

Almost Increasing Sequences and Their New Applications II

Hüseyin Bor^a

^aP. O. Box 121, TR-06502 Bahçelievler, Ankara, Turkey

Abstract. In this paper, we generalize a known theorem dealing with $|C, \alpha|_k$ summability factors to the $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ summability factors of infinite series. This theorem also includes some known and new results.

1. Introduction

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be an almost increasing sequence if there exists a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums (s_n) . We denote by $t_n^{\alpha, \beta}$ the n th Cesàro mean of order (α, β) , with $\alpha + \beta > -1$, of the sequence (na_n) , that is (see [5])

$$t_n^{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^{\beta} v a_v, \quad (1)$$

where

$$A_n^{\alpha+\beta} = O(n^{\alpha+\beta}), \quad A_0^{\alpha+\beta} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{-n}^{\alpha+\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0. \quad (2)$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k-1} |t_n^{\alpha, \beta}|^k < \infty. \quad (3)$$

If we take $\delta = 0$, then $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$ summability (see [6]). If we set $\beta = 0$ and $\delta = 0$, then $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, \alpha|_k$ summability (see [7]).

Also, if we take $\beta = 0$, then we get $|C, \alpha; \delta|_k$ summability (see [8]).

2. Known result

The following theorems are known dealing with an application of almost increasing sequences.

Theorem 2.1[11] Let (φ_n) be a positive sequence and (X_n) be an almost increasing

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40D15, 40F05; 26D15, 40G05

Keywords. Almost increasing sequences; Cesàro mean; absolute summability; infinite series; Hölder inequality; Minkowski inequality.

Received: 08 May 2013; Accepted: 15 May 2013

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email address: hbor33@gmail.com (Hüseyin Bor)

sequence. If the conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| X_n < \infty, \tag{4}$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$\varphi_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{6}$$

$$n\Delta\varphi_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|t_v|^k}{vX_v^{k-1}} = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{8}$$

are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n \varphi_n$ is summable $|C, 1|_k, k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.2 [4] Let (φ_n) be a positive sequence and let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence. If the conditions (4), (5), (6) and (7) are satisfied and the sequence (w_n^α) defined by (see [10])

$$w_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} |t_n^\alpha|, & \alpha = 1, \\ \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |t_v^\alpha|, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{(w_v^\alpha)^k}{vX_v^{k-1}} = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{10}$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n \varphi_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha|_k, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, (\alpha - 1)k > -1$ and $k \geq 1$.

Remark 2.3 It should be noted that if we take $\alpha = 1$, then we get Theorem 2.1. In this case, condition (10) reduces to condition (8) and the condition $(\alpha - 1)k > -1$ is trivial.

3. The main result

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2. 2 in the following form ;

Theorem 3.1 Let (φ_n) be a positive sequence and let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence. If the conditions (4), (5), (6) and (7) are satisfied and the sequence $(w_n^{\alpha,\beta})$ defined by

$$(w_n^{\alpha,\beta}) = \begin{cases} |t_n^{\alpha,\beta}|, & \alpha = 1, \beta > -1 \\ \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |t_v^{\alpha,\beta}|, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > -1 \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{v=1}^n v^{\delta k} \frac{(w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{vX_v^{k-1}} = O(X_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{12}$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n \varphi_n$ is summable $|C, \alpha, \beta; \delta|_k, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \delta \geq 0, (\alpha + \beta - \delta - 1)k > -1$, and $k \geq 1$.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 3.2 [2]) If $0 < \alpha \leq 1, \beta > -1$ and $1 \leq v \leq n$, then

$$\left| \sum_{p=0}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta a_p \right| \leq \max_{1 \leq m \leq v} \left| \sum_{p=0}^m A_{m-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta a_p \right|. \tag{13}$$

Lemma 3.3 [9]) Under the conditions (4) and (5), we have that

$$nX_n |\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n |\Delta\lambda_n| < \infty. \tag{15}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 3.1 Let $(T_n^{\alpha,\beta})$ be the n th (C, α, β) mean, with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta > -1$, of the sequence $(na_n \lambda_n \varphi_n)$. Then, by (1), we have that

$$T_n^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v \lambda_v \varphi_n. \tag{16}$$

Thus, applying Abel’s transformation first and then using Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^{\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta(\lambda_v \varphi_n) \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n \varphi_n}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v, \\ &= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_v \Delta\varphi_v + \varphi_{v+1} \Delta\lambda_v) \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p + \frac{\lambda_n \varphi_n}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |T_n^{\alpha+\beta}| &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_v \Delta\varphi_v| \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p + \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\varphi_{v+1} \Delta\lambda_v| \sum_{p=1}^v A_{n-p}^{\alpha-1} A_p^\beta p a_p \\ &\quad + \frac{|\lambda_n \varphi_n|}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} A_v^\beta v a_v \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} w_v^{\alpha,\beta} |\lambda_v| |\Delta\varphi_v| + \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha+\beta}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} w_v^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{v+1}| |\Delta\lambda_v| + |\lambda_n| |\varphi_n| w_n^{\alpha,\beta} \\ &= T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta} + T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta} + T_{n,3}^{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, by Minkowski’s inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |T_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$

When $k > 1$, we can apply Hölder’s inequality with indices k and k' , where $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k-1} |T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k-1} (A_n^{\alpha+\beta})^{-k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} w_v^{\alpha,\beta} |\Delta\varphi_v| |\lambda_v| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v^{\alpha+\beta})^k (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\Delta\varphi_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} 1 \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{2+(\alpha+\beta-\delta-1)k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\lambda_v|^k \frac{1}{v^k} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k v^{-k} |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{2+(\alpha+\beta-\delta-1)k}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k v^{-k} |\lambda_v|^k \int_v^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{2+(\alpha+\beta-\delta-1)k}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\lambda_v| |\lambda_v|^{k-1} v^{\delta k} \frac{1}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} \frac{(w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\lambda_v|}{v X_v^{k-1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta|\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v r^{\delta k} \frac{(w_r^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{r X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} \frac{(w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\Delta\lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m = O(1), \quad m \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Again, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k-1} |T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k-1} (A_n^{\alpha+\beta})^{-k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} A_v^{\alpha+\beta} w_v^{\alpha,\beta} |\varphi_{v+1}| |\Delta\lambda_v| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v^{\alpha+\beta} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta}) |\Delta\lambda_v| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\Delta\lambda_v|}{X_v^{k-1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} X_v |\Delta\lambda_v| \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\Delta\lambda_v|}{X_v^{k-1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\Delta\lambda_v|}{X_v^{k-1}} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{1+(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{v^{(\alpha+\beta)k} (w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\Delta\lambda_v|}{X_v^{k-1}} \int_v^\infty \frac{dx}{x^{1+(\alpha+\beta-\delta)k}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v |\Delta\lambda_v| v^{\delta k} \frac{(w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta(v|\Delta\lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v r^{\delta k} \frac{(w_r^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{r X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) m |\Delta\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} \frac{(w_v^{\alpha,\beta})^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta^2 \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} X_v |\Delta\lambda_v| + O(1) m |\Delta\lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Finally, as in $T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1} |T_{n,3}^{\alpha,\beta}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n \varphi_n w_n^{\alpha,\beta}|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} \frac{(w_n^{\alpha,\beta})^k |\lambda_n|}{n X_n^{k-1}} = O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. It should be noted that, if we take $\beta=0$, $\delta=0$ and $\alpha=1$, then we get Theorem 2. 1. If we set $\delta=0$, then we get a result concerning the $|C, \alpha, \beta|_k$ summability factors of infinite series. Also, if we take $\beta=0$ and $\delta=0$, then we obtain Theorem 2. 2. Finally, if we take $k=1$, $\delta=0$ and $\beta=0$, then we get a new result dealing with the $|C, \alpha|$ summability factors of infinite series.

References

- [1] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, Best approximation and differential properties of two conjugate functions, *Trudy. Moskov. Mat. Obs* č. 5 (1956) 483–522 (Russian)
- [2] H. Bor, On a new application of power increasing sequences, *Proc. Est. Acad. Sci.* 57 (2008) 205–209
- [3] H. Bor, An application of almost increasing sequences, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 289–301
- [4] H. Bor, Almost increasing sequences and their new applications, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013. 2013: 207
- [5] D. Borwein, Theorems on some methods of summability, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford, Ser. (2)* 9 (1958) 310–316
- [6] G. Das, A Tauberian theorem for absolute summability, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 67 (1970) 321–326
- [7] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 7 (1957) 113–141
- [8] T.M. Flett, Some more theorems concerning the absolute summability of Fourier series and power series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 7 (1958) 357–387
- [9] S. M. Mazhar, Absolute summability factors of infinite series, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 39 (1999) 67–73
- [10] T. Pati, The summability factors of infinite series, *Duke Math. J.* 21 (1954) 271–284
- [11] W. T. Sulaiman, On a new application of almost increasing sequences, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 4(3) (2012) 29–33