \min\{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty)d(y, Tx)\} \le kd(x, Tx)d(Ty, y)$$

1312 (16)

for all $x, y \in X$, then for each $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point of *T*.

However, Pachpatte's theorem has a very limited field of application since under its conditions, if we denote by *z* any fixed point of *T*, it follows that for each $y \in X$, Ty = z or Ty = y. Indeed, let $y \neq z$ and suppose $Ty \neq z$. Then from

 $\min\{[d(Tz, Ty)]^2, d(z, y)d(Tz, Ty), [d(y, Ty)]^2\} - \min\{d(z, Tz)d(y, Ty), d(z, Ty)d(y, Tz)\} \le kd(z, Tz)d(y, Ty), d(z, Ty)d(y, Tz)\}$

it follows

 $\min\{[d(z, Ty)]^2, d(z, y)d(z, Ty), [d(y, Ty)]^2\} = 0.$

Hence d(y, Ty) = 0, i.e., y = Ty.

In our next result we modify the contraction condition (16) and thus obtain a new fixed point theorem that avoids the incovenient indicated above. In fact, this will be done in the more general setting of partial metric spaces and, to this end, the following notation will be used: If p is a partial metric on a set X we denote by p' the function defined on $X \times X$ by p'(x, y) = p(x, y) - p(x, x) for all $x, y \in X$. (Of course, p' = p whenever p is a metric on X.)

Theorem 2.6. Let *T* be an orbitally continuous self-map of a *T*-orbitally complete partial metric space (X, p). If there is $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $\min\{[p'(x,Tx)]^2, p'(x,y)p'(Tx,Ty), [p'(y,Ty)]^2\} - \min\{d_m^p(x,Tx)d_m^p(y,Ty), d_m^p(x,Ty)d_m^p(y,Tx)\} \le k \min\{p'(x,Tx)p'(y,Ty), [p'(x,y)]^2\},$ (17)

for all $x, y \in X$, then for each $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges with respect to τ_{d_p} to a fixed point of T.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, take an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ and define the iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ as $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n \in \omega$.

If there exists $n_0 \in \omega$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$, then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of *T*. Assume then that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Substituting $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$ in (17) we find the inequality

$$\min\{[p'(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2, p'(x_n, x_{n+1})p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), [p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})]^2\} \le k \min\{p'(x_n, x_{n+1})p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), [p'(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2\}.$$
(18)

By (18) we deduce that

- $\min\{[p'(x_n, x_{n+1})]^2, p'(x_n, x_{n+1})p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), [p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})]^2\}$
- $= [p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})]^2,$

and hence

 $p'(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \le kp'(x_n, x_{n+1}),$

for all $n \in \omega$. Therefore

 $p(x_n, x_{n+1}) - p(x_n, x_n) \le k^n (p(x_0, x_1) - p(x_0, x_0)),$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we deduce that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is Cauchy in (X, p). Since $x_n = T^n x_0$ for all n, and (X, p) is T-orbitally complete, there is $z \in X$ such that $x_n \to z$ with respect to τ_{d_p} . By the orbital continuity of T, we deduce that $x_n \to Tz$ with respect to τ_{d_p} . Hence z = Tz which concludes the proof.

Corollary 2.7. Let T be an orbitally continuous self-map of a T-orbitally complete metric space (X, d). If there is $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

 $\min\{[d(x,Tx)]^2, d(x,y)d(Tx,Ty), [d(y,Ty)]^2\} - \min\{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty), d(x,Ty)d(y,Tx)\} \le k \min\{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty), [d(x,y)]^2\},$ (19)

for all $x, y \in X$, then for each $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \omega}$ converges to a fixed point of *T*.

Remark 2.8. Note that if (X, p) is the complete partial metric space of Example 1.2, then each orbitally continuous self-map T of X such that $Tx \le x$ for all $x \in X$ has a fixed point. Indeed, for such a T we have p'(x, Tx) = 0 for all $x \in X$, so condition (17) in Theorem 2.6, is trivially satisfied.

The following is an example where Theorem 2.6 can be applied but not Corollary 2.7 for any of the metrics d_v , d_m^p and p_0 .

Example 2.9. Let (X, p) be the partial metric space of Example 1.2. Define $T : X \to X$ by Tx = x - 1 if $x \ge 2$ and Tx = 0 if x < 1. Then T is orbitally continuous because for each $x \in X$ one has $T^n x \to 0$ with respect to τ_{d_p} , and T0 = 0. Moreover, by Remark 2.8 the contraction condition (17) is also satisfied, and thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.6 hold.

Now take $x \ge 3$ *and* y = Tx. *Then* x - y = 1*, and* $y \ge 2$ *. Hence*

 $\min\{[d_p(x,Tx)]^2, d_p(x,y)d_p(Tx,Ty), [d_p(y,Ty)]^2\} - \min\{d_p(x,Tx)d_p(y,Ty), d_p(x,Ty)d_p(y,Tx)\} \\ = \min\{1, (x-y)^2, 1\} - 0 = 1 \\ = \min\{d_p(x,Tx)d_p(y,Ty), [d_p(x,y)]^2\}.$

Therefore, condition (19) is not satisfied for any $k \in (0, 1)$ *, so we cannot apply Corollary 2.7 to* (X, d_p) *(and thus to* (X, d_m^p) *and the self-map T.*

Finally, given $k \in (0, 1)$, choose $x \ge 3$ with x > 1/(1 - k), and y = Tx. Then

$$\min\{[p_0(x, Tx)]^2, p_0(x, y)p_0(Tx, Ty), [p_0(y, Ty)]^2\} - \min\{p_0(x, Tx)p_0(y, Ty), p_0(x, Ty)p_0(y, Tx)\} \\ = \min\{x^2, x(x-1), (x-1)^2\} - 0 = (x-1)^2 \\ > kx(x-1) \\ = k\min\{p_0(x, Tx)p_0(y, Ty), [p_0(x, y)]^2\}.$$

Therefore, we cannot apply Corollary 2.7 to (X, p_0) *and the self-map* T (*note that, in fact,* T *is orbitally continuous for* (X, p_0)).

References

- [1] M. Abbas, T. Nazir and P. Vetro, Common fixed point results for three maps in G-metric spaces, Filomat 25:4 (2011), 117.
- [2] T. Abedelljawad, E. Karapınar and K. Taş, Existence and uniqueness of common fixed point on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 1894–1899.
- [3] T. Abedelljawad, E. Karapınar and K. Taş, A generalized contraction principle with control functions on partial metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (2012), 716–719.
- [4] J. Achari, Results on non-unique fixed points, Publications de L'Institut Mathématique 26 (1978), 5-9.
- [5] I. Altun and O. Acar, Fixed point theorems for weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces, Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 2642-2648.
- [6] I. Altun and H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on dualistic partial metric spaces, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 1 (2008), 1–8.
- [7] I. Altun, F. Sola and H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology Appl. 157 (2010), 2778–2785. [8] H. Aydi, E. Karapınar and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point results for (ψ , φ)-weakly contractive condition in ordered partial
- [6] A. Fydy, D. Fallepindi and M. Frankland, Coopera inter point results for (\$\vec{y}\$, \$\vec{y}\$) weakly conductive conductive condition in ordered partial metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011), 4449–4460.
 [9] S. Banach, Surles operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fund. Math. 3 (1922),
- [5] 5. balach, bulles operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fund. Matt. 5 (1922)
 133-181.
- [10] J.Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (1976), 241-251.
- [11] L.B. Ćirić, On some maps with a nonunique fixed point, Publications de L'Institut Mathématique 17 (1974), 52–58.
- [12] H.S. Ding and L. Li, Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered cone metric spaces, Filomat 25:2 (2011), 137–149.
- [13] O. Hadžić and E. Pap, A fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces and an application in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 127 (2002), 333–344.

- [14] T.L. Hicks, Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 13 (1983), 63–72.
- [15] T.L.Hicks, Fixed point theorems for quasi-metric spaces, Math. Japonica, 33 (1988), 231-236.
- [16] P. Hitzler and A. Seda, Mathematical Aspects of Logic Programming Semantics, Studies in Informatics Series, Chapman and Hall, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group (2011).
- [17] D. Ilić, V. Pavlović and V. Rakočević, Some new extensions of Banach's contraction principle to partial metric space, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 1326–1330.
- [18] S. Janković, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, On cone metric spaces: A survey, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 2591–2601.
- [19] E. Karapınar, Fixed point theorems in cone Banach spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2009 (2009), Article ID 609281, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2009/609281.
- [20] E. Karapınar, A note on common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces, Miskolc Math. Notes 12 (2011), 185–191.
- [21] E. Karapınar, Some fixed point theorems on the class of comparable partial metric spaces on comparable partial metric spaces, Appl. Gen. Topology 12 (2011), 187–192.
- [22] E. Karapınar, Ćirić types nonunique fixed point theorems on partial metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. 5 (2012), 74-83.
- [23] E. Karapınar, Weak ϕ -contraction on partial metric spaces, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 14 (2012), 206–210.
- [24] E. Karapınar and I. M. Erhan, Cyclic Contractions and Fixed Point Theorems, Filomat 26:4 (2012), 777-782.
- [25] E. Karapınar and I. M. Erhan, Fixed point theorems for operators on partial metric spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 1900–1904.
- [26] E. Karapınar, N. Shobkolaei, S. Sedghi and S.M. Vaezpour, A common fixed point theorem for cyclic operators on partial metric spaces, Filomat 26:3 (2012), 407–414.
- [27] E. Karapınar and U.Yuksel, Some common fixed point theorems in partial metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. Volume 2011, Article ID 263621, 17 pages.
- [28] R. Kopperman, S.G. Matthews, and H. Pajoohesh: What do partial metrics represent?, Spatial representation: discrete vs. continuous computational models, Dagstuhl Seminar Proceedings, No. 04351, Internationales Begegnungs- und Forschungszentrum für Informatik (IBFI), Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany, (2005).
- [29] H.P.A. Künzi, H. Pajoohesh, and M.P. Schellekens: Partial quasi-metrics, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 365 (2006), 237-246.
- [30] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Research Report 212, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Warwick, (1992).
- [31] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 728 (1994). 183–197.
- [32] S. Oltra and O. Valero, Banach's fixed point theorem for partial metric spaces, Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste 36 (2004), 17–26.
- [33] S.J. O'Neill, Two topologies are better than one, Tech. report, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK (1995).
- [34] B.G. Pachpatte, On Ćirić type maps with a nonunique fixed point, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1979), 1039–1043.
- [35] S. Romaguera, Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 194-199.
- [36] S. Romaguera, Matkowski's type theorems for generalized contractions on (ordered) partial metric spaces, Appl. Gen. Topology, 12 (2011), 213–220.
- [37] S. Romaguera and M. Schellekens, Partial metric monoids and semivaluation spaces, Topology Appl. 153 (2005), 948-962.
- [38] S. Romaguera and O. Valero, A quantitative computational model for complete partial metric spaces via formal balls, Math. Struct. Comp. Sci. 19 (2009), 541-563.
- [39] M.P. Schellekens, A characterization of partial metrizability: domains are quantifiable, Theoret. Comp. Sci. 305 (2003), 409-432.
 [40] N. Shobkolaei, S.M. Vaezpour and S. Sedghi, A common fixed point theorem on ordered partial metric spaces, J. Basic. Appl. Sci.
- Res. 1 (2011), 3433-3439.
- [41] O. Valero, On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces, Appl. Gen. Topology 6 (2005), 229-240.