A Family of Multivalent Analytic Functions Associated with Srivastava-Tomovski Generalization of the Mittag-Leffler Function
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Abstract. In this paper we introduce an operator associated with Srivastava-Tomovski generalization of the Mittag-Leffler function. By using this operator and the virtue of differential subordination, we define a new family of multivalent analytic functions. Some novel properties such as inclusion relation, Hadamard product and the Fekete-Szegö inequality of this new family are discussed.

1. Introduction

Let \( \mathcal{A}(p) \) denote the class of functions of the form
\[
 f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+p-1} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N})
\] (1.1)
which are analytic in \( \mathbb{U} = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \} \). For \( p = 1 \), we write \( \mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(1) \). The Hadamard product (or convolution) of two functions
\[
 f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1,j} z^{n+p-1} \in \mathcal{A}(p) \quad (j = 1, 2)
\]
is given by
\[
 (f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n+p-1,1,j} a_{n+p-1,2} z^{n+p-1} = (f_2 * f_1)(z).
\]

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise indicated, we assume that
\[
 \alpha, \beta, \gamma, k \in \mathbb{C}; \quad \Re(\alpha) > \max\{0, \Re(k) - 1\} \text{ and } \Re(k) > 0.
\]
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Recently, Srivastava and Tomovski [18] defined a generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ as follows:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_n z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(\beta+n)}$$

(1.2)

where $(\gamma)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol

$$(\gamma)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}; \quad x \in \mathbb{C})$$

and $(\gamma_0) = 1$. They proved that the function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ defined by (1.2) is an entire function in the complex $z$-plane. The function $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ is called Srivastava-Tomovski generalization of the Mittag-Leffler function.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$, we introduce the following new operator $H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k} : \mathcal{A}(p) \to \mathcal{A}(p)$ associated with the Srivastava-Tomovski generalization of the Mittag-Leffler function by

$$H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{(\gamma)_k} z^{p-1} (E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(z) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)}) f(z)$$

$$= z^p + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+nk)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma+k)\Gamma(\alpha+n\beta)n!} a_{n+1-p} z^{n+1-p}.$$  

(1.3)

Note that $H_{0,0}^{1,1}(f)(z) = f(z)$. From (1.3) we easily have the following identity:

$$z \left( H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)(z) \right)' = \left( \frac{\gamma}{k} + 1 \right) H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma+1,k}(f)(z) - \left( \frac{\gamma}{k} + 1 - p \right) H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)(z).$$

(1.4)

It should be remarked in passing that the Fox-Wright hypergeometric function $_q \Psi_s$ is much more general than many of the extensions of the Mittag-Leffler function. The study of the more complicated and general case of the Srivastava-Wright operator (see [18, 4]), defined by the Fox-Wright function $_q \Psi_s$, is a recent interesting topic in Geometric Function Theory. Many properties of the Srivastava-Wright operator can be found in a number of recent works [1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] and the references therein.

Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are analytic in $U$. We say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ and write $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z)$ $(z \in U)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w$, analytic in $U$ with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in U)$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ $(z \in U)$. If $g$ is univalent in $U$, then the following equivalence relationship holds true:

$$f(z) < g(z) \quad (z \in U) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of functions $\varphi$ with $\varphi(0) = 1$, which are analytic and convex univalent in $U$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $S'(\rho)$ if $\text{Re} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \rho$ $(z \in U)$ for some $\rho$ $(\rho < 1)$. When $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $S'(\rho)$ is the class of starlike functions of order $\rho$ in $U$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be prestarlike of order $\rho$ in $U$ if $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in S'(\rho)$ $(\rho < 1)$. We denote this class by $\mathcal{S}(\rho)$ (see [9]). Clearly, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}(\rho)$ if and only if $f$ is convex univalent in $U$ and $\mathcal{S} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = S \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$.

**Definition.** A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to be in the class $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(\lambda; \varphi)$ if it satisfies first-order differential subordination:

$$(1 - \lambda)z^{-p} H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z^{-p+1} \left( H_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(f)(z) \right)' < \varphi(z),$$

(1.5)

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$.

**Lemma 1** ([7]). Let $g$ be analytic in $U$ and $h$ be analytic and convex univalent in $U$ with $h(0) = g(0)$. If

$$g(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z g'(z) < h(z),$$

then $g(z) < h(z)$. 


where \( \text{Re} \mu \geq 0 \) and \( \mu \neq 0 \), then
\[
g(z) < \tilde{h}(z) = \mu z^{-\mu} \int_0^\infty t^{\mu-1} h(t) dt < h(z)
\]
and \( \tilde{h} \) is the best dominant of (1.6).

**Lemma 2** ([9]). Let \( \rho < 1 \), \( f \in S^*(\rho) \) and \( g \in \mathcal{R}(\rho) \). Then, for any analytic function \( F \) in \( U \),
\[
\frac{g \ast (fF)}{g \ast f}(U) \subset \overline{\text{o}(F(U))},
\]
where \( \overline{\text{o}}(F(U)) \) denotes the closed convex hull of \( F(U) \).

**Lemma 3** ([6]). If \( g(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots \) is an analytic function with positive real part in \( U \) and \( \mu \) is a complex number, then \( |c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1;|\mu - 1|\} \).

### 2. Properties of the class \( \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(\lambda;\varphi) \)

**Theorem 1.** Let \( 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \). Then \( \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(\lambda_2;\varphi) \subset \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(\lambda_1;\varphi) \).

**Proof.** Let
\[
g(z) = z^{-p} H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z)
\]
for \( f \in \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(\lambda_2;\varphi) \). Then the function \( g \) is analytic in \( U \) and \( g(0) = 1 \). Differentiating both sides of (2.1), we have
\[
(1 - \lambda_2) z^{-p} H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} z^{-p+1} \left( H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z) \right)'
= g(z) + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} zg'(z) < \varphi(z).
\]
(2.2)

Hence, by an application of Lemma 1, we have \( g(z) < \varphi(z) \) \((z \in U)\). Now, by noting that \( 0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \leq 1 \) and that \( \varphi \) is convex univalent in \( U \), it follows that
\[
(1 - \lambda_1) z^{-p} H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda_1}{p} z^{-p+1} \left( H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z) \right)'
= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \left( (1 - \lambda_2) z^{-p} H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} z^{-p+1} \left( H_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k} f(z) \right)' \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) g(z)
< \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U).
\]

Thus \( f \in \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma,k}(\lambda_1;\varphi) \) and the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( 0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \) and \( k = 1 \). Then \( \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma_2-1}(\lambda;\varphi) \subset \Omega_{a,\beta}^{\gamma_2-1}(\lambda;\varphi) \).

**Proof.** Put
\[
g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{n-1}}{(\gamma_2)_{n-1}} z^n \quad (z \in U).
\]
(2.3)

Then \( g \in \mathcal{A} \) and
\[
\frac{z}{(1 - z)^{\gamma_2}} \ast g(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{\gamma_1}}.
\]
(2.4)
We can see from (2.4) that
\[ \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{\gamma_2}} \ast g(z) \in S^\ast \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{2}\right) \subset S^\ast \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right) \]
for \(0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2\), which implies that \(g \in R\left(1 - \frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)\).

Let \(f \in \Omega^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} \lambda; \varphi\). Then we have
\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-\gamma' H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}} = \frac{g(z)}{z} \ast \psi(z) = \frac{g(z) + (z\psi(z))}{g(z) \ast z},
\]
where
\[
\psi(z) = (1 - \lambda)z^{-\gamma' H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}} < \varphi(z).
\]
Since the function \(z\) belongs to \(S^\ast \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right)\) and \(\varphi\) is convex univalent in \(U\), it follows from (2.5), (2.6) and Lemma 2 that
\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-\gamma' H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-p+1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}} < \varphi(z).
\]
Thus \(f \in \Omega^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} \lambda; \varphi\). The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

**Theorem 3.** Let \(\text{Re} \left(\frac{d\varphi}{d\lambda}\right) > \frac{1}{2} (z \in \mathbb{U})\), where the function \(g\) is given by
\[
g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_2 h(y_1)\alpha)z^n}{(\gamma_1 h(y_2)\alpha)} (z \in \mathbb{U}).
\]
Then \(\Omega^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} \lambda; \varphi \subset \Omega^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} \lambda; \varphi\).

**Proof.** For \(f \in \mathcal{R}(p)\), it is easy to verify that
\[
z^{-\gamma H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)} = \left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right) \ast \left(z^{-\gamma H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)}\right)
\]
and
\[
z^{-\gamma + 1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime} = \left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right) \ast \left(z^{-\gamma + 1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right).
\]
Let \(f \in \Omega^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} \lambda; \varphi\). Then from (2.7) and (2.8) we deduce that
\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-\gamma H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-\gamma + 1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}} = \frac{g(z)}{z} \ast \psi(z) = \frac{g(z) + (z\psi(z))}{g(z) \ast z},
\]
where
\[
\psi(z) = (1 - \lambda)z^{-\gamma H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-\gamma + 1} \left(H^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}} < \varphi(z).
\]
In view of the assumptions of Theorem 3, the function \(\frac{g(z)}{z}\) has the following Herglotz representation:
\[
\frac{g(z)}{z} = \int_{|\mu| = 1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1 - xz} (z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]
where \( \mu(x) \) is a probability measure defined on the unit circle \(|x| = 1\) and \( \int_{|x|=1} d\mu(x) = 1\). Since \( \varphi \) is convex univalent in \( \mathbb{U} \), it follows from (2.7) to (2.9) that

\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-\varphi}H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-\varphi+1}\left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)\right)' = \int_{|x|=1} \psi(xz)d\mu(x) < \varphi(z).
\]

This shows that \( f \in \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k}(\lambda; \varphi) \). The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

**Theorem 4.** Let \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\), \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \delta \geq 1 \). If \( f \in \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k}(\lambda; \frac{1+A}{1+B}) \), then

\[
\text{Re}\left\{\frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)}{z^\varphi}\right\}^{1/\varphi} > \left(\frac{p}{\lambda}\int_0^1 u^{-\varphi} \left(1 - Au \right)_{1+Bu} du \right)^{1/\varphi}.
\]

(2.10)

The result is sharp.

**Proof.** Let

\[
ge(z) = \frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)}{z^\varphi}
\]

for \( f \in \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k}(\lambda; \frac{1+A}{1+B}) \). Then the function \( g(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \cdots \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \). By a simple calculation we have from (1.5) that

\[
g(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}zg'(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.
\]

Now an application of Lemma 1 leads to

\[
g(z) < \frac{p}{\lambda}\int_0^\infty t^{-\varphi} \left(1 + Az \right)_{1 + Bt} dt
\]

or

\[
\frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)}{z^\varphi} = \frac{p}{\lambda}\int_0^1 u^{-\varphi} \left(1 + Au \right)_{1+Bu} du.
\]

(2.11)

where \( w(z) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{U} \) with \( w(0) = 0 \) and \( |w(z)| < 1 \) \((z \in \mathbb{U})\).

In view of \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\) and \( \lambda > 0 \), it follows from (2.11) that

\[
\text{Re}\left\{\frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)}{z^\varphi}\right\} > \frac{p}{\lambda}\int_0^1 u^{-\varphi} \left(1 - Au \right)_{1+Bu} du > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
\]

(2.12)

Therefore, with the aid of the elementary inequality \( \text{Re}\left(w^{1/\varphi}\right) \geq (\text{Rew})^{1/\varphi} \) for \( \text{Rew} > 0 \) and \( \delta \geq 1 \), the inequality (2.10) follows directly from (2.12).

To show the sharpness of (2.10), we take \( f \in \mathcal{M}(p) \) defined by

\[
\frac{H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)}{z^\varphi} = \frac{p}{\lambda}\int_0^1 u^{-\varphi} \left(1 + Au \right)_{1+Bu} du.
\]

For this function, we find that

\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-\varphi}H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z^{-\varphi+1}\left(H_{\alpha,\beta}^{r,k} f(z)\right)' = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.
\]
Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Theorem 5. Let \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, k \) and \( \lambda \) be positive real numbers. Let \( \varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \cdots \in \mathcal{P} \) with \( B_1 \neq 0 \). If \( f \) given by (1.1) belongs to the class \( \Omega_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, \varphi} \), then

\[
|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \frac{6p\Gamma(y + k)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}{(p + 2\lambda)\Gamma(y + 3k)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \max \left\{ |B_1|, \left| B_2 - \frac{2\mu p B_1^2 (p + 2\lambda)\Gamma(y + k)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{(3(p + \lambda)^2 \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(y + 2k))^2} \right| \right\}
\]

(2.13)

for \( \mu \in \mathbb{C} \).

Proof. If \( f \in \Omega_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, \varphi} \), then there is a Schwarz function \( \omega \), analytic in \( \mathcal{U} \) with \( \omega(0) = 0 \) and \( |\omega(z)| < 1 \) in \( \mathcal{U} \) such that

\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-p} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z^{-p+1} \left( H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, k} f(z) \right)' = \varphi(\omega(z)).
\]

(2.14)

Define the function \( g \) by

\[
g(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - \overline{w(z)}} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \cdots.
\]

(2.15)

Since \( \omega \) is a Schwarz function, we see that \( \text{Re}(g(z)) > 0 \) and \( g(0) = 1 \). Therefore,

\[
\varphi(\omega(z)) = \varphi\left( \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right) = 1 + B_1c_1 \frac{2}{2} z + \left[ B_1 \frac{c_2 - c_1^2}{2} + B_2c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \cdots.
\]

(2.16)

By substituting (2.16) in (2.14), we have

\[
(1 - \lambda)z^{-p} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, k} f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{p} z^{-p+1} \left( H_{\alpha, \beta}^{\lambda, k} f(z) \right)' = 1 + B_1c_1 \frac{2}{2} z + \left[ B_1 \frac{c_2 - c_1^2}{2} + B_2c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \cdots.
\]

From this equation, we get

\[
a_{p+1} = \frac{pB_1c_1\Gamma(y + k)\Gamma(2\alpha + \beta)}{(p + \lambda)\Gamma(y + 2k)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}
\]

and

\[
a_{p+2} = \frac{3p\Gamma(y + k)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}{(p + 2\lambda)\Gamma(y + 3k)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \left( B_1c_2 - \frac{B_1c_1^2}{2} + \frac{B_2c_1^2}{2} \right).
\]

Therefore

\[
a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 = \frac{3pB_1\Gamma(y + k)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)}{(p + 2\lambda)\Gamma(y + 3k)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \left( c_2 - \zeta c_1^2 \right),
\]

where

\[
\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) + \frac{\mu p B_1 (p + 2\lambda)\Gamma(y + k)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{3(p + \lambda)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(3\alpha + \beta)\Gamma(y + 2k)^2}.
\]

(2.17)

(2.18)

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.
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