



## Variational Inclusion Governed by $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -Mixed Accretive Mapping

Sanjeev Gupta<sup>†1,2a</sup>, Shamshad Husain<sup>b</sup>, Vishnu Narayan Mishra<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a1</sup> Department of Economic Sciences  
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur-208016, India

<sup>a2</sup> Department of Mathematics,  
Shri Ram Murti Smarak College of Engineering and Technology, Bareilly-243202, India,

<sup>b</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics,  
Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India,

<sup>c</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, Lalpur, Amarkantak, 484887, India

**Abstract.** In this paper, we look into a new concept of accretive mappings called  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mappings in Banach spaces. We extend the concept of proximal-point mappings connected with generalized  $m$ -accretive mappings to the  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mappings and discuss its characteristics like single-valuable and Lipschitz continuity. Some illustration are given in support of  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mappings. Since proximal point mapping is a powerful tool for solving variational inclusion. Therefore, As an application of introduced mapping, we construct an iterative algorithm to solve variational inclusions and show its convergence with acceptable assumptions.

### 1. Introduction

Variational inequality theory is providing mathematical models to some problems make an appearance in optimization and control, economics, and engineering sciences. Many heuristic has been widely used these applications of variational inequalities, e.g., we refer to see [18], [20]-[22],[24]. The proximal-point mapping technique is an important powerful tool to study variational inequalities and their generalization.

Firstly, Huang and Fang [6] investigated the generalized  $m$ -accretive mapping and defined its proximal-point mapping in Banach spaces. Since then a number of mathematician presented various classes of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47J20, 49J40, 49J53

*Keywords.*  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping, Proximal-point mapping, Variational inclusion, Iterative algorithm.

Received: 10 June 2016; Accepted: 05 May 2017

Communicated by Ljubomir Ćirić / Dragan S. Djordjević

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: Sanjeev Gupta

<sup>a2</sup> Current address

First author is supported by Department of Atomic Energy, National Board for Higher Mathematics, Mumbai, India, grant no. DAE Ref. No. 2/40/13/2014/R&DII/6469, dated May 23, 2014.

*Email addresses:* [guptasanmp@gmail.com](mailto:guptasanmp@gmail.com) (Sanjeev Gupta<sup>†1,2</sup>), [s.husain68@yahoo.com](mailto:s.husain68@yahoo.com) (Shamshad Husain), [vishnunarayanmishra@gmail.com](mailto:vishnunarayanmishra@gmail.com) (Vishnu Narayan Mishra)

generalized  $m$ -accretive mappings, see for examples [5, 17], [20, 21]. Sun et al. [22] presented a new class of  $M$ -monotone mapping in Hilbert spaces. In the past few days, Zou and Huang [24], Kazmi et al. [14, 15] investigated  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -accretive mappings, Ahmad et. al investigated  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -cocoercive mapping [2] and Husain and Gupta [7] investigated  $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed cocoercive mappings in Banach (Hilbert) spaces, a natural extension of  $m$ -accretive ( $M$ -monotone) mapping and focussed on variational inclusions involving these mappings. In recent past, the techniques through different classes of proximal-point mappings have been developed to work on the existence of solutions and to analyze convergence and stability of iterative algorithms for several classes of variational inclusions, see for example [2, 4], [7]-[18], [20, 21], [24].

Very recently, Luo and Huang [18] introduced and studied a class of  $B$ -monotone and Kazmi et al. [14] introduced and studied a class of generalized  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -accretive mappings in Banach spaces which is generalization of  $H$ -monotone mappings [5]. They showed its proximal-point mapping properties connected with  $B$ -monotone and generalized  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -accretive mapping.

This work is motivated and inspired by the research works mentioned above. We look into a new notion of  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mappings and give its proximal-point mapping. Further, we will discuss its characteristics that is single-valued as well as Lipschitz continuity. As an application, we attempt to solve generalized set-valued variational inclusions in real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces. By using the proximal-point mapping technique, we construct an iterative algorithm and prove its convergence with acceptable assumptions. The results presented in this paper can be viewed as an extension and generalization of some known results [2, 7], [14]-[16], [18, 24]. Some illustrations are given in support of introduced results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let us consider a real Banach space  $E$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and topological dual space  $E^*$ . We use inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the dual pair between  $E$  and  $E^*$  and  $2^E$  be the power set of  $E$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [23] A mapping  $J_q : E \rightarrow E^*$ , where  $q > 1$ , is said to be generalized duality mapping, if it is given as

$$J_q(u) = \{f^* \in E^* : \langle u, f^* \rangle = \|u\|^q, \|f^*\| = \|u\|^{q-1}\}, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

If  $J_2$  is the usual normalized duality mapping on  $E$ , given as

$$J_q(u) = \|u\|^{q-1} J_2(u) \quad \forall u (\neq 0) \in E.$$

If  $E \equiv X$ , a real Hilbert space, then  $J_2$  becomes identity mapping on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [23] A Banach space  $E$  is called *smooth* if for every  $u \in E$  with  $\|u\| = 1$ , there exists a unique  $f \in E^*$  such that  $\|f\| = f(u) = 1$ .

The *modulus of smoothness* of  $E$  is a function  $\rho_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\rho_E(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|u+v\| + \|u-v\|) - 1 : \|u\| \leq 1, \|v\| \leq t \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** [23] A Banach space  $E$  is called

(i) *uniformly smooth* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_E(t)}{t} = 0;$$

(ii)  *$q$ -uniformly smooth*, for  $q > 1$ , if there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$\rho_E(t) \leq c t^q, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Note that  $J_q$  is single-valued if  $E$  is uniformly smooth.

**Lemma 2.4.** [23] Let  $E$  be a real uniformly smooth Banach space. Then  $E$  is  $q$ -uniformly smooth if and only if there exists  $c_q > 0$  such that, for all  $u, v \in E$ ,

$$\|u + v\|^q \leq \|u\|^q + q\langle v, J_q(u) \rangle + c_q\|v\|^q.$$

In order to proceed our next step, we write basic important concepts and definitions, which will be used in this work.

**Lemma 2.5.** A mapping  $f : E \rightarrow E$  is said to be

(i)  $\xi$ -strongly accretive with  $\xi > 0$ , if

$$\langle f(x) - f(y), J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \xi \|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in E;$$

(ii)  $\mu$ -cocoercive with  $\mu > 0$ , if

$$\langle f(x) - f(y), J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \mu \|f(x) - f(y)\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in E;$$

(iii)  $\gamma$ -relaxed cocoercive with  $\gamma > 0$ , if

$$\langle f(x) - f(y), J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq -\gamma \|f(x) - f(y)\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in E;$$

(iv)  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous with  $\beta > 0$ , if

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \beta \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in E;$$

(v)  $\alpha$ -expansive with  $\alpha > 0$ , if

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \geq \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in E;$$

if  $\alpha = 1$ , then it is expansive.

**Definition 2.6.** [7] Let  $H : (E \times E) \times (E \times E) \rightarrow E$ , and  $A, B, C, D : E \rightarrow E$  be the single-valued mappings. Then

(i)  $H((A, \cdot), (C, \cdot))$  is said to be  $(\mu_1, \gamma_1)$ -strongly mixed cocoercive regarding  $(A, C)$  with  $\mu_1, \gamma_1 > 0$ , if

$$\langle H((Ax, u), (Cx, u)) - H((Ay, u), (Cy, u)), J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \mu_1 \|Ax - Ay\|^q + \gamma_1 \|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y, u \in E;$$

(ii)  $H((\cdot, B), (\cdot, D))$  is said to be  $(\mu_2, \gamma_2)$ -relaxed mixed cocoercive regarding  $(B, D)$  with  $\mu_2, \gamma_2 > 0$ , if

$$\langle H((u, Bx), (u, Dx)) - H((u, By), (u, Dy)), J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq -\mu_2 \|Bx - By\|^q + \gamma_2 \|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y, u \in E;$$

(iii)  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  is said to be symmetric mixed cocoercive regarding  $(A, C)$  and  $(B, D)$  if  $H((A, \cdot), (C, \cdot))$  is  $(\mu_1, \gamma_1)$ -strongly mixed cocoercive regarding  $(A, C)$  and  $H((\cdot, B), (\cdot, D))$  is  $(\mu_2, \gamma_2)$ -relaxed mixed cocoercive regarding  $(B, D)$ ;

(iv)  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  is said to be  $\tau$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous regarding  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  with  $\tau > 0$ , if

$$\|H((Ax, Bx), (Cx, Dx)) - H((Ay, By), (Cy, Dy))\| \leq \tau \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

**Definition 2.7.** [18] Let  $S : E \rightarrow E$  and  $M : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be the set-valued mapping. Then

(i)  $S$  is said to be accretive if

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in E, u \in Sx, v \in Sy;$$

(ii)  $S$  is said to be strictly accretive if

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in E, u \in Sx, v \in Sy;$$

and equality holds if and only if  $x = y$ .

(iii)  $S$  is said to be  $\mu'$ -strongly accretive with  $\mu' > 0$ , if

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \mu' \|x - y\|^q \quad \forall x, y \in E, u \in Sx, v \in Sy;$$

(iv)  $S$  is said to be  $\gamma'$ -relaxed accretive with  $\gamma' > 0$ , if

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq -\gamma' \|x - y\|^q \quad \forall x, y \in E, u \in Sx, v \in Sy;$$

(v)  $M(f, \cdot)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -strongly accretive regarding  $f$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , if

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq \alpha \|x - y\|^q \quad \forall x, y, w \in E, u \in M(f(x), w), v \in M(f(y), w);$$

(vi)  $M(\cdot, g)$  is said to be  $\beta$ -relaxed accretive regarding  $g$  with  $\beta > 0$ , if

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq -\beta \|x - y\|^q \quad \forall x, y, w \in E, u \in M(w, g(x)), v \in M(w, g(y));$$

(vii)  $M(\cdot, \cdot)$  is said to be  $\alpha\beta$ -symmetric accretive regarding  $f$  and  $g$  if  $M(f, \cdot)$  is  $\alpha$ -strongly accretive regarding  $f$  and  $M(\cdot, g)$  is  $\beta$ -relaxed accretive regarding  $g$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $\alpha = \beta$  if and only if  $x = y$ .

### 3. $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -Mixed Accretive Mappings

Firstly we consider the following assumptions, then we will introduce  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mappings and its proximal-point mapping. Later we will discuss the properties of its proximal point mapping properties.

Let  $H : (E \times E) \times (E \times E) \rightarrow E$ ,  $f, g : E \rightarrow E$  and  $A, B, C, D : E \rightarrow E$  be single-valued mappings and  $M : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a set-valued mapping.

**Assumption** ( $a_1$ ): Let  $H$  is symmetric mixed cocoercive regarding  $(A, C)$  and  $(B, D)$ .

**Assumption** ( $a_2$ ): Let  $A$  is  $\alpha_1$ -expansive and  $B$  is  $\beta_1$ -Lipschitz continuous.

**Definition 3.1.** Let assumption ( $a_1$ ) holds, then  $M$  is said to be  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive regarding  $(A, C)$ ,  $(B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$  if

- (i)  $M$  is  $\alpha\beta$ -symmetric accretive regarding  $f$  and  $g$ ;
- (ii)  $(H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)) + \rho M(f, g))(E) = E$ , for all  $\rho > 0$ .

The following example illustrate the Definitions (2.6) and (3.1).

**Example 3.2.** Let  $q = 2$  and  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  with usual inner product defined by

$$\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2.$$

Let  $A, B, C, D : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by

$$Ax = \begin{pmatrix} 4x_1 \\ 4x_2 \end{pmatrix}, Bx = \begin{pmatrix} -3x_1 \\ -3x_2 \end{pmatrix}, Cx = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 \\ 2x_2 \end{pmatrix}, Dx = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Suppose that  $H : (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by

$$H((Ax, Bx), (Cx, Dx)) = Ax + Bx + Cx + Dx.$$

In addition, let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 5x_1 - \frac{2}{3}x_2 \\ \frac{2}{3}x_1 + 5x_2 \end{pmatrix}, g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{7}{4}x_1 + \frac{3}{4}x_2 \\ -\frac{3}{4}x_1 + \frac{7}{4}x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

and  $M : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by

$$M(fx, gx) = fx - gx, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then, constants in Definition 2.6 and 3.1 having values  $(\mu_1, \gamma_1) = (\frac{1}{4}, 2)$ ,  $(\mu_2, \gamma_2) = (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$ ,  $\tau = 4$ ,  $\alpha = 5$  and  $\beta = \frac{7}{4}$ . It shows that  $H$  is symmetric mixed cocoercive regarding  $(A, C)$  and  $(B, D)$ ,  $M$  is symmetric accretive regarding  $f$  and  $g$ , and  $H$  is mixed Lipschitz continuous regarding  $A, B, C$  and  $D$ . Further, it can be obtained easily that  $[H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g)](\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus  $M$  is  $\alpha\beta$ -mixed accretive with respect to  $(A, B)$ ,  $(C, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ .

**Remark 3.3.** (i) If  $H((A, B), (C, D)) = H(A, B)$ , then  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping reduces to generalized  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -accretive mapping considered in [16].

(ii) If  $H((A, B), (C, D)) = B$ , then  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping reduces to generalized  $B$ -monotone mapping considered in [18].

(iii) If  $H((A, B), (C, D)) = H(A, B)$ ,  $M(\cdot, \cdot) = M$  and  $M$  is accretive, then  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping reduces to  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -accretive mapping considered in [24].

(iv) If  $E$  is Hilbert space,  $M(f, g) = M$  and  $M$  is  $m$ -relaxed monotone, then  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping reduces to  $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed cocoercive mapping considered in [7].

Since  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping is a generalization of the maximal accretive mapping, it is logical that they have similar properties. The next result guarantee this supposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $M$  be a  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping regarding  $(A, C)$ ,  $(B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ . If assumptions  $(a_1)$  and  $(a_2)$  hold with  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ . If the following inequality

$$\langle u - v, J_q(x - y) \rangle \geq 0,$$

satisfied for all  $(v, y) \in \text{Graph}(M(f, g))$ , implies  $(u, x) \in M(f, g)$ , where

$$\text{Graph}(M(f, g)) = \{(u, x) \in E \times E : (u, x) \in M(f(x), g(x))\}.$$

**Proof.** Assume on the contrary that there exists  $(u_0, x_0) \notin \text{Graph}(M(f, g))$  such that

$$\langle u_0 - v, J_q(x_0 - y) \rangle \geq 0, \forall (y, v) \in \text{Graph}(M(f, g)). \quad (1)$$

By definition of  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive, we know that  $(H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)) + \rho M(f, g))(E) = E$ , holds for all  $\rho > 0$ . So there exists  $(u_1, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(M(f, g))$  such that

$$H((Ax_1, Bx_1), (Cx_1, Dx_1)) + \rho u_1 = H((Ax_0, Bx_0), (Cx_0, Dx_0)) + \rho u_0 \in E. \quad (2)$$

Now,  $\rho u_0 - \rho u_1 = H((Ax_1, Bx_1), (Cx_1, Dx_1)) - H((Ax_0, Bx_0), (Cx_0, Dx_0)) \in E$ .

$$\langle \rho u_0 - \rho u_1, J_q(x_0 - x_1) \rangle = -\langle H((Ax_0, Bx_0), (Cx_0, Dx_0)) - H((Ax_1, Bx_1), (Cx_1, Dx_1)), J_q(x_0 - x_1) \rangle.$$

Since  $M$  is  $\alpha\beta$ -symmetric accretive regarding  $f$  and  $g$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - \beta) \|x_0 - x_1\|^q &\leq \rho \langle u_0 - u_1, J_q(x_0 - x_1) \rangle \\ &= -\langle H((Ax_0, Bx_0), (Cx_0, Dx_0)) - H((Ax_1, Bx_1), (Cx_1, Dx_1)), J_q(x_0 - x_1) \rangle \\ &= -\langle H((Ax_0, Bx_0), (Cx_0, Dx_0)) - H((Ax_1, Bx_0), (Cx_1, Dx_0)), J_q(x_0 - x_1) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle H((Ax_1, Bx_0), (Cx_1, Dx_0)) - H((Ax_1, Bx_1), (Cx_1, Dx_1)), J_q(x_0 - x_1) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(3)

Since assumption  $(a_1)$  holds, we have from (3)

$$(\alpha - \beta) \|x_0 - x_1\|^q \leq -\mu_1 \|Ax_0 - Ax_1\|^q - \gamma_1 \|x_0 - x_1\|^q + \mu_2 \|Bx_0 - Bx_1\|^q - \gamma_2 \|x_0 - x_1\|^q.$$

(4)

Since assumption  $(a_2)$  holds, we have from (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - \beta) \|x_0 - x_1\|^q &\leq -\mu_1 \alpha_1^q \|x_0 - x_1\|^q - \gamma_1 \|x_0 - x_1\|^q + \mu_2 \beta_1^q \|x_0 - x_1\|^q - \gamma_2 \|x_0 - x_1\|^q \\ &= -[(\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)] \|x_0 - x_1\|^q \\ 0 &\leq (\alpha - \beta) \|x_0 - x_1\|^q \leq -[(\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)] \|x_0 - x_1\|^q \\ 0 &\leq -(\ell + \kappa) \|x_0 - x_1\|^q \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \ell = (\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \text{ and } \kappa = (\alpha - \beta),$$

which gives  $x_0 = x_1$  since  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ . By (1), we have  $u_0 = u_1$ , a contradiction. This complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $M$  be a  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping regarding  $(A, C)$ ,  $(B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ . If assumptions  $(a_1)$  and  $(a_2)$  hold with  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ , then  $(H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1}$  is single-valued.

**Proof.** For any given  $x \in E$ , let  $u, v \in (H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1}(x)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{cases} -H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) + x \in \rho M(f, g)u, \\ -H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv)) + x \in \rho M(f, g)v. \end{cases}$$

Since  $M$  is  $\alpha\beta$ -symmetric accretive with respect to  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha - \beta) \|u - v\|^q &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \langle -H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) + x - (-H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv)) + x), J_q(u - v) \rangle \\ (\alpha - \beta) \|u - v\|^q &\leq \langle -H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) + x - (-H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv)) + x), J_q(u - v) \rangle \\ &= -\langle H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv)), J_q(u - v) \rangle \\ &= -\langle H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - H((Av, Bu), (Cv, Du)), J_q(u - v) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle H((Av, Bu), (Cv, Du)) - H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv)), J_q(u - v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(5)

Since assumption  $(a_1)$  holds, we have from (5)

$$\rho(\alpha - \beta) \|u - v\|^q \leq -\mu_1 \|Au - Av\|^q - \gamma_1 \|u - v\|^q + \mu_2 \|Bu - Bv\|^q - \gamma_2 \|u - v\|^q.$$

(6)

Since assumption  $(a_2)$  holds, we have from (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\alpha - \beta) \|u - v\|^q &\leq -\mu_1 \alpha_1^q \|u - v\|^q - \gamma_1 \|u - v\|^q + \mu_2 \beta_1^q \|u - v\|^q - \gamma_2 \|u - v\|^q \\ &= -[(\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)] \|u - v\|^q \\ 0 &\leq (\alpha - \beta) \|u - v\|^q \leq -(\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \|u - v\|^q \\ 0 &\leq -(\ell + \rho\kappa) \|u - v\|^q \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \ell = (\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \text{ and } \kappa = (\alpha - \beta).$$

Since  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \beta_2$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ , it follows that  $\|u - v\| \leq 0$ . This implies that  $u = v$  and so  $(H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1}$  is single-valued.  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $M$  be a  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping regarding  $(A, C)$ ,  $(B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ . If assumptions  $(a_1)$  and  $(a_2)$  hold with  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ , then the proximal-point mapping  $R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))} : E \rightarrow E$  is defined by

$$R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(u) = (H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1}(u), \quad \forall u \in E. \quad (7)$$

Now we prove that the proximal-point mapping defined by (7) is Lipschitz continuous.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $M : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping with respect to  $(A, C)$ ,  $(B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ . If assumptions  $(a_1)$  and  $(a_2)$  hold with  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ , then the proximal-point mapping  $R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))} : E \rightarrow E$  is  $\frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa}$ -Lipschitz continuous, that is,

$$\|R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(u) - R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(v)\| \leq \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in E.$$

**Proof.** For given points  $u, v \in E$ , It proceed from Definition 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(u) &= (H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1}(u), \\ R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(v) &= (H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $w_1 = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(u)$  and  $w_2 = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(v)$ .

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho}(u - H((A(w_1), B(w_1)), (C(w_1), D(w_1)))) \in M(f(w_1), g(w_1)) \\ \frac{1}{\rho}(v - H((A(w_2), B(w_2)), (C(w_2), D(w_2)))) \in M(f(w_2), g(w_2)). \end{cases}$$

Since  $M$  is  $\alpha\beta$ -symmetric accretive with respect to  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \langle \frac{1}{\rho}(u - H((A(w_1), B(w_1)), (C(w_1), D(w_1)))) - (v - H((A(w_2), B(w_2)), (C(w_2), D(w_2)))) \rangle, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle \\ \quad \geq (\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q, \\ \langle \frac{1}{\rho}(u - v - H((A(w_1), B(w_1)), (C(w_1), D(w_1)))) + H((A(w_2), B(w_2)), (C(w_2), D(w_2))) \rangle, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle \\ \quad \geq (\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q, \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$\langle u - v, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle \geq \langle H((A(w_1), B(w_1)), (C(w_1), D(w_1))) - H((A(w_2), B(w_2)), (C(w_2), D(w_2))) \rangle, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle + \rho(\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u - v\| \|w_1 - w_2\|^{q-1} \\ &\geq \langle u - v, w_1 - w_2 \rangle \\ &\geq \langle H((A(w_1), B(w_1)), (C(w_1), D(w_1))) - H((A(w_2), B(w_2)), (C(w_2), D(w_2))) \rangle, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle + \rho(\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q \\ &= \langle H((A(w_1), B(w_1)), (C(w_1), D(w_1))) - H((A(w_2), B(w_1)), (C(w_2), D(w_1))) \rangle, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle H((A(w_2), B(w_1)), (C(w_2), D(w_1))) - H((A(w_2), B(w_2)), (C(w_2), D(w_2))) \rangle, J_q(w_1 - w_2) \rangle + \rho(\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Since assumption  $(a_1)$  holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v\| \|w_1 - w_2\|^{q-1} &\geq \mu_1 \|A(w_1) - A(w_2)\|^q + \gamma_1 \|w_1 - w_2\|^q - \mu_2 \|B(w_1) - B(w_2)\|^q + \gamma_2 \|w_1 - w_2\|^q \\ &\quad + \rho(\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Since assumption  $(a_2)$  holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v\| \|w_1 - w_2\|^{q-1} &\geq [(\mu_1\alpha_1^q - \mu_2\beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)] \|w_1 - w_2\|^q + \rho(\alpha - \beta) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q \\ &\geq (\ell + \rho\kappa) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell = (\mu_1\alpha_1^q - \mu_2\beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$  and  $\kappa = (\alpha - \beta)$ .

Hence,

$$\|u - v\| \|w_1 - w_2\|^{q-1} \geq (\ell + \rho\kappa) \|w_1 - w_2\|^q,$$

that is

$$\|R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(u) - R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))}(v)\| \leq \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in E.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. An Application of $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -Mixed Accretive Mappings.

Here we shall show that the  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping under acceptable assumptions can be used as a powerful tool to solve variational inclusion problem in Banach space.

Let  $S, T : E \rightarrow CB(E)$  be the set-valued mappings, and let  $f, g : E \rightarrow E, A, B, C, D : E \rightarrow E, F : E \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $H : (E \times E) \times (E \times E) \rightarrow E$  be single-valued mappings. Suppose that set-valued mapping  $M : E \times E \rightarrow E$  be a  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive mapping regarding  $(A, C), (B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ . We consider the following generalized set-valued variational inclusion: for given  $\lambda \in E$ , find  $u \in E, v \in S(u)$  and  $w \in T(u)$  such that

$$\lambda \in F(v, w) + M(f(u), g(u)). \quad (8)$$

If  $S, T : E \rightarrow E$  be single-valued mappings and  $M(\cdot, \cdot) = \rho N(\cdot)$ , where  $\rho > 0$  is a constant, then the problem (8) reduces to the following problem: find  $u \in E$  such that

$$\lambda \in F(S(u), T(u)) + \rho N(u). \quad (9)$$

If  $M$  is an  $(A, \eta)$ -accretive mapping, then the problem (9) was introduced and studied by Lan et al. [17].

If  $\rho = 1, \lambda = 0$  and  $F(S(u), T(u)) = T(u)$  for all  $u \in E$ , where  $T : E \rightarrow E$  is a single-valued mapping, then the problem (9) reduces to the following problem: find  $u \in E$  such that

$$0 \in T(u) + N(u). \quad (10)$$

If  $N$  is an  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ -accretive mapping, then the problem (10) was studied by Zou and Huang [24]; and  $N$  is a generalized  $m$ -accretive mapping, then the problem (10) was studied by Bi et al. [4].

If  $E$  is a Hilbert space and  $N$  is an  $H$ -monotone mappings, then the problem (10) was introduced and studied by Fang and Huang [5] and includes many variational inequalities (inclusions) and complementarity problems as special cases. For example, see [20, 21].

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $u \in E, v \in S(u)$  and  $w \in T(u)$  is a solution of problem (8) if and only if  $u \in E, v \in S(u)$  and  $w \in T(u)$  satisfies the following relation:

$$u = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))} [H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(v, w) + \rho\lambda], \quad \rho > 0. \quad (11)$$



**Proof.** Observe that for  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \in F(w, v) + M(f(u), g(u)) \\ \Leftrightarrow & [H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(v, w) + \rho \lambda] \in H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) + \rho M(f(u), g(u)) \\ \Leftrightarrow & [H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(v, w) + \rho \lambda] \in (H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))u \\ \Leftrightarrow & u = (H((A, B), (C, D)) + \rho M(f, g))^{-1} [H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(v, w) + \rho \lambda] \\ \Leftrightarrow & u = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)} [H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(v, w) + \rho \lambda]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.2.** We can rewrite the equality (11) as:

$$z = H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(v, w) + \rho \lambda, \quad u = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}(z).$$

By using the result of Nadler [19], this fixed point formulation allow us to construct the iterative algorithm as given below:

**Algorithm 4.3.** For any given  $z_0 \in E$ , we can choose  $u_0 \in E$  such that sequences  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{v_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}(z_n), \\ v_n \in S(u_n), \quad \|v_n - v_{n+1}\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \mathcal{D}(S(u_n), S(u_{n+1})), \\ w_n \in T(u_n), \quad \|w_n - w_{n+1}\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \mathcal{D}(T(u_n), T(u_{n+1})), \\ z_{n+1} = H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - \rho F(v_n, w_n) + \rho \lambda + e_n, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|e_j - e_{j-1}\| \omega^{-j} < \infty, \quad \forall \omega \in (0, 1), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\rho > 0$  is a constant,  $\lambda \in E$  is any given element and  $e_n \subset E$  is an error to take into account a possible inexact computation of the proximal-point mapping point for all  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Hausdorff metric on  $CB(E)$ .

Next, we need the following definitions which will be used to state and prove the main result.

**Definition 4.4.** A set-valued mapping  $G : E \rightarrow CB(E)$  is said to be  $\mathcal{D}$ -Lipschitz continuous with constant  $l > 0$ , if

$$\mathcal{D}(Gx, Gy) \leq l \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $S, T : E \rightarrow E$  be the set-valued mappings,  $A, B, C, D : E \rightarrow E$ ,  $F : E \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $H : (E \times E) \times (E \times E) \rightarrow E$  be single-valued mappings. Then

(i)  $F$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -strongly accretive regarding  $S$  and  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  in the first component with constant  $\sigma > 0$ , if

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle F(v_1, \cdot) - F(v_2, \cdot), J_q(H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv))) \rangle \\ & \geq \sigma \|H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv))\|^q, \\ & \quad \forall u, v \in E \text{ and } v_1 \in S(u), v_2 \in S(v); \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $F$  is said to be  $\delta$ -strongly accretive regarding  $T$  and  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  in the second component with  $\delta > 0$ , if

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle F(\cdot, w_1) - F(\cdot, w_2), J_q(H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv))) \rangle \\ & \geq \delta \|H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - H((Av, Bv), (Cv, Dv))\|^q, \\ & \quad \forall u, v \in E \text{ and } w_1 \in T(u), w_2 \in T(v); \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $F$  is said to be  $\epsilon_1$ -Lipschitz continuous in the first component with  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ , if

$$\|F(u, v') - F(v, v')\| \leq \epsilon_1 \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v, v' \in E;$$

(iv)  $F$  is said to be  $\epsilon_2$ -Lipschitz continuous in the second component with  $\epsilon_2 > 0$ , if

$$\|F(v', u) - F(v', v)\| \leq \epsilon_2 \|u - v\|, \quad \forall u, v, v' \in E.$$

Next, we find the convergence of iterative algorithm for generalized set-valued variational inclusion (8).

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let us consider the problem (8) and assume that*

(i)  $S$  and  $T$  are  $l_1$  and  $l_2$   $\mathcal{D}$ -Lipschitz continuous, respectively;

(ii)  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  is  $\tau$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous regarding  $A, B, C$  and  $D$ ;

(iii)  $F$  is  $\sigma$ -strongly accretive regarding  $S$  and  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  in the first component and  $\delta$ -strongly accretive regarding  $T$  and  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  in the second component;

(iv)  $F$  is  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ -Lipschitz continuous in the first and second component, respectively;

$$(v) 0 < \sqrt[q]{\tau^q + c_q \rho^q (\epsilon_1 l_1 + \epsilon_2 l_2)^q - \rho q (\sigma + \delta) \tau^q} < \ell + \rho \kappa; \tag{12}$$

where  $\ell = (\mu_1 \alpha_1^q - \mu_2 \beta_1^q) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$  and  $\kappa = \alpha - \beta$ , and  $\alpha > \beta, \mu_1 > \mu_2, \alpha_1 > \beta_1$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \rho > 0$ .

Then problem (8) has a solution  $(u, v, w)$ , where  $u \in E, v \in S(u)$  and  $w \in T(u)$ , and the iterative sequences  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$ , generated by Algorithms 4.3 converges strongly to  $u, v$  and  $w$ , respectively.

**Proof.** Using the Lipschitz continuity of  $S$  and  $T$ , it follows from Algorithms 4.3 such that

$$\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \mathcal{D}(S(u_{n+1}), S(u_n)) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) l_1 \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|, \tag{13}$$

$$\|w_{n+1} - w_n\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \mathcal{D}(T(u_{n+1}), T(u_n)) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) l_2 \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|, \tag{14}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

From (11) and Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \|R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}(z_{n+1}) - R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}(z_n)\| = \frac{1}{\ell + \rho \kappa} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\|. \tag{15}$$

Now, we estimate  $\|z_{n+1} - z_n\|$  by using Algorithms 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| &= \|[H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, u_n)) - \rho F(v_n, w_n) + \rho \lambda + e_n] \\ &\quad - [H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, u_{n-1})) - \rho F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}) + \rho \lambda + e_{n-1}]\| \\ &\leq \|H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, u_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, u_{n-1}))\| \\ &\quad + (\rho F(v_n, w_n) - \rho F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})) + \|e_n - e_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1})) - \rho(F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}))\|^q \\ &\leq \|H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))\|^q + c_q \rho^q \|F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\|^q \\ &\quad - \rho q (F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), J_q(H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))))). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (ii), we get

$$\|H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))\| \leq \tau \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|. \tag{18}$$

By Algorithm 4.3, and conditions (i) and (iv), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\| &\leq \|F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_n)\| + \|F(v_{n-1}, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 \|v_n - v_{n-1}\| + \epsilon_2 \|w_n - w_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \epsilon_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \mathcal{D}(S(u_n), S(u_{n-1})) + \epsilon_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \mathcal{D}(T(u_n), T(u_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \left(\epsilon_1 l_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \epsilon_2 l_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Using conditions (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), J_q(H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))) \rangle \\ &\leq \langle F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_n), J_q(H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle F(v_{n-1}, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}), J_q(H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))) \rangle \\ &\leq (\sigma + \delta) \|H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1}))\|^q \\ &\leq (\sigma + \delta) \tau^q \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|^q. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

From (17)-(19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|H((Au_n, Bu_n), (Cu_n, Du_n)) - H((Au_{n-1}, Bu_{n-1}), (Cu_{n-1}, Du_{n-1})) - \rho(F(v_n, w_n) - F(v_{n-1}, w_{n-1}))\| \\ &\leq \sqrt[q]{\tau^q + c_q \rho^q \left(\epsilon_1 l_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \epsilon_2 l_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^q - \rho q (\sigma + \delta) \tau^q} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Combining (15), (16) and (21), we have

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \|R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}(z_{n+1}) - R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}(z_n)\| \leq \varphi_n \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \|e_n - e_{n-1}\|, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\varphi_n = \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \sqrt[q]{\tau^q + c_q \rho^q \left(\epsilon_1 l_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \epsilon_2 l_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right)^q - \rho q (\sigma + \delta) \tau^q}. \tag{23}$$

Let

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \sqrt[q]{\tau^q + c_q \rho^q (\epsilon_1 l_1 + \epsilon_2 l_2)^q - \rho q (\sigma + \delta) \tau^q}. \tag{24}$$

Since  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (12), we know that  $0 < \varphi < 1$  and hence there exist  $n_0 > 0$  and  $\varphi_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\varphi_n \leq \varphi_0$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Therefore, by (22), we have

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \varphi_0 \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \|e_n - e_{n-1}\| \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{25}$$

(25) implies that

$$\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \varphi_0^{n-n_0} \|u_{n_0+1} - u_{n_0}\| + \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{n-n_0} \varphi_0^{j-1} t_{n-(n-1)}, \tag{26}$$

where  $t_n = \|e_n - e_{n-1}\|$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence, for any  $m \geq n > n_0$ , we have

$$\|u_m - u_n\| \leq \sum_{p=n}^{m-1} \|u_{p+1} - u_p\| \leq \sum_{p=n}^{m-1} \varphi_0^{p-n_0} \|u_{n_0+1} - u_{n_0}\| + \frac{1}{\ell + \rho\kappa} \sum_{p=n}^{m-1} \varphi_0^p \sum_{j=1}^{p-n_0} \left[ \frac{t_{p-(j-1)}}{\varphi_0^{p-(j-1)}} \right]. \tag{27}$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|e_j - e_{j-1}\| \omega^{-j} < \infty$ ,  $\forall \omega \in (0, 1)$  and  $0 < \varphi_0 < 1$ , it follows that  $\|u_m - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ . From (13) and (14), it follows that  $\{v_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  are also Cauchy sequences in  $E$ . Thus, there exist  $u, v$  and  $w$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u, v_n \rightarrow v$  and  $w_n \rightarrow w$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In the sequel, we will prove that  $v \in S(u)$ . In fact, since  $v_n \in S(u_n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(v, S(u)) &\leq \|v - v_n\| + d(v_n, S(u)) \\ &\leq \|v - v_n\| + \mathcal{D}(S(u_n), S(u)) \\ &\leq \|v - v_n\| + \rho \|u_n - u\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $d(v, S(u)) = 0$ . Since  $S(u) \in CB(E)$ , it follows that  $v \in S(u)$ . Similarly, it is easy to see that  $w \in T(u)$ .

By the continuity of  $R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)}$ ,  $A, B, C, D, S, T$  and  $F$  and Algorithms 4.3, we know that  $u, v$  and  $w$  satisfy

$$u = R_{\rho, M(\cdot, \cdot)}^{H(\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot)} [H((Au, Bu), (Cu, Du)) - \rho F(u, z) + \rho \lambda].$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $(u, v, w)$  is a solution of the problem (8). This completes the proof  $\square$

The following example shows that assumptions (i) to (v) of Theorem 4.6 are satisfied for variational inclusion problem (8).

**Example 4.7.** Let  $q = 2$  and  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  with usual inner product.

(i) Let  $S, T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  are identity mappings, then  $R, S$  are  $n$ -Lipschitz continuous for  $n = 1, 2$ .

Let  $A, B, C, D : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by

$$Ax = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{10}x_1 \\ \frac{1}{10}x_2 \end{pmatrix}, Bx = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{5}x_1 \\ -\frac{1}{5}x_2 \end{pmatrix}, Cx = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 \\ 2x_2 \end{pmatrix}, Dx = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Suppose that  $H : (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \times (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by

$$H((Ax, By), (Cx, Dy)) = Ax + Bx + Cx + Dx, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then, it is easy to check that

$H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$  is  $(10, 2)$ -strongly mixed cocoercive regarding  $(A, C)$  and  $(5, 1)$ -relaxed mixed cocoercive regarding  $(B, D)$ , and  $A$  is  $\frac{1}{n}$ -expansive for  $n = 10, 11$  and  $B$  is  $\frac{1}{n}$ -Lipschitz continuous for  $n = 4, 5$ .

(ii)  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  is  $\frac{29}{n}$ -mixed Lipschitz continuous regarding  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  for  $n = 9, 10$ .

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}x_1 - \frac{4}{3}x_2 \\ \frac{4}{3}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \end{pmatrix}, g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}x_1 - \frac{3}{4}x_2 \\ \frac{3}{4}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Suppose that  $M : (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined by

$$M(fx, gx) = fx - gx, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then, it is easy to check that  $M(f, g)$  is  $\frac{1}{n}$ -strongly accretive regarding  $f$  for  $n = 2, 3$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$ -relaxed accretive regarding  $g$  for  $n = 3, 4$ . Moreover, for  $\rho = 1$ ,  $M$  is  $\alpha\beta$ - $H((\cdot, \cdot), (\cdot, \cdot))$ -mixed accretive regarding  $(A, C)$ ,  $(B, D)$  and  $(f, g)$ .

Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  are defined by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x}{4} + \frac{y}{5}, \quad \forall x, y, \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then, it is easy to check that

(iii)  $F$  is is  $\frac{29}{n}$ -strongly accretive regarding  $S$  and  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  in the first component for  $n = 30, 40$  and  $\frac{29}{n}$ -strongly accretive with respect to  $T$  and  $H((A, B), (C, D))$  in the second component for  $n = 40, 50$ ;

(iv)  $F$  is is  $\frac{1}{n}$ -Lipschitz continuous in the first component for  $n = 3, 4$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$ -Lipschitz continuous in the second component for  $n = 4, 5$ .

Therefore, for the constants

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 = l_2 = 1, \mu_1 = 10, \gamma_1 = 2, \mu_2 = 5, \gamma_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = 0.1, \beta_1 = 0.2, \\ \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.25, \sigma = 0.725, \delta = 0.580, \epsilon_1 = 0.25, \epsilon_2 = 0.2, \tau = 2.9, \\ q = 2, \ell = 2.9, \kappa = 0.25. \end{aligned}$$

obtained in (i) to (v) above, all the conditions of the Theorem 4.7 is satisfied for the generalized mixed variational inclusion problem (8) for  $\rho = 0.35$  and  $c_q = 1$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The first author is extremely grateful to Professor Joydeep Dutta, Department of Economic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, India for inferential and productive criticism to prepare manuscript. We sincerely thank the reviewer for constructive and valuable comments, which were of great help in revising the manuscript. Accordingly, the revised manuscript has been systematically improved with new information and additional interpretations.

## References

- [1] J.P. Aubin, A. Cellina Differential inclusions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [2] R. Ahmad, M. Dilshad, M.M. Wong, J.C. Yao:  $H(., .)$ -cocoercive operator and an application for solving generalized variational inclusions, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Article ID 261534 (2011), 1-12.
- [3] R. Ahmad, M. Rahaman and H.A. Rizvi: Graph convergence for  $H(., .)$ -co-accretive mapping with over-relaxed proximal point method for solving a generalized variational inclusion problem, Iran. J Math. Sci. Inform., 12(1)(2017), 36-46.
- [4] Z.S. Bi, Z. Hart, Y.P. Fang, Sensitivity analysis for nonlinear variational inclusions involving generalized  $m$ -accretive mappings, Journal of Sichuan University, 40(2003), 240-243.
- [5] Y.-P. Fang and N.-J. Huang,  $H$ -monotone operator and resolvent operator technique for variational inclusions, Appl. Math. Comput., 145(2-3)(2003), 795-803.
- [6] N.-J. Huang and Y.-P. Fang, Generalized  $m$ -accretive mappings in Banach spaces, Journal of Sichuan University, 38(4)(2001), 591-592.
- [7] S. Husain and S. Gupta,  $H(., .), (., .)$ -mixed cocoercive operators with an application for solving variational inclusions in Hilbert spaces, J. Funct. Space. Appl., Article ID 378364(2013), 1-13.
- [8] S. Husain, S. Gupta and V.N. Mishra, Graph convergence for the  $H(., .)$ -mixed mapping with an application for solving the system of generalized variational inclusions, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article ID 304(2013), 1-21.
- [9] S. Husain, S. Gupta and V.N. Mishra, Generalized  $H(., ., .)$ - $\eta$ -cocoercive operators and generalized set-valued variational-like inclusions, Journal of Mathematics, Article ID 738491 (2013), 1-10.
- [10] S. Husain, H. Sahper and S. Gupta,  $H(., ., .)$ - $\eta$ -proximal-point mapping with an application, Applied Analysis in Biological and Physical Sciences, the series Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 186(2016), 351-372.
- [11] S. Husain and S. Gupta, A resolvent operator technique for solving generalized system of nonlinear relaxed cocoercive mixed variational inequalities, Advances in Fixed Point Theory, 2(1)(2012), 18-28.
- [12] S. Husain and S. Gupta, Algorithm for solving a new system of generalized variational inclusions in Hilbert spaces, Journal of Calculus of Variations, Article ID. 461371(2013), 1-8.
- [13] S. Husain, S. Gupta and H. Sahper, Algorithm for solving a new system of generalized nonlinear quasi-variational-like inclusions in Hilbert spaces, Chinese Journal of Mathematics, Article ID. 957482 (2014), 1-7.
- [14] K.R. Kazmi, N. Ahmad and M. Shahzad, Convergence and stability of an iterative algorithm for a system of generalized implicit variational-like inclusions in Banach spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 218(2012), 9208-9219.
- [15] K.R. Kazmi, M.I. Bhat and N. Ahmad, An iterative algorithm based on  $M$ -proximal mappings for a system of generalized implicit variational inclusions in Banach spaces, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 233 (2009), 361-371.
- [16] K.R. Kazmi, F.A. Khan and M. Shahzad, A system of generalized variational inclusions involving generalized  $H(., .)$ -accretive mapping in real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 217(2)(2011), 9679-9688.

- [17] H.-Y. Lan, Y. J. Cho, and R. U. Verma, Nonlinear relaxed cocoercive variational inclusions involving  $(A, \eta)$ -accretive mappings in Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 51(9-10)(2006), 1529-1538.
- [18] X.P. Luo, N.-J. Huang, A new class of variational inclusions with  $B$ -monotone operators in Banach spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 233(2000), 1888-1896.
- [19] S.B. Nadler, Multivalued contraction mapping, *Pacific J. Math.*, 30(1969), 457-488.
- [20] J.-W. Peng, On a new system of generalized mixed quasi-variational-like inclusions with  $(H, \eta)$ -accretive operators in real  $q$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68(2008), 981-993.
- [21] J.-W. Peng, D.L. Zhu, A new system of generalized mixed quasi-variational inclusions with  $(H, \eta)$ -monotone operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 327(2007), 175-187.
- [22] J. Sun, L. Zhang, X. Xiao, An algorithm based on resolvent operators for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. (TMA)* 69(10)(2008), 3344-3357.
- [23] H.K. Xu, Inequalities in Banach spaces with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 16(12)(1991), 1127-1138.
- [24] Y.-Z. Zou and N.-J. Huang,  $H(.,.)$ -accretive operator with an application for solving variational inclusions in Banach spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 204(2)(2008), 809-816.