



On the Second Hankel Determinant for the k th-Root Transform of Analytic Functions

Najla M. Alarifi^a, Rosihan M. Ali^b, V. Ravichandran^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam 31113, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

^cDepartment of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110 007, India

Abstract. Let f be a normalized analytic function in the open unit disk of the complex plane satisfying $zf'(z)/f(z)$ is subordinate to a given analytic function φ . A sharp bound is obtained for the second Hankel determinant of the k th-root transform $z[f(z^k)/z^k]^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Best bounds for the Hankel determinant are also derived for the k th-root transform of several other classes, which include the class of α -convex functions and α -logarithmically convex functions. These bounds are expressed in terms of the coefficients of the given function φ , and thus connect with earlier known results for particular choices of φ .

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

defined in the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $q = 1, 2, \dots$, the *Hankel determinants* $H_q(n)$ of the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ are defined by

$$H_q(n) := \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $a_1 = 1$. It is evident that $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$ is the Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional of f . Interestingly the determinant also satisfies $H_2(1) = S_f(0)/6$, where S_f is the Schwarzian derivative of f defined by

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50, 30C80

Keywords. Second Hankel determinant; subordination; k th-root transformation; Ma-Minda starlike functions; α -convex functions

Received: 14 October 2014; Accepted: 23 February 2015

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

This work benefited greatly from the stimulating discussions held with Dr. M. H. Mohd. The work presented here was supported in parts by a research university grant 1001/PMATHS/811280 from Universiti Sains Malaysia and a grant from University of Delhi.

Email addresses: najarifi@hotmail.com (Najla M. Alarifi), rosihan@usm.my (Rosihan M. Ali), vravi@maths.du.ac.in (V. Ravichandran)

$S_f = (f''/f')' - (f''/f')^2/2$. The Hankel determinants play an important role in the study of singularities as well as in the study of power series with integral coefficients [8, 45, 46]. Several earlier investigations include those of [12–14, 21, 24, 33–43, 45, 46], while several recent works are those of [6, 7, 18–20, 22, 23, 25, 29, 31, 32]. In [25], Lee *et al.* provided a brief survey on the Hankel determinants and obtained bounds for $H_2(2)$ for functions belonging to several classes defined by subordination. In recent years, various interesting properties and characteristics including coefficient bounds and coefficient inequalities of many different subclasses of univalent and bi-univalent functions have been investigated. The technique used by Ma and Minda for the Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of convex and starlike functions were used by many authors to solve the same problem for other classes. This technique was also used to solve Hankel determinant problem as well as the coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions [3, 9, 50–55]. The recent works on bi-univalent functions are motivated by the estimates of initial coefficients of certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions by Srivastava *et al.* [50].

For a univalent function f of the form (1), the k th-root transform is defined by

$$F(z) = [f(z^k)]^{\frac{1}{k}} := z [f(z^k)/z^k]^{\frac{1}{k}}. \tag{2}$$

The k th-root transform has been widely used in a variety of ways in complex function theory. Since f is univalent, whence $f(z^k)/z^k$ is non-vanishing in \mathbb{D} , the k th-root is an analytic function in \mathbb{D} . Not only does the k th-root transform preserves univalence, it is also known [16] to preserve boundedness and starlikeness. While the convexity of the k th-root transform of f implies convexity of f , the converse however is false [16]. In [2], Ali *et al.* investigated the Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional for the k th-root transform of functions belonging to several classes defined via subordination. It follows from (2) that

$$F(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1},$$

where the initial coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{k} a_2, & b_{2k+1} &= \frac{1}{k} a_3 + \frac{(1-k)}{2k^2} a_2^2 \\ b_{3k+1} &= \frac{1}{k} a_4 + \frac{(1-k)}{k^2} a_2 a_3 + \frac{(1-k)(1-2k)}{3!k^3} a_2^3. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The second Hankel determinant of the associated function $\tilde{F}(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1}$ is given by $H_2(2) = |b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2|$, and this quantity is also known as the second Hankel determinant of the k th-root transform F .

Recall that an analytic function f is *subordinate* to an analytic function g , written $f(z) < g(z)$, if there exists an analytic self-map w of \mathbb{D} with $w(0) = 0$ satisfying $f(z) = g(w(z))$. In this paper, the best bounds for the second Hankel determinant of the k th-root transform are obtained for several classes of functions defined via subordination. These classes can be seen as belonging to the genre of Ma-Minda starlike functions, which will be made apparent in the next section. The results in this paper are derived through several meticulous lengthy computations, and thus in several instances, these computations were validated by use of Mathematica.

Closely related to the classes of functions treated in this paper is the class \mathcal{P} consisting of analytic functions with $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ in \mathbb{D} and normalized by $p(0) = 1$. The following results will be required.

Lemma 1.1. [10] *If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$, then*

$$|c_n| \leq 2.$$

Further this bound is sharp.

Lemma 1.2. [15, p.152] If $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$2c_2 = c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2), \tag{4}$$

$$4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2c_1(4 - c_1^2)x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)y, \tag{5}$$

for some $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Another result that will be required is the optimal value of a quadratic expression. Standard computations show that

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 4} (Lt^2 + Mt + N) = \begin{cases} \frac{4LN - M^2}{4L}, & M > 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{8}, \\ N, & M \leq 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{4}, \\ 16L + 4M + N, & M \geq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{8} \text{ or} \\ & M \leq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

2. The Second Hankel Determinant of the k th-Root Transform

This section introduces several classes of normalized analytic functions. For each class, a sharp bound is obtained for its second Hankel determinant.

Definition 2.1. [27] Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots, \quad (B_1 > 0, z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{7}$$

Further assume that φ maps \mathbb{D} onto a region starlike with respect to 1, and $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. The class $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $zf'(z)/f(z) < \varphi(z)$.

In the literature, this class $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ is widely called the *Ma-Minda starlike functions with respect to φ* . For the particular case when φ is given by

$$\varphi_\alpha(z) := \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} = 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)z + 2(1 - \alpha)^2z^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)^3z^3 + \dots, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1,$$

the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) := \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi_\alpha)$ is the well-known class of *starlike functions of order α* . For the function

$$\varphi_{PAR}(z) := 1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{z}}{1 - \sqrt{z}} \right)^2 = 1 + \frac{8}{\pi^2}z + \frac{16}{3\pi^2}z^2 + \frac{184}{45\pi^2}z^3 + \dots,$$

$\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi_{PAR})$ is the class \mathcal{S}_p^* of *parabolic starlike functions* introduced by Rønning [48]:

$$\mathcal{S}_p^* := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right\}.$$

Ali and Ravichandran [4] gave a survey on parabolic starlike functions and its related class of uniformly convex functions. When

$$\varphi_\beta(z) := \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\beta = 1 + 2\beta z + 2\beta^2 z^2 + \frac{2}{3}\beta(1 + 2\beta^2)z^3 + \dots, \quad 0 < \beta \leq 1,$$

the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi_\beta)$ is the familiar class \mathcal{S}_β^* of *strongly starlike functions of order β* :

$$\mathcal{S}_\beta^* := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \arg \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2} \right\}.$$

The class $\mathcal{S}^*(\sqrt{1+z})$ is the class of *lemniscate of Bernoulli starlike functions* studied in [49]:

$$\mathcal{S}_L^* := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^2 - 1 \right| < 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let φ be given by (7), $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$, and $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1}$ be its k th-root transform. Further let $\delta = 1/k^2$.

1. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$|B_2| \leq B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad |4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2| - 3B_1^2 \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|H_2(2)| = |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{B_1^2}{4k^2}.$$

2. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$|B_2| \geq B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad |4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2| - B_1|B_2| - 2B_1^2 \geq 0,$$

or the conditions

$$|B_2| \leq B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad |4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2| - 3B_1^2 \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{1}{12k^2} |4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2|.$$

3. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$|B_2| \geq B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad 2B_1^2 + B_1|B_2| - |4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2| \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{B_1^2}{12k^2} \left(\frac{3|4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2| - 4B_1^2 - B_2^2 - 4B_1|B_2|}{|4B_1B_3 - \delta B_1^4 - 3B_2^2| - 2B_1|B_2| - B_1^2} \right).$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$, there exists an analytic self-map w of \mathbb{D} with $w(0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \varphi(w(z)). \tag{8}$$

Define the function $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ by

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots,$$

or equivalently,

$$w(z) = \frac{P_1(z) - 1}{P_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(c_1z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left(c_3 - c_1c_2 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} \right) z^3 + \dots \right). \tag{9}$$

By using (9) along with (7) lead to the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(w(z)) &= 1 + B_1w(z) + B_2w^2(z) + \dots = 1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1z + \left(\frac{1}{2}B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2 \right) z^2 \\ &+ \left(B_1 \left(\frac{c_3}{2} - \frac{c_1c_2}{2} + \frac{c_1^3}{8} \right) + B_2c_1 \left(\frac{c_2}{2} - \frac{c_1^2}{4} \right) + \frac{B_3c_1^3}{8} \right) z^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + a_2z + (2a_3 - a_2^2)z^2 + (3a_4 - 3a_2a_3 + a_2^3)z^3 + \dots,$$

and comparing with (8) and (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{B_1 c_1}{2}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{8} \left((B_1^2 - B_1 + B_2) c_1^2 + 2B_1 c_2 \right), \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{48} \left((-4B_2 + 2B_1 + B_1^3 - 3B_1^2 + 3B_1 B_2 + 2B_3) c_1^3 + 2(3B_1^2 - 4B_1 + 4B_2) c_1 c_2 + 8B_1 c_3 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Consequently (11) and (3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= \frac{B_1 c_1}{2k}, \\ b_{2k+1} &= \frac{1}{8k} \left((B_1^2 - B_1 + B_2) c_1^2 + 2B_1 c_2 \right) + \frac{(1-k)}{8k^2} B_1^2 c_1^2, \\ b_{3k+1} &= \frac{1}{48k} \left((B_1^3 - 3B_1^2 + 2B_1 - 4B_2 + 3B_1 B_2 + 2B_3) c_1^3 + 2(3B_1^2 - 4B_1 + 4B_2) c_1 c_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 8B_1 c_3 \right) + \frac{(1-k)B_1 c_1}{16k^2} \left[(B_1^2 - B_1 + B_2) c_1^2 + 2B_1 c_2 \right] + \frac{(1-k)(1-2k)}{48k^3} B_1^3 c_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

A lengthy computations, validated by Mathematica, show that

$$b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2 = \frac{B_1}{192k^2} \left(4(B_2 - B_1) c_1^2 c_2 + \left(B_1 - \frac{1}{k^2} B_1^3 - 2B_2 + 4B_3 - 3 \frac{B_2^2}{B_1} \right) c_1^4 + 16B_1 c_1 c_3 - 12B_1 c_2^2 \right).$$

Next for ease in computations, let

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= 16B_1, \quad d_2 = 4(B_2 - B_1), \quad d_3 = -12B_1, \\ d_4 &= B_1 - \delta B_1^3 - 2B_2 + 4B_3 - 3 \frac{B_2^2}{B_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{B_1}{192k^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then

$$|b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = T |d_1 c_1 c_3 + d_2 c_1^2 c_2 + d_3 c_2^2 + d_4 c_1^4|. \tag{13}$$

Since the function $p(e^{i\theta} z)$ ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}$) is in the class \mathcal{P} for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$, there is no loss of generality in assuming $c_1 = c > 0$, $c \in [0, 2]$. Substituting the values of c_2 and c_3 respectively from (4) and (5) in (13), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &= \frac{T}{4} |c^4 (d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) + 2xc^2(4 - c^2)(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \\ &\quad + (4 - c^2)x^2(-d_1 c^2 + d_3(4 - c^2)) + 2d_1(4 - c^2)(1 - |x|^2)y| \end{aligned}$$

for some $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. With $s = |x|$, (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &\leq \frac{T}{4} \left(c^4 \left| 16B_3 - 4\delta B_1^3 - 12 \frac{B_2^2}{B_1} \right| + 8sc^2(4 - c^2) |B_2| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + s^2(4 - c^2)(4B_1 c^2 + 48B_1) + 32B_1 c(4 - c^2)(1 - s^2) \right) \\ &= T \left(c^4 \left| 4B_3 - \delta B_1^3 - 3 \frac{B_2^2}{B_1} \right| + 8B_1 c(4 - c^2) + 2|B_2| s c^2(4 - c^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + B_1 s^2(4 - c^2)(c - 2)(c - 6) \right) \\ &:= F(c, s), \end{aligned}$$

$(c, s) \in [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Now

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial s} = T \left(2|B_2|c^2(4 - c^2) + 2B_1s(4 - c^2)(c - 2)(c - 6) \right),$$

and so $\partial F/\partial s > 0$; that is, $F(c, s)$ is an increasing function of s . Hence

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} F(c, s) = F(c, 1) := G(c).$$

Upon simplification, we find that

$$G(c) = \frac{B_1}{192k^2} \left(c^4 \left| 4B_3 - \delta B_1^3 - \frac{3B_2^2}{B_1} \right| - 2|B_2| - B_1 \right) + 8c^2(|B_2| - B_1) + 48B_1.$$

Writing $c^2 = t$ and

$$L = \left| 4B_3 - \delta B_1^3 - \frac{3B_2^2}{B_1} \right| - 2|B_2| - B_1, \quad M = 8(|B_2| - B_1), \quad N = 48B_1,$$

it follows from (6) that

$$\frac{192k^2}{B_1} |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4LN - M^2}{4L}, & M > 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{8}, \\ N, & M \leq 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{4}, \\ 16L + 4M + N, & M \geq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{8} \text{ or } M \leq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{4}. \end{cases}$$

These conditions now lead to the desired bounds for the second Hankel determinant. \square

Remark 2.3.

1. The special case $k = 1$ in Theorem 2.2 reduces to [25, Theorem 1].
2. If $k = 1$ and $B_1 = B_2 = B_3 = 2$, then Theorem 2.2 reduces to [23, Theorem 3.1].

Judicious choices of φ in Theorem 2.2 lead to the following results for the special cases.

Corollary 2.4.

1. If $f \in S^*(\alpha)$, then $|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq (1 - \alpha)^2/k^2$.
2. If $f \in S_L^*$, then $|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq 1/(16k^2)$.
3. If $f \in S_p^*$, then $|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq 16/(\pi^4k^2)$.
4. If $f \in S_\beta^*$, then $|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \beta^2/k^2$.

Definition 2.5. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by Definition 2.1, and b be a non-zero complex number. The class $R_b(\varphi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} (f'(z) - 1) < \varphi(z).$$

This class was considered in [5] for the more general case of p -valent functions. The case $b = 1$ and $\varphi(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ gives the subclass of close-to-convex functions, studied by MacGregor [28], consisting of functions whose derivative has positive real part. Al Amiri et al. [1] introduced the general class of analytic functions satisfying $\text{Re} \{ 1 + 1/b((zf'(z)/g(z)) - 1) \} > 0$, for some starlike function g . It is evident that $R_b(\varphi)$ coincides with this class for $g(z) = z$ and $\varphi(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$.

Theorem 2.6. Let φ be given by (7), $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n \in R_b(\varphi)$, and $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1}$ be its k th-root transform. Further let

$$\lambda = |9B_1B_3 - 8B_2^2 + \delta B_1^4|, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{3(k^2 - 1)}{2^3k^2} b^2.$$

1. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$|B_2| \geq \frac{7}{2}B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad 8B_1^2 - \lambda \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{B_1^2 b^2}{9k^2}.$$

2. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$|B_2| \leq \frac{7}{2}B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{9}{2}B_1^2 + B_1|B_2| - \lambda \leq 0,$$

or the conditions

$$|B_2| \geq \frac{7}{2}B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad 8B_1^2 - \lambda \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{b^2 \lambda}{2^3 3^2 k^2}.$$

3. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$|B_2| \leq \frac{7}{2}B_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{9}{2}B_1^2 + B_1|B_2| - \lambda \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{b^2 B_1^2}{2^5 3^2 k^2} \left(\frac{32\lambda - 36B_1|B_2| - 81B_1^2 - 4B_2^2}{\lambda - 2B_1|B_2| - B_1^2} \right).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 2.2. There exists an analytic self-map w of \mathbb{D} satisfying

$$1 + \frac{1}{b}(f'(z) - 1) = \varphi(w(z)). \tag{14}$$

Now

$$1 + \frac{1}{b}(f'(z) - 1) = 1 + \frac{2}{b}a_2z + \frac{3}{b}a_3z^2 + \frac{4}{b}a_4z^3 + \dots,$$

and comparing with (10) and (14), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= b \frac{B_1 c_1}{4}, \\ a_3 &= b \frac{(2B_1 c_2 - B_1 c_1^2 + B_2 c_1^2)}{12}, \\ a_4 &= b \frac{(4B_1 c_3 - 4B_1 c_1 c_2 + B_1 c_1^3 + 4B_2 c_1 c_2 - 2B_2 c_1^3 + B_3 c_1^3)}{32}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Consequently (15) and (3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= b \frac{B_1 c_1}{4k}, \\ b_{2k+1} &= \frac{b}{12k} (2B_1 c_2 - B_1 c_1^2 + B_2 c_1^2) + \frac{(1-k)b^2}{32k^2} B_1^2 c_1^2, \\ b_{3k+1} &= \frac{b}{32k} (4B_1 c_3 - 4B_1 c_1 c_2 + B_1 c_1^3 + 4B_2 c_1 c_2 - 2B_2 c_1^3 + B_3 c_1^3) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1-k)b^2}{48k^2} (2B_1^2 c_1 c_2 - B_1^2 c_1^3 + B_1 B_2 c_1^3) + \frac{(k-1)(2k-1)}{384} b^3 B_1^3 c_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2 = \frac{b^2}{2^7 3^2 k^2} \left((B_1^2 - 2B_1B_2 + 3^2B_1B_3 - 2^3B_2^2 + \frac{3(k^2 - 1)}{2^3 k^2} b^2 B_1^4) c_1^4 + 2^2 (B_1B_2 - B_1^2) c_1^2 c_2 + 2^2 3^2 B_1^2 c_1 c_3 - 2^5 B_1^2 c_2^2 \right).$$

Writing

$$d_1 = 36B_1^2, \quad d_2 = 4B_1(B_2 - B_1), \quad d_3 = -32B_1^2, \\ d_4 = B_1^2 - 2B_1B_2 + 9B_1B_3 - 8B_2^2 + \delta B_1^4, \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{b^2}{2^7 3^2 k^2}, \tag{16}$$

then

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = T |d_1 c_1 c_3 + d_2 c_1^2 c_2 + d_3 c_2^2 + d_4 c_1^4|.$$

Equations (4) and (5) show that

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = \frac{T}{4} |c^4 (d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) + 2xc^2 (4 - c^2) (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) + (4 - c^2) x^2 (-d_1 c^2 + d_3 (4 - c^2)) + 2d_1 c (4 - c^2) (1 - |x|^2) y|$$

for some $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. With $s = |x|$, (16) yields

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq T (c^4 \lambda + 2sc^2 (4 - c^2) B_1 |B_2| + (4 - c^2) s^2 B_1^2 (9c^2 + 8(4 - c^2) - 18c) + 18B_1^2 c (4 - c^2) (1 - s^2)) \\ = T (c^4 \lambda + 2sc^2 (4 - c^2) B_1 |B_2| + 18B_1^2 c (4 - c^2) + (4 - c^2) s^2 B_1^2 (c - 2)(c - 16)) \\ := F(c, s),$$

$(c, s) \in [0, 2] \times [0, 1]$. Now

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial s} = T (2c^2 (4 - c^2) B_1 |B_2| + 2s (4 - c^2) B_1^2 (c - 2)(c - 16)),$$

and so $\partial F / \partial s > 0$; that is,

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} F(c, s) = F(c, 1) := G(c).$$

Routine simplifications yield

$$G(c) = T (c^4 (\lambda - 2B_1 |B_2| - B_1^2) + 4c^2 B_1 (2|B_2| - 7B_1) + 128B_1^2).$$

With $c^2 = t$ and

$$L = \lambda - 2B_1 |B_2| - B_1^2, \quad M = 4B_1 (2|B_2| - 7B_1), \quad N = 128B_1^2,$$

it follows from (6) that

$$\frac{2^7 3^2 k^2}{b^2} |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4LN - M^2}{4L}, & M > 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{8}, \\ N, & M \leq 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{4}, \\ 16L + 4M + N, & M \geq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{8} \text{ or } M \leq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Inserting the values of the parameters L, M and N yield the desired conditions and bounds. \square

Theorem 2.6 yields the following special cases.

Remark 2.7.

1. With $k = 1$ and $b = \tau$, Theorem 2.6 reduces to [25, Theorem 3] for $\gamma = 0$.
2. With $k = 1$ and $b = 1$, Theorem 2.6 reduces to [22, Theorem 2.1] for $\gamma = 0$.
3. For the choice $\varphi(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $k = 1$ and $b = \tau$, Theorem 2.6 reduces to [7, Theorem 2.1] for $\gamma = 0$.

We next introduce a third class of functions to be studied.

Definition 2.8. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by Definition 2.1, and $\alpha \geq 0$. The class $S^*(\alpha, \varphi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} < \varphi(z).$$

Padmanabhan [44] introduced the class $S^*(\alpha, \varphi)$ in 2001 and investigated sufficient conditions for starlikeness. The special case when $\alpha = 1$ and $\varphi = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$ was considered in [47]. It is evident that $S^*(0, \varphi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ treated in Definition 2.1.

Theorem 2.9. Let φ be given by (7), $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S^*(\alpha, \varphi)$, and $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1}$ be its k th-root transform. Further let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= |\delta B_1^4 - 2\alpha B_1^2 B_2 + 4(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^3 B_1 B_3 - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha) B_2^2|, \\ u &= (1 + 2\alpha)(12\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1), \quad \text{and} \\ \delta &= \frac{-1 - 10\alpha + (5k^2 - 33)\alpha^2 + 12(k^2 - 3)\alpha^3}{(1 + 2\alpha)k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

1. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$u|B_2| - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + \alpha B_1^2 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)B_1^2 \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{B_1^2}{(2(1 + 3\alpha)k)^2}.$$

2. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u|B_2| - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + \alpha B_1^2 &\geq 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \lambda - B_1(u|B_2| + \alpha B_1^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 9\alpha^2)B_1) &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

or the conditions

$$u|B_2| - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + \alpha B_1^2 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)B_1^2 \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{\lambda}{3(1 + 4\alpha)(2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)k)^2}.$$

3. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$u|B_2| - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + \alpha B_1^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lambda - B_1(u|B_2| + \alpha B_1^2 - 2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 9\alpha^2)B_1) \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \\ & \leq B_1^2 \left(-3(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 8\alpha^2) \left(-\lambda + (u + 2\alpha B_1)B_1^2 + 2uB_1|B_2| \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\alpha B_1^2 - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + u|B_2| \right)^2 \right) / \\ & \quad \left(12k^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha) \left(\lambda - B_1^2(u + 2\alpha B_1) - 2uB_1|B_2| \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$\frac{zf'(z) + \alpha^2 z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} = \varphi(w(z)) \tag{17}$$

for an analytic self-map w of \mathbb{D} . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zf'(z) + \alpha^2 z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} &= 1 + (1 + 2\alpha)a_2 z + \left(2(1 + 3\alpha)a_3 - (1 + 2\alpha)a_2^2 \right) z^2 \\ & \quad + \left(3(1 + 4\alpha)a_4 - (3 + 8\alpha)a_2 a_3 + (1 + 2\alpha)a_2^3 \right) z^3 + \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

it follows from (10), (17) and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{2(1 + 2\alpha)} B_1 c_1, \\ a_3 &= \frac{1}{2^3(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \left((1 + 2\alpha) \left(2B_1 c_2 - (B_1 - B_2) c_1^2 \right) + B_1^2 c_1^2 \right), \\ a_4 &= \frac{1}{2^4 \cdot 3(1 + 4\alpha)} \left(8(B_1 c_3 - B_1 c_1 c_2 + B_2 c_1 c_2) - 4B_2 c_1^3 + 2(B_1 c_1^3 + B_3 c_1^3) \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{(3 + 8\alpha)}{(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} \left((1 + 2\alpha) \left(2B_1^2 c_1 c_2 - B_1^2 c_1^3 + B_1 B_2 c_1^3 \right) + B_1^3 c_1^3 \right) - \frac{2B_1^3 c_1^3}{(1 + 2\alpha)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= \frac{B_1 c_1}{2k(1 + 2\alpha)}, \\ b_{2k+1} &= \frac{1}{2^3 k(1 + 3\alpha)} \left(2B_1 c_2 + (B_2 - B_1) c_1^2 \right) + \frac{(3 - k)\alpha + 1}{8k^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} B_1^2 c_1^2, \\ b_{3k+1} &= \frac{1}{2^3 3k(1 + 4\alpha)} \left(4(B_1 c_3 - B_1 c_1 c_2 + B_2 c_1 c_2) - 2B_2 c_1^3 + B_1 c_1^3 + B_3 c_1^3 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{4\alpha(3 - k) + 3}{2^4 \cdot 3k^2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + 4\alpha)} \left(2B_1^2 c_1 c_2 - B_1^2 c_1^3 + B_1 B_2 c_1^3 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{(12\alpha^2 + 7\alpha + 1) - \alpha k(4\alpha(3 - k) + 3)}{2^4 \cdot 3k^3(1 + 2\alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + 4\alpha)} B_1^3 c_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Routine computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2 &= \frac{(12\alpha^3k^2 + 5\alpha^2k^2 - 36\alpha^3 - 33\alpha^2 - 10\alpha - 1)}{2^6 \cdot 3k^4(1 + 2\alpha)^4(1 + 3\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)} B_1^4 c_1^4 \\
 &+ \frac{\alpha k}{2^5 \cdot 3k^3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)} (B_1^2 (B_1 - B_2) c_1^4 - 2B_1^3 c_1^2 c_2) \\
 &+ \frac{(12\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1)}{2^6 \cdot 3k^2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)} (B_1 (B_1 - 2B_2) c_1^4 \\
 &+ 4B_1 (B_2 - B_1) c_1^2 c_2) + \frac{1}{2^4 \cdot 3k^2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 4\alpha)} (4B_1^2 c_1 c_3 + B_1 B_3 c_1^4) \\
 &- \frac{1}{2^6 k^2(1 + 3\alpha)^2} (4B_1^2 c_2^2 + B_2^2 c_1^4),
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &= T \left| \left(B_1 (u(B_1 - 2B_2) + 4(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2 B_3 \right. \right. \\
 &+ 2\alpha B_1 (B_1 - B_2) + \delta B_1^3) - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha) B_2^2 \Big) c^4 \\
 &+ 4B_1 (u(B_2 - B_1) - \alpha B_1^2) c_1^2 c_2 \\
 &\left. + 16B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2 c_1 c_3 - 12B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha) c_2^2 \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{2^6 3k^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)}.$$

By writing

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= 16B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2, \\
 d_2 &= 4B_1 (u(B_2 - B_1) - \alpha B_1^2), \\
 d_3 &= -12B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha), \\
 d_4 &= B_1 (u(B_1 - 2B_2) + 4(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2 B_3 \\
 &+ 2\alpha B_1 (B_1 - B_2) + \delta B_1^3) - 3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha) B_2^2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

then

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = T |d_1 c_1 c_3 + d_2 c_1^2 c_2 + d_3 c_2^2 + d_4 c_1^4|.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &= \frac{T}{4} \left| c^4 (d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) + 2xc^2 (4 - c^2) (d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4) \right. \\
 &\left. + (4 - c^2) x^2 (-d_1 c^2 + d_3 (4 - c^2)) + 2d_1 c (4 - c^2) (1 - |x|^2) y \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

for some $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. With $s = |x|$, (19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &\leq T(c^4\lambda + 2B_1sc^2(4 - c^2)(u|B_2| + \alpha B_1^2) + s^2(1 + 2\alpha)(4 - c^2)(4(1 + 3\alpha)^2B_1^2c^2 \\ &\quad + 3(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 4\alpha)B_1^2(4 - c^2)) + 8(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2B_1^2c(4 - c^2)(1 - s^2)) \\ &= T(c^4\lambda + 2sB_1c^2(4 - c^2)(u|B_2| + \alpha B_1^2) + 8(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)^2B_1^2c(4 - c^2) \\ &\quad + s^2(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 12\alpha^2)(4 - c^2)B_1^2(c - 2)(c - p)) := F(c, s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p = \frac{6(1 + 6\alpha + 8\alpha^2)}{1 + 6\alpha + 12\alpha^2} > 2.$$

Proceeding similarly as in the previous proofs, it can be shown that $F(c, s)$ is an increasing function of s , whence

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} F(c, s) = F(c, 1) := G(c),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G(c) &= T\left(c^4(\lambda - B_1(u(B_1 + 2|B_2|) + 2\alpha B_1^2)) + 8B_1c^2(u|B_2| - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + \alpha B_1^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 48(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 8\alpha^2)B_1^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $c^2 = t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \lambda - B_1(u(B_1 + 2|B_2|) + 2\alpha B_1^2), \\ M &= 8B_1(u|B_2| - (1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 6\alpha^2)B_1 + \alpha B_1^2), \\ N &= 48(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 6\alpha + 8\alpha^2)B_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

(6) yield

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq T \begin{cases} \frac{4LN - M^2}{4L}, & M > 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{8}, \\ N, & M \leq 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{4}, \\ 16L + 4M + N, & M \geq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{8} \text{ or } M \leq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{4}, \end{cases}$$

where L, M, N are given by (20). This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.10. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by Definition 2.1, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. The class $L(\alpha, \varphi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^{1-\alpha} < \varphi(z).$$

This class is analogous to the α -logarithmically convex functions introduced by Lewandowski *et al.* [26]. In [11], Darus *et al.* found sharp upper bounds for $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$ and $|a_3 - \mu a_2|$, μ real, for $f \in L(\alpha, \varphi)$. Evidently $L(1, \varphi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ treated in Definition 2.1.

Theorem 2.11. Let φ be given by (7), $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in L(\alpha, \varphi)$, and $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1}$ be its k th-root transform. Further let

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= |\delta B_1^4 + v B_1^2 B_2 + 4(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2 B_1 B_3 - 3(2 - \alpha)^2(4 - 3\alpha) B_2^2|, \\ u &= (2 - \alpha)(7\alpha^2 - 18\alpha + 12), \\ v &= (7\alpha^3 - 13\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 12), \quad \text{and} \\ \delta &= \left(4(2\alpha - 3)(2k^2\alpha(15 - 17\alpha + 6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha^3) - 9k(8 - 10\alpha - \alpha^2 + 3\alpha^3) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6(12 - 17\alpha + 6\alpha^2)) + 9(3\alpha - 4)(2(2\alpha - 3) + k(-2 + \alpha + \alpha^2))^2\right) / (3(2k(2 - \alpha))^2). \end{aligned}$$

1. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$vB_1^2 + 2u|B_2| - 2(2 - \alpha)(6 - 6\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda + 3(2 - \alpha)^2(3\alpha - 4)B_1^2 \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \left(\frac{B_1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)k}\right)^2.$$

2. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$vB_1^2 + 2u|B_2| - 2(2 - \alpha)(6 - 6\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1 \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 2\lambda - B_1(vB_1^2 + 2u|B_2| + 4(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2B_1) \geq 0,$$

or the conditions

$$vB_1^2 + 2u|B_2| - 2(2 - \alpha)(6 - 6\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda + 3(2 - \alpha)^2(3\alpha - 4)B_1^2 \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{\lambda}{3(4 - 3\alpha)(2(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)k)^2}.$$

3. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$vB_1^2 + 2u|B_2| - 2(2 - \alpha)(6 - 6\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 2\lambda - B_1(vB_1^2 + 2u|B_2| + 4(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2B_1) \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \\ &\leq B_1^2 \left(- (vB_1^2 + 2(\alpha - 2)(6 - 6\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1 + 2u|B_2|)^2 + 12(\alpha - 2)^2(3\alpha - 4)(uB_1^2 + vB_1^3 - \lambda + 2uB_1|B_2|) \right) / \\ &\quad (3(4 - 3\alpha)(4(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)k)^2 (\lambda - uB_1^2 - vB_1^3 - 2uB_1|B_2|)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. With

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^{(1-\alpha)} = \varphi(w(z)),$$

the above equation and (10) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_2 &= \frac{B_1 c_1}{2(2 - \alpha)}, \\
 a_3 &= \frac{1}{2(3 - 2\alpha)} \left(\frac{-(\alpha^2 + 5\alpha - 8)}{2 \cdot 4(2 - \alpha)^2} B_1^2 c_1^2 + \frac{B_1 c_2}{2} - \frac{B_1 c_1^2}{4} + \frac{B_2 c_1^2}{4} \right), \\
 a_4 &= \frac{1}{2^3 \cdot 3(4 - 3\alpha)} \left(4B_2 c_1 c_2 - 2B_2 c_1^3 + B_3 c_1^3 + B_3 c_1^3 + 4B_1 c_3 - 4B_1 c_1 c_2 + B_1 c_1^3 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(\alpha^3 + 21\alpha^2 + 20\alpha - 48)}{2^4 \cdot 3^2(2 - \alpha)^3(4 - 3\alpha)} B_1^3 c_1^3 + \frac{(4\alpha^2 + 11\alpha - 18)}{2^5 \cdot 3(2 - \alpha)^3(3 - 2\alpha)(4 - 3\alpha)} \\
 &\quad \left((\alpha^2 + 5\alpha - 8) B_1^3 c_1^3 - 4(2 - \alpha)^2 B_1^2 c_1 c_2 + 2(2 - \alpha)^2 B_1^2 c_1^3 - 2(2 - \alpha)^2 B_1 B_2 c_1^3 \right). \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (21) and (3), and after some lengthy computations (validated by Mathematica), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &= T \left| \left(\delta B_1^4 + u B_1 (B_1 - 2B_2) + v B_1^2 (B_2 - B_1) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 4(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2 B_1 B_3 - 3(2 - \alpha)^2 (4 - 3\alpha) B_2^2 \right) c^4 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2B_1 (2u (B_2 - B_1) + v B_1^2) c_1^2 c_2 + 16B_1^2 (2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2 c_1 c_3 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 12B_1^2 (2 - \alpha)^2 (4 - 3\alpha) c_2^2 \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{3(4 - 3\alpha)(2^3(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)k)^2}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= 16B_1^2(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2, \\
 d_2 &= 2B_1(2u (B_2 - B_1) + v B_1^2), \\
 d_3 &= -12B_1^2(2 - \alpha)^2(4 - 3\alpha), \\
 d_4 &= \delta B_1^4 + u B_1 (B_1 - 2B_2) + v B_1^2 (B_2 - B_1) + 4(2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2 B_1 B_3 \\
 &\quad - 3(2 - \alpha)^2(4 - 3\alpha) B_2^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = T (d_1 c_1 c_3 + d_2 c_1^2 c_2 + d_3 c_2^2 + d_4 c_1^4).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 |b_{k+1} b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &= \frac{T}{4} \left(c^4 (d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) + 2xc^2 (4 - c^2) (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (4 - c^2) x^2 (-d_1 c^2 + d_3 (4 - c^2)) + 2d_1 c (4 - c^2) (1 - |x|^2) z \right) \\
 &\leq T (c^4 (\lambda) + sc^2 (4 - c^2) B_1 (2u |B_2| + v B_1^2) \\
 &\quad + s^2 (4 - c^2) (2 - \alpha) B_1^2 ((7\alpha^2 - 18\alpha + 12) c^2 + 12(2 - \alpha)(4 - 3\alpha)) \\
 &\quad + 8c (4 - c^2) (2 - \alpha)(3 - 2\alpha)^2 B_1^2 (1 - s^2)) \\
 &= T (c^4 (\lambda) + sc^2 (4 - c^2) B_1 (2u |B_2| + v B_1^2) + 8c (4 - c^2) (2 - \alpha) \\
 &\quad (3 - 2\alpha)^2 B_1^2 + s^2 (4 - c^2) (2 - \alpha) (12 - 18\alpha + 7\alpha^2) B_1^2 (c - 2)(c - p)) \\
 &:= F(c, s),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p = \frac{6(8 - 10\alpha + 3\alpha^2)}{12 - 18\alpha + 7\alpha^2} > 2.$$

The function $F(c, s)$ is increasing relative to s , and so

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} F(c, s) = F(c, 1) := G(c),$$

with

$$G(c) = T \left(c^4 (\lambda - B_1 (vB_1^2 + u(2|B_2| + B_1))) + c^2 (4B_1 (2u|B_2| + vB_1^2 - 2(2 - \alpha)(\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 6))B_1) + 48(2 - \alpha)^2(4 - 3\alpha)B_1^2 \right).$$

Letting $c^2 = t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \lambda - B_1(vB_1^2 + u(2|B_2| + B_1)), \\ M &= 4B_1(2(u|B_2| - (2 - \alpha)(\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 6))B_1) + vB_1^2, \\ N &= 48(2 - \alpha)^2(4 - 3\alpha)B_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

(6) leads to

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq T \begin{cases} \frac{4LN - M^2}{4L}, & M > 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{8}, \\ N, & M \leq 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{4}, \\ 16L + 4M + N, & M \geq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{8} \text{ or } M \leq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{4}, \end{cases}$$

where L, M, N are given by (22). The proof is now evident. \square

Remark 2.12.

1. With $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 2.11 reduces to Theorem 2.2.
2. If $k = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, then Theorem 2.11 reduces to [25, Theorem 2].
3. With the choice of $\varphi(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$, $k = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 2.11 reduces to [23, Theorem 3.2].

We conclude with the following class of functions.

Definition 2.13. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$ be given by Definition 2.1, and $\alpha \geq 0$. The class $M(\alpha, \varphi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \varphi(z).$$

The class $M(\alpha, \varphi)$ is analogous to the α -convex functions of Mocanu et al. [30], who investigated geometric properties of the class in the case $\varphi = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$.

Theorem 2.14. Let φ be given by (7), $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M(\alpha, \varphi)$, and $F(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{kn+1} z^{kn+1}$ be its k th-root transform. Further let

$$\lambda = |\delta B_1^4 + 6\alpha B_1^2 B_2 + 4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 B_1 B_3 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) B_2^2|, \quad u = (7\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1),$$

and

$$\delta = - \frac{(1 + (7 + 4k^2)\alpha + (16 + 7k^2)\alpha^2 + (12 + k^2)\alpha^3)}{(1 + \alpha)^3 k^2}.$$

1. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$u|B_2| + 3\alpha B_1^2 - (\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1)B_1 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_1^2 \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \left(\frac{B_1}{2(1 + 2\alpha)k}\right)^2.$$

2. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$u|B_2| + 3\alpha B_1^2 - (\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1)B_1 \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda - B_1(2(1 + 2\alpha)^2B_1 + 3\alpha B_1^2 + u|B_2|) \geq 0,$$

or the conditions

$$u|B_2| + 3\alpha B_1^2 - (\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1)B_1 \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_1^2 \geq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq \frac{\lambda}{3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(2(1 + 2\alpha)k)^2}.$$

3. If B_1, B_2 and B_3 satisfy the conditions

$$u|B_2| + 3\alpha B_1^2 - (\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1)B_1 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda - B_1(2(1 + 2\alpha)^2B_1 + 3\alpha B_1^2 + u|B_2|) \leq 0,$$

then the second Hankel determinant satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \\ &\leq B_1^2 \left(- (6\alpha B_1^2 - (1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1 + u|B_2|)^2 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(-\lambda + (u + 12\alpha B_1)B_1^2 + 2uB_1|B_2|) \right) / \\ &\quad (3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(4(1 + 2\alpha)k)^2 (\lambda - (u + 12\alpha B_1)B_1^2 - 2uB_1|B_2|)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $f \in M(\alpha, \varphi)$, direct lengthy computations reveal that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = T &\left| \left(\delta B_1^4 + 6\alpha B_1^2(B_2 - B_1) + uB_1(B_1 - 2B_2) \right. \right. \\ &+ 4(1 + 2\alpha)^2B_1B_3 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_2^2 \Big) c^4 + 4B_1(3\alpha B_1^2 \\ &\left. \left. + u(B_2 - B_1) \right) c_1^2 c_2 + 16B_1^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2 c_1 c_3 - 12B_1^2(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) c_2^2 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(2^3(1 + 2\alpha)k)^2}.$$

By writing

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= 16(1 + 2\alpha)^2 B_1^2, \\ d_2 &= 4B_1(3\alpha B_1^2 + u(B_2 - B_1)), \\ d_3 &= -12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_1^2, \\ d_4 &= \delta B_1^4 + 6\alpha B_1^2(B_2 - B_1) + uB_1(B_1 - 2B_2) + 4(1 + 2\alpha)^2 B_1 B_3 - 3(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| = T |d_1c_1c_3 + d_2c_1^2c_2 + d_3c_2^2 + d_4c_1^4|.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| &= \frac{T}{4} (c^4 (d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + 4d_4) + 2xc^2 (4 - c^2) (d_1 + d_2 + d_3) \\ &\quad + (4 - c^2)x^2 (-d_1c^2 + d_3(4 - c^2)) + 2d_1c(4 - c^2)(1 - |x|^2)y) \\ &\leq T(c^4\lambda + 2sc^2(4 - c^2)B_1(3\alpha B_1^2 + u|B_2|) \\ &\quad + s^2(4 - c^2)B_1^2(uc^2 + 12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)) + 8c(4 - c^2)(1 + 2\alpha)^2B_1^2(1 - s^2)) \\ &= T(c^4\lambda + 2sc^2(4 - c^2)B_1(3\alpha B_1^2 + u|B_2|) \\ &\quad + 8c(4 - c^2)(1 + 2\alpha)^2B_1^2 + s^2(4 - c^2)uB_1^2(c - 2)(c - p)) \\ &:= F(c, s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p = \frac{6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)}{u} > 2.$$

The function $F(c, s)$ is an increasing function of s . Thus

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} F(c, s) = F(c, 1) := G(c),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G(c) &= T(c^4(\lambda - B_1(6\alpha B_1^2 + u(B_1 + 2|B_2|))) \\ &\quad + c^2(4B_1(6\alpha B_1^2 + 2u|B_2|) - 2(1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1)) + 48(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Next let $c^2 = t$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \lambda - B_1(6\alpha B_1^2 + u(B_1 + 2|B_2|)), \\ M &= 8B_1(3\alpha B_1^2 + u|B_2|) - (1 + 4\alpha + \alpha^2)B_1, \\ N &= 48(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)B_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From (6), it follows that

$$|b_{k+1}b_{3k+1} - b_{2k+1}^2| \leq T \begin{cases} \frac{4LN - M^2}{4L}, & M > 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{8}, \\ N, & M \leq 0, L \leq -\frac{M}{4}, \\ 16L + 4M + N, & M \geq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{8} \text{ or } M \leq 0, L \geq -\frac{M}{4}, \end{cases}$$

where L, M, N are given by (23). The rest of the proof is now evident. \square

Theorem 2.14 yields the following special cases.

Remark 2.15.

1. If $\alpha = 0$, then Theorem 2.14 reduces to Theorem 2.2.
2. When $k = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 2.14 reduces to [25, Theorem 2].
3. Choosing $\varphi(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z)$, $k = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$, then Theorem 2.14 reduces to [23, Theorem 3.2].

References

- [1] H. S. Al-Amiri and T. S. Fernando, On close-to-convex functions of complex order, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 13 (1990), no. 2, 321–330.
- [2] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, The Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* 35 (2009), no. 2, 119–142, 276.
- [3] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012), no. 3, 344–351.
- [4] R. M. Ali and V. Ravichandran, Uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions, *Math. Newsletter*, 21 (2011), no. 1, 16–30.
- [5] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Coefficient bounds for p -valent functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 187 (2007), no. 1, 35–46.
- [6] M. Arif, K. I. Noor and M. Raza, Hankel determinant problem of a subclass of analytic functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2012, 2012:22, 7 pp.
- [7] D. Bansal, Upper bound of second Hankel determinant for a new class of analytic functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 26 (2013), no. 1, 103–107.
- [8] D. G. Cantor, Power series with integral coefficients, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69 (1963), 362–366.
- [9] M. Çağlar, H. Orhan and N. Yağmur, Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Filomat* 27 (2013), no. 7, 1165–1171.
- [10] C. Carathéodory, Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffizienten von Potenzreihen, die gegebene Werte nicht annehmen, *Math. Ann.* 64 (1907), no. 1, 95–115. M.
- [11] M. Darus and D. K. Thomas, α -logarithmically convex functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 29 (1998), no. 10, 1049–1059.
- [12] M. M. EhHosh, On the second Hankel determinant of univalent functions, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (2)* 9 (1986), no. 1, 23–25.
- [13] R. Ehrenborg, The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 107 (2000), no. 6, 557–560.
- [14] M. M. Elhosh, On the second Hankel determinant of close-to-convex functions, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (2)* 9 (1986), no. 2, 67–68.
- [15] U. Grenander and G. Szegő, Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences Univ. California Press, Berkeley, 1958.
- [16] A. Z. Grinshpan, Remarks on the Grunsky norm and p th root transformation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 105 (1999), no. 1-2, 311–315.
- [17] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions. Vol. I, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983.
- [18] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Hankel determinant for p -valently starlike and convex functions of order α , *Gen. Math.* 17 (2009), no. 4, 29–44.
- [19] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Generalized Hankel determinant for certain classes, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)* 4 (2010), no. 49-52, 2573–2585.
- [20] T. Hayami and S. Owa, Applications of Hankel determinant for p -valently starlike and convex functions of order α , *Far East J. Appl. Math.* 46 (2010), no. 1, 1–23.
- [21] W. K. Hayman, On the second Hankel determinant of mean univalent functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 18 (1968), 77–94.
- [22] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Coefficient inequality for a function whose derivative has a positive real part, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7 (2006), no. 2, Article 50, 5 pp. (electronic).
- [23] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)* 1 (2007), no. 13-16, 619–625.
- [24] J. W. Layman, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, *J. Integer Seq.* 4 (2001), no. 1, Article 01.1.5, 11 pp.
- [25] S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013, 2013:281.
- [26] Z. Lewandowski, S. Miller and E. Złotkiewicz, Gamma-starlike functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A* 28 (1974), 53–58 (1976).
- [27] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [28] T. H. MacGregor, Functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 104 (1962), 532–537.
- [29] A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions defined by fractional derivative, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2008, Art. ID 153280, 10 pp.
- [30] P. T. Mocanu, Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 11 (34) (1969), 127–133.
- [31] N. Mohamed, D. Mohamad and S. Cik Soh, Second Hankel determinant for certain generalized classes of analytic functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal. (Ruse)* 6 (2012), no. 17-20, 807–812.
- [32] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 1 (2009), no. 3, 85–89.
- [33] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, On the Hankel determinants of areally mean p -valent functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 25 (1972), 503–524.
- [34] J. W. Noonan, Coefficient differences and Hankel determinants of areally mean p -valent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 46 (1974), 29–37.
- [35] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean p -valent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 223 (1976), 337–346.
- [36] K. I. Noor, Higher order close-to-convex functions, *Math. Japon.* 37 (1992), no. 1, 1–8.
- [37] K. I. Noor, On the Hankel determinant problem for strongly close-to-convex functions, *J. Natur. Geom.* 11 (1997), no. 1, 29–34.
- [38] K. I. Noor, On certain analytic functions related with strongly close-to-convex functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 197 (2008), no. 1, 149–157.

- [39] K. I. Noor and S. A. Al-Bany, On Bazilevic functions, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 10 (1987), no. 1, 79–88.
- [40] K. I. Noor, On analytic functions related with functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* 30 (1981), no. 2, 113–118.
- [41] K. I. Noor, On meromorphic functions of bounded boundary rotation, *Caribbean J. Math.* 1 (1982), no. 3, 95–103.
- [42] K. I. Noor, Hankel determinant problem for the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 28 (1983), no. 8, 731–739.
- [43] K. I. Noor and I. M. A. Al-Naggar, On the Hankel determinant problem, *J. Natur. Geom.* 14 (1998), no. 2, 133–140.
- [44] K. S. Padmanabhan, On sufficient conditions for starlikeness. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 32 (2001), no. 4, 543–550.
- [45] Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 41 (1966), 111–122.
- [46] Ch. Pommerenke, On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *Mathematika* 14 (1967), 108–112.
- [47] C. Ramesha, S. Kumar and K. S. Padmanabhan, A sufficient condition for starlikeness, *Chinese J. Math.* 23 (1995), no. 2, 167–171. MR1340763 (96d:30015)
- [48] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 118 (1993), no. 1, 189–196.
- [49] J. Sokół and J. Stankiewicz, Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. No.* 19 (1996), 101–105.
- [50] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010), no. 10, 1188–1192.
- [51] H. M. Srivastava, Some inequalities and other results associated with certain subclasses of univalent and bi-univalent analytic functions, in *Nonlinear analysis*, 607–630, Springer Optim. Appl., 68, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [52] H. M. Srivastava, S. Bulut, M. Çağlar and N. Yağmur, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat* 27 (2013), no. 5, 831–842.
- [53] Q.-H. Xu, Y.-C. Gui and H. M. Srivastava, Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 25 (2012), no. 6, 990–994.
- [54] Q.-H. Xu, H.-G. Xiao and H. M. Srivastava, A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012), no. 23, 11461–11465
- [55] Q.-H. Xu, C.-B. Lv, N.-C. Luo, H. M. Srivastava, Sharp coefficient estimates for a certain general class of spirallike functions by means of differential subordination, *Filomat* 27 (2013), no. 7, 1351–1356.