



Some Properties of New Classes of Analytic Functions

Yuan Yuan^a, Jin-Lin Liu^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Maanshan Teacher's College, Maanshan, 243000, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, 225002, P. R. China

Dedicated to Professor H.M. Srivastava on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Abstract. The authors introduce two new classes $H_k(\lambda, A, B)$ and $M_k(\lambda, A, B)$ of analytic functions. Distortion bounds, inclusion relations and integral transforms properties for these classes are investigated.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the present paper the following assumptions are given.

$$N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}, k \in N \setminus \{1\}, -1 \leq B \leq 0, B < A \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic in $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

For two functions f and g analytic in U , the function f is said to be subordinate to g , written $f(z) < g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists an analytic function w in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

Let

$$f_j(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \in \mathcal{A} \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of f_1 and f_2 is defined by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = f_1(z) * f_2(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,1} a_{n,2} z^n.$$

Lemma. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.2) satisfy

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \leq A - B. \quad (1.3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

Keywords. Analytic function; subordination; distortion bound; inclusion relation; integral transform.

Received: 26 October 2014; Accepted: 27 February 2015

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Corresponding author: Jin-Lin Liu

Email addresses: 47341653@qq.com (Yuan Yuan), jlliu@yzu.edu.cn (Jin-Lin Liu)

Then

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in U), \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\delta_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \left(\frac{n-1}{k} \notin N\right), \\ 1 & \left(\frac{n-1}{k} \in N\right) \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

for $n \geq 2$,

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{-j} f(\varepsilon_k^j z) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_k = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right). \tag{1.6}$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.2), the function $f_k(z)$ in (1.6) can be expressed as

$$f_k(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_{n,k} a_n z^n, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$\delta_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{j(n-1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \left(\frac{n-1}{k} \notin N\right), \\ 1 & \left(\frac{n-1}{k} \in N\right) \end{cases}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Also, by (1.1) and (1.5), we see that

$$A\delta_{n,k} - B(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) \geq 0 \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{1.8}$$

Let the inequality (1.3) hold. Then from (1.7) and (1.8) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\frac{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} - 1}{A - B \frac{(1-\lambda)f(z)+\lambda zf'(z)}{f_k(z)}} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + \lambda n - \delta_{n,k}) a_n z^{n-1}}{A - B + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [A\delta_{n,k} - B(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)] a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda + \lambda n - \delta_{n,k}) |a_n|}{A - B - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [A\delta_{n,k} - B(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)] |a_n|} \\ &\leq 1 \quad (|z| = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have (1.4).

Now we introduce the following two subclasses of \mathcal{A} .

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.2) is said to be in the class $H_k(\lambda, A, B)$ if and only if it satisfies the coefficient inequality (1.3).

It follows from Lemma that, if $f(z) \in H_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then the subordination relation (1.4) holds.

Definition 2. A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.2) is said to be in the class $M_k(\lambda, A, B)$ if and only if it satisfies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \leq A - B. \tag{1.9}$$

It is clear that for $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B) \iff zf'(z) \in H_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{1.10}$$

If we write

$$\alpha_n = \alpha_{n,k}(\lambda, A, B) = \frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}}{A - B} \text{ and } \beta_n = n\alpha_n > \alpha_n \quad (n \geq 2),$$

then it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\partial \beta_n}{\partial \lambda} = n \frac{\partial \alpha_n}{\partial \lambda} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \beta_n}{\partial A} = n \frac{\partial \alpha_n}{\partial A} \leq 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial \beta_n}{\partial B} = n \frac{\partial \alpha_n}{\partial B} \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have the following inclusion relations: If

$$0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, -1 \leq B_0 \leq B < A \leq A_0 \leq 1 \text{ and } B \leq 0,$$

then

$$M_k(\lambda, A, B) \subset H_k(\lambda, A, B) \subseteq H_k(\lambda_0, A_0, B_0) \subseteq H_k(0, 1, -1).$$

In particular, by taking $\lambda = 1$ and the Lemma, we see that each function in the classes $H_k(1, A, B)$ and $M_k(1, A, B)$ is starlike with respect to k -symmetric points. Analytic (and meromorphic) functions which are starlike with respect to symmetric points and related functions have been extensively studied by several authors (see, e.g., [1] to [8], [10] to [18] and [20] to [22]; see also the recent works [6], [12] and [19]).

In the present paper, we obtain distortion bounds, inclusion relations and integral transforms for each of the above-defined classes $H_k(\lambda, A, B)$, $M_k(\lambda, A, B)$. Our results are motivated by a number of recent works (see, for example, [1] to [22]).

2. Distortion Bounds

Theorem 1. Let $\frac{1-A}{(k-1)(1-B)} \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

(i) If $f(z) \in H_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then for $z \in U$,

$$|z| - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)}|z|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)}|z|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

The bounds in (2.1) are sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)}z^2 \in H_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{2.2}$$

(ii) If $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then for $z \in U$,

$$1 - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)}|z|. \tag{2.3}$$

The bounds in (2.3) are sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)}z^2 \in M_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \notin N$, we have $\delta_{n,k} = 0, \delta_{1+m,k} = 0$ ($1 \leq m \leq k - 1$) and

$$(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k} \geq (1 + \lambda)(1 - B). \tag{2.5}$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \in N$, we have $n = 1 + mk$ ($m \in N$), $\delta_{n,k} = 1$ and

$$(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k} \geq (1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A). \tag{2.6}$$

If $\frac{1-A}{(k-1)(1-B)} \leq \lambda \leq 1$, then

$$(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A) \geq (1 + \lambda)(1 - B). \tag{2.7}$$

(i) If

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H_k(\lambda, A, B),$$

then it follows from (2.5) to (2.7) that

$$(1 + \lambda)(1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \leq A - B.$$

Hence we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq |z| + \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} |z|^2$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| \geq |z| - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} |z|^2 \geq 0$$

for $z \in U$.

(ii) If

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M_k(\lambda, A, B),$$

then (2.5) to (2.7) yield

$$(1 + \lambda)(1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq A - B.$$

From this we easily have (2.3).

Theorem 2. Let

$$0 \leq \lambda < \frac{1 - A}{(k - 1)(1 - B)}. \tag{2.8}$$

(i) If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then for $z \in U$,

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + \sum_{n=2}^k |a_n| |z|^n + \frac{(A - B) - (1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k (1 - \lambda + \lambda n) |a_n|}{(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)} |z|^{k+1} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - \sum_{n=2}^k |a_n| |z|^n - \frac{(A - B) - (1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k (1 - \lambda + \lambda n) |a_n|}{(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)} |z|^{k+1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Equalities in (2.9) and (2.10) are attained, for example, by the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)} z^{k+1} \in H_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{2.11}$$

(ii) If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then for $z \in U$,

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^k n |a_n| |z|^{n-1} + \frac{(A - B) - (1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k n(1 - \lambda + \lambda n) |a_n|}{(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)} |z|^k \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^k n|a_n||z|^{n-1} - \frac{(A - B) - (1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k n(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)|a_n|}{(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)} |z|^k. \tag{2.13}$$

Equalities in (2.12) and (2.13) are attained, for example, by the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{(1 + k)[(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)]} z^{k+1} \in M_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. (i) If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.8) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} A - B &\geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=2}^k (1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) |a_n| + [(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)] \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} |a_n|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we easily have (2.9) and (2.10).

(ii) If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A - B &\geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \\ &\geq \sum_{n=2}^k n(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) |a_n| + [(1 + \lambda k)(1 - B) - (1 - A)] \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} n |a_n|. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to (2.12) and (2.13).

Theorem 3. Let $f(z)$ given by (2.1) be in the class $H_k(\lambda, A, B)$.

(i) If $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{A-B}{1-B}$, then for $z \in U$,

$$1 - \frac{A - B}{\lambda(1 - B)} |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{A - B}{\lambda(1 - B)} |z|. \tag{2.15}$$

The bounds in (2.15) are sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{2\lambda(1 - B)} z^2 \in H_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{2.16}$$

(ii) If $\frac{A-B}{1-B} < \lambda \leq 1$, then for $z \in U$,

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \sum_{n=2}^k n|a_n||z|^{n-1} + \frac{(A - B) - \lambda(1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k n|a_n|}{\lambda k(1 - B) + A - B} (1 + k)|z|^k \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{n=2}^k n|a_n||z|^{n-1} - \frac{(A - B) - \lambda(1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k n|a_n|}{\lambda k(1 - B) + A - B} (1 + k)|z|^k. \tag{2.18}$$

The bounds in (2.17) and (2.18) are sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{\lambda k(1 - B) + A - B} z^{k+1} \in H_k(\lambda, A, B).$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \notin N$, we have $\delta_{n,k} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}}{n} &= \lambda(1 - B) + \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 - B)}{n} \\ &\geq \lambda(1 - B) \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \in N$, we have $\delta_{n,k} = 1$, $n = 1 + mk$ ($m \in N$) and

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}}{n} = \lambda(1 - B) + \frac{(A - B) - \lambda(1 - B)}{n}. \tag{2.20}$$

(i) If $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{A-B}{1-B}$, then it is seen from (2.19) and (2.20) that

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}}{n} \geq \lambda(1 - B) \tag{2.21}$$

for all $n \geq 2$. Using (2.21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A - B &\geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \\ &\geq \lambda(1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we easily have (2.15).

(ii) If $\frac{A-B}{1-B} < \lambda \leq 1$, then it is seen from (2.19) and (2.20) that

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}}{n} \geq \lambda(1 - B) - \frac{\lambda(1 - B) - (A - B)}{1 + k} \tag{2.22}$$

for $n \geq 1 + k$. Using (2.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A - B &\geq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}] |a_n| \\ &\geq \lambda(1 - B) \sum_{n=2}^k n |a_n| + \left[\lambda(1 - B) - \frac{\lambda(1 - B) - (A - B)}{1 + k} \right] \sum_{n=1+k}^{\infty} n |a_n|. \end{aligned}$$

From this we easily have (2.17) and (2.18).

3. Inclusion Relation between $H_k(\lambda, C, D)$ and $M_k(\lambda, A, B)$

In this section we generalize and improve the above mentioned inclusion relation

$$M_k(\lambda, A, B) \subset H_k(\lambda, A, B). \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 4. If $-1 \leq D \leq 0$, then

$$M_k(\lambda, A, B) \subset H_k(\lambda, C(D), D), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$C(D) = D + \frac{(1 - D)(A - B)}{2(1 - B)}, \tag{3.3}$$

and the number $C(D)$ cannot be decreased for each D .

Proof. Obviously $D < C(D) < 1$. Let $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$. In order to prove that $f(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C(D), D)$, we need only to find the smallest C ($D < C \leq 1$) such that

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - D) - (1 - C)\delta_{n,k}}{C - D} \leq \frac{n[(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}]}{A - B} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $n \geq 2$, that is, that

$$\frac{(1 - D)(1 - \lambda + \lambda n - \delta_{n,k})}{C - D} + \delta_{n,k} \leq n \left\{ \frac{(1 - B)(1 - \lambda + \lambda n - \delta_{n,k})}{A - B} + \delta_{n,k} \right\} \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{3.5}$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \in N$, (3.5) is equivalent to

$$C \geq D + \frac{\lambda(1 - D)}{\frac{\lambda n(1 - B)}{A - B} + 1} = \varphi(n) \quad (\text{say}). \tag{3.6}$$

The function $\varphi(n)$ ($n \geq 2$) is decreasing in n and hence

$$\varphi(n) \leq \varphi(1 + k) = D + \frac{\lambda(1 - D)}{\frac{\lambda(1+k)(1-B)}{A-B} + 1}.$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \notin N$, (3.5) reduces to

$$C \geq D + \frac{1 - D}{\frac{n(1-B)}{A-B}} = \psi(n) \quad (\text{say}) \tag{3.7}$$

and we have

$$\psi(n) \leq \psi(2) = D + \frac{(1 - D)(A - B)}{2(1 - B)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Noting that (1.1), a simple calculation shows that $\varphi(1 + k) \leq \psi(2)$. Consequently, by taking $C = \psi(2) = C(D)$, it follows from (3.4) to (3.8) that $f(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C(D), D)$.

Furthermore, for $D < C_0 < C(D)$, we have

$$\frac{(1 + \lambda)(1 - D)}{C_0 - D} \cdot \frac{A - B}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} > \frac{(1 + \lambda)(1 - D)}{C(D) - D} \cdot \frac{A - B}{2(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} = 1,$$

which implies that the function $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$ defined by (2.4) is not in the class $H_k(\lambda, C_0, D)$. The proof of Theorem 4 is thus completed.

Setting $D = B$, Theorem 4 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 1. $M_k(\lambda, A, B) \subset H_k(\lambda, C(B), B)$, where

$$C(B) = \frac{A + B}{2} \in (B, A)$$

cannot be decreased for each B .

Note that Corollary 1 refines the inclusion relation (3.1).

4. Integral Transforms

Theorem 5. Let $f(z) \in H_k(\lambda, A, B)$ and

$$I_\mu(z) = \frac{\mu + 1}{z^\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} f(t) dt \quad (\mu > -1). \tag{4.1}$$

Then $I_\mu(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C_1(D), D)$, where $-1 \leq D \leq 0$ and

$$C_1(D) = D + \frac{(\mu + 1)(1 - D)(A - B)}{(\mu + 2)(1 - B)}. \tag{4.2}$$

The number $C_1(D)$ cannot be decreased for each D .

Proof. Note that $D < C_1(D) < 1$. For

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in H_k(\lambda, A, B),$$

it follows from (4.1) that

$$I_\mu(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + n} a_n z^n. \tag{4.3}$$

In order to prove that $I_\mu(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C_1(D), D)$, we need only to find the smallest C ($D < C \leq 1$) such that

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - D) - (1 - C)\delta_{n,k}}{C - D} \cdot \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + n} \leq \frac{(1 - \lambda + \lambda n)(1 - B) - (1 - A)\delta_{n,k}}{A - B} \tag{4.4}$$

for all $n \geq 2$.

For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \in N$, (4.4) becomes

$$C \geq D + \frac{\lambda(1 - D)}{\frac{\lambda(1-B)(\mu+n)}{(A-B)(\mu+1)} + \frac{1}{\mu+1}} = \varphi_1(n) \quad (\text{say}) \tag{4.5}$$

and we have

$$\varphi_1(n) \leq \varphi_1(1 + k) = D + \frac{\lambda(1 - D)}{\frac{\lambda(1-B)(\mu+1+k)}{(A-B)(\mu+1)} + \frac{1}{\mu+1}}.$$

For $n \geq 2$ and $\frac{n-1}{k} \notin N$, (4.5) reduces to

$$C \geq D + \frac{1 - D}{\frac{(1-B)(\mu+n)}{(A-B)(\mu+1)}} = \psi_1(n) \quad (\text{say}) \tag{4.6}$$

and we have

$$\psi_1(n) \leq \psi_1(2) = D + \frac{(\mu + 1)(1 - D)(A - B)}{(\mu + 2)(1 - B)}. \tag{4.7}$$

A simple calculation shows that $\varphi_1(1 + k) \leq \psi_1(2)$. Therefore, by taking $C = \psi_1(2) = C_1(D)$, it follows from (4.4) to (4.7) that $I_\mu(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C_1(D), D)$.

Furthermore the number $C_1(D)$ is best possible for the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.2).

Theorem 6. Let $I_\mu(z)$ and $C_1(D)$ be the same as in Theorem 5. If $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$, then $I_\mu(z) \in M_k(\lambda, C_1(D), D)$ and the number $C_1(D)$ cannot be decreased for each D .

Proof. By (4.3) we have

$$I_\mu(z) = \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + n} z^n \right) * f(z)$$

and so

$$zI'_\mu(z) = \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu + 1}{\mu + n} z^n \right) * z f'(z). \tag{4.8}$$

In view of (4.8) and (1.10), an application of Theorem 5 yields Theorem 6.

Corollary 2. Let $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$ and $I_\mu(z)$ be the same as in Theorem 5. Then $I_\mu(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C_2(D), D)$, where $-1 \leq D \leq 0$ and

$$C_2(D) = D + \frac{(\mu + 1)(1 - D)(A - B)}{2(\mu + 2)(1 - B)}.$$

The number $C_2(D)$ cannot be decreased for each D .

Proof. Note that $D < C_2(D) < 1$. Let $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$. Then it follows from Theorem 6 and Corollary 1 that $I_\mu(z) \in H_k(\lambda, C(D), D)$, where $-1 \leq D \leq 0$ and

$$C(D) = D + \frac{C_1(D) - D}{2} = D + \frac{(\mu + 1)(1 - D)(A - B)}{2(\mu + 2)(1 - B)} = C_2(D).$$

Furthermore, for the function $f(z) \in M_k(\lambda, A, B)$ given by (2.4) and $D < C_0 < C_2(D)$, we have

$$I_\mu(z) = z - \frac{(\mu + 1)(A - B)}{2(\mu + 2)(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)}z^2$$

and

$$\frac{(1 + \lambda)(1 - D)}{C_0 - D} \cdot \frac{(\mu + 1)(A - B)}{2(\mu + 2)(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} > \frac{(1 + \lambda)(1 - D)}{C_2(D) - D} \cdot \frac{(\mu + 1)(A - B)}{2(\mu + 2)(1 + \lambda)(1 - B)} = 1.$$

Hence $I_\mu(z) \notin H_k(\lambda, C_0, D)$ and the proof of Corollary 2 is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express sincere thanks to the referee for careful reading and suggestions which helped us to improve the paper.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11571299) and Jiangsu Province Natural Science Foundation (No. BK20151304).

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, J. Dziok, J. Sokol, On a subclass of strongly starlike functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 27–32.
- [2] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, S. Owa, Certain subclasses of Sakaguchi functions, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 17 (1993) 121–126.
- [3] J. Dziok, J. Sokol, Some inclusion properties of certain class of analytic functions, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 13 (2009) 2001–2009.
- [4] J. Dziok, R. K. Raina, J. Sokol, On alpha-convex functions related to shell-like functions connected with Fibonacci numbers, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2011) 966–1002.
- [5] S. A. Halim, Functions starlike with respect to other points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991) 451–456.
- [6] K. I. Noor, N. Khan, M. A. Noor, On generalized spiral-like analytic functions, *Filomat* 28(7) (2014) 1493–1503.
- [7] M. Obradovic, S. Ponnusamy, Radius of univalence of certain class of analytic functions, *Filomat* 27(6) (2013) 1085–1090.
- [8] R. Pavatham, S. Radha, On α -starlike and α -close-to-convex functions with respect to n -symmetric points, *Indiana J. Pure Appl. Math.* 16 (1986) 1114–1122.
- [9] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 11 (1959) 72–75.
- [10] J. Sokol, A certain class of starlike functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011) 611–619.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava, D.-G. Yang, N.-E. Xu, Some subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a linear operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 195 (2008) 11–23.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Caglar, N. Yagmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat* 27(5) (2013) 831–842.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, J. K. Prajapat, G. I. Oros, R. Sendrutiu, Geometric properties of a certain general family of integral operators, *Filomat* 28(4) (2014) 745–754.
- [14] J. Stantiewics, Some remarks on functions starlike with respect to symmetric points, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* 19 (1965) 53–59.
- [15] H. Tang, G. Deng, J. Sokol, S. Li, Inclusion and argument properties for the Srivastava-Khairnar-More operator, *Filomat* 28(8) (2014) 1603–1618.
- [16] Z. G. Wang, C. Y. Gao, S. M. Yuan, On certain subclasses of close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions with respect to k -symmetric points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 322 (2006) 97–106.
- [17] Z. Wu, On classes of Sakaguchi functions and Hadamard products, *Sci. Sinica Ser. A* 30 (1987) 128–135.

- [18] N-E. Xu, D.-G. Yang, Some classes of analytic and multivalent functions involving a linear operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 49 (2009) 955–965.
- [19] Q.-H. Xu, C.-B. Lv, N.-C. Luo, H. M. Srivastava, Sharp coefficient estimates for a certain general class of spirallike functions by means of differential subordination, *Filomat* 27(7) (2013) 1351–1356.
- [20] D.-G. Yang, J.-L. Liu, Some subclasses of meromorphic and multivalent functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 111 (2014) 73–88.
- [21] D.-G. Yang, J.-L. Liu, Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions, *Houston J. Math.* 40 (2014) 527–554.
- [22] S.-M. Yuan, Z.-M. Liu, Some properties of α -convex and α -quasiconvex functions with respect to n -symmetric points, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 188 (2007) 1142–1150.