



Singular Value inequalities for Hilbert space Operators

Mahdi Taleb Alfakhr^a, Mohsen Erfanian Omidvar^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran.

Abstract. In this paper we show that if A_i, B_i, X_i are Hilbert space operators such that X_i is compact $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and f, g are non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, also h is non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$h\left(s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i A_i^* X_i^* B_i\right)\right) \leq s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(A_i^* f(|X_i|)^2 A_i) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(B_i^* g(|X_i|)^2 B_i)\right)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$.

Also, applications of some inequalities are given.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For a compact operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $s_1(X) \geq s_2(X) \geq \dots$ denote the singular values of X ; i.e., the eigenvalues of $|X| = (X^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (The absolute value of X), arranged in decreasing order are repeated according to multiplicity. Note that $s_j(X) = s_j(X^*) = s_j(|X|)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we utilize the direct sum notation $A \oplus B$ for the block-diagonal operator $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ defined on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. It has been shown in [8] that if X and Y are compact operators, then

$$s_j(X + Y) \leq 2s_j(X \oplus Y)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

The usual operator norm of an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is denote by $\|A\| = \sup\{\|Ax\| : \|x\| = 1\}$. As an immediate consequence of the min-max principle (see e.g., [2, p. 75]), if A, B , and X are in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that X is compact, then

$$s_j(AXB) \leq \|A\| \|B\| s_j(X) \tag{1}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Schatten p -norm of compact operator A is defined by $\|A\|_p = (\text{tr}|A|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, where tr is the usual trace functional. One can show that

$$\|A \oplus B\| = \max(\|A\|, \|B\|)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A30; Secondary 15A18, 47A63, 47TB10.

Keywords. singular value, unitarily Invariant norm, positive operator

Received: 21 June 2017; Accepted: 26 December 2017

Communicated by Fuad Kittaneh

Email addresses: mehdi.fakhr11@gmail.com (Mahdi Taleb Alfakhr), erfanian@mshdiau.ac.ir (Mohsen Erfanian Omidvar)

and

$$\|A \oplus B\|_p = (\|A\|_p^p + \|B\|_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In addition to the usual operator norm, which is defined on all of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we consider unitarily invariant norms $\|\cdot\|$. Each of these norms is defined on a norm ideal contained in the ideal of compact operators, and for the sake of brevity, we will make no explicit mention of this ideal. Thus, when we consider $\|X\|$, we are assuming that the operator X belongs to the norm ideal associated with $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover, each unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ is symmetric gauge function of the singular values, and is characterized by equality $\|X\| = \|UXV\|$ for all operator X and for all unitary operators U and $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For the general theory of unitarily invariant norms, we refer to [2] or [7]. It has been shown by Bhatia and Kittaneh in [4] that if A, B are compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$2\|A^*B\| \leq \|AA^* + BB^*\| \tag{2}$$

and

$$\|A^*B + B^*A\| \leq \|AA^* + BB^*\| \tag{3}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm.

The inequality (2) has attracted the attention of several mathematicians, and different proof of a stronger version of it have been given. See [3], [8], [9] and [11]. It has been shown by Kittaneh [10] the generalized form of the mixed Schwarz inequality, that if A is an operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and f and g are non-negative functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are continuous and satisfy the relation $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$|\langle Ax, y \rangle| \leq \|f(|A^*|)x\| \|g(|A|)y\|$$

for all x and y in \mathcal{H} .

In this paper, we generalize inequalities (2) and (3) and present a bound that involves operator A and B .

2. Main Results

In this section, we establish generalized singular value inequalities for Hilbert space operator. The following Lemmas are essential role in our analysis. The first one on the Mixed schwarz inequality has been proven by Kittaneh [10].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, such that A and B are positive, then $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ is a positive in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ if and only if $|\langle Cx, y \rangle|^2 \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle \langle By, y \rangle$ for all x, y in \mathcal{H} .

We prove the second one by Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. Let A, B and X be operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let f and g be non-negative continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ that satisfy the relation $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^* f(|X^*|)^2 A & A^* X^* B \\ B^* X A & B^* g(|X|)^2 B \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive.

Proof. For any x, y in \mathcal{H}

$$|\langle B^* X A x, y \rangle| = |\langle X A x, B y \rangle| \leq \langle f(|X^*|)^2 A x, A x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle g(|X|)^2 B y, B y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{bmatrix} A^* f(|X^*|)^2 A & A^* X^* B \\ B^* X A & B^* g(|X|)^2 B \end{bmatrix} \geq 0.$$

□

The third Lemma is Theorem 2.1 in [1].

Lemma 2.3. Let A, B and C be compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ is positive. Then $s_j(C) \leq s_j(A \oplus B)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Now we establish a general singular value inequality, from which singular value inequalities for products of operators follow as special cases.

Theorem 2.4. Let A_i, B_i and X_i be operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where X_i is compact operator $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and let f and g be non-negative functions on $[0, \infty)$, which are continuous and satisfy the relation $f(t)g(t) = t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, also let h be a non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then

$$h\left(s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i A_i^* X_i^* B_i\right)\right) \leq s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i)\right)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and positive real numbers ω_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$.

Proof. The matrix for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i & A_i^* X_i^* B_i \\ B_i^* X_i A_i & B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i \end{bmatrix} \geq 0 \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2}).$$

So, by Lemma 2.3 and some property of singular values, we have

$$s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* X_i^* B_i\right) \leq s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i\right). \tag{4}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ Now consider the non-negative increasing operator convex function h on $[0, \infty)$ and in the inequality (4), put $\sqrt{\omega_i} A_i, \sqrt{\omega_i} B_i$ instead of A_i, B_i respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i A_i^* X_i^* B_i\right)\right) &\leq h\left(s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i\right)\right) \\ &= h\left(s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i (A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i \oplus B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i)\right)\right) \\ &= s_j\left(h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i (A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i \oplus B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i)\right)\right) \\ &(\text{by elementary functional calculus}) \\ &\leq s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i \oplus B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i)\right) \\ &(h \text{ is operator convex}) \\ &= s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i h(B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i)\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ \square

Corollary 2.5. Let $A_i, B_i, X_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that X_i is compact for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$s_j^r\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i A_i^* X_i^* B_i\right) \leq s_j\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i (A_i^* f(|X_i|^2) A_i)^r \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i (B_i^* g(|X_i|^2) B_i)^r\right)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Let $h(x) = x^r$ ($1 \leq r \leq 2$) in Theorem 2.4, and we get the result. \square

A particular case of Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 can be seen as follows.

Corollary 2.6. Let $U_i, V_i, X_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that U_i, V_i are unitary and X_i is compact for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$s_j^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i U_i^* X_i^* V_i \right) \leq s_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i U_i^* f(|X_i^*|)^{2r} U_i \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i V_i^* g(|X_i|)^{2r} V_i \right)$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$ In particular,

$$s_j^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^* X_i^* V_i \right) \leq s_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^* |X_i^*|^r U_i \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n V_i^* |X_i|^r V_i \right)$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.4 by letting $h(x) = x^r$ ($1 \leq r \leq 2$), $A_i = U_i$ and $B_i = V_i$ unitary operators for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and using Lemma 1.6 in [6]. The particular case follows by letting $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\omega_i = \frac{1}{n}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. \square

As an application of the inequality (4), we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.7. Let $A_i, B_i, X_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that X_i is compact for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* X_i^* B_i \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* f(|X_i^*|)^2 A_i \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^* g(|X_i|)^2 B_i \right\| \right\| \tag{5}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm. In particular,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* X_i^* B_i \right\| \leq \max \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* f(|X_i^*|)^2 A_i \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^* g(|X_i|)^2 B_i \right\| \right)$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* X_i^* B_i \right\|_p \leq \left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* f(|X_i^*|)^2 A_i \right\|_p^p + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n B_i^* g(|X_i|)^2 B_i \right\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Remark 2.8. Let A and B be in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Putting $n = 2$, $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = B$, $B_1 = B$, $B_2 = A$ and $X_1^* = X_2^* = I$ in the inequality (5), we get

$$\| (A^*B + B^*A) \oplus 0 \| \leq \| (A^*A + B^*B) \oplus (A^*A + B^*B) \|$$

for every unitarily invariant norm, which was given by Hirzallah and Kittaneh in [8].

Corollary 2.9. Let $X_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be compact for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (|X_i^*| \oplus |X_i|) \right\|$$

for every unitarily invariant norm.

Proof. Put $A_i^* = A_i = B_i^* = B_i = I$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the inequality (5) and we get the result. \square

Corollary 2.10. Let $A_i, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that X is a compact positive operator. Then

$$\| \|A_1XA_2^* + A_2XA_3^* + \dots + A_nXA_1^*\| \| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \| \| (X^{\frac{1}{2}}|A_i|^2X^{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (X^{\frac{1}{2}}|A_i|^2X^{\frac{1}{2}}) \| \| \tag{6}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm.

Proof. Put $A_1^* = A_1$, $B_1 = A_2^*$, $A_2^* = A_2$, $B_2 = A_3^*$, ..., $A_n^* = A_n$, $B_n = A_1^*$, $X_i^* = X$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ in the inequality (5) and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \|A_1XA_2^* + A_2XA_3^* + \dots + A_nXA_1^*\| \| \\ & \leq \| \| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*XA_i \oplus \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*XA_i \| \| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \| \| \begin{bmatrix} A_i^* & 0 \\ 0 & A_i^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 \\ 0 & A_i \end{bmatrix} \| \| \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \| \| \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} A_i^* & 0 \\ 0 & A_i^* \end{bmatrix} \right)^* \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 \\ 0 & A_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \| \| \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \| \| \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} |A_i| & 0 \\ 0 & |A_i| \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \| \quad (\text{since } \|T^*T\| = \|TT^*\|) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^n \| \| (X^{\frac{1}{2}}|A_i|^2X^{\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus (X^{\frac{1}{2}}|A_i|^2X^{\frac{1}{2}}) \| \| \end{aligned}$$

for every unitarily invariant norm. \square

Remark 2.11. In $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ if taken $X_i = I$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ in (6), then

$$\| \|A_1A_2^* + A_2A_3^* + \dots + A_nA_1^*\| \|_p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \| \| |A_i|^2 \oplus |A_i|^2 \| \|_p = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{i=1}^n \| |A_i|^2 \|_p$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$, which is another version of the inequality in [5, Corollary 2.3].

Corollary 2.12. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$s_j(A^*A - B^*B) \leq s_j \left((|A|^2 + |B|^2) \oplus (|A|^2 + |B|^2) \right)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Put $n = 2$, $f(t) = g(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $A_1^* = (A + B)^*$, $B_1 = (A - B)$, $A_2^* = (A - B)^*$, $B_2 = (A + B)$, $X_1^* = X_2^* = I$, in the inequality (4), also $2(A^*A - B^*B) = (A + B)^*(A - B) + (A - B)^*(A + B)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2s_j(A^*A - B^*B) & = s_j \left((A + B)^*(A - B) + (A - B)^*(A + B) \right) \\ & \leq s_j \left(((A + B)^*(A + B) + (A - B)^*(A - B)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \oplus ((A - B)^*(A - B) + (A + B)^*(A + B)) \right) \\ & = s_j \left(2(A^*A + B^*B) \oplus 2(A^*A + B^*B) \right) \\ & = 2s_j \left((|A|^2 + |B|^2) \oplus (|A|^2 + |B|^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. This completes the proof. \square

Based on Theorem 2.4 and the inequality (1), we have the following singular value of the operator AX^*B .

Theorem 2.13. Let $A, B, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that X is compact, A and B are self-adjoint with $|A| \leq a$ and $|B| \leq b$ for some real numbers a and b . Then

$$s_j(AX^*B) \leq (\max\{a, b\})^2 s_j(X \oplus X) \quad (7)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$

Proof. Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} |A| & 0 \\ 0 & |B| \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that C is positive and $C \leq \max\{a, b\}$, therefore

$$\|C\| \leq \max\{a, b\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_j(AX^*B) &\leq s_j(A|X^*|A \oplus B|X|B) && \text{(by Theorem 2.4)} \\ &\leq \|C\|^2 s_j(X \oplus X) && \text{(by the inequality (1))} \\ &\leq (\max\{a, b\})^2 s_j(X \oplus X) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ \square

Corollary 2.14. Let $A, B, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that X and Y are compact and A, B are as in Theorem 2.13, then

$$s_j(AX^*B + AY^*B) \leq (\max\{a, b\})^2 s_j((X + Y) \oplus (X + Y)). \quad (8)$$

Proof. The inequality (8) follows from the inequality (7) by replacing the operator X by $X + Y$. \square

References

- [1] W. Audeh and F. Kittaneh, Singular value inequalities for compact operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 437 (2012) 2516-2522.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] R. Bhatia and C. Davis, More matrix forms of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 14 (1993) 132-136.
- [4] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, On the singular values of a product of operators, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 11 (1990) 272-277.
- [5] M. Erfanian Omidvar and M.S. Moslehian and A. Niknam, Unitarily invariant norm inequalities for operators, *Egyptian Math. Soc.* 20 (2012) 38-42.
- [6] T. Furuta, J. Mičić, J.E. Pečarić, and Y. Seo, *Mond-Pecaric Method in Operator Inequalities, Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [7] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear Nonselfadjoint operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1969).
- [8] O. Hirzallah and F. Kittaneh, Inequalities for sums and direct sums of Hilbert space operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 427 (2007) 71-82.
- [9] R.A. Horn, Norm bounds for Hadamard products and an arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for unitarily invariant norms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 223/224 (1995) 355-361.
- [10] F. Kittaneh, Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators, *Publ. Res. Ins. Math. Sci.* 24 (1988) 283-293.
- [11] R. Mathias, An arithmetic mean inequality involving Hadamard products, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 184 (1993) 71-78.