



L^1 -Convergence of Double Trigonometric Series

Karanvir Singh^a, Kanak Modi^b

^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics, GZS Campus College of Engineering and Technology, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab Technical University
 Bathinda, Punjab, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Amity University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India

Abstract. In this paper we study the pointwise convergence and convergence in L^1 -norm of double trigonometric series whose coefficients form a null sequence of bounded variation of order $(p, 0)$, $(0, p)$ and (p, p) with the weight $(jk)^{p-1}$ for some integer $p > 1$. The double trigonometric series in this paper represents double cosine series, double sine series and double cosine sine series. Our results extend the results of Young [9], Kolmogorov [4] in the sense of single trigonometric series to double trigonometric series and of Móricz [6, 7] in the sense of higher values of p .

1. Introduction

Consider the double trigonometric series

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y) \tag{1.1}$$

on positive quadrant $T = [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi]$ of the two dimensional torus.

The double trigonometric series (1.1) represents

(a) double cosine series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \lambda_k a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky$ where $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(b) double sine series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} \sin jx \sin ky$

(c) double cosine-sine series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_{jk} \cos jx \sin ky$ where $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

The rectangular partial sums $\psi_{mn}(x, y)$ and the Cesàro means $\sigma_{mn}(x, y)$ of the series (1.1) are defined as

$$\psi_{mn}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y),$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42A20, 42A32

Keywords. L^1 -convergence, Cesàro means, monotone sequences

Received: 18 May 2018; Revised: 31 August 2018; Accepted: 14 September 2018

Communicated by Ivana Djolović

Email addresses: karanvir@mrspu.ac.in (Karanvir Singh), kmodi@jpr.amity.edu (Kanak Modi)

$$\sigma_{mn}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \psi_{jk}(x, y) \quad (m, n > 0)$$

and for $\lambda > 1$, the truncated Cesàro means are defined by

$$V_{mn}^\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \sum_{j=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{k=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} \psi_{jk}(x, y).$$

Assuming the coefficients $\{a_{jk} : j, k \geq 0\}$ in (1.1) be a double sequence of real numbers which satisfy the following conditions which may be called as conditions of bounded variation for some positive integer p :

$$|a_{jk}|(jk)^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \max\{j, k\} \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}|(jk)^{p-1} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}|(jk)^{p-1} = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}|(jk)^{p-1} < \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

For some integers p and q , the finite order differences $\Delta_{pq} a_{jk}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{00} a_{jk} &= a_{jk}; \\ \Delta_{pq} a_{jk} &= \Delta_{p-1,q} a_{jk} - \Delta_{p-1,q} a_{j+1,k} \quad (p \geq 1, q \geq 0); \\ \Delta_{pq} a_{jk} &= \Delta_{p,q-1} a_{jk} - \Delta_{p,q-1} a_{j,k+1} \quad (p \geq 0, q \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Also a double induction argument gives

$$\Delta_{pq} a_{jk} = \sum_{s=0}^p \sum_{t=0}^q (-1)^{s+t} \binom{p}{s} \binom{q}{t} a_{j+s, k+t}.$$

The above mentioned (1.2)-(1.5) conditions generalise the concept of monotone sequences. Also any sequence satisfying (1.5) with $p = 2$ is called a quasi-convex sequence [4, 7]. Clearly the conditions (1.2) and (1.5) implies (1.3) and (1.4) for $p = 1$ and moreover for $p = 1$, the conditions (1.2) and (1.5) reduce to

$$|a_{jk}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \max\{j, k\} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{11} a_{jk}| < \infty.$$

Generally the pointwise convergence of the series (1.1) is defined in Pringsheim’s sense ([10], vol. 2, ch. 17). Let the sum of the series (1.1) be denoted by $f(x, y)$ (provided it exists).

Also let $\|f\|$ denotes the $L^1(T^2)$ -norm, i.e., $\|f\| = \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |f(x, y)| dx dy$

Many authors like Móricz [6, 7], Chen [2], K. Kaur et al. [3] and Krasniqi [5] studied integrability and L^1 -convergence of double trigonometric series under different classes of coefficients. In [7], Móricz studied both double cosine series and double sine series as far as their integrability and convergence in L^1 -norm is concerned where as in [6] he studied complex double trigonometric series under coefficients of bounded variation.

These authors mainly discussed the case for $p = 1$ or $p = 2$ and preferred the condition of bounded variation on coefficients. Our aim in this paper is to extend the above results from $p = 1$ or $p = 2$ to general cases for double trigonometric series of all types as mentioned above.

For convenience, we write $\lambda_n = [\lambda n]$ where n is a positive integer, $\lambda > 1$ is a real number and $[]$ means greatest integral part and in the results, C_p denote constants which may not be the same at each occurrence.

Our first main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that conditions (1.2) – (1.5) are satisfied for some integer $p \geq 1$, then
 (i) $\psi_{mn}(x, y)$ converges pointwise to $f(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in T^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$;
 (ii) $\|\psi_{mn}(x, y) - f(x, y)\| = o(1)$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

The results mentioned in above theorem has been proved by Móricz [6, 7] for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$ using suitable estimates for Dirichlet’s kernel $D_j(x)$ and Fejér kernel $K_j(x)$ where as in the case of a single series for $p = 2$, the results regarding convergence have been proved by Kolmogorov [4].

Obviously, condition (1.5) implies any of the following conditions:

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} = 0; \tag{1.6}$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

We introduce the following three sums for $m, n \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 1$:

$$S_{10}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y);$$

$$S_{01}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y);$$

$$S_{11}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y)$$

and we have

$$S_{11}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \sum_{u=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \left(S_{01}^\lambda(u, n; x, y) - S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) \right);$$

$$S_{11}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \sum_{v=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \left(S_{10}^\lambda(m, v; x, y) - S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) \right).$$

This implies

$$S_{11}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \sup_{m \leq u \leq \lambda_m} \left(|S_{01}^\lambda(u, n; x, y)| \right) \\ 2 \sup_{n \leq v \leq \lambda_n} \left(|S_{10}^\lambda(m, v; x, y)| \right) \end{array} \right\} \tag{1.8}$$

The second result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. Let $E \subset T^2$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)| \right) = 0; \tag{1.9}$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)| \right) = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

If $V_{mn}^\lambda(x, y)$ converges uniformly on E to $f(x, y)$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$, then so does ψ_{mn} .

We will also prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. Assume that the conditions (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.6)-(1.7) are satisfied for some integer $p \geq 1$, then (i) if $V_{mn}^\lambda(x, y)$ converges uniformly to $f(x, y)$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$ then ψ_{mn} will also converge uniformly to $f(x, y)$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$. (ii) If $\|V_{mn}^\lambda - f\| \rightarrow 0$ then $\|\psi_{mn} - f\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Notations and formulas

The Cesàro sums of order α of the sequence $\{\psi_j(t)\}$ for any real number α are denoted by $\psi_j^\alpha(t)$. Thus we have

$$\psi_j^\alpha(t) = \sum_{s=0}^j \psi_s^{\alpha-1}(t) \quad (\alpha \geq 1, j \geq 0) \tag{2.1}$$

In this paper $\psi_j^1(t)$ either represents $D_j(t)$ or $\tilde{D}_j(t)$ where $D_j(t)$ and $\tilde{D}_j(t)$ represents Dirichlet and conjugate Dirichlet Kernels respectively. Also from [8], we have following estimates

$$(i) |\psi_j^\alpha(x)| = O((j + 1)^\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \geq 1, -\pi \leq x \leq \pi. \tag{2.2}$$

$$(ii) \psi_j^p(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x^p}\right) \text{ for all } p \geq 2, (0 < x \leq \pi) \tag{2.3}$$

3. Lemmas

We require the following lemmas for the proof of our results:

Lemma 3.1. For $m, n \geq 0$ and $p > 1$, the following representation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{mn}(x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. [2] For $m, n \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, the following representation holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{mn} - \sigma_{mn} &= \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} + \sigma_{mn}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{mn}) + \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{mn}) \\ &\quad - S_{11}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) - S_{10}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) - S_{01}^\lambda(m, n, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. For $m, n \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, we have the following representation:

$$V_{mn}^\lambda - \psi_{mn} = S_{11}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) + S_{10}^\lambda(m, n, x, y) + S_{01}^\lambda(m, n, x, y).$$

Proof. We have

$$V_{mn}^\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)(\lambda_n - n)} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \psi_{jk}(x, y)$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \psi_{jk}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_m} \psi_{jk}(x, y) - \sum_{j=0}^m \psi_{jk}(x, y) \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_m} \psi_{jk}(x, y) \right] - \frac{m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \left[\frac{1}{m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^m \psi_{jk}(x, y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} V_{mn}^\lambda(x, y) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \left[\frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \psi_{jk}(x, y) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \left[\frac{\lambda_m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \frac{1}{\lambda_m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_m} \psi_{jk}(x, y) - \frac{m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \frac{1}{m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^m \psi_{jk}(x, y) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \frac{1}{\lambda_m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \psi_{jk}(x, y) - \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \frac{m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \frac{1}{m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \psi_{jk}(x, y) \\ &= S11 + S22 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } S11 &= \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \frac{1}{\lambda_m + 1} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_n} \psi_{jk}(x, y) - \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \psi_{jk}(x, y) \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$S22 = \frac{m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} - \frac{m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{mn}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{mn}^\lambda &= \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \frac{m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} + \frac{m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{mn} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} + \sigma_{mn}) + \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{mn}) + \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{mn}) + \sigma_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

(by rearrangement of terms)

The use of Lemma 3.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} V_{mn}^\lambda - \psi_{mn} &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y) + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. For $m, n \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, we have the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{sp} a_{j+1,k} \psi_j^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{j+1,n+1} \psi_j^s(x) \psi_n^t(y) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have by summation by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \psi_k(y) \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \psi_k(y) \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \Delta_{p0} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) + \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{s0} a_{j+1,k} \psi_j^s(x) - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{s0} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{p0} a_{jk} \psi_k(y) \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{s0} a_{j+1,k} \psi_k(y) \right) \psi_j^s(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{s0} a_{m+1,k} \psi_k(y) \right) \psi_m^s(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_n^t(y) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{sp} a_{j+1,k} \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{j+1,n+1} \psi_n^t(y) \right) \psi_j^s(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_n^t(y) \right) \psi_m^s(x) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can have representation for $S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)$. \square

4. Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $m, n \geq 0$ and $p > 1$, we have from Lemma 3.1

$$\psi_{mn}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y) = \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3 + \sum_4.$$

Using (2.3), that is, $\psi_j^p(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x^p}\right)$ for all $p \geq 2$, ($0 < x \leq \pi$) etc, we have for ($0 < x, y \leq \pi$),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y)| < \infty \quad (\text{by (1.2)})$$

and also by (1.3) - (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| \leq \sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| \rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \binom{s}{u} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}| \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| \leq \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| \rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\Delta_{00} a_{m+u+1,n+v+1}| \\ &\leq \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Consequently series (1.1) converges to the function $f(x, y)$ where

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{mn}(x, y) = f(x, y).$$

Now we will calculate $\|\Sigma_1\|$, $\|\Sigma_2\|$, $\|\Sigma_3\|$ and $\|\Sigma_4\|$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma_1\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |\psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y)| dx dy \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi dx dy \quad (\text{by(2.2)}) \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma_2\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \left(\sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| \right) \int_{-\pi}^\pi \int_{-\pi}^\pi |\psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y)| dx dy \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} n^t \right) \quad (\text{by(2.2)}) \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma_3\| &= \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \binom{s}{u} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}| \right)_m^s k^{p-1} \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| k^{p-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} m^s \right) \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma_4\| &= \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\Delta_{00} a_{m+u+1,n+v+1}| m^s n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let R_{mn} consists of all (j, k) with $j > m$ or $k > n$, that is,

$$\sum \sum_{(j,k) \in R_{mn}} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \psi_{mn}\| &= \left(\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |f(x, y) - \psi_{mn}(x, y)| dx dy \right) \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{(j,k) \in R_{mn}} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y) \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y) \right\| \\ &\leq C_p \left\{ \left(\sum_{(j,k) \in R_{mn}} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) + \left(\sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=0}^n |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) + \left(\sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \right\} \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(As discussed above)} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty \text{ (by (1.2) to (1.5))} \end{aligned}$$

which proves (ii) part.

Proof of Theorem 1.2

Using the relation (1.8), we find that (1.9) or (1.10) implies

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |S_{11}^{\lambda}(m, n; x, y)| \right) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Assume that $V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x, y)$ converges uniformly on E to $f(x, y)$. Then by Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} \left| \psi_{mn}(x, y) - V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x, y) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |S_{10}^{\lambda}(m, n; x, y)| \right) \\ &+ \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |S_{01}^{\lambda}(m, n; x, y)| \right) \\ &+ \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |S_{11}^{\lambda}(m, n; x, y)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

After taking $\lambda \downarrow 1$ the result follows from (1.9), (1.10) and (4.1).

Proof of Theorem 1.3

Using the Lemma 3.4, we can write the expression for $S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \psi_j(x) \psi_k(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^t(y) + \frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,k+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^t(y) \\ &\quad - \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_n^t(y) - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_n^t(y) \\ &= \sum_{11} + \sum_{12} + \sum_{13} + \sum_{14} + \sum_{15} + \sum_{16}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by using (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.6) along with estimates of $\psi_j^{p-1}(x)$ etc., as mentioned in [8], we have the following estimates :

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{11}| &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |\sum_{11}| \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{12}| &= \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \binom{s}{u} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}| m^s k^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |\sum_{12}| \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{13}| &\leq \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+1}| j^{p-1} k^t \\ &\leq \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+v+1}| j^{p-1} k^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n + p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty.$$

which implies $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |\Sigma_{13}| \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly we estimate others in brief

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_{14}| &\leq \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} |\Delta_{st} a_{m+1, k+1}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |\Sigma_{14}| \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_{15}| &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j, n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \leq \sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |\Sigma_{15}| \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_{16}| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\Delta_{00} a_{m+u+1, n+v+1}| m^s n^t \\ &\leq \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |\Sigma_{16}| \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus combining all these, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)| \right) = 0.$$

Similarly (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.7) results in

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{(x, y) \in E} |S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)| \right) = 0;$$

Thus first part of theorem follows from Theorem 4.2

Proof of (ii) We have

$$\|\psi_{mn} - f\| \leq \|\psi_{mn} - V_{mn}^\lambda\| + \|V_{mn}^\lambda - f\|.$$

By assumption $\|V_{mn}^\lambda - f\| \rightarrow 0$, so it is sufficient to show that

$$\|\psi_{mn} - V_{mn}^\lambda\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\|\psi_{mn} - V_{mn}^\lambda\| \leq \|S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\| + \|S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\| + \|S_{11}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\|.$$

Now in order to estimate $\|S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\|$, we first find $\|\Sigma_{11}\|, \|\Sigma_{12}\|,$

$\|\Sigma_{13}\|, \|\Sigma_{14}\|, \|\Sigma_{15}\|$ and $\|\Sigma_{16}\|$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Sigma_{11}\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} \psi_j^{p-1}(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi dx dy \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \\ \|\Sigma_{12}\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \psi_m^s(x) \psi_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \binom{s}{u} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}| k^{p-1} m^s \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| k^{p-1} \right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} m^s \right) \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \\ \|\Sigma_{13}\| &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+1}| j^{p-1} k^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+v+1}| j^{p-1} k^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \\ \|\Sigma_{14}\| &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} |\Delta_{st} a_{m+1,k+1}| m^s k^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \\ \|\Sigma_{15}\| &\leq C_p \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \\ \|\sum_{16}\| &\leq C_p \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\Delta_{00} a_{m+u+1, n+v+1}| m^s n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\| &\leq C_p \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} + C_p \left(\sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \\ &\quad + C_p \left(\sup_{n < k \leq \lambda_n + p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) + C_p \left(\sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \\ &\quad + C_p \left(\sup_{n < k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) + C_p \left(\sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.6), we conclude that

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} (\|S_{01}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\|) = 0.$$

Similarly by conditions (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.7), we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} (\|S_{10}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\|) = 0.$$

Also by (1.8), we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim}_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} (\|S_{11}^\lambda(m, n; x, y)\|) = 0.$$

Thus $\|\psi_{mn} - V_{mn}^\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\min(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

References

- [1] N.K. Bary : A treatise on trigonometric series, Vol.II, Pergamon Press, London 1964.
- [2] C.P. Chen and Y.W. Chauang : L^1 -convergence of double Fourier series, Chinese Journal of Math. 19 (4) (1991), 391-410.
- [3] K. Kaur , S. S. Bhatia and B. Ram : L^1 -Convergence of complex double trigonometric series, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Vol. 113, No. 3 (Nov.2003), 01-09.
- [4] A. N. Kolmogorov : Sur l'ordre de grandeur des coefficients de la série de Fourier-Lebesgue, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. (1923), 83-86.
- [5] Xh. Z. Krasniqi : Integrability of double cosine trigonometric series with coefficients of bounded variation of second order, Commentationes Mathematicae, 51 (2011), 125-139.
- [6] F. Móricz : Convergence and integrability of double trigonometric series with coefficients of bounded variation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 633-640.
- [7] F. Móricz : On the integrability and L^1 -convergence of double trigonometric series, Studia Math. (1991), 203-225.
- [8] T. M. Vukolova : Certain properties of trigonometric series with monotone coefficients (English, Russian original), Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull., 39(6)(1984), 24-30; translation from Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser.I, (1984), No.6, 18-23.
- [9] W. H. Young : On the Fourier series of bounded functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 12 (2) (1913), 41-70.
- [10] A. Zygmund : Trigonometric series, Vols. I,II, Cambridge University Press (1959).