



On the Hermite-Hadamard Inequalities for h -Convex Functions on Balls and Ellipsoids

Xiaoqian Wang^a, Jianmiao Ruan^{a,*}, Xinsheng Ma^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Zhejiang International Studies University, Hangzhou 310014, China.

Abstract. In this paper, we establish some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for h -convex function on high-dimensional balls and ellipsoids, which extend some known results. Some mappings connected with these inequalities and related results are also obtained.

1. Introduction

The concept of h -convexity was first introduced by Varošanec [16] in 2007, and then has been studied extensively by many mathematicians, see e.g. [2, 9, 10, 13] and the references therein.

Definition 1. Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a given function. We say that $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where \mathcal{D} is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n , is h -convex if for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(\alpha X + (1 - \alpha)Y) \leq h(\alpha)f(X) + h(1 - \alpha)f(Y). \quad (1)$$

This notion unifies and generalizes the known classes of the usual convex functions, s -convex functions (in the second sense) [3], P -functions [14] and Godunova-Levin functions [8], which are obtained by putting in (1)

$$h(\alpha) = \alpha, \quad h(\alpha) = \alpha^s \quad (0 < s \leq 1), \quad h(\alpha) = 1,$$

and

$$h(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1/\alpha, & 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ 0, & \alpha = 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively.

Convexity and its generalizations are very important both in pure mathematics and in applications. One of the significant application involved in convex type functions is the following well-known Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A51; Secondary 26D07, 26D15.

Keywords. h -convex function; Hermite-Hadamard's inequality; high-dimensional ball; high-dimensional ellipsoid

Received: 30 August 2018; Accepted: 17 November 2018

Communicated by Miodrag Spalević

The second author was supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LY18A010015), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11771358) and the "BoDa" Research Program of Zhejiang International Studies University (No. BD2019B5).

*Corresponding author: Jianmiao Ruan

Email addresses: wxqstudy@126.com (Xiaoqian Wang), rjmath@163.com (Jianmiao Ruan), xsma@zisu.edu.cn (Xinsheng Ma)

Theorem A. Let $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}.$$

In 1999, Dragomir and Fitzpatrick [7] proved the variant of Hermite-Hadamard's inequality which holds for s -convex functions in the second sense.

Theorem B. [7] Let $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative s -convex function in the second sense with $0 < s < 1$. Then

$$2^{s-1} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{s+1}.$$

In 2008, Sarikaya, Saglam and Yildirim obtained the following analogue inequalities for h -convex functions.

Theorem C. [15] Let $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an h -convex function on $[a, b]$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)dx \leq [f(a)+f(b)] \int_0^1 h(x)dx.$$

At the meantime, there is an extensive literature devoted to develop Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities to higher-dimensions. For example, some inequalities for convex type functions on rectangles can be found in [1, 6, 11], and on disks can be found in [4, 5]. In this paper, we mainly deal with analogue inequalities for h -convex functions on balls and ellipsoids. Compared to the methods employed on rectangles, which used on balls (ellipsoids) are rather technical.

In the sequel, unless otherwise specified, \mathbb{R}^n denotes the Euclidean space of dimension n and $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $d\sigma(x)$ is the usual surface measure ($n \geq 3$) or the arc length ($n = 2$) in general. $B_n(C, r)$ and $\delta_n(C, r)$ are the n -dimensional ball and its sphere respectively centered at the point $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with radius $r > 0$. $E_n(C, R)$ denotes the n -dimensional ellipsoid centered at the point $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with semiaxes $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$, that is

$$\frac{(x_1 - c_1)^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{(x_2 - c_2)^2}{r_2^2} + \dots + \frac{(x_n - c_n)^2}{r_n^2} \leq 1, \quad 0 < r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n < \infty,$$

and $S_n(C, R)$ is the sphere of $E_n(C, R)$. It is well known that

$$|B_n(C, r)| = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}, \quad |\delta_n(C, r)| = \frac{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^{n-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}, \quad (2)$$

$$|E_n(C, R)| = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r_1 \cdots r_n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}, \quad |S_n(C, tR)| = t^{n-1} |S_n(C, R)|, \quad t > 0, \quad (3)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function and $tR = (tr_1, tr_2, \dots, tr_n)$.

Throughout the paper, we also assume that the function h in Definition 1 is always Lebesgue integrable on the interval $[0, 1]$ and satisfies $h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > 0$.

Now we recall some known results. In 2000, Dragomir [4] proved the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality of convex functions on the disk in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Theorem D. [4] Let $f : B_2(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on the disk $B_2(C, r)$. Then

$$f(C) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B_2(C, r)} f(X)dX \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\delta_2(C, r)} f(X)d\sigma(X).$$

Furthermore, Dragomir extended the proceeding result from the disk in \mathbb{R}^2 to the ball in \mathbb{R}^3 in the same year and obtained the following similar result.

Theorem E. [5] *Let $f : B_3(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on $B_3(C, r)$. Then*

$$f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|B_3(C, r)|} \int_{B_3(C, r)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{1}{|\delta_3(C, r)|} \int_{\delta_3(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X).$$

In 2014, Matłoka [12] generalized Theorem D for h -convex functions on disks and established the corresponding Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

Theorem F. [12] *Let $f : B_2(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an h -convex function on $B_2(C, r)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} f(C) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B_2(C, r)} f(X) dX \leq \mathcal{F}(r) \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\delta_2(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(r) = \frac{2}{r^2} \int_0^r th\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) dt \left(1 + \frac{2 \int_0^r th\left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) dt}{\frac{r^2}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} - 2 \int_0^r th\left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) dt} \right).$$

As a consequence of Theorem F, the author obtained the variant Hermite-Hadamard inequality for s -convex functions.

Theorem G. [12] *Let $f : B_2(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an s -convex function in the second sense on $B_2(C, r)$ with $0 < s < 1$. Then*

$$\frac{2^s}{2} f(C) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B_2(C, r)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{1}{\pi r} \frac{2^s(s+1)}{2^s(s+1)(s+2) - 4} \int_{\delta_2(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X). \quad (5)$$

Remark 1. *Taking the changing of variable $\frac{t}{r} = v$ in Theorem F, we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(r) = \frac{2 \int_0^1 vh(v) dv}{1 - 4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 vh(1-v) dv},$$

which implies that $\mathcal{F}(r)$ is independent of the radius r .

Remark 2. *There was a mistake in Theorem F. The condition*

$$1 - 4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 th(1-t) dt > 0$$

is necessary for the second inequality in (4). We will prove the assertion by contradiction. Suppose that

$$1 - 4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 th(1-t) dt \leq 0.$$

Then $F(r) \leq 0$. Choosing $f > 0$, we yield that

$$\int_{B_2(C, r)} f(X) dX > 0, \quad \int_{\delta_2(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X) > 0,$$

which is a contradiction with $F(r) \leq 0$.

According to proceeding argument, the second inequality in (5) of Theorem G is valid under the additional assumption of

$$2^s(s+1)(s+2) > 4.$$

With these motivations, one of the purposes of this paper is to establish analogues of Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for h -convex functions on n -dimensional convex bodies—balls and ellipsoids. Now we are in a position to state our results.

Theorem 1. Let $f : B_n(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an h -convex function on $B_n(C, r)$. Suppose that h satisfies

$$1 - 2nh \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt > 0. \quad (6)$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2h \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)} f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|B_n(C, r)|} \int_{B_n(C, r)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}(n)}{|\delta_n(C, r)|} \int_{\delta_n(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(n) = \frac{n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt}{1 - 2nh \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt}. \quad (8)$$

It is not difficult to see that (6) is always true if $h(t) = t$. In fact, we have

$$1 - 2nh \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt = 1 - n \int_0^1 (t^{n-1} - t^n) dt = \frac{n}{n+1} > 0.$$

On the other hand, a direct calculation shows that $\mathcal{K}(n) = 1$. These observations imply that

Corollary 1. If $f : B_n(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, then

$$f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|B_n(C, r)|} \int_{B_n(C, r)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{1}{|\delta_n(C, r)|} \int_{\delta_n(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X).$$

Particularly, Corollary 1 reduces to Theorem D and Theorem E if $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively.

If $h(t) = t^s$, $0 < s < 1$, then integration by parts tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt &= \int_0^1 t^{n-1} (1-t)^s dt = \int_0^1 (t-1)^{n-1} t^s dt \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Combining (9) and Theorem 1, we arrive at the Hermite-Hadamard inequality of s -convex functions on the ball.

Corollary 2. Let $f : B_n(C, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an s -convex function in the second sense on $B_n(C, r)$. If $0 < s < 1$ and it satisfies

$$2^s (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n) > 2n!, \quad (10)$$

then

$$\frac{2^s}{2} f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|B_n(C, r)|} \int_{B_n(C, r)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}_1}{|\delta_n(C, r)|} \int_{\delta_n(C, r)} f(X) d\sigma(X),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \frac{n2^s (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n-1)}{2^s (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n) - 2n!}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, we will extend the above results to more general convex sets, i.e. ellipsoids.

Theorem 2. Let $f : E_n(C, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an h -convex function on the ellipsoid $E_n(C, R)$. Suppose that h satisfies (6). Then

$$\frac{1}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}_1(n)}{|\delta_n(0, 1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X'), \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{K}(n)$ is as in Theorem 1,

$$X' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n) \in \delta_n(0, 1), \quad \tilde{X} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}_j = r_j x'_j + c_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, if $f \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R)}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{S_n(C, R)} f(X) d\sigma(X), \tag{13}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R) = \frac{|S_n(C, R)|}{r^{n-1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{K}(n), \tag{14}$$

and

$$r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 2 and the similar arguments as in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 that

Corollary 3. If $f : E_n(C, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, then

$$f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X'),$$

where \tilde{X} are as in Theorem 2.

Especially, if f is a nonnegative convex function on $E_n(C, R)$, then

$$\frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^{n-1}} \int_{S_n(C, R)} f(X) d\sigma(X).$$

If taking $h(t) = t^s$, we derive from Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 that

Corollary 4. Let $f : E_n(C, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an s -convex function in the second sense on the ellipsoid $E_n(C, R)$ and \mathcal{K}_1 be the constant defined by (11). If $0 < s < 1$ and (10) holds, then

$$\frac{2^s}{2} f(C) \leq \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \mathcal{K}_2 \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X'),$$

where \tilde{X} are as in Theorem 2 and

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{2^s n(s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n-1)}{2^s (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n) - 2n!} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{K}_1.$$

Furthermore, if $f \geq 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\tilde{F}(R)}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{S_n(C, R)} f(X) d\sigma(X),$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(R) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1) |S_n(C, R)|}{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} r^{n-1}} \frac{2^s n(s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n-1)}{2^s (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n) - 2n!} = \frac{|S_n(C, R)| \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}{r^{n-1} n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{K}_1.$$

The second purpose in this paper is to provide some applications of the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for h -convex functions. In [4] and [5], Dragomir studied some properties of the mappings connected to the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality of convex function on disks and balls. In [12], Matloka considered the similar mappings connected to the h -convex function on disks.

Theorem H. [12] Define the mapping $\mathfrak{S} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathfrak{S}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B_2(C, r)} f(tX + (1-t)C) dX.$$

If f is an h -convex function on the disk $B_2(C, r)$, then

- (i) the function \mathfrak{S} is an h -convex function on $[0, 1]$,
- (ii) for any $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \leq \mathfrak{S}(t) \leq \mathfrak{S}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right].$$

Theorem I. [12] Define the mapping $\mathfrak{G} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathfrak{G}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi t r} \int_{\delta_2(C, tr)} f(X) d\sigma(X), & t \in (0, 1], \\ f(C), & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

If f is an h -convex function on the disk $B_2(C, r)$, then

- (i) the function \mathfrak{G} is an h -convex function on $[0, 1]$,
- (ii) for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $\mathfrak{S}(t) \leq \mathcal{F}(tr)\mathfrak{G}(t)$,
- (iii) for any $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{F}(tr)} \leq \mathfrak{G}(t) \leq \mathfrak{G}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t)\mathcal{F}(r) \right].$$

Remark 3. According to Remark 1 and using the notation in (8), we can rewrite (ii) and (iii) in Theorem I as the following explicit forms, respectively,

- (ii') for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $\mathfrak{S}(t) \leq \mathcal{K}(2)\mathfrak{G}(t)$,
- (iii') for any $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{K}(2)} \leq \mathfrak{G}(t) \leq \mathfrak{G}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t)\mathcal{K}(2) \right].$$

Remark 4. There was a mistake in Theorem I. By checking the proof of Theorem I in [12] and the statement of Remark 2, the condition

$$1 - 4h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 th(1-t)dt > 0$$

is necessary for (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 1.

Now, we will prove some properties of these two mappings assuming that the function f is h -convex on ellipsoids. Correspondingly, the associated properties of balls are also obtained.

Theorem 3. Define the mapping $\tilde{\xi} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{\xi}(t) = \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(tX + (1-t)C) dX.$$

If f is an h -convex function on the ellipsoid $E_n(C, R)$, then

- (i) the function $\tilde{\xi}$ is an h -convex function on $[0, 1]$,
- (ii) for any $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \leq \tilde{\xi}(t) \leq \tilde{\xi}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right]. \quad (15)$$

As a consequence of the proceeding theorem, we have the following results.

Corollary 5. Define the mapping $\tilde{H} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{H}(t) = \frac{1}{|B_n(C, r)|} \int_{B_n(C, r)} f(tX + (1-t)C) dX.$$

If f is an h -convex function on the ball $B_n(C, r)$, then the mapping \tilde{H} enjoys the same properties as $\tilde{\xi}$ in Theorem 3.

If we choose $n = 2$ in Corollary 5, then it reduces to Theorem H.

Theorem 4. Define the mapping $\tilde{G} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{G}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|\delta_n(C, tr)|} \int_{\delta_n(C, tr)} f(X) d\sigma(X), & t \in (0, 1], \\ f(C), & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

If f is an h -convex function on the ball $B_n(C, r)$ and (6) holds, then

- (i) the function $\tilde{G}(t)$ is an h -convex function on $[0, 1]$,
- (ii) for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $\tilde{H}(t) \leq \mathcal{K}(n)\tilde{G}(t)$,
- (iii) for any $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{K}(n)} \leq \tilde{G}(t) \leq \tilde{G}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t)\mathcal{K}(n) \right]. \quad (16)$$

By virtue of Remark 3 and Remark 4, it is obviously that Theorem 4 generalizes Theorem I.

Theorem 5. Define the mapping $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|S_n(C, tR)|} \int_{S_n(C, tR)} f(X) d\sigma(X), & t \in (0, 1], \\ f(C), & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

If f is an h -convex function on the ellipsoid $E_n(C, R)$ and (6) holds, then

- (i) the function $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t)$ is an h -convex function on $[0, 1]$,
- (ii) when $f \geq 0$, for any $t \in (0, 1]$, $\tilde{\xi}(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t)$,
- (iii) when $f \geq 0$, for any $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R)} \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t)\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R) \right], \quad (17)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R)$ is defined by (14), i.e.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R) = \frac{|S_n(C, R)|}{r^{n-1}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{K}(n) \text{ and } r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}.$$

2. Proof of The Theorems

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1

(i) A changing of variables yields that

$$\int_{B_n(C,r)} f(X)dX = \int_{B_n(C,r)} f(2C - X)dX.$$

Since $f(C) = f\left(\frac{X}{2} + \frac{2C-X}{2}\right)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(C) &= \frac{1}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} f\left(\frac{X}{2} + \frac{2C-X}{2}\right) dX \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} \left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) f(X) + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) f(2C - X) \right] dX \\ &= \frac{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} f(X)dX. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain the first part of (7).

(ii) The translation invariance of Lebesgue measure shows that

$$\frac{1}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} f(C)dX = \frac{1}{|B_n(0,r)|} \int_{B_n(0,r)} f(X + C)dX. \tag{18}$$

Taking the spherical change of the unit sphere $\delta_n(0, 1)$

$$X' = \begin{cases} x'_1 = \cos \varphi_1, \\ x'_2 = \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2, \\ x'_3 = \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3, \\ \vdots \\ x'_{n-1} = \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \cos \varphi_{n-1}, \\ x'_n = \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \sin \varphi_{n-1}, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where

$$0 \leq \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \varphi_{n-1} \leq 2\pi,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_n(0,r)} f(X + C)dX \\ &= \int_0^r \int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(tX' + C) t^{n-1} d\sigma(X') dt \\ &= \int_0^r \int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f\left(\frac{t}{r}(rX' + C) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right)C\right) t^{n-1} d\sigma(X') dt \\ &\leq \int_0^r \int_{\delta_n(0,1)} \left[h\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) f(rX' + C) + h\left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) f(C) \right] t^{n-1} d\sigma(X') dt \\ &= \left(\int_0^r t^{n-1} h\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) dt \right) \left(\int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(rX' + C) d\sigma(X') \right) \\ &\quad + f(C) |\delta_n(0, 1)| \int_0^r h\left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) t^{n-1} dt. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, the change of variable formula tells us that

$$\frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^r t^{n-1} h\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) dt = \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^n} \int_0^r t^{n-1} h\left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right) dt = \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(rX' + C) d\sigma(X') = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_{\delta_n(C,r)} f(X) d\sigma(X). \quad (23)$$

Then, by (2), (18)-(23) and the first inequality in (7),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} f(X) dX \\ & \leq n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt \frac{1}{|\delta_n(C,r)|} \int_{\delta_n(C,r)} f(X) d\sigma(X) + n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt f(C) \\ & \leq n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt \frac{1}{|\delta_n(C,r)|} \int_{\delta_n(C,r)} f(X) d\sigma(X) \\ & \quad + 2n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} f(X) dX. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling

$$1 - 2nh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt > 0,$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{|B_n(C,r)|} \int_{B_n(C,r)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt}{1 - 2nh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1-t) dt} \frac{1}{|\delta_n(C,r)|} \int_{\delta_n(C,r)} f(X) d\sigma(X),$$

which completes the proof. \square

2.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Since the proof for the left part of (12) follows the same procedure as in Theorem 1 (i), we omit the details. Now, we will focus on proving the right part of (12). Let $X' = (x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n)$ be the spherical transformation of the unit sphere $\delta_n(0, 1)$ defined by (19). Suppose that

$$\tilde{X} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \text{ and } \tilde{x}_j = r_j x'_j + c_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

That is

$$\tilde{X} = \begin{cases} \tilde{x}_1 = r_1 \cos \varphi_1 + c_1, \\ \tilde{x}_2 = r_2 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 + c_2, \\ \tilde{x}_3 = r_3 \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 + c_3, \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_{n-1} = r_{n-1} \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \cos \varphi_{n-1} + c_{n-1}, \\ \tilde{x}_n = r_n \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \sin \varphi_{n-1} + c_n, \end{cases}$$

where

$$0 \leq \varphi_1 \dots, \varphi_{n-2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \varphi_{n-1} \leq 2\pi.$$

Thus, for any $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in E_n(C, R)$, there is $0 \leq t \leq 1$ such that

$$X = t\tilde{X} + (1 - t)C := X(t, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}).$$

It is not difficult to check that the Jacobian of the transformation X is

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(t, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) &:= \left| \frac{\partial (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial (t, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} \right| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial t} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \varphi_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= r_1 r_2 \dots r_n t^{n-1} (\sin \varphi_1)^{n-2} \dots (\sin \varphi_{n-3})^2 (\sin \varphi_{n-2}). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

We infer from (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\varphi_1=0}^\pi \dots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^\pi \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(t\tilde{X} + (1 - t)C) J_1(t, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \dots d\varphi_1 dt \\ &\leq r_1 r_2 \dots r_n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt \\ &\quad \times \int_{\varphi_1=0}^\pi \dots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^\pi \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(\tilde{X}) (\sin \varphi_1)^{n-2} \dots (\sin \varphi_{n-2}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \dots d\varphi_1 \\ &+ r_1 r_2 \dots r_n f(C) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1 - t) dt \\ &\quad \times \int_{\varphi_1=0}^\pi \dots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^\pi \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} (\sin \varphi_1)^{n-2} \dots (\sin \varphi_{n-2}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \dots d\varphi_1 \\ &= r_1 r_2 \dots r_n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt \int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X') \\ &\quad + r_1 r_2 \dots r_n f(C) |\delta_n(0,1)| \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1 - t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of (2), (3) and the inequality

$$f(C) \leq \frac{2h(\frac{1}{2})}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX,$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX &\leq r_1 r_2 \dots r_n \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(t) dt \int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X') \\ &\quad + 2nh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^1 t^{n-1} h(1 - t) dt \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (6),

$$\frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}(n)}{|\delta_n(0,1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X').$$

This proves the right part of (12).

Now we turn to prove inequality (13). Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_i(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) &:= \left| \frac{\partial(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{i-1}, \tilde{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)}{\partial(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} \right| \\
 &= \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_1}{\partial \varphi_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_{i-1}}{\partial \varphi_1} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_{i+1}}{\partial \varphi_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_n}{\partial \varphi_1} \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_1}{\partial \varphi_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_{i-1}}{\partial \varphi_2} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_{i+1}}{\partial \varphi_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_n}{\partial \varphi_2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_1}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_{i-1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_{i+1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial \tilde{x}_n}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \right|. \tag{25}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the Jacobian of transformation of the unit sphere, we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) &:= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} \\
 &\geq r^{n-1}(\sin \varphi_1)^{n-2} \dots (\sin \varphi_{n-3})^2 (\sin \varphi_{n-2}), \tag{26}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n\}$. Since $f \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{S_n(C,R)} f(X) d\sigma(X) \\
 &= \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \dots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(\tilde{X}) J_2(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \dots d\varphi_1 \\
 &\geq r^{n-1} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \dots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(\tilde{X}) (\sin \varphi_1)^{n-2} \dots (\sin \varphi_{n-3})^2 (\sin \varphi_{n-2}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \dots d\varphi_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\int_{\delta_n(0,1)} f(\tilde{X}) d\sigma(X') \leq \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_{S_n(C,R)} f(X) d\sigma(X). \tag{27}$$

By combing (12) and (27) we finish the proof of Theorem 2. □

2.3. Proof of Theorem 3

(i) Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C,R)} f(\alpha [t_1 X + (1 - t_1)C] + \beta [t_2 X + (1 - t_2)C]) dX \\
 &\leq \frac{h(\alpha)}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C,R)} f(t_1 X + (1 - t_1)C) dX + \frac{h(\beta)}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C,R)} f(t_2 X + (1 - t_2)C) dX \\
 &= h(\alpha)\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(t_1) + h(\beta)\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(t_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is an h -convex function on $[0, 1]$.

(ii) For any fixed $t \in (0, 1]$, taking the substitution $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$, where $\eta_i = tx_i + (1 - t)c_i$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(t) = \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C,R)} f(tX + (1 - t)C) dX$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, tR)} f(\eta) \left| \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)} \right| d\eta \\
 &= \frac{1}{t^n |E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, tR)} f(\eta) d\eta \\
 &= \frac{1}{|E_n(C, tR)|} \int_{E_n(C, tR)} f(X) dX.
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Then Theorem 2 gives us that

$$\frac{1}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} f(C) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(t).$$

In this way the first part of the inequality (15) is proved.

By the h -convexity of f on the ellipsoid and the left-side of (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(t) &\leq \frac{h(t)}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX + h(1-t)f(C) \\
 &\leq \frac{h(t)}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX + \frac{2h(1-t)h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX \\
 &= \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right] \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX.
 \end{aligned}$$

And the definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}$ implies that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(1) = \frac{1}{|E_n(C, R)|} \int_{E_n(C, R)} f(X) dX.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(t) \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right],$$

which completes the proof. □

2.4. Proof of Theorem 4

Due to (2) and the spherical transformation given by (19), we can deduce that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t) = \frac{1}{|\delta_n(0, 1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} f(trX' + C) d\sigma(X'). \tag{29}$$

(i) Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1$. Then, by (29),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|\delta_n(0, 1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} f(\alpha(t_1 rX' + C) + \beta(t_2 rX' + C)) d\sigma(X') \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{|\delta_n(0, 1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} [h(\alpha)f((t_1 rX' + C) + h(\beta)f(t_2 rX' + C))] d\sigma(X') \\
 &= h(\alpha)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t_1) + h(\beta)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ is h -convex on $[0, 1]$.

(ii) As a special case of (28), we easily to see that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(t) = \frac{1}{|B_n(C, tr)|} \int_{B_n(C, tr)} f(X) dX. \tag{30}$$

Thus, according to Theorem 1,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{H}}(t) \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}(n)}{|\delta_n(C, tr)|} \int_{\delta_n(C, tr)} f(X) d\sigma(X) = \mathcal{K}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(t) \tag{31}$$

holds for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

(iii) With the aid of (30), (31) and the left part of (7), we can arrive at

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \leq \tilde{\mathbf{H}}(t) \leq \mathcal{K}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(t) \tag{32}$$

for all $t \in (0, 1]$. Especially,

$$f(C) \leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{K}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(1). \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, (29) provides us that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(t) &= \frac{1}{|\delta_n(0, 1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} f(t(rX' + C) + (1-t)C) d\sigma(X') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\delta_n(0, 1)|} \int_{\delta_n(0, 1)} [h(t)f((rX' + C) + h(1-t)f(C))] d\sigma(X') \\ &= h(t)\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(1) + h(1-t)f(C) \\ &\leq \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(1) \left[h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t)\mathcal{K}(n) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by (33). By combining (32) and (34) we finish the proof. □

2.5. Proof of Theorem 5

For any fixed $t \in (0, 1]$, we know that the surface of the ellipsoid can be presented as follows, $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S_n(C, tR)$,

$$X(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) := \begin{cases} x_1 = tr_1 \cos \varphi_1 + c_1, \\ x_2 = tr_2 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 + c_2, \\ x_3 = tr_3 \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 + c_3, \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} = tr_{n-1} \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \cos \varphi_{n-1} + c_{n-1}, \\ x_n = tr_n \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \sin \varphi_{n-1} + c_n, \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

where $0 \leq \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \varphi_{n-1} \leq 2\pi$. Let

$$B_i(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) := \left| \frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} \right|$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{i-1}}{\partial \varphi_1} & \frac{\partial x_{i+1}}{\partial \varphi_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_1} \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{i-1}}{\partial \varphi_2} & \frac{\partial x_{i+1}}{\partial \varphi_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{i-1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \frac{\partial x_{i+1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{36}$$

It is clear that

$$B_i(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) = t^{n-1} A_i(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})$$

and

$$J_3(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n B_i^2(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} = t^{n-1} J_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}),$$

where $A_i(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})$ and $J_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})$ are presented by (25) and (26) respectively.

In the sequential of the paper, without confusion, we sometimes rewrite the notation $X(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})$ by $X(t)$ and $J_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})$ by J_2 for the sake of convenience. Therefore, for any $t \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n(C, tR)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(t)) J_3(t, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(t)) J_2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2)) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(\alpha X(t_1) + \beta X(t_2)) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &\leq \frac{h(\alpha)}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(t_1)) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{h(\beta)}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(t_2)) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &= h(\alpha) \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t_1) + h(\beta) \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of (i).

(ii) For any given $t \in (0, 1]$, the identity (28) tells us that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(t) = \frac{1}{|E_n(C, tR)|} \int_{E_n(C, tR)} f(X) dX.$$

Since $f \geq 0$, by Theorem 2, we can claim that

$$\frac{1}{|E_n(C, tR)|} \int_{E_n(C, tR)} f(X) dX \leq \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(tR)}{|S_n(C, tR)|} \int_{S_n(C, tR)} f(X) d\sigma(X).$$

That is

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(tR) \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t), \quad t \in (0, 1],$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(tR) = \frac{|S_n(C, tR)| \Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}{(tr)^{n-1} n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \mathcal{K}(n).$$

On the other hand, by (3), we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(tR) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R).$$

This observation yields that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(t) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R) \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t)$$

for all $t \in (0, 1]$. We finish the proof of (ii).

(iii) Since the inequality

$$\frac{f(C)}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} \leq \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}(t)$$

is easily reached by (15) and (ii), next we will pay more attention to proving the right part of (17).

Because of

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t) = \frac{1}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(t)) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1$$

and the h -convexity of f , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(t) &= \frac{1}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(tX(1) + (1-t)C) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} [h(t)f(X(1)) + h(1-t)f(C)] J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 \\ &= \frac{h(t)}{|S_n(C, R)|} \int_{\varphi_1=0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{\varphi_{n-2}=0}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_{n-1}=0}^{2\pi} f(X(1)) J_2 d\varphi_{n-1} d\varphi_{n-2} \cdots d\varphi_1 + h(1-t)f(C) \\ &\leq h(t)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(1) + 2\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R)h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t)\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(1) \\ &= \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(1) \left[h(t) + 2\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(R)h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to express their deep thanks to the referees for many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Alomari and M. Darus, The Hadamard's inequality for s -convex function of 2-variables on the co-ordinates, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 2 (13) (2008), 629–638.
- [2] P. Burai and A. Háyzy, On approximately h -convex functions, *J. Convex Anal.*, 18 (2) (2011), 1–9.
- [3] W.W. Breckner, Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter Konvexer funktionen in topologischen linearen Raumen, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 23 (1978), 13–20.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, On Hadamard's inequality on a disk, *J. Inequal. in Pure Appl. Math.*, 1 (1) (2000), 11pp (Article 2).
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, On Hadamard's inequality for the convex mappings defined on a ball in the space and applications, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 3 (2) (2000), 177–187.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, On the Hadamard's inequality for convex functions on the co-ordinates in a rectangle from the plane, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 5 (4) (2001), 775–788.

- [7] S. S. Dragomir, S. Fitzpatrick, The Hadamards inequality for s -convex functions in the second sense, *Demonstratio Math.*, 32 (4) (1999), 687–696.
- [8] E. K. Godunova and V. I. Levin, Neravenstva dlja funkci širokogo klassa soderzascego vypuklye monotonnye i nekotorye drugie vidy funkci, *Vycislitel, Mat. i. Fiz. Mezvuzov. Sb. Nauc. MGPI Moskva*, (1985), 138–142.
- [9] A. Háyzy, Bernstein-Doetsch type results for h -convex functions, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 14 (3) (2011), 499–508.
- [10] M. A. Latif, On some inequalities for h -convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 4 (30) (2010), 1473–1482.
- [11] M. A. Latif and M. Alomafi, On Hadamard-type inequalities for h -convex function on the coordinates, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 3 (33) (2009), 1645–1656.
- [12] M. Małłoka, On Hadamards inequality for h -convex function on a disk, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 235 (2014), 118–123.
- [13] A. Olbryś, Representation theorems for h -convexity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 426 (2015), 986–994.
- [14] C.E.M. Pearce and A.M. Rubinov, P -functions, quasi-convex functions and Hadamard-type inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 240 (1999), 92–104.
- [15] M. Z. Sarikaya, A. Saglam and H. Yildirim, On some Hadamard-Type inequalities for h -convex functions, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 2 (3) (2008), 335–341.
- [16] S. Varošanec, On h -convexity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 326 (2007), 303–311.