



Essential Ideals in Subrings of $C(X)$ that Contain $C^*(X)$

A. Taherifar^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Yasouj University, Yasouj, Iran

Abstract. Let $A(X)$ be a subring of $C(X)$ that contains $C^*(X)$. In Redlin and Watson (1987) and in Panman et al. (2012), correspondences \mathcal{Z}_A and \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} are defined between ideals in $A(X)$ and z -filters on X , and it is shown that these extend the well-known correspondences studied separately for $C^*(X)$ and $C(X)$, respectively, to any intermediate ring $A(X)$. Moreover, the inverse map \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} sets up a one-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of $A(X)$ and the z -ultrafilters on X . In this paper, first, we characterize essential ideals in $A(X)$. Afterwards, we show that \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} maps essential (resp., free) z -filters on X to essential (resp., free) ideals in $A(X)$ and \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} maps essential \mathcal{Z}_A -filters to essential ideals. Similar to $C(X)$ we observe that the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals in $A(X)$ is equal to the socle of $A(X)$. Finally, we give a new characterization for the intersection of all essential maximal ideals of $A(X)$.

1. Introduction

In this paper, X is assumed to be a completely regular Hausdorff space and all rings are commutative, reduced, and have an identity element. $C(X)$ ($C^*(X)$) stands for the ring of all real valued (bounded) continuous functions on X . A nonzero ideal I in a commutative ring R is called essential if it intersects every nonzero ideal nontrivially. This concept was first introduced in [19] and plays an important role in the structure theory of noncommutative Noetherian rings. Essential ideals can also characterize for any commutative ring R the Socle of R , denoted $\text{Soc}(R)$, which is the sum of all minimal ideals of R . It is known that the intersection of all essential ideals in any commutative ring R is $\text{Soc}(R)$, see [14] or [21].

Essential ideals in $C(X)$ and their intersections were investigated by F. Azarpanah in [3] and [4] (see also [11]). We study essential ideals in subrings of $C(X)$ that contain $C^*(X)$ (as intermediate rings). Intermediate rings of continuous functions have been studied by several authors in different forms and by different names. Each intermediate ring $A(X)$ forms an archimedean lattice-ordered algebra called a Φ -algebra, which has been studied by A.W. Hager, M. Henriksen, J.R. Isbell, D.G. Johnson, P. Nanzetta, and D. Plank in [15]-[17]. Intermediate rings have also been studied as algebras of functions by J.R. Isbell in [18], and as β -subalgebras of $C(X)$ by D. Plank in [23]. Also, they have been investigated as intermediate algebras by H.L. Byun, L. Redlin and S. Watson in [6], [7] and [24], and also [22] and [26]. In 1997, J.M. Dominguez, J. Gomez and M.A. Mulero showed in [8] that intermediate rings can be realized as certain rings of fractions of $C^*(X)$. Some examples and methods of constructing intermediate rings of continuous functions can be found in [9] and [10]. In [2] a description is given for the intersection of the free maximal ideals in such rings.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C40; Secondary 46E05
Keywords. Essential ideal, $\text{Soc}(R)$, Free ideal, Free z -filter, Essential z -filter
Received: 08 January 2014; Accepted: 12 May 2014
Communicated by M.S. Moslehian
Email address: ataherifar@mail.yu.ac.ir (A. Taherifar)

In Section 3, we show that an ideal I of $A(X)$ is essential if and only if $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is an essential z -filter on X if and only if $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is a nowhere dense subset of X if and only if $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$ is an essential z -filter on X . This leads us to characterize essential prime (resp., maximal) ideals in $A(X)$. As in $C(X)$, we also show that every prime ideal of $A(X)$ is either essential or both minimal and maximal among prime ideals. Furthermore, maximal ideals M^p are essential ideals, where $p \in \beta X \setminus I(X)$ and $I(X)$ is the set of isolated points of X . It is shown in [29] that the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals in $C(X)$ is yet another characterization of $\text{Soc}(C(X))$. We show that in $A(X)$ the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals coincides with $\text{Soc}(C(X))$. The map \mathcal{Z}_A was introduced in [24], and its properties were further investigated in [6], [7] and [26]. In [22], another correspondence \mathfrak{Z}_A between ideals of $A(X)$ and z -filters on X is introduced. Using some properties of \mathfrak{Z}_A and \mathcal{Z}_A , we show that \mathcal{Z}_A^{-1} , maps essential (resp., free) z -filters on X to essential (resp., free) ideals in $A(X)$ and \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1} maps essential \mathfrak{Z}_A -filters to essential ideals.

In Section 4, we characterize the intersection of all essential maximal ideals in $A(X)$. It is proved that $f \in A(X)$ belongs to the intersection of all essential maximal ideals of $A(X)$ if and only if for each $g \in A(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{x \in X : |f(x)||g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ is a finite subset of $I(X)$, where $I(X)$ is the set of isolated points of X .

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. If $f \in A(X)$ and $H \in Z[X]$, then f is called H -regular if there is a $g \in A(X)$ such that $f(x)g(x) = 1$ for all $x \in H$.

For each $f \in A(X)$, $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \{E \in Z[X] : f \text{ is } E^C\text{-regular}\}$. In other words, $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ consists of those zero sets such that f is locally invertible in $A(X)$ on their complements. In [24], Redlin and Weston defined $\mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \bigcup_{f \in I} \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] = \{f \in A(X) : \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$ for an ideal I of $A(X)$ and a z -filter \mathcal{F} on X . The following lemma is used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. For $f, g \in A(X)$ the following statements hold.

1. $Z(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$.
2. $\mathcal{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathcal{Z}_A(g) = \mathcal{Z}_A(fg)$.
3. If $f \in A(X)$, then $|f| \in A(X)$.

Item (1) is shown in [25, Proposition 2.2]. Item (2) is proved in [22, Lemma 1.5]. For item (3), see [6, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 2.3. [24, Theorems 1 and 3] Let $f \in A(X)$, I an ideal of $A(X)$ and \mathcal{F} a z -filter on X .

1. $\mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ is a z -filter on X if and only if f is not invertible in $A(X)$.
2. $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is a z -filter on X .
3. $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal of $A(X)$.

P. Panaman, J. Sack and S. Watson defined in [22] and [26] that for each $f \in A(X)$, $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \{E \in Z[X] : f \text{ is } H\text{-regular in } A(X) \text{ for all zero sets } H \subseteq E^C\}$. They also introduced for an ideal I of $A(X)$, $\mathfrak{Z}_A(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$ and for a z -filter \mathcal{F} , $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] = \{f \in A(X) : \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$.

Lemma 2.4. The following statements hold.

1. If I is an ideal in $A(X)$, then $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$ is a z -filter on X .
2. If \mathcal{U} is a z -ultrafilter on X , then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}] = \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ is a maximal ideal in $A(X)$.

For the proof of the above lemma, see [22, Theorems 4.3 and 4.7].

3. Essential Ideals in $A(X)$

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. $Soc(A(X)) = Soc(C(X)) = \{f \in C(X) : X \setminus Z(f) \text{ is finite}\}$.

Proof. The second equality is proved in [20, Proposition 3.3]. We have $A(X) \subseteq C(X)$. Therefore $Soc(A(X)) \subseteq Soc(C(X))$. Let us prove the other inclusion. Consider $f \in Soc(C(X))$. Then $X \setminus Z(f)$ is finite. Suppose that $X \setminus Z(f) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Each x_i is an isolated point, so for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the ideal $A(X)e_i = C(X)e_i \cap A(X)$ is a minimal ideal in $A(X)$, where $e_i(x_i) = 1$ and $e_i(X \setminus \{x_i\}) = 0$. Therefore $f = f(x_1)e_1 + f(x_2)e_2 + \dots + f(x_n)e_n \in Soc(A(X))$. \square

Recall from [4], a z -filter \mathcal{F} in a space X is called an essential z -filter if $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}' \neq \{X\}$ for every nontrivial z -filter \mathcal{F}' (where the trivial z -filter is the z -filter $\{X\}$). F. Azarpanah showed in [4, Theorem 1.3] that an ideal I of $C(X)$ is essential if and only if $\bigcap Z[I]$ is a nowhere dense subset of X . The following is a counterpart for $A(X)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let I be a non-zero ideal in $A(X)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. I is an essential ideal in $A(X)$.
2. $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is an essential z -filter in X .
3. $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$ is a nowhere dense subset of X .
4. $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$ is an essential z -filter on X .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let \mathcal{F} be a non-trivial z -filter. Then $\mathcal{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \cap I \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] \neq \{X\}$.
 (2) \Rightarrow (3) By Lemma 2.2(1), we have

$$\bigcap Z(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} Z(f) = \bigcap_{f \in I} (\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A(f)) = \bigcap \bigcup_{f \in I} \mathcal{Z}_A(f) = \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I].$$

Now suppose that $x \in \text{int} \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \text{int} \bigcap Z[I]$. X being a completely regular space, so there exists $g \in C^*(X)$ such that $x \notin Z(g)$ and $X \setminus \text{int} \bigcap Z[I] \subseteq Z(g)$. Therefore $fg = 0$ for all $f \in I$. This implies that $\mathcal{Z}_A(fg) = \mathcal{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathcal{Z}_A(g) = \{X\}$ for all $f \in I$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_A[I] \cap \mathcal{Z}_A(g) = \{X\}$, but $\mathcal{Z}_A(g)$ is a nontrivial z -filter, a contradiction. So $\text{int} \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \emptyset$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $0 \neq f \in A(X)$ and $I \cap (f) = \emptyset$. Then $\bigcap Z[I] \cup Z(f) = X$. This says that $X \setminus Z(f)$ is a nonempty open subset in $\bigcap Z[I] = \bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I]$, a contradiction.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) It is easily seen that $\mathcal{Z}_A[I] \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$. By essentiality of $\mathcal{Z}_A[I]$, for every nontrivial z -filter \mathcal{F} we have $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I] \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \{X\}$, which completes the proof.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that $0 \neq f \in A(X)$ and $I \cap (f) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A[I] = \{X\}$. To see this, let $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$. Then $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(g) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(fg)$, for some $g \in I$. But $fg = 0$. Thus $E = X$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$ is a nontrivial z -filter. This shows that $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$ is a non-essential z -filter, which is a contradiction. \square

Recall from [6] and [24], an ideal I of $A(X)$ is fixed if $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] \neq \emptyset$ ($\bigcap Z[I] \neq \emptyset$) and free if $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}_A[I] = \emptyset$.

Corollary 3.3. *An ideal I of $A(X)$ is essential (resp., free) if and only if $IC(X)$ is essential (resp., free) in $C(X)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2 and this fact that $\bigcap \mathcal{Z}[I] = \bigcap Z[I] = \bigcap Z[IC(X)]$. \square

Remark 3.4. *Every bounded ideal of $C(X)$ (an ideal with bounded elements such as $Soc(C(X))$, $C_K(X) = \{f \in C(X) : cl_X(X \setminus Z(f)) \text{ is compact}\}$ and $C_\psi(X) = \{f \in C(X) : cl_X(X \setminus Z(f)) \text{ is pseudocompact}\}$) is an ideal of $A(X)$. Note that $C_\psi(X)$ is the largest bounded ideal in $C(X)$, see [5]. Since $C_\psi(X)$ is an intersection of essential ideals in $C(X)$, it is also an intersection of essential ideals of $A(X)$, by Corollary 3.3. Therefore $C_\psi(X)$ is essential in $A(X)$ if and only if it is essential in $C(X)$.*

Now, Proposition 3.2 implies the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Every free ideal in $A(X)$ is an essential ideal.*

Recall from [26], a z-filter \mathcal{F} on X is called a \mathfrak{Z}_A -filter if $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] = \mathcal{F}$. If $A(X) = C(X)$, then \mathfrak{Z}_A -filters are simply z-filters, by [22, Corollary 2.4]. If I is an ideal of $C(X)$, then we can see that $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathfrak{Z}_A[I]]] = \mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$. Thus $\mathfrak{Z}_A[I]$ is a \mathfrak{Z}_A -filter on X . However, not every z-filter is a \mathfrak{Z}_A -filter. For more details see [26]. The next results (Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.5) show that the essential (resp., free) z-filters behave like the z-ultrafilters.

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a z-filter on X and $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ be an ideal of $A(X)$.*

1. *If \mathcal{F} is an essential \mathfrak{Z}_A -filter, then $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an essential ideal in $A(X)$.*
2. *If $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is a free ideal, then \mathcal{F} is a free z-filter.*
3. *If $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an essential ideal, then \mathcal{F} is an essential z-filter.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $0 \neq f \in A(X)$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \cap (f) = 0$. We will show that it follows that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \{X\}$. Since \mathcal{F} is an essential \mathfrak{Z}_A -filter, this implies that $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$ is a trivial z-filter, thus contradicting the assumption that $0 \neq f$ and concluding the proof of this item. To see this, suppose that $E \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$. By hypothesis, $E \in \mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$, so there exists $h \in \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ such that $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(h)$. Since $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal, we have $fh \in \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$. Therefore $fh \in \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \cap (f) = 0$. Thus $E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(h) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(fh) = \{X\}$.

(2) It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. By this and hypothesis, \mathcal{F} is a free z-filter.

(3) By Proposition 3.2, the essentiality of the ideal $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ implies the essentiality of the z-filter $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Thus for each non-trivial z-filter \mathcal{F}' , we have $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] \cap \mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}'$. This and the essentiality of $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$ imply that \mathcal{F} is an essential z-filter. \square

In [7], a ring $A(X)$ of continuous functions is called a C-ring if there is a completely regular space Y such that $A(X)$ is isomorphic to $C(Y)$. Clearly $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ are C-rings. By the above theorem and [27, Theorem 14] (which states that for each z-filter \mathcal{F} and intermediate C-ring $A(X)$, $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an ideal of $A(X)$), if $A(X)$ is a C-ring and \mathcal{F} is an essential \mathfrak{Z}_A -filter on X , then $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an essential ideal in $A(X)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let \mathcal{F} be a z-filter on X . Then the following hold.*

1. *\mathcal{F} is a free z-filter if and only if $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is a free ideal in $A(X)$.*
2. *\mathcal{F} is an essential z-filter if and only if $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an essential ideal in $A(X)$.*

Proof. (1) It is enough to show that $\bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. Always we have $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Thus $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$. Suppose, in order to obtain a contradiction, that there is an $x \in \bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$ and $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x \notin E$. By complete regularity of X , there is a $g \in C^*(X)$ such that $x \notin Z(g)$ and $E \subseteq Z(g) = \bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A(g)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{Z}_A(g) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. This implies that $g \in \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$, and so $\mathfrak{Z}_A(g) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$. But $x \notin Z(g)$. So there is $K \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(g)$ such that $x \notin K$. This shows that $x \notin \bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$, a contradiction.

(2) Assume that \mathcal{F} is an essential z-filter, $0 \neq f \in A(X)$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \cap (f) = 0$. We show that it follows that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \{X\}$. To see this, consider $Z(g) \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$. Then we have $\mathfrak{Z}_A(g) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_A(g) \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$. On the other hand, $fg \in \mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] \cap (f) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 2.2(2), $\mathfrak{Z}_A(g) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(g) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \mathfrak{Z}_A(fg) = \{X\}$, i.e., $E = X$. But $\mathfrak{Z}_A(f)$ is a non-trivial z-filter, hence $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \{X\}$ contradicts the essentiality of \mathcal{F} , so we are done. Conversely, suppose that $\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$ is an essential ideal. By Proposition 3.2, $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]]$ is an essential z-filter. On the other hand $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Thus for each non-trivial z-filter \mathcal{F}' , $\mathfrak{Z}_A[\mathfrak{Z}_A^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]] \cap \mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}'$. So $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}' \neq \{X\}$, i.e., \mathcal{F} is an essential z-filter. \square

It is well known that any maximal ideal of $A(X)$ is of the form M_A^p , where $p \in \beta X$ and $M_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : p \text{ is cluster point of } \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) \text{ in } \beta X\}$, see [24, Theorem 5]. We also note that a maximal ideal in $A(X)$ is free if and only if it is of the form M_A^p for some $p \in \beta X \setminus X$. H.L. Byun and S. Watson in [6] defined the ideal $O_A^p = \{f \in A(X) : p \in \text{int}_{\beta X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)\}$, where $\text{cl}_{\beta X} \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathfrak{Z}_A(f)} \text{cl}_{\beta X} E$. It is an analogue of the ideal O^p in $C(X)$ for each $p \in \beta X$. Afterwards, J.M. Dominguez and J. Gomez showed that $\text{int}_{\beta X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} \mathfrak{Z}_A(f) = \text{int}_{\beta X} \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$ for each $f \in A(X)$, and therefore $O_A^p = O^p \cap A(X)$, see also [9, Proposition 3.4]. Now, by [6, Theorem 4.1], which states that $\bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A[O_A^p] = \bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_A[M_A^p]$, Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.8. *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The ideal M_A^p is essential.*
2. *The ideal O_A^p is essential*
3. *$p \in \beta X \setminus I(X)$, where $I(X)$ is the set of isolated points of X .*

If $x \in X \setminus I(X)$, then by Corollary 3.8, M_A^x is an essential ideal which is not a free ideal.

Remark 3.9. *Every prime ideal of $A(X)$ is either an essential ideal or a maximal ideal which is at the same time a minimal prime ideal. For, every prime ideal P of $A(X)$ is contained in a unique maximal ideal M_A^p for some $p \in \beta X$ (see [6, Corollary 1.9]), and a prime ideal P in $A(X)$ is contained in M_A^p if and only if P contains O_A^p (see [23, p. 48] and the proof of [6, Theorem 4.2]). Now, if $p \in I(X)$, then $O_A^p = P = M_A^p$ and so it is maximal which is at the same time a minimal prime ideal. Otherwise, by Corollary 3.8, O_A^p is essential and so P is essential.*

Corollary 3.10. *X is finite if and only if $A(X)$ has no proper essential ideals.*

Proof. If X is finite, then we are done. Conversely, by hypothesis, the ideal M^p is a non-essential ideal for each $p \in \beta X$, and hence by Corollary 3.8, $\beta X \setminus I(X) = \emptyset$, i.e., $\beta X = I(X)$. Thus βX is finite. \square

It was proved in [29, Theorem 3.6] that the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ and $\text{Soc}(C(X))$ are equal. Now, we see that this result is true for any subring $A(X)$. To achieve this goal, we need the following lemma. Before we recall that for $S \subseteq \beta X$, $M_A^S = \bigcap_{p \in S} M_A^p$ and $O_A^S = \bigcap_{p \in S} O_A^p$ (see [6]).

Lemma 3.11. *The intersection of all essential prime ideals in $A(X)$ is equal to the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$.*

Proof. Always we have the intersection of all essential prime ideals is contained in the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals. Now let f be an element of the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$, P be an essential prime ideal and Q be a minimal prime ideal contained in P (see [28, 3.53]). Then by reasoning given in Remark 3.9, there is a unique $p \in \beta X$ such that $O_A^p \subseteq Q \subseteq P \subseteq M_A^p$. The essentiality of P implies that M_A^p is an essential ideal. By Corollary 3.8, O_A^p is essential and therefore Q is essential. Thus $f \in Q \subseteq P$, so we are done. \square

Proposition 3.12. *The intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$ coincides with the $\text{Soc}(A(X))$ (i.e., $\text{Soc}(C(X))$).*

Proof. First we show that the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$ is equal to the ideal $O_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Let P be an essential minimal prime ideal of $A(X)$. Then by reasoning given in Remark 3.9, there is a unique $p \in \beta X$ such that $O_A^p \subseteq P \subseteq M_A^p$. The essentiality of P implies that M_A^p is essential and so by Corollary 3.8, O_A^p is an essential ideal. This shows that $O_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$ is contained in the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$. Now let f be an element of the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$ and $p \in \beta X \setminus I(X)$. By Corollary 3.8, O_A^p is an essential ideal. By [9, Remark 3.14], O_A^p is an intersection of prime ideals. Since any ideal containing an essential ideal is essential, each of these prime ideals containing O_A^p is essential. Now Lemma 3.11 implies that $f \in O_A^p$. Hence the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$ is contained in $O_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Finally, by [9, Proposition 3.4] (i.e., $O_A^p = O^p \cap C(X)$ for each $p \in \beta X$), [29, Theorem 3.6] which states that $O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)} = \text{Soc}(C(X))$ and Lemma 3.1, we have $O_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)} = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)} \cap A(X) = \text{Soc}(C(X)) \cap A(X) = \text{Soc}(A(X))$. \square

Corollary 3.13. *The intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $A(X)$ coincides with the intersection of all essential minimal prime ideals of $C(X)$ (i.e., $O_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)} = O^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$).*

Proof. This follows from [29, Theorem 3.6], Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.12. \square

4. Intersection of Essential Maximal Ideals in $A(X)$

Let $A_n(fg) = \{x \in X : |f(x)g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$, where $f, g \in A(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.1. [2, Theorem 2.2] *The intersection of all free maximal ideals in $A(X)$ is equal to $K_A = \{f \in A(X) : A_n(fg) \text{ is compact } \forall g \in A(X) \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.*

We are ready to present our characterization of the intersection of all essential maximal ideals of $A(X)$. Note that the set of those points of a space X with compact neighborhoods is denoted by X_L .

Theorem 4.2. *The following statements hold.*

1. *The intersection of all essential maximal ideals of $A(X)$ is equal to $J_A = \{f \in A(X) : A_n(fg) \subseteq I(X) \text{ is finite } \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall g \in A(X)\}$*
2. *The intersection of all free maximal ideals and the intersection of all essential maximal ideals in $A(X)$ are equal if and only if $I(X) = X_L$.*

Proof. (1) Let f be an element of the intersection of all essential maximal ideals of $A(X)$. Then by Corollary 3.8, $f \in M_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Thus $\beta X \setminus I(X) \subseteq cl_{\beta X} \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$. So $A_n(fg) \subseteq X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq I(X)$ for each $g \in A(X)$. By Corollary 3.5, every free ideal is essential. Therefore $f \in M_A^{\beta X \setminus X}$. By Theorem 4.1, $A_n(fg)$ is compact. Hence $A_n(fg)$ is a finite subset of $I(X)$, i.e., $f \in J_A$. Now let $f \in J_A$ and M be an essential maximal ideal such that $f \notin M$. Then there is a $g \in A(X)$ such that $1 - fg \in M$. By hypothesis, $G = \{x \in X : |f(x)g(x)| > \frac{1}{2}\} \subseteq \{x \in X : |f(x)g(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ is a finite subset of $I(X)$. Put $h = |1 - fg| + \chi_G$, where χ_G is the characteristic function of the subset G . Then $\chi_G \in C(X)$ and $X \setminus Z(\chi_G)$ is finite, so by Lemma 3.1, $\chi_G \in Soc(C(X)) \subseteq M$. Also, we have $|1 - fg| \in M$. Thus $h \in M$. We claim that h is a unit in $A(X)$. To see this, define $k(x) = \frac{1}{1+|1-f(x)g(x)|}$ for $x \in G$ and $k(x) = \frac{1}{|1-f(x)g(x)|}$ for $x \notin G$. Then $k \in C^*(X) \subseteq A(X)$ (since $x \notin G$ implies that $\frac{1}{|1-f(x)g(x)|} \leq 2$ and G is finite) and $hk = 1$; this is a contradiction, so f is an element of any essential maximal ideal of $A(X)$.

(2) Suppose that $M_A^{\beta X \setminus X} = M_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Always $I(X) \subseteq X_L$ holds. Now let $x \in X_L$. Then there is a compact subset U in X such that $x \in int U \subseteq U$. By complete regularity of X there exists a function $f \in C^*(X)$ such that $x \in X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq U$. Therefore $A_n(fg) \subseteq X \setminus Z(f)$ is compact for each $g \in A(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $f \in K_A$. By hypothesis and Theorem 4.1, $f \in M_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. So $x \in X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq I(X)$.

Conversely, by hypothesis and [1, Theorem 2.2] which states that $X_L = int_{\beta X} X$, we have $int_{\beta X} X = I(X)$. This implies that $cl_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X) = \beta X \setminus I(X)$. On the other hand for each $S \subseteq \beta X$, $S \subseteq cl_{\beta X} \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$ implies $cl_{\beta X} S \subseteq cl_{\beta X} \mathcal{Z}_A(f)$, so $M_A^S \subseteq M_A^{cl_{\beta X} S}$ and of $S \subseteq cl_{\beta X} S$ we obtain $M_A^{cl_{\beta X} S} \subseteq M_A^S$. Hence $M_A^{cl_{\beta X} S} = M_A^S$. Therefore $M_A^{\beta X \setminus X} = M_A^{cl_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X)} = M_A^{\beta X \setminus I(X)}$. Thus the proof is complete. \square

M. Ghirati and A. Taherifar proved in [12, Theorem 2.2(3)] that the intersection of all essential maximal ideals of $C(X)$ coincides with the intersection of all free maximal ideals if and only if $I(X) = X_L$. So by the above theorem, the equality of the intersection of all free maximal ideals and the intersection of all essential maximal ideals in $A(X)$ implies the equality of them in $C(X)$ and vice versa.

Corollary 4.3. *The intersection of all essential maximal ideals in $C^*(X)$ is equal to $\{f : \{x : |f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\} \subseteq I(X) \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and is finite}\}$.*

Proof. It is enough to take $A = C^*(X)$ in Theorem 4.2. \square

Acknowledgement.

The author is thankful to the referees for their encouragement and discussion on this paper, particularly for suggestions which led to an improvement of Proposition 3.6, Theorem 3.7 and obtain Remark 3.4.

References

- [1] E. A. Abu Osba and H. Al-Ezeh, *Purity of the ideal of continuous functions with compact support*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 41(1999) 111–120.
- [2] S.K. Acharyya, K.C. Chattopadhyay, D.P. Ghosh, *On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ and the intersection of their free maximal ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997) 611–615.
- [3] F. Azarpanah, *Intersection of essential ideals in $C(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997) 2149–2154.
- [4] F. Azarpanah, *Essential ideals in $C(X)$* , Period. Math. Hungar. 31(1995) 105–112.
- [5] F. Azarpanah, F. Manshoor and R. Mohamadian *Connectedness and compactness in $C(X)$ with m -topology and generalized m -topology*, Topol. Appl. 159(2012), 3486–3493.
- [6] H.L. Byun, S. Watson, *Prime and maximal ideals in subrings of $C(X)$* , Topol. Appl. 40(1991) 45–62.
- [7] H. L. Byun, L. Redlin, S. Watson, *A -compactifications and rings of continuous functions between C^* and C* , Topological Vector Spaces, Algebras, and Related Areas. 316(1995) 130–139.
- [8] J.M. Dominguez, J. Gomez, M.A. Mulero, *Intermediate algebras between $C(X)$ and $C^*(X)$ as rings of fractions of $C^*(X)$* , Topol. Appl. 77(1997) 115–130.
- [9] J.M. Dominguez and J. Gomez, *Intersections of maximal ideals in algebras between $C^*(X)$ and $C(X)$* , Topol. Appl. 98(1999), 149–165.
- [10] J.M. Dominguez and J. Gomez, *There do not exist minimal algebras between $C^*(X)$ and $C(X)$ with prescribed real maximal ideal spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. 94(2002) 351–355.
- [11] T. Dube, *A note on the socle of certain types of f -rings*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society. 38(2)(2012), 517–528.
- [12] M. Ghirati, A. Taherifar, *Intersections of essential (resp., free) maximal ideals of $C(X)$* , Topol. Appl. 167(2014) 62–68.
- [13] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1978).
- [14] K.R. Goodearl and R.B. Warfield, JR, *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [15] A.W. Hager, P. Nanzetta and D. Plank, *Inversion in a class of lattice-ordered algebras*, Colloq. Math. 24(1972) 225–234.
- [16] M. Henriksen and D.G. Johnson, *On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice ordered algebras*, Fund. Math. 50(1961) 73–94.
- [17] M. Henriksen, J.R. Isbell and D.G. Johnson, *Residue class fields of lattice ordered algebras*, Fund. Math. 50(1961) 107–117.
- [18] J.R. Isbell, *Algebras of uniformly continuous functions*, Ann. of Math. 68(1958) 96–125.
- [19] R.E. Johnson, *The extended centralizer of a ring over a module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2(1951), 891–895.
- [20] O.A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Rostami, *On the intrinsic topology and some related ideals of $C(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93(1)(1985), 179–184.
- [21] J.C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, Wiley- Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [22] P. Panman, J. Sack, S. Watson, *Correspondences between ideals and z -filters for rings of continuous functions between C^* and C* , Comment. Math. 52(2012) 11–20.
- [23] D. Plank, *On a class of subalgebras of $C(X)$ with applications to $\beta X \setminus X$* , Fund. Math. 64(1969)41–54.
- [24] L. Redlin, S. Watson, *Maximal ideals in subalgebras of $C(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100(1987) 763–766.
- [25] L. Redlin, S. Watson, *Structure spaces for rings of continuous functions with applications to realcompactifications*, Fund. Math. 152(1997) 151–163.
- [26] J. Sack and S. Watson, *C and C^* among intermediate rings*, Topology Proceedings. 43(2014) 69–82.
- [27] J. Sack and S. Watson, *Characterizations of Ideals in Intermediate C -Rings $A(X)$ via the A -Compactifications of X* , International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2013, Article ID 635361, 6 pages.
- [28] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in Commutative Algebra*, (London Mathematical Society Student Texts 19, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990).
- [29] A. Taherifar, *Intersections of essential minimal prime ideals*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 55, 1(2014) 121–130.