



## Alternative Proofs of Some Classical Tauberian Theorems for The Weighted Mean Method of Integrals

Ümit Totur<sup>a</sup>, Muhammet Ali Okur<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Adnan Menderes University, Department of Mathematics, 09010 Aydin Turkey

**Abstract.** Let  $0 \neq p(x)$  be a nondecreasing real valued differentiable function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Given a real valued function  $f(x)$  which is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and

$$s(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt.$$

We define the weighted mean of  $s(x)$  as

$$\sigma_p(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x p'(t)s(t)dt,$$

where  $p'(t)$  is derivative of  $p(t)$ . It is known that if the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x) = s$  exists, then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p(x) = s$  also exists. However, the converse is not always true. Adding some suitable conditions to existence of  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p(x)$  which are called Tauberian conditions may imply convergence of the integral  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$ .

In this work, we give some classical type Tauberian theorems to retrieve convergence of  $s(x)$  out of weighted mean integrability of  $s(x)$  with some Tauberian conditions.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $0 \neq p(x)$  be a nondecreasing real valued differentiable function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $p(0) = 0$  and  $p(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Given a real valued continuous function  $f$  on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $s(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$ . The weighted mean of  $s(x)$  is defined by

$$\sigma_p(x) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x s(t)dp(t) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x p'(t)s(t)dt.$$

The integral

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40E05; Secondary 40A10

*Keywords.* Tauberian theorem, Tauberian condition, weighted mean, integral method, slowly oscillating function, slowly decreasing function, one-sided condition

Received: 01 August 2014; Accepted: 14 October 2014

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković-Ilić

*Email addresses:* [utotur@yahoo.com](mailto:utotur@yahoo.com); [utotur@adu.edu.tr](mailto:utotur@adu.edu.tr) (Ümit Totur), [mali.okur2@gmail.com](mailto:mali.okur2@gmail.com) (Muhammet Ali Okur)

is said to be integrable by weighted mean method determined by the function  $p(x)$ , in short;  $(\overline{N}, p)$  integrable to a finite number  $s$  if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p(x) = s. \tag{1}$$

If  $p(x) = x$  in the definition, then the  $(\overline{N}, p)$  integrability method reduces to Cesàro integrability method. If the integral

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt = s \tag{2}$$

exists, then limit (1) also exists. However, the converse is not always true. For example,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x \cos t dt$  does not exist. Also, by a special case choosing  $p(x) = x^2$ , from

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(x) &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x s(t)dp(t) = \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x \left( \int_0^t f(u)du \right) dp(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x f(u) \left( \int_u^x dp(t) \right) du \\ &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_0^x (p(x) - p(u))f(u)du \\ &= \int_0^x \left( 1 - \frac{p(t)}{p(x)} \right) f(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x \left( 1 - \frac{t^2}{x^2} \right) \cos t dt = 0.$$

Notice that (1) may imply (2) by adding some suitable conditions on  $s(x)$ . Such a condition is called a Tauberian condition and resulting theorem is said to be a Tauberian theorem.

The weighted De la Vallée Poussin means of  $s(x)$  are defined by

$$\tau_p^>(x) = \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)s(t)dt$$

for  $\lambda > 1$ , and

$$\tau_p^<(x) = \frac{1}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} \int_{\lambda x}^x p'(t)s(t)dt$$

for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

The concept of slowly decreasing for a sequence of real numbers was introduced by Schmidt [9]. Similarly, we can define for a real function.

A function  $s(x)$  is said to be slowly decreasing if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^+} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \min_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} (s(t) - s(x)) \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

for  $\lambda > 1$ . The condition (3) can be equivalently reformulated as follows:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^-} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\lambda x \leq t \leq x} (s(x) - s(t)) \geq 0, \tag{4}$$

for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

If the functions  $s(x)$  and  $-s(x)$  are slowly decreasing, then  $s(x)$  is slowly oscillating. An equivalent definition of slow oscillation is given as follows:

A real valued function  $s(x)$  is slowly oscillating [1] if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^+} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} |s(t) - s(x)| = 0, \tag{5}$$

for  $\lambda > 1$ .

In [1–4, 7], a number of authors presented some Tauberian theorems for Cesàro integrability method. Also, Çanak and Totur [8] obtained a Tauberian condition, known as the Landau’s condition  $\frac{p(x)}{p'(x)} f(x) = O(1)$  (see [6]), for weighted mean integrability order  $\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha > -1$ .

In this paper, we establish that one-sided boundedness of the function  $\frac{p(x)}{p'(x)} f(x)$  is a Tauberian condition for weighted mean integrability. Furthermore, we prove that slow decrease of  $s(x)$  is a Tauber condition for weighted mean integrability.

## 2. Main Results

The results are some classical type Tauberian theorems for the weighted mean method of integrals.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} > 1, \text{ for } \lambda > 1, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(x)}{p(\lambda x)} > 1, \text{ for } 0 < \lambda < 1. \tag{7}$$

If  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  is  $(\overline{N}, p)$  integrable to  $s$  and

$$\frac{p(x)}{p'(x)} f(x) \geq -C,$$

for some  $C \geq 0$  and enough large  $x$ , then the integral  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  converges to  $s$ .

Theorem 2.1 is a classical type Tauberian theorem known as the Hardy Littlewood’s Tauberian theorem [5]. A special case of Theorem 2.1 can be obtained by choosing  $p(x) = x$  as follows:

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  be Cesàro integrable to  $s$ . If  $xf(x) \geq -C$  for some  $C \geq 0$  and enough large  $x$ , then the integral  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  converges to  $s$ .*

Corollary 2.2 is given by Çanak and Totur [3].

The following theorem is a version of the generalized Littlewood theorem [9] for real functions.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the conditions (6) and (7) be satisfied. If  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  is  $(\overline{N}, p)$  integrable to  $s$  and  $s(x)$  is slowly decreasing, then the integral  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  converges to  $s$ .*

An obvious corollary of Theorem 2.3 is represented as follows:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let the conditions (6) and (7) be satisfied. If  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  is  $(\overline{N}, p)$  integrable to  $s$  and  $s(x)$  is slowly oscillating, then the integral  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  converges to  $s$ .*

A special case of Theorem 2.3 can be obtained by choosing  $p(x) = x$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  is Cesàro integrable to  $s$  and  $s(x)$  is slowly oscillating, then the integral  $\int_0^\infty f(t)dt$  converges to  $s$ .*

Corollary 2.5 is given by Çanak and Totur [3].

3. Proofs

We need the following lemma to be used in the proofs of main theorems.

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) For  $\lambda > 1$ ,

$$s(x) - \sigma_p(x) = \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)(s(t) - s(x))dt$$

(ii) For  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,

$$s(x) - \sigma_p(x) = \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \frac{1}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} \int_{\lambda x}^x p'(t)(s(x) - s(t))dt$$

*Proof.* (i) From the definition of weighted de la Vallée Poussin means of  $s(x)$ , we have

$$s(x) = \tau_p^>(x) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)(s(t) - s(x))dt. \tag{8}$$

Subtracting  $\sigma_p(x)$  from the identity (8), we get

$$s(x) - \sigma_p(x) = \tau_p^>(x) - \sigma_p(x) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)(s(t) - s(x))dt. \tag{9}$$

Also  $\tau_p^>(x)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_p^>(x) &= \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \left( \int_0^{\lambda x} p'(t)s(t)dt - \int_0^x p'(t)s(t)dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x)p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)p(x)) \\ &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \sigma_p(\lambda x) - \frac{p(x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \sigma_p(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\tau_p^>(x) = \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \sigma_p(\lambda x) - \left( \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} - 1 \right) \sigma_p(x).$$

Subtracting  $\sigma_p(x)$  from the last identity, we get

$$\tau_p^>(x) - \sigma_p(x) = \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \sigma_p(\lambda x) - \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \sigma_p(x)$$

Writing last identity in (9), we obtain

$$s(x) - \sigma_p(x) = \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)(s(t) - s(x))dt.$$

This completes the proof.

(ii) The proof of Lemma 3.1(ii) is similar to that of Lemma 3.1(i).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.1**

Suppose that  $\frac{p(x)}{p'(x)}f(x) \geq -C$  for some  $C \geq 0$ . Then, we obtain  $-s'(x) \leq C\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)}$  for all  $x$ . From Lemma 3.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) - \sigma_p(x) &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)}(\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)(s(t) - s(x))dt \\ &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)}(\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} \left( \int_x^t s'(z)dz \right) p'(t)dt \\ &\leq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)}(\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) + \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} \left( \int_x^t C \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} dz \right) p'(t)dt \\ &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)}(\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) + \frac{C}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} \log \frac{p(t)}{p(x)} p'(t)dt \\ &\leq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)}(\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) + C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda > 1$ .

After taking lim sup of both sides as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) + C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) + \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s(x)$  is weighted mean integrable to  $s$ , we have  $\sigma_p(x) \rightarrow s$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . By the condition (6), we get

$$0 \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \leq 1 + (\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} - 1)^{-1} < \infty.$$

Therefore the first term on the right-hand side of the inequality above vanishes and we obtain

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} \right).$$

for some  $C > 0$ . After taking the limit of both sides as  $\lambda \rightarrow 1^+$ , we get

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) \leq 0. \tag{10}$$

From Lemma 3.1 (ii) and the hypothesis  $-s'(x) \leq C\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)}$  for all  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) - \sigma_p(x) &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)}(\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \frac{1}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} \int_{\lambda x}^x p'(t)(s(x) - s(t))dt \\ &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)}(\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} \left( \int_x^t s'(z)dz \right) p'(t)dt \\ &\geq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)}(\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} \left( \int_x^t C \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} dz \right) p'(t)dt \\ &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)}(\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) - \frac{C}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} \log \frac{p(t)}{p(x)} p'(t)dt \\ &\geq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)}(\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) - C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

After taking  $\liminf$  of both sides as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) &\geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) - C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} \right) \\ &\geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( -C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By the condition (7), we have

$$0 \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} = (\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(x)}{p(\lambda x)} - 1)^{-1} < \infty.$$

From  $\sigma_p(x) \rightarrow s$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , the first term on the right-hand side of the equality above vanishes and we obtain

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) \geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( -C \log \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x)} \right).$$

for some  $C > 0$ . After taking the limit of both sides as  $\lambda \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

From (10) and (11), we obtain  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p(x)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.3**

Let  $s(x)$  be slowly decreasing. By Lemma 3.1 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) - \sigma_p(x) &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t)(s(t) - s(x))dt \\ &\leq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \frac{1}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \int_x^{\lambda x} p'(t) \min_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} (s(t) - s(x))dt \\ &\leq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \min_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} (s(t) - s(x)) \end{aligned}$$

After taking  $\limsup$  of both sides as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) - \min_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} (s(t) - s(x)) \right) \\ &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(\lambda x) - p(x)} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (\sigma_p(\lambda x) - \sigma_p(x)) + \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \min_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} (s(t) - s(x)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma_p(x) \rightarrow s$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , by the condition (6), the first term on the right-hand side of the equality above vanishes and we obtain

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) \leq - \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \min_{x \leq t \leq \lambda x} (s(t) - s(x))$$

After taking the limit of both sides as  $\lambda \rightarrow 1^+$ , we get

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) \leq 0. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.1 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) - \sigma_p(x) &= \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \frac{1}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} \int_{\lambda x}^x p'(t)(s(x) - s(t))dt \\ &\geq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \frac{1}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} \int_{\lambda x}^x p'(t) \min_{\lambda x \leq t \leq x} (s(x) - s(t))dt \\ &\geq \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \min_{\lambda x \leq t \leq x} (s(x) - s(t)) \end{aligned}$$

After taking  $\liminf$  of both sides as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) &\geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) + \min_{\lambda x \leq t \leq x} (s(x) - s(t)) \right) \\ &\geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{p(\lambda x)}{p(x) - p(\lambda x)} (\sigma_p(x) - \sigma_p(\lambda x)) \right) + \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \min_{\lambda x \leq t \leq x} (s(x) - s(t)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma_p(x) \rightarrow s$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , by the condition (7), the first term on the right-hand side of the equality above vanishes and we obtain

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) \geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \min_{\lambda x \leq t \leq x} (s(x) - s(t)) \right)$$

After taking the limit of both sides as  $\lambda \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} (s(x) - \sigma_p(x)) \geq 0. \tag{13}$$

Combining (12) and (13), we have  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} s(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_p(x)$ .  $\square$

### References

- [1] İ. Çanak and Ü. Totur, A Tauberian theorem for Cesàro summability of integrals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (3) (2011) 391–395.
- [2] İ. Çanak and Ü. Totur, Tauberian conditions for Cesàro summability of integrals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (6) (2011) 891–896.
- [3] İ. Çanak and Ü. Totur, Alternative proofs of some classical type Tauberian theorems for Cesàro summability of integrals, *Math. Comput. Modell.*, 55 (3) (2012) 1558–1561.
- [4] İ. Çanak and Ü. Totur, The  $(C, \alpha)$  integrability of functions by weighted mean methods, *Filomat*, 26 (6) (2012) 1204–1209.
- [5] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Tauberian theorems concerning power series and Dirichlet's series whose coefficients are positive, *Lond. M. S. Proc.* 13 (1914) 174–191.
- [6] E. Landau, Über einen satz des herrn Littlewood, *Rend. Palermo*, 35 (1913) 265–276.
- [7] A. Laforgia, A theory of divergent integrals, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22 (6) (2009) 834–840.
- [8] Ü. Totur and İ. Çanak, On the  $(C, 1)$  summability method of improper integrals, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (24) (2013) 11065–11070.
- [9] R. Schmidt, Über divergente Folgen und lineare Mittelbildungen, *Math. Z.* 22 (1924) 89–152.