



Majorization for Subclasses of Multivalent Meromorphic Functions Defined through Iterations and Combinations of the Liu-Srivastava Operator and a Meromorphic Analogue of the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava Operator

T. Panigrahi^a, R. El-Ashwah^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, School of Applied Sciences, KIIT University, Bhubaneswar-751024, Orissa, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt

Abstract. In this paper, the authors investigate a majorization problem for certain subclasses of multivalent meromorphic functions defined in the punctured unit disk \mathbb{U}^* having a pole of order p at origin. The subclasses under investigation are defined through iterations and combinations of the Liu-Srivastava operator and a meromorphic analogue of the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator for normalized analytic function. Several consequences of the main results in form of corollaries are also pointed out.

1. Introduction and Definition

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. We say that f is majorized by g in \mathbb{U} (see [11]) and write

$$f(z) \ll g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \tag{1}$$

if there exists a function $w(z)$, analytic in \mathbb{U} satisfying $|w(z)| \leq 1$ and

$$f(z) = w(z)g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{2}$$

For two analytic functions f and g , we say $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ if there exists a Schwarz function w , which (by definition) is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < |z|$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{3}$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{4}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C80

Keywords. Meromorphic function, Subordination, Convolution, Liu-Srivastava operator, Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator, Majorization problem

Received: 25 March 2016; Revised: 25 August 2016; Accepted: 03 September 2016

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email addresses: trailokyap6@gmail.com (T. Panigrahi), r_elashwah@yahoo.com (R. El-Ashwah)

It follows from this definition that

$$f(z) < g(z) \implies f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalence (see [12]).

$$f(z) < g(z) \ (z \in \mathbb{U}) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

Further, $f(z)$ is said to be quasi-subordinate to $g(z)$ if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ ($|w(z)| \leq 1$) such that $\frac{f(z)}{w(z)}$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and

$$\frac{f(z)}{w(z)} < g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{5}$$

Hence by definition of subordination, (5) is equivalent to (see [1])

$$f(z) = w(z)g(\phi(z)) \quad (|\phi(z)| \leq |z|, z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{6}$$

We denote this quasi-subordination by

$$f(z) <_q g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \tag{7}$$

If we set $w(z) \equiv 1$ in (6), then (7) becomes the subordination (4).

If we take $\phi(z) = z$ in (6), then the quasi-subordination (7) becomes the majorization (1).

Let Σ_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \tag{8}$$

that are analytic and p -valent in the punctured unit disk $\mathbb{U}^* := \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ having a pole of order p at the origin. We note that $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma$.

For the functions $f_j \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p,j} z^{k-p} \quad (j = 1, 2; z \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f_1 and f_2 by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k-p,1} a_{k-p,2} z^{k-p} = (f_2 * f_1)(z). \tag{9}$$

For a function $f \in \Sigma_p$, let $f^{(q)}$ denote q th order ordinary differential operator given by

$$f^{(q)}(z) = (-1)^q \frac{(p+q-1)!}{(p-1)!} z^{-p-q} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k-p)!}{(k-p-q)!} a_{k-p} z^{k-p-q} \tag{10}$$

$(p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; z \in \mathbb{U}^*).$

Liu and Srivastava [10] studied meromorphic analogue of the Saitoh operator [16] by introducing the function $\phi_p(a, c, z)$ given by

$$\phi_p(a, c, z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{k-p} \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, z \in \mathbb{U}^*),$$

where $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial) given by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)\dots(\lambda + n - 1) & (n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

They defined the linear operator $\mathcal{L}(a, c) : \Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_p$ by

$$\mathcal{L}(a, c)f(z) = \phi_p(a, c; z) * f(z).$$

Define the function $\phi_p^+(a, c; z)$, the generalized multiplicative inverse of $\phi_p(a, c; z)$ by the relation

$$\phi_p(a, c; z) * \phi_p^+(a, c; z) = \frac{1}{z^p(1-z)^{\lambda+p}} \quad (a, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \lambda > -p; z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \tag{11}$$

Using this function we define the following family of transforms $\mathcal{L}_p^\lambda(a, c) : \Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_p$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_p^\lambda(a, c)f(z) &= \phi_p^+(a, c; z) * f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + p)_k(c)_k}{(a)_k(1)_k} a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \\ &= \frac{{}_2F_1(\lambda + p, c; a; z)}{z^p} * f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \end{aligned}$$

The holomorphic analogue of the function $\phi_p^+(a, c; z)$ and the corresponding transform, which is popularly known as the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator in literature (see [4]). We remark in passing that a much more general convolution operator, involving the generalized hypergeometric function in defining Hadamard product (or convolution), was introduced recently by various authors [5, 6, 17].

Very recently Mishra et al.[13] (also see [15]) defined the generalized multiplier transformation $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t) : \Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_p$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) = \mathcal{L}_p^{\lambda,n}(a, c)C^{t,m}f(z).$$

Thus for a function $f(z)$ of the form (8), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(\lambda + p)_k(c)_k}{(a)_k(1)_k} \right]^n \left[\frac{p - kt}{p} \right]^m a_{k-p} z^{k-p} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \tag{12}$$

It should be remembered that the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)$ is the generalized of many other familiar operators considered by earlier authors (for detail, see [13]).

It is easy to verify that

$$z \left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) \right)' = \frac{p}{t}(1-t)\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) - \frac{p}{t}\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z) \quad (t > 0). \tag{13}$$

Now, by making use of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)$, we define a new subclass of function $f \in \Sigma_p$ as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\left(\frac{(A-B)t|\gamma|}{p(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) < 1$. A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t, \alpha, \gamma; A, B)$ of multivalent meromorphic functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ in \mathbb{U}^* if and only if

$$1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z \left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) \right)^{j+1}}{\left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) \right)^j} + p + j \right) - \alpha \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z \left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) \right)^{j+1}}{\left(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z) \right)^j} + p + j \right) \right| < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}. \tag{14}$$

In particular, for $A = 1$, $B = -1$ and $\alpha = 0$, we denote the class

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t, 0, \gamma; 1, -1) &= \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t; \gamma) \\ &= \left\{ f \in \Sigma_p : \Re \left[1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^{j+1}}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j} + p + j \right) \right] > 0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We note that

- for $\gamma = (p - \delta) \cos\theta e^{-i\theta}$ ($|\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \delta < p$), the class $\mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t; \gamma) = \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t; (p - \delta) \cos\theta e^{-i\theta}) = \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t, \delta, \theta)$, called the generalized class of meromorphic θ -spiral-like functions of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < p$) if

$$\Re \left[e^{i\theta} \left\{ \frac{z (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^{j+1}}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j} + j \right\} \right] < -\delta \cos\theta.$$

- for $j = 0$, $n = 0$, $m = 0$, $\mathcal{T}_{p,0}^{0,0}(a, c, t; \gamma)$ reduces to the class $\Sigma_p(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$) of p -valently meromorphic starlike function of complex order γ in \mathbb{U}^* , where

$$\Sigma_p(\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma_p : \Re \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + p \right) \right) > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\};$$

- for $j = 0$, $m = 1$, $t = 1$, $n = 0$, $\mathcal{T}_{p,0}^{0,1}(a, c, 1; \gamma)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{K}_p(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$) of p -valently meromorphic convex function of complex order γ in \mathbb{U}^* , where

$$\mathcal{K}_p(\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \Sigma_p : \Re \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + p \right) \right) > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\};$$

- for $j = 0$, $n = 0$, $m = 0$, $p = 1$, $\mathcal{T}_{1,0}^{0,0}(a, c, t; \gamma) = \mathcal{S}(\gamma)$, the class of meromorphic starlike univalent functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$;
- for $j = 0$, $n = 0$, $m = 0$, $p = 1$, $\gamma = 1 - \eta$, $\mathcal{T}_{1,0}^{0,0}(a, c, t; 1 - \eta) = \Sigma^*(\eta)$ ($0 \leq \eta < 1$), the class of meromorphic starlike univalent function of order η in \mathbb{U}^* (see [8]);
- for $j = 0$, $n = 0$, $m = 1$, $t = 1$, $p = 1$, $\mathcal{T}_{1,0}^{0,1}(a, c, 1; \gamma) = \mathcal{K}(\gamma)$, the class of meromorphic convex univalent function of complex order γ ;
- for $j = 0$, $n = 0$, $m = 1$, $t = 1$, $p = 1$, $\gamma = 1 - \eta$ ($0 \leq \eta < 1$), $\mathcal{T}_{1,0}^{0,1}(a, c, 1; 1 - \eta) = \Sigma_k(\eta)$, the class of meromorphic convex univalent function of order η (see [8]).

Another subclass of the class Σ_p associated with a linear operators, was studied recently by Srivastava et al. [18] (also see [19, 20]). Also, there is good amount of literature about majorization problems for univalent and multivalent functions discussed by various researchers. A majorization problem for the normalized classes of starlike functions has been investigated by Altintas et al. [2] (also see [3]) and MacGregor [11]. For recent expository work on majorization problems for meromorphic univalent and p -valent functions, see [7, 9, 21].

Motivated by aforementioned works, in this paper the authors investigate majorization problem for the class of multivalent meromorphic functions using iterations and combinations of the Liu-Srivastava operator and a meromorphic analogue of the Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator for normalized analytic functions.

2. Main Results

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume throughout the sequel that

$$-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*, z \in \mathbb{U}^*.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and suppose that $g \in \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t, \alpha, \gamma; A, B)$. If $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z))^j| \leq |(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j| \quad (|z| < r_0), \tag{16}$$

where $r_0 = r_0(p, \alpha, t, \gamma; A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$p \left[\frac{(A - B)t|\gamma|}{p(1 - \alpha)} + |B| \right] r^3 - (2t|B| + p)r^2 - \left[2t + p \left(\frac{(A - B)t|\gamma|}{p(1 - \alpha)} + |B| \right) \right] r + p = 0 \tag{17}$$

Proof. Since $g \in \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t, \alpha, \gamma; A, B)$, we find from (14) that

$$1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^{j+1}}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j} + p + j \right) - \alpha \left| -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^{j+1}}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j} + p + j \right) \right| = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \tag{18}$$

where $w(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$, $w \in \mathcal{P}$, \mathcal{P} denote the well-known class of the bounded analytic functions in \mathbb{U} and satisfies the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $w(z) < |z|$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$).

Taking

$$\bar{w} = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^{j+1}}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j} + p + j \right) \tag{19}$$

in (18), we have

$$\bar{w} - \alpha|\bar{w} - 1| = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},$$

which implies

$$\bar{w} = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{A - B\alpha e^{-i\theta}}{1 - \alpha e^{-i\theta}} \right) w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}. \tag{20}$$

Using (20) in (19), we get

$$\frac{z(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^{j+1}}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j} = -\frac{p + j + \left[\frac{(A - B)\gamma}{1 - \alpha e^{-i\theta}} + (p + j)B \right] w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}. \tag{21}$$

Application of Leibnitz’s theorem on (13) gives

$$z(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^{j+1} = \left(\frac{p}{t} - p - j \right) (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j - \frac{p}{t} (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \quad (j > 0). \tag{22}$$

Now, using (22) in (21), we find that

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j}{(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j} = \frac{1 + \left[\frac{(A-B)t\gamma}{p(1-\alpha e^{-i\theta})} + B\right]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j = \frac{1 + Bw(z)}{1 + \left[\frac{(A-B)t\gamma}{p(1-\alpha e^{-i\theta})} + B\right]w(z)} (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j. \tag{23}$$

Since $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), the formula (23) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \right| &\leq \frac{1 + |B||z|}{1 - \left| \frac{(A-B)t\gamma}{p(1-\alpha e^{-i\theta})} + B \right| |z|} \left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + |B||z|}{1 - \left[\frac{(A-B)t|\gamma|}{p(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right] |z|} \left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \right| \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Further, since $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j$ in the unit disk \mathbb{U}^* , from (2), we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j = w(z) (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \tag{25}$$

Differentiating (25) on both sides with respect to z and multiplying by z , we get

$$z (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^{j+1} = zw'(z) (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j + zw(z) (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^{j+1}. \tag{26}$$

Using (22) and (25) in (26) yields

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z))^j = -\frac{t}{p}zw'(z) (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j + w(z) (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j. \tag{27}$$

Thus, noting that $w \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies the inequality (see [14])

$$|w'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |w(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \tag{28}$$

and making use of (24) and (28) in (27), we obtain

$$\left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z))^j \right| \leq \left(|w(z)| + \frac{t|z|(1 - |w(z)|^2)(1 + |B||z|)}{p(1 - |z|^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)t|\gamma|}{p(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) |z| \right]} \right) \left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \right|,$$

which, upon setting

$$|z| = r \text{ and } |w(z)| = \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1),$$

leads us to the inequality

$$\left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z))^j \right| \leq \frac{\psi(\rho)}{p(1 - r^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A-B)t|\gamma|}{p(1-\alpha)} + |B| \right) r \right]} \left| (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j \right|$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho) &= p(1 - r^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A - B)t|\gamma|}{p(1 - \alpha)} + |B| \right) r \right] \rho + t(1 - \rho^2)(1 + |B|r)r \\ &= -tr(1 + |B|r)\rho^2 + p(1 - r^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A - B)t|\gamma|}{p(1 - \alpha)} + |B| \right) r \right] \rho + tr(1 + |B|r), \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$ with $r_0 = r_0(p, \alpha, t, \gamma; A, B)$ where r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equation (17). Furthermore, if $0 \leq \delta \leq r_0(p, \alpha, t, \gamma; A, B)$, then the function $\psi(\rho)$ defined by

$$\psi(\rho) = -t\delta(1 + |B|\delta)\rho^2 + p(1 - \delta^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A - B)t|\gamma|}{p(1 - \alpha)} + |B| \right) \delta \right] \rho + t\delta(1 + |B|\delta) \tag{30}$$

is an increasing function on the interval $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, so that

$$\psi(\rho) \leq \psi(1) = p(1 - \delta^2) \left[1 - \left(\frac{(A - B)t|\gamma|}{p(1 - \alpha)} + |B| \right) \delta \right] \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq 1, 0 \leq \delta \leq r_0(p, \alpha, t, \gamma; A, B)).$$

Hence, upon setting $\rho = 1$ in (30) we conclude that (16) of Theorem 2.1 holds true for $|z| \leq r_0(p, \alpha, t, \gamma; A, B)$, where r_0 is the smallest positive root of the equation (17). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

3. Corollaries and Concluding Remarks

By letting $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $g \in \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t, \alpha; \gamma)$. If $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then*

$$|(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z))^j| \leq |(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j| \quad (|z| \leq r_1),$$

where $r_1 = r_1(p, \alpha, t, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\left(\frac{2t|\gamma|}{1 - \alpha} + p \right) r^3 - (2t + p)r^2 - \left[2t + \frac{2t|\gamma|}{1 - \alpha} + p \right] r + p = 0,$$

given by $r_1 = \frac{k_1 - \sqrt{k_1^2 - p(p + \frac{2t|\gamma|}{1 - \alpha})}}{p + \frac{2t|\gamma|}{1 - \alpha}}$ and $k_1 = t + p + \frac{t|\gamma|}{1 - \alpha}$.

Taking $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 3.1, we state the following:

Corollary 3.2. *Let the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $g \in \mathcal{T}_{p,j}^{n,m}(a, c, t; \gamma)$. If $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)f(z))^j$ is majorized by $(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m}(a, c, t)g(z))^j$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then*

$$|(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)f(z))^j| \leq |(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,p}^{n,m+1}(a, c, t)g(z))^j| \quad (|z| \leq r_2),$$

where $r_2 = r_2(p, t, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(2t|\gamma| + p)r^3 - (2t + p)r^2 - [2t + 2t|\gamma| + p]r + p = 0,$$

given by $r_2 = \frac{k_2 - \sqrt{k_2^2 - p(p + 2t|\gamma|)}}{p + 2t|\gamma|}$ and $k_2 = t + p + t|\gamma|$.

Taking $n = m = j = 0, t = 1$ in Corollary 3.2, we get

Corollary 3.3. Let the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $g \in \Sigma_p(\gamma)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|zf'(z)| \leq |zg'(z)| \quad (|z| \leq r_3),$$

where $r_3 = r_3(p, \gamma)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(2|\gamma| + p)r^3 - (2 + p)r^2 - [2 + 2|\gamma| + p]r + p = 0$$

given by $r_3 = \frac{k_3 - \sqrt{k_3^2 - (2|\gamma| + p)p}}{2|\gamma| + p}$ and $k_3 = |\gamma| + p + 1$.

By setting $\gamma = p - \delta$ ($0 \leq \delta < p$) in Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following results:

Corollary 3.4. Let the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $g \in \Sigma_p(\delta)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|zf'(z)| \leq |zg'(z)|, \quad |z| \leq r_4,$$

where $r_4 = r_4(p, \delta)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(p + 2|p - \delta|r^3 - (2 + p)r^2 - [2 + 2|p - \delta| + p]r + p = 0$$

given by $r_4(p, \delta) = \frac{k_4 - \sqrt{k_4^2 - (p + 2|p - \delta|)p}}{p + 2|p - \delta|}$ and $k_4 = |p - \delta| + p + 1$.

By taking $\gamma = (p - \delta) \cos\theta e^{-i\theta}$ ($|\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, δ ($0 \leq \delta < p$)) in Corollary 3.3, we get the following:

Corollary 3.5. Let the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $g \in \Sigma_p(\theta, \delta)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|zf'(z)| \leq |zg'(z)|, \quad |z| \leq r_5$$

where $r_5 = r_5(p, \delta, \theta)$ is given by

$r_5 = \frac{k_5 - \sqrt{k_5^2 - p(p + 2|(p - \delta)\cos\theta|)}}{p + 2|(p - \delta)\cos\theta|}$ and $k_5 = p + 1 + |(p - \delta)\cos\theta|$.

Letting $p = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Corollary 3.3 leads to the following result:

Corollary 3.6. Let the functions $f \in \Sigma$ and $g \in \Sigma_1(1) = \mathcal{S}(1)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in \mathbb{U}^* , then

$$|zf'(z)| \leq |zg'(z)| \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \frac{3 - \sqrt{6}}{3}.$$

Concluding Remarks: By specializing different parameters like n, m and t further, one can get various other interesting subclasses of Σ_p containing linear operators and the corresponding corollaries can be easily obtained.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Professor H.M. Srivastava, university of Victoria, for his valuable suggestions

References

- [1] O. Altıntaş and S. Owa, Majorizations and quasi-subordinations for certain analytic functions, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **68** (1992), 181-185.
- [2] O. Altıntaş, O. Ozkan and H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.*, **46** (2001), 207-218.
- [3] O. Altıntaş and H. M. Srivastava, Some majorization problems associated with p -valently starlike and convex functions of complex order, *East Asian Math. J.*, **17** (2001), 175-183.
- [4] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion relationships and argument properties for certain subclasses of multivalent functions associated with a family of linear operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **292** (2004), 470-483.

- [5] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **103**(1)(1999), 1-13.
- [6] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **14** (1) (2003), 7-18.
- [7] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, *Majorization properties for subclasses of meromorphic univalent functions defined by convolution*, *Open Sci. J. Math. Appl.*, **3**(3) (2015), 74-78.
- [8] S. P. Goyal and J. K. Prajapat, *A new class of meromorphic multivalent functions involving certain linear operator*, *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.*, **25** (2009), 167-176.
- [9] T. Janani and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Majorization problems for p -valently meromorphic functions of complex order involving certain integral operator*, *Global J. Math. Anal.*, **2**(3) (2014), 146-151.
- [10] J.-L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, *A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **259** (2001), 566-581.
- [11] T. H. MacGregor, *Majorization by univalent functions*, *Duke Math. J.*, **34**(1967), 95-102.
- [12] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, in: *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [13] A. K. Mishra, T. Panigrahi and R. K. Mishra, *Subordination and inclusion theorems for subclasses of meromorphic functions with application to electromagnetic cloaking*, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **57** (2013), 945-962.
- [14] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mappings*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1952.
- [15] T. Panigrahi, *Convolution properties of multivalent meromorphic functions associated with Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator*, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **40**(2016), 101-108.
- [16] H. Saitoh, *A linear operator and its application of first order differential subordinations*, *Math. Japonica*, **44** (1996), 31-38.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, *Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators*, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, **1**(1) (2007), 56-71.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, K. R. Alhindi and M. Darus, *An investigation into the polylogarithm function and its associated class of meromorphic functions*, *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.*, **10**(2) (2016), 166-174.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury and F. Ghanim, *Certain subclasses of meromorphically univalent functions defined by a linear operator associated with the λ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch function*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **26**(4) (2015), 258-272.
- [20] H. M. Srivastava, S. B. Joshi, S. S. Joshi and H. Pawar, *Coefficient estimates for certain subclasses of meromorphically bi-univalent functions*, *Palest. J. Math.*, **5** (Special Issue: 1) (2016), 250-258.
- [21] H. Tang, M. K. Aouf and G. Deng, *Majorization problems for certain subclasses of meromorphic multivalent functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator*, *Filomat*, **29**(4) (2015), 763-772, doi: 10.2298/FIL1504763T.