



## $S$ -paracompactness and $S_2$ -paracompactness

Ohud Alghamdi<sup>a</sup>, Lutfi Kalantan<sup>b</sup>, Wafa Alagal<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>King Abdulaziz University, Department of Mathematics, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia. Albaha University, Department of Mathematics.

<sup>b</sup>King Abdulaziz University, Department of Mathematics, P.O.Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

<sup>c</sup>Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, University of Jeddah, P.O.Box 80327, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

**Abstract.** A topological space  $X$  is an  $S$ -paracompact if there exists a bijective function  $f$  from  $X$  onto a paracompact space  $Y$  such that for every separable subspace  $A$  of  $X$  the restriction map  $f|_A$  from  $A$  onto  $f(A)$  is a homeomorphism. Moreover, if  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $X$  is called  $S_2$ -paracompact. We investigate these two properties.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we introduce two new properties in topological spaces which are  $S$ -paracompactness and  $S_2$ -paracompactness and our purpose is to investigate these properties. It is useful to introduce the following notations. The order pair will be denoted by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . The sets of positive numbers, rational numbers, irrational numbers and real numbers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  respectively. The closure and the interior of the subset  $A$  of  $X$  will be denoted respectively by  $\bar{A}$  and  $\text{int}(A)$ . Throughout this paper, a  $T_1$  normal space is called  $T_4$  and a  $T_1$  completely regular space is called Tychonoff space ( $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ ). In the definitions of compactness, countable compactness, paracompactness, and local compactness we do not assume  $T_2$ . Moreover, in the definitions of Lindelöfness we do not assume regularity. Also, the ordinal  $\gamma$  is the set of all ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha < \gamma$ . We denote the first infinite ordinal by  $\omega$ , the first uncountable ordinal by  $\omega_1$ , and the successor cardinal of  $\omega_1$  by  $\omega_2$ .

The following definition of the notions of  $C$ -paracompactness and  $C_2$ -paracompactness were introduced by A. V. Arhangel'skiĭ (see [8]).

**Definition 1.1.** A topological space  $X$  is  $C$ -paracompact if there exists a bijective function  $f$  from  $X$  onto a paracompact space  $Y$  such that for every compact subspace  $A$  of  $X$  the restriction map  $f|_A$  from  $A$  onto  $f(A)$  is a homeomorphism. Furthermore, if  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $X$  is called  $C_2$ -paracompact.

### 2. $S$ -paracompactness and $S_2$ -paracompactness

We introduce the notions of  $S$ -paracompactness and  $S_2$ -paracompactness inspired by Definition 1.1 as the following.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C10; Secondary 54D20

Keywords. separable;  $S$ -paracompact;  $S_2$ -paracompact; paracompact;  $L$ -paracompact;  $L_2$ -paracompact;  $S$ -normal;  $L$ -normal.

Received: 24 February 2019; Revised: 25 June 2019; Accepted: 09 July 2019

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Email addresses: alghamdi.ohud.f@gmail.com (Ohud Alghamdi), lnkalantan@hotmail.com, lkalantan@kau.edu.sa (Lutfi Kalantan), wafa.a.alagal@gmail.com, waalagal@uj.edu.sa (Wafa Alagal)

**Definition 2.1.** A topological space  $X$  is an  $S$ -paracompact if there exists a bijective function  $f$  from  $X$  onto a paracompact space  $Y$  such that for every separable subspace  $A$  of  $X$  the restriction function  $f|_A$  from  $A$  onto  $f(A)$  is a homeomorphism. Moreover, if  $Y$  is Hausdorff, then  $X$  is called  $S_2$ -paracompact.

From the definition it is clear that any  $S_2$ -paracompact is  $S$ -paracompact. The next theorem will be used to show that the converse is not necessarily true.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $X$  is separable but not Hausdorff, then  $X$  cannot be an  $S_2$ -paracompact.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any separable non-Hausdorff space. Suppose that  $X$  is  $S_2$ -paracompact. Then there exist a Hausdorff paracompact space  $Y$  and a bijective function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f|_A : A \rightarrow f(A)$  is a homeomorphism for all separable subspaces  $A \subseteq X$ . Since  $X$  is separable, then  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism. But  $Y$  is  $T_2$ , then  $X$  is  $T_2$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

The following example is an application of Theorem 2.2.

**Example 2.3.** Consider the finite complement topology defined on the real numbers,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{CF})$  (see [9, Example 19]). Since  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{CF})$  is paracompact being compact, then the identity function  $\text{id} : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{CF}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{CF})$  shows that it is  $S$ -paracompact but not  $S_2$ -paracompact being separable but not Hausdorff space.  $\blacksquare$

From Example 2.3, we conclude that any paracompact ( $T_2$  paracompact) space is  $S$ -paracompact ( $S_2$ -paracompact). For the converse, we have the following counterexample.

**Example 2.4.**  $\omega_1$  is an  $S_2$ -paracompact space which is not paracompact. First, we show that any separable subspace of  $\omega_1$  is countable. Suppose that  $A \subset \omega_1$  is uncountable which implies that  $A$  is unbounded in  $\omega_1$ . If  $D$  is any countable subset of  $A$ , then there exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $\alpha = \sup D$ . Thus, there exists  $\eta \in A$  such that  $\alpha < \eta$ . The set  $(\langle \alpha, \eta \rangle \cap A)$  is a nonempty open subset of  $A$  with  $(\langle \alpha, \eta \rangle \cap A) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $A$  cannot be separable implying that any separable subspace of  $\omega_1$  is countable. Since  $\omega_1$  is  $T_2$  locally compact, then there exists a one to one continuous function, say  $f$ , onto a Hausdorff compact space  $Y$  (see [7]). Let  $A \subset \omega_1$  be any separable subspace. Since the closure of any countable set is compact in  $\omega_1$ , then we get that  $f|_{\overline{A}} : \overline{A} \rightarrow f(\overline{A})$  is a homeomorphism (see [3, 3.1.13]) implying that  $f|_A : A \rightarrow f(A)$  is a homeomorphism.  $\blacksquare$

Here is another example of a Tychonoff space  $S_2$ -paracompact that is not paracompact being Hausdorff not normal space.

**Example 2.5.** Recall the modified Dieudonné plank

$$X = ((\omega_2 + 1) \times (\omega + 1)) \setminus \{(\omega_2, \omega)\}.$$

Define  $\mathcal{T}$  as the unique topology on  $X$  generated by the following neighborhood system: For each  $\alpha < \omega_2$  and  $n < \omega$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(\langle \alpha, n \rangle) = \{\langle \alpha, n \rangle\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle) = \{\langle \alpha \rangle \times (n, \omega) : n < \omega\}$  for every  $\alpha < \omega_2$ . For each  $n < \omega$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(\langle \omega_2, n \rangle) = \{\langle \alpha, \omega_2 \rangle \times \{n\} : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ .

As mentioned in [5, Example 2], if we define a new topology on  $X$  by making each element of the form  $\langle \omega_2, n \rangle$  with  $n < \omega$  isolated, the modified Dieudonné plank will be a Tychonoff  $S_2$ -paracompact space which is not paracompact space.  $\blacksquare$

The same technique of the proof of Theorem 2.2 could be used to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $X$  is separable but not paracompact, then  $X$  cannot be an  $S$ -paracompact.

Recall that a space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $S$ -normal if there exist a normal space  $Y$  and a function  $f$  such that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a bijection and  $f|_B : B \rightarrow f(B)$  is a homeomorphism for every separable subspaces  $B \subseteq X$  (see [5]). Since any  $T_2$  paracompact space is normal, then any  $S_2$ -paracompact space is  $S$ -normal. However, this relation is not reversible as shown in the following example.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the left ray topology defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$ , such that  $\mathcal{L} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . It is an example of  $S$ -normal space by being a normal space which is not  $S_2$ -paracompact space since it is separable and not  $T_2$  space. In fact, it is not even an  $S$ -paracompact because it is separable not a paracompact space. ■

The following theorem presents a relation between the  $S_2$ -paracompactness and compactness.

**Theorem 2.8.** Every  $T_2$  countably compact separable  $S$ -paracompact space is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be any  $T_2$  countably compact separable  $S$ -paracompact space. Then  $X$  is paracompact because the witness function of  $S$ -paracompactness is a homeomorphism. Since any countably compact  $T_2$  paracompact space is compact (see [3, 5.1.20]), we get that  $X$  is compact. □

**Remark 2.9.** It is clear that any separable  $S_2$ -paracompact is  $T_2$  paracompact, hence  $T_4$ . Thus, the Nientyzki plane  $\mathbb{L}$  (see [9, Example 82]), the Sorgenfrey line square  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{S})$  [9, Example 84], and the rational sequence topology  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{RS})$  (see [9, Example 65]) are examples of Tychonoff separable spaces which are not paracompact because they are Hausdorff non-normal spaces. Hence, they are not  $S$ -paracompact spaces. Also, the particular point topology defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_{\sqrt{2}})$ , is not  $S$ -paracompact space by being separable not paracompact space (see [9, Example 10]). Note that since any submetrizable is  $C_2$ -paracompact,  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{S})$  is an example of a  $C_2$ -paracompact space which is not  $S_2$ -paracompact (see [8]).

A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  witnessing  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) of  $X$  need not be continuous, see Example 2.18. But it will be continuous if  $X$  is Fréchet. Recall that a space  $X$  is called Fréchet if for every subset  $A \subseteq X$  and for any  $x \in \overline{A}$ , there exists a sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $a_n \in A$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_n \rightarrow x$  (see [3]).

**Theorem 2.10.** If  $X$  is an  $S$ -paracompact ( $S_2$ -paracompact) space and Fréchet such that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a witness of  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) of  $X$ , then  $f$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is  $S$ -paracompact and Fréchet. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  witnesses  $S$ -paracompactness of  $X$ . Let  $A \subseteq X$  and pick  $y \in f(\overline{A})$  implying that there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Since  $X$  is Fréchet, then there exists a sequence  $(a_n) \subseteq A$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow x$ . The subspace  $B = \{x, a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $X$  is separable by being countable, thus  $f|_B : B \rightarrow f(B)$  is a homeomorphism. Now, let  $W \subseteq Y$  be any open neighborhood of  $y$ . Then  $W \cap f(B)$  is open in the subspace  $f(B)$  containing  $y$ . Since  $f(\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \subseteq f(B) \cap f(A)$  and  $W \cap f(B) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $W \cap f(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $y \in \overline{f(A)}$ , thus  $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ . Therefore,  $f$  is continuous. □

**Theorem 2.11.**  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is a topological property.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an  $S$ -paracompact space and let  $Z$  be any topological space such that  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $Z$ . Let  $f$  be the function witnessing  $S$ -paracompactness of  $X$  onto a paracompact space  $Y$  and  $g : X \rightarrow Z$  be a homeomorphism. Then  $f \circ g^{-1} : Z \rightarrow Y$  will be the witness of  $S$ -paracompactness of  $Z$ . □

Taking a compactification of the first three Tychonoff spaces mentioned in Remark 2.9 will show that  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is not hereditary. Moreover, as shown in Example 2.12 below,  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is not a multiplicative property.

**Example 2.12.** The Sorgenfrey line  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S})$  is an  $S_2$ -paracompact by being a  $T_2$  paracompact space. However, as mentioned in Remark 2.9,  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{S})$  is not an  $S_2$ -paracompact. ■

Here is a case when a product of two  $S_2$ -paracompact spaces will be an  $S_2$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 2.13.** The Cartesian product of two  $S_2$ -paracompact spaces is  $S_2$ -paracompact in case that at least one of them is countably compact and Fréchet.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be  $S_2$ -paracompact such that  $X$  is countably compact and Fréchet. Let  $Y$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be witnesses of  $S_2$ -paracompactness of  $X$ . Then  $f$  is continuous by Theorem 2.10 implying that  $Y$  is countably compact. Hence,  $Y$  is compact. Let  $Y'$  and  $f' : Z \rightarrow Y'$  be witnesses of  $S_2$ -paracompactness of  $Z$ . Consider the function  $g := f \times f' : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Y'$ . Observe that  $Y \times Y'$  is  $T_2$  paracompact (see [3, 5.1.36]). Now, let  $D$  be any separable subspace of  $X \times Z$ . Therefore,  $p_1(D) \subseteq X$  and  $p_2(D) \subseteq Z$  are both separable subspaces of  $X$  and  $Z$  respectively being continuous images of a separable subspace  $D \subseteq X \times Z$ . Then using the fact that countable product of separable spaces is separable,  $p_1(D) \times p_2(D)$  is separable in  $X \times Z$ . Thus, as  $D \subseteq p_1(D) \times p_2(D)$ , we get that  $g|_D : D \rightarrow g(D)$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

As an application of Theorem 2.13, consider the following topological space:  $\omega_1 \times I^\kappa$ , where  $\kappa$  is an uncountable ordinal (see [9, Example 106]). Observe that  $\omega_1$  is an  $S_2$ -paracompact, Fréchet, and countably compact. Moreover,  $I^\kappa$  is  $S_2$ -paracompact by being  $T_2$  compact. By Theorem 2.13, we get that  $\omega_1 \times I^\kappa$  is an  $S_2$ -paracompact. Observe that  $\omega_1 \times I^\kappa$  is not paracompact because it is  $T_2$  non-normal since  $I^\kappa$  is not of countable tightness.

**Theorem 2.14.**  *$S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is an additive property.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of  $S_2$ -paracompact spaces. Hence, for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  there exist a paracompact space  $Y_\alpha$  and a bijection  $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$  such that  $f_\alpha|_{A_\alpha} : A_\alpha \rightarrow f_\alpha(A_\alpha)$  is a homeomorphism for each separable subspace  $A_\alpha$  of  $X_\alpha$ . Since paracompactness is an additive property (see [3, 5.1.30.]),  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Y_\alpha$  is paracompact. Define  $f : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Y_\alpha$  as follows: for each  $x \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ , there exists a unique  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  such that  $x \in X_\gamma$ , then  $f(x) = f_\gamma(x)$ . Let  $A$  be any separable subspace of  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$ . Write  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda^*} (A \cap X_\alpha)$  where  $\Lambda^* = \{\alpha \in \Lambda : A \cap X_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$ . Since  $A$  is separable, then  $\Lambda^*$  is countable and  $A \cap X_\alpha$  is separable in  $X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$ . Therefore,  $f_\alpha|_{A \cap X_\alpha} : A \cap X_\alpha \rightarrow f_\alpha(A \cap X_\alpha)$  is a homeomorphism for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$  implying that  $f|_A : A \rightarrow f(A)$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

The following result gives a relation between  $S_2$ -paracompactness and metrizability.

**Theorem 2.15.** *Every second countable  $S_2$ -paracompact space is metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be an  $S_2$ -paracompact second countable space which yields that  $X$  is separable  $S_2$ -paracompact. Then  $X$  is  $T_4$  implying that  $X$  is regular. Since any second countable  $T_3$  space is metrizable [3, 4.2.9], we get that  $X$  is metrizable.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.16.** *Every  $T_2$  second countable  $S$ -paracompact space is metrizable.*

The converse of Theorem 2.15 is not true in general. For example, the discrete topology defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  is metrizable and  $S_2$ -paracompact but not second countable.

Recall that a topological space  $X$  is called  $P$ -space if  $X$  is  $T_1$  and every  $G_\delta$ -set is open (see [6]). In the following theorem, we will show that the class of  $P$ -spaces is  $S_2$ -paracompact.

**Theorem 2.17.** *Every  $P$ -space is  $S_2$ -paracompact.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a  $P$ -space. If  $X$  is countable, then it is discrete [6] implying that  $X$  is  $S_2$ -paracompact. Assume now that  $X$  is uncountable. Let  $A \subseteq X$  be an arbitrary uncountable subset of  $X$  and let  $D \subset A$  be any countable subset of  $A$ . Then  $D$  is closed set in  $A$  and  $A \setminus D$  is a non-empty open set in  $A$  with  $(A \setminus D) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $D$  cannot be dense in  $A$  implying that  $A$  cannot be separable. Thus, we conclude that any separable subspace of  $X$  must be countable and hence any separable subspace of  $X$  is discrete. Take the identity map  $\text{id} : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{D})$ . Then  $\text{id}|_A : A \rightarrow \text{id}(A)$  is a homeomorphism for all separable subspaces  $A$ . Therefore,  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $S_2$ -paracompact.  $\square$

Note that  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ ,  $\omega_1$ , and the modified Dieudonné plank are examples of  $S_2$ -paracompact spaces which are not  $P$ -space.

**Example 2.18.** An application of Theorem 2.17, consider  $(\mathbb{R}, CC)$ , where  $CC$  is the countable complement topology defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  (see [9, Example 20]). Since  $(\mathbb{R}, CC)$  is  $P$ -space, then by Theorem 2.17,  $(\mathbb{R}, CC)$  is  $S_2$ -paracompact. Note that the function witnessing the  $S_2$ -paracompactness here is the identity taken from  $CC$  to the discrete topology defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , write  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{D})$ . However,  $\text{id} : (\mathbb{R}, CC) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{D})$  is not continuous. ■

Recall that  $X$  is *locally separable* if each element has a separable open neighborhood (see [3, 4.4.F]). Next theorem describes the relation between  $S_2$ -paracompactness, locally separability and the Lindelöfness property. Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.6 give the following statement.

**Theorem 2.19.** If  $X$  is Lindelöf, locally separable and  $S_2$ -paracompact, then  $X$  is  $T_2$  paracompact, and hence is  $T_4$ .

Note that  $S_2$ -paracompactness is essential in Theorem 2.19. For example  $(\mathbb{R}, CF)$  is locally separable and Lindelöf but neither  $T_2$  nor normal. Observe that  $(\mathbb{R}, CF)$  is not an  $S_2$ -paracompact.

Let  $X$  be a topological space. Recall that the  $G_\delta$ -extension  $X_\delta$  of  $X$  is the topology on the same underlying set  $X$  generated by the family of all  $G_\delta$ -subsets of  $X$  (see [2]). If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is  $T_1$  first countable space, then any singleton is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Therefore, the  $G_\delta$ -extension of any  $T_1$  first countable space is  $S_2$ -paracompact being a discrete space. The converse is not true in general. As an example, consider the three Tychonoff spaces mentioned in 2.9.

### 3. Invariance

In this section we will discuss the invariance of  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) under different mappings. The following examples will prove that  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is neither invariant, inverse invariant nor open invariant.

**Example 3.1.** The identity function  $\text{id} : (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$  is a continuous bijective function. As shown in Example 2.7,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$  is not  $S$ -paracompact unlikely to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$  which is  $T_2$  paracompact. Hence,  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is not invariant.

On the other hand, the identity function  $\text{id} : (\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{U})$  is a bijective continuous function. Since  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{S})$  is not  $S$ -paracompact, we get that  $S$ -paracompactness is not inverse invariant. In addition,  $p : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$  such that  $p(\langle x, y \rangle) = x$  is an example showing that  $S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is not inverse open invariant. ■

$S$ -paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is not open invariant as shown in the following example.

**Example 3.2.** Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ , the usual topology defined on the set of real numbers. Then the Alexandroff Duplicate of the usual topology is defined as follows:

$$A(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}',$$

where  $\mathbb{R}' = \mathbb{R} \times \{1\} = \{\langle y, 1 \rangle = y' : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  such that the basic open neighborhood for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  has the form  $U \cup (U' \setminus \{x'\})$  where  $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U' = \{\langle y, 1 \rangle : y \in U\}$  and the basic open set for every  $x' \in \mathbb{R}'$  is  $\{x'\}$ . The space  $A(\mathbb{R})$  is  $S_2$ -paracompact begin  $T_2$  paracompact (see [1, 4]). Now let  $i = \sqrt{-1} \notin \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the closed extension  $(X, \tau)$  of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$  where  $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{i\}$  and  $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is defined as follows:

$$\tau = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{W \cup \{i\} : W \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

The space  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $S_2$ -paracompact since it is neither  $T_2$  nor paracompact but separable as  $\{i\}$  is a countable dense subset of  $X$ . Define  $f : A(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X$  by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & ; x \in \mathbb{R} \\ i & ; x \in \mathbb{R}' \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is continuous, open, and surjective. ■

Since any continuous open surjective function is a quotient, we conclude the following:

**Corollary 3.3.** *S-paracompactness ( $S_2$ -paracompactness) is not preserved under quotient maps.*

We do not have any result yet regarding the closed invariant. We also do not have an answer to the following problem.

**Problem 3.4.** *If  $X$  is  $S$ -paracompact ( $S_2$ -paracompact), is then its Alexandroff duplicate  $A(X)$   $S$ -paracompact ( $S_2$ -paracompact)?*

## References

- [1] P.S. Alexandroff, P.S. Urysohn, Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts, Verh. Akad. Wetensch. Amsterdam, 14 (1929).
- [2] A. Dow, I. Juhász, L. Soukup, Z. Szentmiklóssy, and W. Weiss, On the Tightness of  $G_\delta$ -modifications, arXiv:1805.02228v1 [math.GN] (2018).
- [3] R. Engelking, General Topology, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [4] R. Engelking, On the Double Circumference of Alexandroff, Bull Acad Pol Sci Ser Astron Math Phys, 8 (1986) 629–634.
- [5] L. Kalantan, M. Alhomiedy,  $S$ -normality, J Math. Anal, v9, 5 (2018) 48–54.
- [6] A. K. Misra, A Topological View of  $P$ -spaces, General Topology and its Applications 2 (1972) 349–362.
- [7] A.S. Parhomenko, On condensations into compact spaces, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 5 (1941) 225–232.
- [8] M. M. Saeed, L. Kalantan, H. Alzumi,  $C$ -paracompactness and  $C_2$ -paracompactnes, Turk J Math 43 (2019) 9–20.
- [9] L. Steen, J.A. Seebach, Counterexamples in Topology, Dover Publications Inc., 1995.