



Korovkin Type Approximation Theorems Proved via Weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical Convergence for Bivariate Functions

Hüseyin Aktuğlu^a, Halil Gezer^b

^aEastern Mediterranean University

^bCyprus International University

Abstract. Statistical convergence was extended to weighted statistical convergence in [24], by using a sequence of real numbers s_k , satisfying some conditions. Later, weighted statistical convergence was considered in [35] and [19] with modified conditions on s_k . Weighted statistical convergence is an extension of statistical convergence in the sense that, for $s_k = 1$, for all k , it reduces to statistical convergence. A definition of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ , considered in [25] does not have this property. To remove this extension problem the definition given in [25] needs some modifications. In this paper, we introduced the modified version of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ , which is an extension of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ . Our definition, with $s_k = 1$, for all k , reduces to $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ .

Moreover, we use this definition of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ , to prove Korovkin type approximation theorems via, weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ and weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ , for bivariate functions on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Also we prove Korovkin type approximation theorems via $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ and $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ , for bivariate functions on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$. Some examples of positive linear operators are constructed to show that, our approximation results works, but its classical and statistical cases do not work. Finally, rates of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ is introduced and discussed.

1. Introduction

Recall that the natural density of a subset K of \mathbb{N} is defined by

$$\delta(K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} |\{k \in [1, n] : k \in K\}|,$$

provided that limit exists and $|K|$ represents the cardinality of the set K . The concept of statistical convergence which was introduced by Steinhaus [40] and Fast [17] independently, is based on this density function. A sequence x_k is called statistically convergent to L and denoted by $st - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$, if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta(\{k \in [1, n] : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$. Later, by using different density functions, λ -statistical convergence [34] and lacunary statistical convergence [18] are defined and studied.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A25 ; Secondary 41A36, 40A35.

Keywords. Statistical convergence, $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence, equistatistical convergence, weighted statistical convergence, Positive linear operators, Korovkin type approximation theorem, rates of convergence.

Received: 25 April 2018; Accepted: 27 August 2018

Communicated by Ivana Djolović

Email addresses: huseyin.aktuglu@emu.edu.tr (Hüseyin Aktuğlu), hgezer@ciu.edu.tr (Halil Gezer)

In [10], Çolak introduced statistical convergence of order γ by using the following generalization of δ .

$$\delta(K, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\gamma} |\{k \in [1, n] : k \in K\}|,$$

where $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

Let α and β be two non-decreasing sequences of positive numbers such that,

- i) $\beta(n) - \alpha(n) \geq 0$, for all n ,
- ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\beta(n) - \alpha(n)) = \infty$,

and let Λ be the set of all pairs (α, β) satisfying (i) and (ii). Then, for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$, $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma)$ is introduced in [2] as follows

$$\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : k \in K\}|}{(\beta(n) - \alpha(n) + 1)^\gamma} \tag{1}$$

where $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

Remark 1.1. i) If $\alpha(n) = 1$ and $\beta(n) = n$ then $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma) = \delta(K, \gamma)$.

ii) If $\alpha(n) = 1$, $\beta(n) = n$ and $\gamma = 1$ then $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma) = \delta(K)$.

Lemma 1.2. ([2]) Let K and M be two subsets of \mathbb{N} and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, then for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$, we have the following properties.

i) $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(\emptyset, \gamma) = 0$.

ii) $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbb{N}, 1) = 1$.

iii) If K is a finite set then $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma) = 0$.

iv) If $K \subset M \Rightarrow \delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma) \leq \delta^{\alpha, \beta}(M, \gamma)$.

v) $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K \cup M, \gamma) \leq \delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma) + \delta^{\alpha, \beta}(M, \gamma)$

vi) If $0 < \gamma \leq \eta \leq 1$ then $\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \eta) \leq \delta^{\alpha, \beta}(K, \gamma)$.

The $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ was introduced in [2] as follows.

Definition 1.3. ([2]) A sequence x is said to be $\alpha\beta$ -statistically convergent to L of order γ , and denoted by $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta^{\alpha, \beta}(\{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(\beta(n) - \alpha(n) + 1)^\gamma} = 0.$$

If $\gamma = 1$, then $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ is called $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence.

2. Weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ

The concept of weighted statistical convergence was first introduced in [24]. Then Mursaleen et. al. [35] and Ghosal [19] considered modified forms of weighted statistical convergence. Recall that, a sequence x_k is said to be weighted statistically convergent of order γ to L (see [19],[20],[24],[35]), if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(S_n)^\gamma} |\{k \leq S_n : s_k |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that

$$s_n \geq 0, \quad s_1 > 0, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2}$$

Remark 2.1. 1) If $s_n = 1$, for all n , then weighted statistical convergence of order γ , reduces to statistical convergence of order γ .

2) If $s_n = 1$, for all n and $\gamma = 1$ then weighted statistically convergence of order γ , reduces to statistical convergence.

On the other hand, the weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers is introduced and discussed in [25] as follows.

Definition 2.2. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistically convergent of order γ to l or $S_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ -convergent, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta^{\alpha,\beta}(\{k : s_k|x_k - l| \geq \varepsilon\}, \gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n^\gamma} |\{k \leq S_n : s_k|x_k - l| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0, \tag{3}$$

where s_k is a sequence of real numbers such that, $s_0 > 0$, and

$$S_n = \sum_{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)]} s_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is natural to expect that, under the condition, $s_k = 1$ for all k (or $s_k = 1$ for all k and $\gamma = 1$), the weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ will be $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ (or $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence). The following example show that, Definition 2.2, does not have this property. In other words, Definition 2.2, and Definition 1.3, are not the same under the condition that $s_k = 1$ for all k . Therefore, Definition 2.2, is not an extension of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ . Moreover, it is well known that, for special choices of $\alpha(n)$ and $\beta(n)$, the $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence reduces to λ -statistical convergence and lacunary statistical convergence (see [2]). If we use the same choices of $\alpha(n)$ and $\beta(n)$, Definition 2.2, does not have this property as well.

Example 2.3. Consider the sequence,

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 0, & k \in [2^{2n-1}, 2^{2n} - 1] \text{ for some } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let $\alpha(n) = 2^{2n-1}$ and $\beta(n) = 2^{2n} - 1$. Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \in [2^{2n-1}, 2^{2n} - 1] : |x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(2^{2n-1})^\gamma} = 0,$$

therefore $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - \lim x_k = 0$.

On the other hand, by Definition 2.2, with $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and $s_k = 1$, we have $S_n = 2^{2n-1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{(2^{2n-1})^\gamma} |\{k \leq 2^{2n-1} : |x_k| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{2^{2n-2}}{(2^{2n-1})^\gamma} \geq \frac{(2^{2n-2})^\gamma}{(2^{2n-1})^\gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^\gamma \not\rightarrow 0,$$

where 2^{2n-2} is the number of 1's in the last block before the interval $[2^{2n-1}, 2^{2n} - 1]$.

The main motivation of the present section is to introduce the concept of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ which is a natural extension of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ . In other words, weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ with $s_k = 1$ for all k will be $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ .

Let s_n be any sequence satisfying (2), then for any pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$, define,

$$A_n = \frac{\alpha(n)}{[\alpha(n)]} \sum_{k=1}^{[\alpha(n)]} s_k \text{ and } B_n = \frac{\beta(n)}{[\beta(n)]} \sum_{k=1}^{[\beta(n)]} s_k,$$

where $[r]$ is the integer part of r .

Now we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.4. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistically convergent of order γ to l , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma} |\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |x_k - l| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0, \tag{4}$$

where s_k is a sequence of real numbers satisfying (2).

Remark 2.5. Taking $s_k = 1$ for all k , in (4), then $A_n = \alpha(n)$, $B_n = \beta(n)$, and Definition 2.4 reduces to Definition 1.3.

Recall that, for special choices of $\alpha(n)$ and $\beta(n)$, the $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence reduces to λ -statistical convergence and lacunary statistical convergence. If we use the same choices of $\alpha(n)$ and $\beta(n)$, in Definition 2.4, we get natural definitions of weighted λ -statistical convergence of order γ and weighted lacunary statistical convergence of order γ , satisfying the property that, taking $s_k = 1$ for all k , they gives λ -statistical convergence of order γ and lacunary statistical convergence of order γ .

3. $\alpha\beta$ -Equistatistical convergence of order γ for bivariate functions

The main objective of this section is to introduce and discuss $\alpha\beta$ -statistical pointwise, $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform and $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence for bivariate functions. We construct examples to show the differences among these definitions. Now, replacing $\delta(K)$ by $\delta^{\alpha,\beta}(K, \gamma)$, we can introduce following definitions for bivariate functions.

Definition 3.1. (f_n) is said to be $\alpha\beta$ -statistically pointwise convergent to f of order γ on $X^2 = X \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $(x, y) \in X^2$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : |f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(\beta(n) - \alpha(n) + 1)^\gamma} = 0,$$

then it is denoted by $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightarrow f$.

Definition 3.2. (f_n) is said to be $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistically convergent to f of order γ on $X^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence of real valued functions

$$p_{r,\varepsilon,\gamma}(x, y) := \frac{|\{k \in [\alpha(r), \beta(r)] : |f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(\beta(r) - \alpha(r) + 1)^\gamma}$$

converges uniformly to zero function on X^2 i.e $\|p_{r,\varepsilon,\gamma}(\cdot)\|_{C(X^2)} \rightarrow 0$, where $\|f\|_{C(X^2)} = \sup_{(x,y) \in X^2} |f(x, y)|$. Then it is denoted by $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \Rightarrow f$.

Definition 3.3. (f_n) is said to be $\alpha\beta$ -statistically uniform convergent to f of order γ on $X^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : \|f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)\|_{C(X^2)} \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(\beta(n) - \alpha(n) + 1)^\gamma} = 0.$$

Then it is denoted by $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \Rightarrow f$.

Remark 3.4. 1) In the case $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha\beta$ -statistical pointwise convergence of order γ , $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ and $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ are called $\alpha\beta$ -statistical pointwise convergence, $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence and $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence.

2) It is Obvious that, for any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$,

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightarrow f.$$

Example 3.5. Consider the sequence of continuous functions $h_n : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by

$$h_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} -4n^2(n+1)^2 \left(x - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(x - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) & , \text{ if } x \in \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and let $h(x, y) = 0$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$ we have,

$$p_{r,\varepsilon,\gamma}(x, y) = \frac{\left| \left\{ k \in [\alpha(r), \beta(r)] : |h_k(x, y) - h(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{(\beta(r) - \alpha(r) + 1)^\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{(\beta(r) - \alpha(r) + 1)^\gamma} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in (x, y) which gives that $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - h_n \rightarrow h$. But $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - h_n \rightrightarrows h$ does not hold since

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)} |h_n(x, y)| = 1 \text{ for all } n.$$

Example 3.6. Consider the sequence of functions $f_n : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$f_n(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)^n \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^n. \quad (6)$$

Since $f(x, y) = 0$ is the pointwise limit of the sequence $f_n(x, y)$ in the ordinary sense it is obvious that $f_n \rightarrow f(\alpha\beta\text{-stat})$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$. On the other hand choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, then for all $k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)]$ and $(x, y) \in \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n)\sqrt{2}-1}, \infty\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n)\sqrt{2}-1}, \infty\right)$ we have,

$$f_k(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^k \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n)\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n)\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n)\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\beta(n)} \left(\frac{1}{\beta(n)\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\beta(n)} = \frac{1}{4},$$

which implies that $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightarrow f$ does not hold for any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

In the following example, we also show that $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence does not imply statistical uniform convergence or ordinary uniform convergence for functions of two variables.

Example 3.7. Let $g_k : D = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, be such that

$$g_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \in [2^{2n-1}, 2^{2n} - 1] \text{ for some } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all (x, y) and let $\alpha(n) = 2^{2n-1}$ and $\beta(n) = 2^{2n} - 1$. Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \left\{ k \in [2^{2r-1}, 2^{2r} - 1] : \|g_k(x, y) - g(x, y)\|_{C(D)} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{(2^{2r}-1)} = 0$$

where $g(x, y) = 0$ for all (x, y) . Therefore $st_{\alpha\beta} - g_n \rightrightarrows g$. But since $\delta(\{1 \leq k \leq n : \|g_k(x, y) - g(x, y)\|_{C(D)} \geq \varepsilon\})$ does not exist, g_k is not uniformly convergent to g in the statistical and ordinary sense.

4. Weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ

Recently, weighted statistical pointwise, weighted statistical uniform and weighted equistatistical convergence are introduced and studied in [1] for functions of one variable, by using the modified form of weighted statistical convergence given in [19]. A Korovkin type approximation theorem, via weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ on compact subset of \mathbb{R} , using Definition 2.2, is considered in [25].

In this section we extend Definition 2.4, to functions of two variables and we introduce and discuss, the weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical pointwise convergence of order γ , the weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ and the weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ , for sequences of real valued functions of two variables. Since Definition 2.4, is the natural extension of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ , following definitions includes λ -statistical and lacunary statistical versions.

Definition 4.1. (f_n) is said to be weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistically pointwise convergent of order γ to f on $X \times X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $(x, y) \in X^2$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \left\{ k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma} = 0,$$

then it is denoted by $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightarrow f$

Definition 4.2. (f_n) is said to be weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistically convergent of order γ to f on $X^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence of real valued functions

$$p_{r,\varepsilon,\gamma}(x, y) := \frac{\left| \left\{ k \in [A_r, B_r] : s_k |f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{(B_r - A_r + 1)^\gamma}$$

converges uniformly to zero function on X^2 i.e $\|p_{r,\varepsilon,\gamma}(\cdot)\|_{C(X^2)} \rightarrow 0$, where $\|f\|_{C(X^2)} = \sup_{(x,y) \in X^2} |f(x, y)|$ Then it is denoted by $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightarrow f$.

Definition 4.3. (f_n) is said to be weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistically uniform convergent of order γ to f on $X^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \left\{ k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k \|f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)\|_{C(X^2)} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma} = 0.$$

Then it is denoted by $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightrightarrows f$.

Lemma 4.4. For any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightrightarrows f \Rightarrow w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \rightarrow f$.

Example 4.5. Consider the sequence of continuous functions $h_n : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by

$$h_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} -4n^2(n+1)^2 \left(x - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(x - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) & , \text{ if } x \in \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right] \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

and let $h(x, y) = 0$, $s_k = k$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$, such that $\alpha(n) = 1$ and $\beta(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all n . Then, $A_n = 1$ and $B_n = \frac{\beta(n)(\beta(n)+1)}{2}$. For a given $\varepsilon > 0$, and for any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ we have,

$$p_{r,\varepsilon,\gamma}(x, y) = \frac{\left| \left\{ k \in [1, B(r)] : s_k |h_k(x, y) - h(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon \right\} \right|}{B_r^\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{B_r^\gamma} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in (x, y) which gives that $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - h_n \rightarrow h$. But $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - h_n \rightrightarrows h$ does not hold since $\sup_{(x,y) \in [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty)} |h_n(x, y)| = 1$ for all n .

Example 4.6. Consider the sequence of functions $f_n : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$,

$$f_n(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)^n \left(\frac{y}{y+1}\right)^n, \tag{8}$$

and let $s_k = 2k$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$, such that $\alpha(n) = 1$ and $\beta(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all n . Then for all n , we have $A_n = 1$ and $B_n = \beta(n)(\beta(n) + 1)$. On the other hand since $f(x, y) = 0$ is the pointwise limit of the sequence $f_n(x, y)$ in the ordinary sense it is obvious that $w - f_n \rightarrow f$ ($\alpha\beta$ -stat). Now choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, then for all $k \in [1, B_n]$ and $(x, y) \in \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-1}, \infty\right) \times \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}-1}, \infty\right)$ we have,

$$f_k(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^k \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \geq \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{B_n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{B_n} = \frac{1}{4},$$

which implies that $w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - f_n \not\Rightarrow f$ does not hold for any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$.

5. Korovkin Type Approximation Theorems

Korovkin type approximation theory was initiated by P.P. Korovkin in [30] and used by many researchers. Later, Korovkin type approximation theorems by means of statistical convergence, A -statistical convergence, statistical C_1 summability, equistatistical convergence, $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence etc. are considered in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [12], [13], [14], [16], [20], [21], [22], [23], [25],[27],[27], [28], [29], [31], [32], [36], [37], [38] and [39]. Recently, a Korovkin type approximation theorem is considered via weighted equistatistical convergence in [1]. The main purpose of this section is to prove different Korovkin type approximation theorems in the sense of $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ , weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ , $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ and weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ , for bivariate functions on the set $D = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$.

Let $C_B(D)$ be the space of all continuous and bounded functions on D , which is equipped with the usual norm

$$\|f\|_{C_B(D)} = \sup_{(x,y) \in D} |f(x, y)|,$$

for $f \in C_B(D)$. Throughout the paper, we consider the space H_{ω_2} of real-valued functions, defined on D and satisfying

$$|f(u, v) - f(x, y)| \leq \omega_2(f; |\frac{u}{1+u} - \frac{x}{1+x}|, |\frac{v}{1+v} - \frac{y}{1+y}|).$$

where ω_2 is a non-negative function on $D = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, which is increasing for both variables and satisfying;

- i) $\omega_2(f; \delta_1 + \delta_2, \delta) \leq \omega_2(f; \delta_1, \delta) + \omega_2(f; \delta_2, \delta)$.
- ii) $\omega_2(f; \delta, \delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq \omega_2(f; \delta, \delta_1) + \omega_2(f; \delta, \delta_2)$.
- iii) $\lim_{\delta_1 \rightarrow 0, \delta_2 \rightarrow 0} \omega_2(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = 0$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $L_n : H_{\omega_2} \rightarrow C_B(D)$ be a sequence of positive linear operators, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$. Then for all $f \in H_{\omega_2}$

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(f; x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y) \tag{9}$$

if and only if

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(\varphi_i; x, y) \rightarrow \varphi_i(x, y) \tag{10}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ where $\varphi_0(u, v) = 1$, $\varphi_1(u, v) = \frac{u}{1+u}$, $\varphi_2(u, v) = \frac{v}{1+v}$, $\varphi_3(u, v) = \varphi_1^2(u, v) + \varphi_2^2(u, v)$.

Proof. Suppose that (10) holds, $f \in H_{\omega_2}$ is an arbitrary element and $(x, y) \in D$ is arbitrary but a fixed point. By the assumption, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists δ_1, δ_2 such that $|f(u, v) - f(x, y)| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $(u, v) \in D$ satisfying $|\frac{u}{1+u} - \frac{x}{1+x}| < \delta_1$ and $|\frac{v}{1+v} - \frac{y}{1+y}| < \delta_2$.

Let

$$D_{\delta_1, \delta_2} = \{(u, v) \in D : |\frac{u}{1+u} - \frac{x}{1+x}| < \delta_1 \text{ and } |\frac{v}{1+v} - \frac{y}{1+y}| < \delta_2\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u, v) - f(x, y)| &= |f(u, v) - f(x, y)|\chi_{D_{\delta_1, \delta_2}}(u, v) + |f(u, v) - f(x, y)|\chi_{D \setminus D_{\delta_1, \delta_2}}(u, v) \\ &< \varepsilon + 2M\chi_{D \setminus D_{\delta_1, \delta_2}}(u, v), \end{aligned}$$

where χ_D denotes the characteristic function of the set D and $M = \|f\|_{C_B(K)}$. On the other hand,

$$\chi_{D \setminus D_{\delta_1, \delta_2}}(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{\delta_1^2} \left(\frac{u}{1+u} - \frac{x}{1+x}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_2^2} \left(\frac{v}{1+v} - \frac{y}{1+y}\right)^2.$$

Now take $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ in the last two inequalities we have,

$$|f(u, v) - f(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{u}{1+u} - \frac{x}{1+x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{v}{1+v} - \frac{y}{1+y} \right)^2 \right\}. \tag{11}$$

By linearity and positivity of the operators L_n we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq L_n(|f(u, v) - f(x, y)|;) \\ &+ |f(x, y)| L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) - \varphi_0(x, y) \\ &\leq \varepsilon L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} L_n\left(\left(\frac{u}{1+u} - \frac{x}{1+x}\right)^2; x, y\right) \\ &+ L_n\left(\left(\frac{v}{1+v} - \frac{y}{1+y}\right)^2; x, y\right) + M|L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) - \varphi_0(x, y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the boundedness of f and (11) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + M)|L_n(\varphi_0(x, y))| \\ &+ \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \left\{ L_n(\varphi_3; x, y) - \frac{2x}{1+x} L_n(\varphi_1; x, y) \right. \\ &- \frac{2y}{1+y} L_n(\varphi_2; x, y) \\ &+ \left. \left(\left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{1+y} \right)^2 \right) L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) \right\} \\ &= \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + M)|L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) - \varphi_0(x, y)| \\ &+ \frac{2M}{\delta^2} (L_n(\varphi_3; x, y) - \varphi_3(x, y)) \\ &- \frac{4M}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right) (L_n(\varphi_1; x, y) - \varphi_1(x, y)) \\ &- \frac{4M}{\delta^2} \left(\frac{y}{1+y} \right) (L_n(\varphi_2; x, y) - \varphi_2(x, y)) \\ &+ \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \left(\left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{1+y} \right)^2 \right) (L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) - \varphi_0(x, y)) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + (\varepsilon + M + \frac{4M}{\delta^2}) |L_n(\varphi_0; x, y) - \varphi_0(x, y)| \\ &+ \frac{4M}{\delta^2} \{ |L_n(\varphi_1; x, y) - \varphi_1(x, y)| + |L_n(\varphi_2; x, y) - \varphi_2(x, y)| \} \\ &+ \frac{2M}{\delta^2} |L_n(\varphi_3; x, y) - \varphi_3(x, y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B = \varepsilon + M + \frac{4M}{\delta^2}$, then we have

$$|L_n(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon + B \sum_{i=0}^3 |L_n(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)|. \tag{12}$$

Now for a given s , choose $0 < \varepsilon < s$ and define the following sets :

$$\begin{aligned} U_s(x, y) &= \{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : |L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq s\} \\ U_s^i(x, y) &= \{k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : |L_k(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)| \geq \frac{s - \varepsilon}{4B}\} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. It is obvious that

$$U_s(x, y) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^3 U_s^i(x, y). \tag{13}$$

Also define the following real valued functions:

$$p_{m,s,\gamma}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\beta(m) - \alpha(m) + 1)^\gamma} \{ |k \in [\alpha(m), \beta(m)] : |L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \frac{s - \varepsilon}{4B} \}$$

and

$$p_{m,s,\gamma}^i(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\beta(m) - \alpha(m) + 1)^\gamma} \{ |k \in [\alpha(m), \beta(m)] : |L_k(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)| \geq \frac{s - \varepsilon}{4B} \}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. Then as a consequence of (13) we have

$$p_{m,s,\gamma}(x, y) \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 p_{m,s,\gamma}^i(x, y) \tag{14}$$

for all $(x, y) \in D$. Taking supremum on both sides of (14) we get,

$$\|p_{m,s,\gamma}(\cdot)\|_{C_B(D)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \|p_{m,s,\gamma}^i(\cdot)\|_{C_B(D)}. \tag{15}$$

Applying limit to both sides of (15) as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using (10) we obtain (9) which completes the proof of (10) \Rightarrow (9). The implication (9) \Rightarrow (10) is obvious. \square

Theorem 5.2. Let $L_n : H_{w_2} \rightarrow C_B(D)$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$. Then for all $f \in H_{w_2}$

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(f; x, y) \Rightarrow f(x, y) \tag{16}$$

if and only if

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(\varphi_i; x, y) \Rightarrow \varphi_i(x, y) \tag{17}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ where $\varphi_0(u, v) = 1$, $\varphi_1(u, v) = \frac{u}{1+u}$, $\varphi_2(u, v) = \frac{v}{1+v}$, $\varphi_3(u, v) = \varphi_1(u, v)^2 + \varphi_2(u, v)^2$.

Proof. Using the same steps of the proof of Theorem 5.1 and taking supremum over $(x, y) \in D$ from (12) we get the following inequality;

$$\|L_n f - f\| \leq B(\|L_n \varphi_0 - f_0\| + \|L_n \varphi_1 - \varphi_1\| + \|L_n \varphi_2 - \varphi_2\| + \|L_n \varphi_3 - \varphi_3\|) + \varepsilon,$$

where $B = \varepsilon + M + \frac{4M}{\delta^2}$. Now for a given $t > 0$, choose $0 < \varepsilon < t$ and define the following sets:

$$V^{\alpha,\beta} : = \left\{ k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : \|L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)\|_{C_B(D)} \geq t \right\}$$

$$V_i^{\alpha,\beta} : = \left\{ k \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)] : \|L_k(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)\|_{C_B(D)} \geq \frac{t - \varepsilon}{4B} \right\} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

Then, we have

$$V^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^3 V_i^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

This implies that,

$$\delta^{\alpha,\beta}(V^{\alpha,\beta}, \gamma) \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \delta^{\alpha,\beta}(V_i^{\alpha,\beta}, \gamma) \tag{18}$$

using (17), completes the proof. The implication (16) \Rightarrow (17) is obvious. \square

Now, consider the following Bleiman, Butzer and Hahn operators [9]

$$B_n(f, x, y) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^n(1+y)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n-k+1}, \frac{l}{n-l+1}\right) \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{l} x^k y^l,$$

where $D = [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, $f \in H_{w_2}$, $(x, y) \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By using $B_n(f, x, y)$ we can define following positive linear operators;

$$T_n(f; x, y) = (1 + h_n(x, y))B_n(f; x, y), \tag{19}$$

where $h_n(x, y)$ is the sequence of functions given in Example 3.5.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\varphi_0; x, y) &= 1 + h_n(x, y) \\ T_n(\varphi_1; x, y) &= \left(1 + h_n(x, y)\right) \left(\frac{n}{1+n}\right) \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right) \\ T_n(\varphi_2; x, y) &= \left(1 + h_n(x, y)\right) \left(\frac{n}{1+n}\right) \left(\frac{y}{1+y}\right) \\ T_n(\varphi_3; x, y) &= \left(1 + h_n(x, y)\right) \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+1)^2} \frac{x^2}{(1+x)^2} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \frac{x}{1+x} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+1)^2} \frac{y^2}{(1+y)^2} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2} \frac{y}{1+y} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

and since, $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - h_n \rightarrow 0$, T_n satisfies the conditions (10), hence by Theorem 5.1, we have

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - T_n(f; x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y). \tag{20}$$

Moreover, $st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - h_n \not\rightarrow 0$ and T_n does not satisfy conditions (17), therefore

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - T_n(f; x, y) \not\rightarrow f(x, y),$$

does not hold. In other words, $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ can not be replaced by $\alpha\beta$ -statistical uniform convergence of order γ , in (20).

Theorem 5.3. Let $L_n : H_{w_2} \rightarrow C_B(D)$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$ and let s_n be a sequence satisfying (2). Then for all $f \in H_{w_2}$

$$w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(f; x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y) \tag{21}$$

if and only if

$$w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(\varphi_i; x, y) \rightarrow \varphi_i(x, y) \tag{22}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ where $\varphi_0(u, v) = 1$, $\varphi_1(u, v) = \frac{u}{1+u}$, $\varphi_2(u, v) = \frac{v}{1+v}$, $\varphi_3(u, v) = \varphi_1(u, v)^2 + \varphi_2(u, v)^2$.

Proof. By equation (12) we have,

$$|L_n(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \varepsilon + B \sum_{i=0}^3 |L_n(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)|.$$

Now for a given s , choose $0 < \varepsilon < s$ and define the following sets :

$$H_s(x, y) = \{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq s\}$$

$$H_s^i(x, y) = \{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |L_k(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)| \geq \frac{s - \varepsilon}{4B}\}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. It is obvious that

$$H_s(x, y) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^3 H_s^i(x, y). \tag{23}$$

Now define the following real valued functions:

$$h_{m,s,\gamma}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma} |\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \frac{s - \varepsilon}{4B}\}|$$

and

$$h_{m,s,\gamma}^i(x, y) = \frac{1}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma} |\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |L_k(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)| \geq \frac{s - \varepsilon}{4B}\}|$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$. Then as a consequence of (23) we have

$$h_{m,s,\gamma}(x, y) \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 h_{m,s,\gamma}^i(x, y) \tag{24}$$

for all $(x, y) \in D$. Taking supremum on both sides of (24) we get,

$$\|h_{m,s,\gamma}(\cdot)\|_{C_B(D)} \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \|h_{m,s,\gamma}^i(\cdot)\|_{C_B(D)}. \tag{25}$$

Applying limit to both sides of (25) as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using (22) we obtain (21) which completes the proof of (22) \Rightarrow (21). The inverse implication (21) \Rightarrow (22) is obvious. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let $L_n : H_{w_2} \rightarrow C_B(D)$, $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$ and let s_n be a sequence satisfying (2). Then for all $f \in H_{w_2}$

$$w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(f; x, y) \rightrightarrows f(x, y) \tag{26}$$

if and only if

$$w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - L_n(\varphi_i; x, y) \rightrightarrows \varphi_i(x, y) \tag{27}$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ where $\varphi_0(u, v) = 1$, $\varphi_1(u, v) = \frac{u}{1+u}$, $\varphi_2(u, v) = \frac{v}{1+v}$, $\varphi_3(u, v) = \varphi_1(u, v)^2 + \varphi_2(u, v)^2$.

Proof. Taking supremum over $(x, y) \in D$ from (12) we get the following inequality;

$$\|L_n f - f\| \leq B(\|L_n \varphi_0 - f_0\| + \|L_n \varphi_1 - \varphi_1\| + \|L_n \varphi_2 - \varphi_2\| + \|L_n \varphi_3 - \varphi_3\|) + \varepsilon,$$

where $B = \varepsilon + M + \frac{4M}{\delta^2}$. Now for a given $t > 0$, choose $0 < \varepsilon < t$ and define the following sets:

$$G^{\alpha,\beta} : = \left\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k \|L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)\|_{C_B(D)} \geq t\right\}$$

$$G_i^{\alpha,\beta} : = \left\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k \|L_k(\varphi_i; x, y) - \varphi_i(x, y)\|_{C_B(D)} \geq \frac{t - \varepsilon}{4B}\right\} \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3$$

Then, we have

$$G^{\alpha,\beta} \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^3 G_i^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

This implies that,

$$\delta^{\alpha,\beta}(G^{\alpha,\beta}, \gamma) \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \delta^{\alpha,\beta}(G_i^{\alpha,\beta}, \gamma) \tag{28}$$

which completes the proof of (27) \Rightarrow (26). The inverse implication is obvious. \square

Let $T_n^*(f; x, y)$ be the positive linear operator,

$$T_n^*(f; x, y) = (1 + h_n(x, y))B_n(f; x, y), \tag{29}$$

where $h_n(x, y)$ is the sequence of functions considered in Example 4.5. If $s_n = n$, $\alpha(n) = 1$, $\beta(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ for all n , then for any $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, the sequence of positive linear operators T_n^* satisfies the conditions (22) (see Example 4.5) hence by Theorem 5.3, we have

$$w - st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - T_n^*(f; x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$$

But since T_n^* does not satisfy conditions (27)

$$st_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma - T_n^*(f; x, y) \not\rightarrow f(x, y).$$

6. Rates of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equi statistical convergence of order γ

In this section we study the rates of weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergence of order γ of a sequence L_n of positive linear operators defined on H_{w_2} by using the modulus of continuity.

Definition 6.1. Let a_n be a non-increasing sequence then f_n is called weighted $\alpha\beta$ -equistatistical convergent of order γ to function f with the rate of a_n and denoted by $w - (f_n - f) = o(a_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have,

$$\frac{|\{k \in [A_r, B_r] : s_k |f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{(B_r - A_r + 1)^\gamma a_r} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly, with respect to $(x, y) \in D$, where s_k is a sequence satisfying (2).

Lemma 6.2. Assume that f_n and g_n are two sequences in H_{w_2} such that $w - (f_n - f) = o(a_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat) and $w - (g_n - g) = o(b_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat) then,

- i) $w - ((f_n + g_n) - (f + g)) = o(c_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat).
 - ii) $w - ((f_n - f)(g_n - g)) = o(a_n b_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat).
 - iii) $w - (M(f_n - f)) = o(a_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat), for any scalar M .
 - iv) $w - (\sqrt{f_n} - f) = o(a_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat).
- where, $c_n = \max\{a_n, b_n\}$.

Proof. i) Assume that $w - (f_n - f) = o(a_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat) and $w - (g_n - g) = o(b_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equistat) on D . For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda$ consider the following sets,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n,\alpha,\beta}(x, y, \varepsilon) &= |\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |(f_k + g_k)(x, y) - (f + g)(x, y)| \geq \varepsilon\}|. \\ K_{n,\alpha,\beta}^1(x, y, \varepsilon) &= |\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |f_k(x, y) - f(x, y)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}|. \\ K_{n,\alpha,\beta}^2(x, y, \varepsilon) &= |\{k \in [A_n, B_n] : s_k |g_k(x, y) - g(x, y)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that,

$$\frac{K_{n,\alpha,\beta}(x, y, \varepsilon)}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma c_n} \leq \frac{K_{n,\alpha,\beta}^1(x, y, \varepsilon)}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma a_n} + \frac{K_{n,\alpha,\beta}^2(x, y, \varepsilon)}{(B_n - A_n + 1)^\gamma b_n}. \tag{30}$$

If we apply limit to both sides of (30) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the hypotheses of Lemma 6.2, we complete the proof for section i). Since (ii)-(iv) can be proved in a same way we omit them. \square

Theorem 6.3. Let $L_n : H_{w_2} \rightarrow C(D)$ be a sequence of positive linear operators. Assume that the following conditions hold:

i) $L_n(f_0; x, y) - f_0 = o(a_n, \gamma)$ (w - $st_{\alpha\beta}$ -equiostat)

ii) $\omega(f, \delta_n) = o(b_n, \gamma)$ ($\alpha\beta$ -equiostat) where $\delta_n = \sqrt{L_n(\phi^2; x, y)}$ with $(\phi^2; x, y) = (u - x)^2 + (v - y)^2$.

Then, for all $f \in H_{w_2}$ we have,

$$L_n(f; x, y) - f = o(c_n, \gamma) \text{ (}\alpha\beta\text{-equiostat)}$$

where $c_n = \max\{a_n, b_n\}$.

Proof. Let f be any element of H_{w_2} and let (x, y) be a fixed point of D then it is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \|f(x, y)\|_{H_{w_2}} |L_n(f_0; x, y) - f_0(x, y)| + 2\omega(f, \delta_n) |L_n(f_0; x, y) - f_0(x, y)| \\ &\quad + \omega(f, \delta_n) \sqrt{|L_n(f_0; x, y) - f_0(x, y)|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis, and Lemma 6.2, in the above inequality, completes the proof. \square

7. Concluding Remarks

It should be mentioned that, Definition 2.4 is an extension of $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence of order γ . Therefore, for special choices of $\alpha(n)$ and $\beta(n)$ (see [2]), results obtained in this paper can be restated in the sense of weighted λ -statistical convergence of order γ and weighted lacunary statistical convergence of order γ .

References

- [1] S. Akdağ, Weighted Equi-Statistical Convergence of the Korovkin type approximation theorems, Results in Math., 72 (2017) 1073–1085.
- [2] H. Aktuğlu, Korovkin type approximation theorems proved via $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 259 (2014) 174–181
- [3] H. Aktuğlu, M. A. Özarslan, H. Gezer, A-Equiostatistical Convergence of Positive Linear Operators, Journal of Computational analysis and Applications 12 (2010) 24–36.
- [4] H. Aktuğlu, H. Gezer, Lacunary equiostatistical convergence of positive linear operators ,Cent. Eur. J. Math. 7(3) (2009) 558–567.
- [5] F. Altomere, M. Campiti, Korovkin type approximation theory and its applications, de Gruyter Stud. Math. (Berlin: de Gruyter) (1994) vol. 17.
- [6] G. A. Anastassiou and M.A. Khan, Korovkin type statistical approximation theorem for a function of two variables, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications (2017) 21176–1184.
- [7] G. A. Anastassiou, M. Mursaleen, S. A. Mohiuddine, Some approximation theorems for functions of two variables through almost convergence of double sequences, J. of Computational Analysis and Applications, 13, n,1 (2011) 37–46 .
- [8] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequence of fuctions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007) 715–729.
- [9] G. Bleiman, P. L. Butzer, L. Hahn, A Bernstein type operator approximating continuous functions on semiaxis, Indag. Mayh., 42 (1980) 255–262.
- [10] R. Çolak, Statistical Convergence of Order α , Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications. Anamaya Pub.,NewDelhi(2010) 121–129.
- [11] M. Çinar, M. Karakas, M. Et, On pointwise and uniform statistical convergence of order α for sequences of functions, Fixed Point Theory Appl, (2013), 2013: 33 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-33>
- [12] K. Demirci, S. Karakus, Korovkin-type approximation theorem for double sequences of positive linear operators via statistical A -summabilty, Results in Mathematics, 63, n.1-2 (2013) 1–13.
- [13] F. Dirik, K. Demirci, Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables in statistical sense ,Turk J Math 34 (2010) 73–83.
- [14] F. Dirik, K. Demirci, A korovkin type approximation theorem for double seqenees of positive linear operators of two variables in A -statistical sense, Bull. of the Korean Math. Soc., 47 n.4 (2010) 825–837.
- [15] O. Duman, C. Orhan, μ -statistically convergent function sequences, Czechoslovak Math. J. 54 (2004) 413–422.
- [16] E. Erkuş, O. Duman, A -statistical extension of the Korovkin type approximation theorem, Proc. Indian Acad Sci. (Math. Sci.) Vol. 115, no. 4 (2005) 499–508.
- [17] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951) 241–244.
- [18] J. A. Fridy, and C. Orhan, Lacunary Statistical Convergence, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 160 (1993) 45–51.

- [19] S. Ghosal, Weighted statistical convergence of order α and its applications. *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*, 24 (2016) 60–67.
- [20] S. Ghosal, M Banerjee, and A.Ghosh, Weighted modulus S_θ -convergence of order α in probability, *Arab. J. Math. Sci.* 23 (2017) 242–257.
- [21] U. Kadak, Weighted statistical convergence based on generalized difference operator involving (p, q) -gamma function and its applications to approximation theorems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 48(2) (2017) 1633–1650.
- [22] U. Kadak, On relative weighted summability in modular function spaces and associated approximation theorems, *Positivity*, 21(4) (2017) 1593–1614.
- [23] U. Kadak, Braha, N. L. and Srivastava, H. M, Statistical weighted B-summability and its applications to approximation theorems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 302 (2017) 80–96.
- [24] V. Karakaya, and T.A. Chiristi, Weighted Statistical Convergence, *Iran. Journal Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* 33 (2009) 219–223.
- [25] V. Karakaya, and A. Karaisa, Korovkin type approximation theorems for weighted $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence, *Bull. Math. Sci.* 5 (2015) 159–169.
- [26] S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, O. Duman, Equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*. 339 (2008) 1065–1072.
- [27] S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, K., Equi-statistical convergence of the Korovkin Type Approximation Theorem, *Turk J Math* 33 (2009) 159–168.
- [28] S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, Equi-statistical σ -convergence of positive linear operators, *Computers and Mathematics with Appl.* 60 (2010) 2212–2218.
- [29] Y. Kaya and N. Gönül, A Generalization of Lacunary Equistatistical Convergence of Positive Linear Operators, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013
- [30] P.P. Korovkin, *Linear operators and the theory of approximation*, India, Delhi, 1960.
- [31] S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi, Statistical convergence and approximation theorems for functions of two variables, *J. of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 15 n.2 (2013) 218–223.
- [32] S. A. Mohiuddine, Statistical weighted A-summability with application to Korovkins type approximation theorem *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 1, (2016).
- [33] S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi and B. Hazarika: Weighted A-statistical convergence for sequences of positive linear operators, *The scientific World J.* 437863, 8, (2014).
- [34] M. Mursaleen, λ -statistical convergence, *Math. Slovaca*, 50 (2000) 111–115.
- [35] M. Mursaleen, V. Karakaya, M. Ertrk, and F. Grsoy.: Weighted statistical convergence and its applications to Korovkin type approximation theorem, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012) 9132–9137.
- [36] M. Mursaleen, S. A. Mohiuddine, Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables via statistical summability $(C, 1)$ *Acta Scientiarum. Technology*, 37 n.2 (2015) 237–243.
- [37] M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi, “Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables through statistical A-summability”, *Advances in Difference Equations* (2012).
- [38] M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi, Korovkin type approximation theorem for statistical A-summability of double sequences, *J. of Computational Analysis and Applications*, 15 n.6 (2013) 1036–1045.
- [39] H. Srivastava, M. Mursaleen, A. Khan, Generalized equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators and associated approximation theorems, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 55 (2012) 2040–2051.
- [40] H. Steinhaus, Surla convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, *Colloq.Math.*, 2 (1951) 73–74.