



## The Outer Inverse $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ of a Homomorphism of Right $R$ -Modules

Zhou Wang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, P. R. China*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we introduce the definition of the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ , which is an outer inverse of the homomorphism  $f$  of right  $R$ -modules with prescribed image  $T$  and kernel  $S$ . Some basic properties of the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  are presented. It is shown that the Drazin inverse, the group inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse, if they exist, are all the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . In addition, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(1,2)}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a matrix over the field of complex number. It is well known [3,12] that the group inverse, the Drazin inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $A$  are all the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$ , where  $T, S$  are the range and null space of the outer inverse of  $A$ , respectively. In 1998, Wei presents an explicit expression for the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$ , and establishes the characterization and representation theorem (see [15]).

In 2005, Yu and Wang [13] introduce the definition of the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  of a matrix  $A$  over a commutative ring  $R$ . They also give an explicit expression for  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  over integral domain. In addition, it is shown that over integral domain, the Drazin inverse, the group inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse are all  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . Furthermore, they extend the notion of the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  to the matrix  $A$  over an associative ring [14]. It is obtained that the Drazin inverse, the group inverse and the Moore-Penrose inverse, if they exist, are all the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . They also give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(1,2)}$  and some explicit expressions for  $A_{T,S}^{(1,2)}$ .

From the view of homomorphisms, a matrix over the field of complex number can be regarded as a homomorphism (or a linear transformation) of finite dimensional vector spaces, and a matrix over a commutative (noncommutative) ring is corresponding exactly to a homomorphism of finitely generated

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D10, 15A09; Secondary 16S50, 16U99

*Keywords.* the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ ; the  $R$ -homomorphism; Drazin inverse; group inverse; Moore-Penrose inverse

Received: 19 July 2019; Accepted: 25 December 2019

Communicated by Dijana Mosić

Research supported by the NNSF of China (No. 10971024)

*Email address:* zhouwang@seu.edu.cn (Zhou Wang)

free modules. Hence, one naturally wants to know whether the free modules could be generalized to arbitrary modules over an associative ring.

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes an associative ring with unity, and  $M, N$  denote right  $R$ -modules. If  $S$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  then we write  $S \leq M$ . We denote an  $R$ -homomorphism from  $M$  to  $N$  by  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ .  $\text{Im}(f)$  and  $\text{Ker}(f)$  stand for the image and the kernel of  $f$ , respectively. Standard facts in ring and module theory used without mention in the text can be found in [1].

An  $R$ -homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is said to be *von Neumann regular* if there exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  such that  $f = fgf$ . In this case,  $g$  is called a  $\{1\}$ -inverse (or *inner inverse*) of  $f$  and denoted by  $f^{(1)}$ . Moreover, we recall that  $g$  is a  $\{2\}$ -inverse (or *outer inverse*) of  $f$  if  $g = gfg$ , and denoted by  $f^{(2)}$ . It is well known that  $\{1\}$ -invertible property implies  $\{2\}$ -invertible property, i.e.,  $\{1\}$ -invertible property =  $\{1, 2\}$ -invertible property.

An endomorphism  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$  is said to be *Drazin invertible* if for some positive integer  $k$  there exists an endomorphism  $g$  such that

$$(i) \ g = gfg, \ (ii) \ f^k = f^{k+1}g \ \text{and} \ (iii) \ fg = gf.$$

If  $g$  exists then it is unique and is called the *Drazin inverse* of  $f$  and denoted by  $f^D$ . If  $k$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $g$  and  $f$  satisfy (i), (ii) and (iii), then it is called the *Drazin index* and denoted by  $\text{Ind}(f)$ . If  $k = 1$  then  $g$  is called the *group inverse* of  $f$  and denoted by  $f^\#$ .

Let  $*$  be an involution on the  $R$ -homomorphisms. Recall that  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is said to be *Moore-Penrose invertible* if there is a homomorphism  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  such that

$$f = fgf, \ g = gfg, \ (fg)^* = fg \ \text{and} \ (gf)^* = gf.$$

Here  $g$  is called the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of  $f$  and denoted by  $f^\dagger$ .

More generally, an  $R$ -homomorphism of modules is regarded as a morphism in the category of modules, which is an additive category. The Moore-Penrose inverses and other generalized inverses of a morphism in an additive category are studied by many authors (see [4,6,9-11]).

Our goal in this paper is to extend the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  of a matrix  $A$  to  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  of an  $R$ -homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ , which is  $\{2\}$ -inverse of  $f$  with prescribed image  $T$  and kernel  $S$ . In Section 2, we establish the definition of the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ , and give some explicit expressions for  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  by a projection or group inverses. In addition, we also show that the Drazin inverse  $f^D$ , the group inverse  $f^\#$  and the Moore-Penrose inverse  $f^\dagger$ , if they exist, are all the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . In Section 3 we investigate necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(1,2)}$ . For any  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ , we obtain some equivalent conditions for the existence of  $f_{\text{Im}(h), \text{Ker}(h)}^{(1,2)}$ . This paper is motivated by the interesting results of Yu and Wang [13,14], and some different methods are used in the proof of our main results.

## 2. The generalized inverse $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ of a homomorphism of right $R$ -modules

We begin this section from the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and let  $T \leq M, S \leq N$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  such that  $gfg = g, \text{Im}(g) = T$  and  $\text{Ker}(g) = S$ .*
- (2)  *$f(T) \oplus S = N$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\}$ .*

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $s \in f(T) \cap S$ . Then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $s = fg(n) \in S$ . From  $S = \text{Ker}(g)$ , it follows that  $g(n) = gfg(n) = g(s) = 0$ . Then  $s = fg(n) = 0$ . This shows that  $f(T) \cap S = \{0\}$ . Take  $n \in N$ . Then  $g(n) \in T$ , and  $(1 - fg)(n) \in S$  since  $g = gfg$  and  $\text{Ker}(g) = S$ . Thus, we get

$$n = fg(n) + (1 - fg)(n) \in f(T) + S.$$

This shows that  $f(T) \oplus S = N$ . Let  $t \in \text{Ker}(f) \cap T$ . Then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $t = g(n)$  and  $f(t) = 0$ . So we get  $t = g(n) = gfg(n) = gf(t) = 0$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Define

$$g : N \rightarrow M, n = f(t) + s \mapsto t, \text{ where } t \in T, s \in S.$$

We show first that  $g$  is well defined. In fact, assume that  $f(t) + s = 0$ . Since  $N = f(T) \oplus S$ , we have  $s = f(t) = 0$ . This implies that  $t \in \text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\}$ , i.e.,  $t = 0$ . Next, it is sufficient to prove

$$\text{Im}(g) = T, \text{Ker}(g) = S \text{ and } gfg = g.$$

By the definition of  $g$ , we get  $\text{Im}(g) \subseteq T$ . Let  $t \in T$ . Then  $gf(t) = t$ . This shows that  $t \in \text{Im}(g)$ , and so  $\text{Im}(g) = T$ . Let  $n \in \text{Ker}(g)$ . From (2), we have  $n = f(t) + s$  for some  $t \in T, s \in S$ . Then  $t = g(n) = 0$ . Thus,  $n = s \in S$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ker}(g) \subseteq S$ . Let  $s \in S$ . Then  $g(s) = g(f(0) + s) = 0$ , and so  $s \in \text{Ker}(g)$ . This implies  $\text{Ker}(g) = S$ . For any  $n \in N$ , we may calculate directly

$$gfg(n) = gfg(f(t) + s) = gf(t) = t = g(f(t) + s) = g(n).$$

Hence,  $gfg = g$ .  $\square$

The following result should be well known, but we can not find it somewhere.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . Then the following hold.

- (1)  $P_H f = f$  if and only if  $\text{Im}(f) \leq H$ , where  $N = H \oplus K, P_H : N \rightarrow N, h + k \mapsto h$ .
- (2)  $fP_{H'} = f$  if and only if  $K' \leq \text{Ker}(f)$ , where  $M = H' \oplus K', P_{H'} : M \rightarrow M, h' + k' \mapsto h'$ .

**Proof.** (1). The implication follows from  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Im}(P_H f) \subseteq H$ . For any  $m \in M$ , we have  $f(m) = h + k$  for some  $h \in H, k \in K$ . Note that  $\text{Im}(f) \leq H$ . Then  $k = f(m) - h \in H \cap K = \{0\}$  since  $N = H \oplus K$ . This implies that  $f(m) = h$ , and so

$$P_H f(m) = P_H(h) = h = f(m).$$

Thus,  $P_H f = f$ .

(2). Let  $k' \in K'$ . Then  $f(k') = fP_{H'}(k') = f(0) = 0$ , as required. Conversely, for any  $m \in M$ , it follows that  $m = h' + k'$  for some  $h' \in H', k' \in K'$  from  $M = H' \oplus K'$ . Then

$$fP_{H'}(m) = f(h') = f(h' + k') = f(m),$$

which shows  $fP_{H'} = f$ .  $\square$

Let  $M = H \oplus K$ . Define  $P_H : M \rightarrow M; h + k \mapsto h$ . Then  $P_H^2 = P_H$ . Conversely, suppose  $p^2 = p \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then  $M = \text{Im}(p) \oplus \text{Im}(1 - p) := H \oplus K$ , which implies  $p = P_H$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** If the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied, then  $g$  is unique.

**Proof.** Assume that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Then we have  $\text{Im}(g_1) = T = \text{Im}(g_2) = \text{Im}(g_2 f g_2) \subseteq \text{Im}(g_2 f)$ . Set  $H = \text{Im}(g_2 f)$ . Since  $g_2 f g_2 = g_2$ , we get  $\text{Im}(g_1) \leq H$  and  $M = H \oplus \text{Im}(1 - g_2 f)$ . Note that  $(g_2 f)^2 = g_2 f$ . Then  $P_H = g_2 f$ , and so we obtain that  $g_1 = P_H g_1 = (g_2 f) g_1$  by Lemma 2.2(1). Since  $g_1 = g_1 f g_1$ , we have  $\text{Im}(1 - f g_1) \subseteq \text{Ker}(g_1) = S = \text{Ker}(g_2)$ . Take  $H' = \text{Im}(f g_1)$  and  $K' = \text{Im}(1 - f g_1)$ . Then  $K' \leq \text{Ker}(g_2)$  and  $M = H' \oplus K'$  with  $P_{H'} = f g_1$ . This implies  $g_2 = g_2(f g_1)$  by Lemma 2.2(2). Thus, we get  $g_1 = g_2$ .  $\square$

A homomorphism  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  is called **the generalized inverse**, which is an outer inverse of the homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  with prescribed image  $T$  and kernel  $S$  if it satisfies the equivalent conditions in Lemma 2.1, and is denoted by  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  have the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  (say  $g$ ). Set  $N = f(T) \oplus S$ ,  $T = \text{Im}(g)$  and  $S = \text{Ker}(g)$ . Define  $f|_T : T \rightarrow f(T)$ . Then  $f|_T$  is an isomorphism, and

$$g = (f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)},$$

where  $P_{f(T)} : N \rightarrow N$ ,  $f(t) + s \mapsto f(t)$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that  $f|_T$  is epimorphic. We show only that  $f|_T$  is monomorphic. Let  $f(t) = 0$  for  $t \in T$ . Then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $t = g(n)$ . Set  $n = f(t') + s$ , where  $t' \in T$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then  $t' = g(n')$  for some  $n' \in N$  since  $T = \text{Im}(g)$ . Thus, we have

$$0 = f(t) = f(g(n)) = fgf(t') = fg(n') = f(t').$$

This implies that  $t = g(n) = g(f(t') + s) = g(s) = 0$ , as required. Next, it is sufficient to prove  $f_{T,S}^{(2)} = (f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)}$ .

$$(f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)}f(f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)} = (f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)}^2 = (f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)},$$

$$\text{Im}((f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)}) = (f|_T)^{-1}f(T) = T,$$

$$\text{Ker}((f|_T)^{-1}P_{f(T)}) = \text{Ker}(P_{f(T)}) = S.$$

So the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . If the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  exists, then

(1)  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}fh = h$  if and only if  $\text{Im}(h) \leq T$ , where  $h : X \rightarrow M$ .

(2)  $hff_{T,S}^{(2)} = h$  if and only if  $S \leq \text{Ker}(h)$ , where  $h : N \rightarrow Y$ .

**Proof.** (1). Set  $g = f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . Then the implication follows from

$$\text{Im}(h) = \text{Im}(gh) \subseteq \text{Im}(g) = T.$$

For any  $x \in X$ , say  $t = h(x)$ . Note that  $\text{Im}(h) \leq T$ . Then there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $t = g(n)$ . By  $g = gfg$ , we check easily that

$$ghh(x) = gf(t) = gfg(n) = g(n) = h(x).$$

So we get  $ghh = h$ .

(2). Let  $s \in S = \text{Ker}(g)$ . Then  $fh(s) = hfg(s) = 0$ , i.e.,  $s \in \text{Ker}(h)$ , as required. Conversely, for any  $n \in N$ , say  $n = f(t) + s$  for some  $t \in T$ ,  $s \in S$ . From  $\text{Im}(g) = T$ , there exists  $n' \in N$  such that  $t = g(n')$ . Thus, we have

$$hfg(n) = hfgf(t) = hfgfg(n') = hfg(n') = hf(t).$$

On the other hand,  $S \leq \text{Ker}(h)$  implies  $hf(t) = h(f(t) + s) = h(n)$ , so one obtains  $hfg(n) = h(n)$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

The following result is well known (also see [1, 3.6]).

**Lemma 2.6. (The Factor Theorem)** Let  $g, h : N \rightarrow T$  be two  $R$ -homomorphisms. If  $h$  is an epimorphism with  $\text{Ker}(h) \leq \text{Ker}(g)$ , then there exists unique homomorphism  $\omega : T \rightarrow T$  such that  $g = \omega h$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  exists (say  $g$ ). If  $h : N \rightarrow M$  satisfies  $\text{Im}(h) = T$ ,  $\text{Ker}(h) = S$ , then there exists an isomorphism  $\omega : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $g = \omega h$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\text{Im}(g) = T = \text{Im}(h)$ . Then  $g, h$  reduce two epimorphisms  $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h}$  from  $N$  to  $T$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ker}(g) = S = \text{Ker}(h)$  implies  $\text{Ker}(\tilde{g}) = \text{Ker}(\tilde{h})$ . By Lemma 2.6, there exist  $\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{v} \in \text{End}_R(T)$  such that  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{\omega}\tilde{h}$  and  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{v}\tilde{g}$ . Thus, we have  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{\omega}\tilde{v}\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{v}\tilde{\omega}\tilde{h}$ . Since both  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  are epimorphic, we get  $\tilde{\omega}\tilde{v} = 1_T$ ,  $\tilde{v}\tilde{\omega} = 1_T$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\omega}$  is an isomorphism. Note that  $T = \text{Im}(g)$  is a direct summand of  $M$  since  $gfg = g$ , say  $M = T \oplus X$ . Define  $\omega : M \rightarrow M$ ;  $m = t + x \mapsto \tilde{\omega}(t) + x$ . It is easy to check that  $\omega$  is an isomorphism and  $g = \omega h$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  exists. If  $h : N \rightarrow M$  satisfies  $\text{Im}(h) = T$ ,  $\text{Ker}(h) = S$ , then there exists an isomorphism  $\omega : M \rightarrow M$  such that

$$\omega h f h = h \text{ and } h f \omega h = h.$$

**Proof.** Set  $g = f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . By Corollary 2.5, we have  $g f h = h$  and  $h f g = h$ . From Theorem 2.7, there exists an isomorphism  $\omega \in \text{End}_R(M)$  such that  $g = \omega h$ . Thus, we get

$$\omega h f h = g f h = h \text{ and } h f \omega h = h f \omega h = h.$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

The following lemma is duo to Armendariz, Fisher and Snider [2, Proposition 2.3] (also see [7]).

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of right  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) The endomorphism  $\alpha$  is strongly regular.
- (2) There exists a direct decomposition  $M = \text{Im}(\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ .
- (2) The endomorphism  $\alpha$  is group invertible.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ ,  $T \leq M$ ,  $S \leq N$ . Suppose that  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  exists. If there is  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  such that  $\text{Im}(h) = T$  and  $\text{Ker}(h) = S$ , then both  $fh$  and  $hf$  are group invertible. Furthermore,

$$f_{T,S}^{(2)} = h(fh)^\# = (hf)^\#h.$$

**Proof.** We prove firstly that  $fh$  is group invertible. By Lemma 2.9, it is sufficient to show that

$$N = \text{Im}(fh) \oplus \text{Ker}(fh).$$

Note that  $\text{Im}(fh) = f\text{Im}(h) = f(T)$  and  $S = \text{Ker}(h) \subseteq \text{Ker}(fh)$ . For any  $n \in \text{Ker}(fh)$ , by Lemma 2.1(2), we have

$$h(n) \in \text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Im}(h) = \text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\}.$$

This shows that  $n \in \text{Ker}(h)$ , and so

$$\text{Ker}(fh) = \text{Ker}(h) = S.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1(1), we have

$$N = f(T) \oplus S = \text{Im}(fh) \oplus \text{Ker}(fh).$$

Next, for any  $m \in \text{Im}(h)$ , there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $m = h(n)$ . Then

$$f(m) = fh(n) = (fh)(fh)^\#(fh)(n) \in \text{Im}((fh)(fh)^\#),$$

i.e.,  $f(m) = (fh)(fh)^\sharp(n')$  for some  $n' \in N$ . Thus, we get

$$m - h(fh)^\sharp(n') \in \text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\},$$

and so

$$m = h(fh)^\sharp(n') \in \text{Im}(h(fh)^\sharp).$$

This shows that

$$\text{Im}(h(fh)^\sharp) = \text{Im}(h) = T.$$

Note that  $\text{Ker}(fh) = \text{Ker}(h) = S$ . Then it is necessary to check that

$$\text{Ker}(h(fh)^\sharp) = \text{Ker}(fh).$$

Let  $fh(n) = 0$ . Then

$$fh(fh)^\sharp(n) = (fh)^\sharp fh(n) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$h(fh)^\sharp(n) \in \text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\},$$

and so  $n \in \text{Ker}(h(fh)^\sharp)$ . Thus, we have  $\text{Ker}(fh) \subseteq \text{Ker}(h(fh)^\sharp)$ . Note that

$$fh = (fh)^2(fh)^\sharp = (fhf)(h(fh)^\sharp).$$

Then

$$\text{Ker}(h(fh)^\sharp) \subseteq \text{Ker}(fh).$$

This shows that  $\text{Ker}(h(fh)^\sharp) = \text{Ker}(fh)$ , and so  $\text{Ker}(h(fh)^\sharp) = S$ . Note that

$$(h(fh)^\sharp)f(h(fh)^\sharp) = h(fh)^\sharp(fh)(fh)^\sharp = h(fh)^\sharp.$$

Thus, this shows that  $f_{T,S}^{(2)} = h(fh)^\sharp$ .

Set  $g = f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . By Theorem 2.7, we have  $g = \omega h$  for some automorphism of  $M$ . It follows that

$$\text{Im}(hf) \subseteq \text{Im}(h) = \text{Im}(hfg) \subseteq \text{Im}(hf)$$

from Corollary 2.5(2). This implies that

$$\text{Im}(hf) = \text{Im}(h) = T = \text{Im}(g) = \text{Im}(gf)$$

since  $gfg = g$ . Note that

$$\text{Ker}(hf) = \text{Ker}(\omega hf) = \text{Ker}(gf) = \text{Im}(1 - gf),$$

and so we have

$$M = \text{Im}(gf) \oplus \text{Im}(1 - gf) = \text{Im}(hf) \oplus \text{Ker}(hf).$$

It follows that  $hf$  is group invertible from Lemma 2.9. Moreover, we can check that  $f_{T,S}^{(2)} = (hf)^\sharp h$ .  $\square$

In the next result, we will show that for an arbitrary homomorphism  $f$  of right  $R$ -modules, Drazin inverse  $f^D$ , group inverse  $f^\sharp$  and Moore-Penrose inverse  $f^\dagger$ , if they exist, are all the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules.*

(1) *Let  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . If  $f^D$  exists with  $\text{Ind}(f) = k$ , then  $f^D = f_{\text{Im}(f^k), \text{Ker}(f^k)}^{(2)}$ .*

(2) *Let  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ . If  $f^\sharp$  exists, then  $f^\sharp = f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(2)}$ .*

(3) *Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . If  $f^\dagger$  exists with an involution  $*$  on homomorphisms of modules, then  $f^\dagger = f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(2)}$ .*

**Proof.** (1). Since  $f^D f f^D = f^D$ , by Lemma 2.1(1), it is sufficient to show that

$$\text{Im}(f^D) = \text{Im}(f^k) \text{ and } \text{Ker}(f^D) = \text{Ker}(f^k).$$

Note that  $f f^D = f^D f$  and  $f^k = f^D f^{k+1}$ . Then

$$\text{Im}(f^D) = \text{Im}(f^D f f^D) = \text{Im}((f^D f)^k f^D) = \text{Im}(f^k (f^D)^{k+1}) \subseteq \text{Im}(f^k),$$

and

$$\text{Im}(f^k) = \text{Im}(f^D f^{k+1}) \subseteq \text{Im}(f^D).$$

It follows that  $\text{Im}(f^D) = \text{Im}(f^k)$ . Since  $f^k = f^{k+1} f^D$  and  $f^D = f^D f f^D = f^D (f f^D)^k = (f^D)^{k+1} f^k$ , this implies that  $\text{Ker}(f^D) = \text{Ker}(f^k)$ . Thus, we have  $f^D = f_{\text{Im}(f^k), \text{Ker}(f^k)}^{(2)}$ .

(2). Take  $k = 1$  in (1).

(3). Note that  $f^\dagger f f^\dagger = f^\dagger$ . By Lemma 2.1(1), it is only necessary to check that

$$\text{Im}(f^\dagger) = \text{Im}(f^*) \text{ and } \text{Ker}(f^\dagger) = \text{Ker}(f^*).$$

Since  $f \in f^{[1,2]}$  and  $f^* \in (f^*)^{[1,2]}$ , we can get easily that

$$\text{Im}(f^\dagger) = \text{Im}(f^\dagger f) = \text{Im}((f^\dagger f)^*) = \text{Im}(f^* (f^\dagger)^*) = \text{Im}(f^*),$$

and

$$\text{Ker}(f^\dagger) = \text{Ker}(f f^\dagger) = \text{Ker}((f f^\dagger)^*) = \text{Ker}((f^\dagger)^* f^*) = \text{Ker}(f^*).$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3. The generalized inverse $f_{T,S}^{(1,2)}$ of a homomorphism of right $R$ -modules

If the generalized inverse  $f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  satisfies  $f f_{T,S}^{(2)} f = f$ , then it is called the generalized inverse which is a  $\{1, 2\}$ -inverse of a homomorphism  $f$  of modules with prescribed image  $T$  and kernel  $S$ , and is denoted by  $f_{T,S}^{(1,2)}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and let  $T \leq M, S \leq N$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $f(T) \oplus S = N, \text{Im}(f) \cap S = 0$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\}$ .
- (2) There exists some  $g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$  such that

$$f g f = f, g f g = g, \text{Im}(g) = T \text{ and } \text{Ker}(g) = S.$$

- (3)  $\text{Im}(f) \oplus S = N$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \oplus T = M$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). From  $f(T) \oplus S = N$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap T = 0$ , we get that  $g = f_{T,S}^{(2)}$  exists and that  $\text{Im}(g) = T, \text{Ker}(g) = S$  by Lemma 2.1. We only need to show that  $f g f = f$ . Note that  $g f g = g$ . Then we have  $f g f g = g f$ , which implies

$$\text{Im}(f g f - f) \subseteq \text{Im}(f) \cap \text{Ker}(g) = \text{Im}(f) \cap S = \{0\}.$$

So  $f g f = f$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). From  $\text{Im}(g) = T$ , we have  $f(T) = \text{Im}(f g)$ . Note that  $f g f = f$  implying  $\text{Im}(f g) = \text{Im}(f)$ . Then  $f(T) = \text{Im}(f)$ . By (2), we know  $g = f_{T,S}^{(2)}$ . Hence,  $N = f(T) \oplus S = \text{Im}(f) \oplus S$ . Next,  $f = f g f$  implies that  $\text{Ker}(f) = \text{Im}(I_M - g f)$ . From  $\text{Im}(g) = T$ , we have

$$M = \text{Im}(I_M - g f) + \text{Im}(g) = \text{Ker}(f) + T.$$

Hence, it follows from  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). It is clear that  $\text{Im}(f) \cap S = \{0\}$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap T = \{0\}$ . To obtain  $f(T) \oplus S = N$ , it is sufficient to show  $f(T) = \text{Im}(f)$ . For any  $n \in \text{Im}(f)$ , we have  $n = f(m)$  for some  $m \in M$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(f) \oplus T = M$ , we can say  $m = m_1 + m_2$  where  $m_1 \in \text{Ker}(f)$ ,  $m_2 \in T$ . Thus, we get

$$n = f(m) = f(m_1) + f(m_2) = f(m_2) \in f(T),$$

and so  $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq f(T)$ . Clearly,  $f(T) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ . Hence,  $f(T) = \text{Im}(f)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and let  $T \leq M$ ,  $S \leq N$ .

(1) If  $\text{Ker}(f) + T = M$ , then  $f(T) = \text{Im}(f)$ .

(2) If  $f(T) \oplus S = N$ , then

$$f(T) = \text{Im}(f) \text{ if and only if } \text{Im}(f) \cap S = \{0\}.$$

**Proof.** (1) follows easily from the observation that

$$f(M) = f(\text{Ker}(f) + T) \subseteq f(\text{Ker}(f)) + f(T) = f(T).$$

(2). Suppose that  $\text{Im}(f) \cap S = \{0\}$ . Obviously, we have  $f(T) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ . For any  $x \in \text{Im}(f)$ ,  $x = x_1 + x_2$ , where  $x_1 \in f(T)$ ,  $x_2 \in S$ . From  $f(T) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ ,  $x_1 \in \text{Im}(f)$ . Thus,  $x_2 = x - x_1 \in \text{Im}(f) \cap S = \{0\}$ . Therefore, we get  $x_2 = 0$  and then  $x = x_1 \in f(T)$ . Hence  $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq f(T)$ . Conversely, assume that  $f(T) = \text{Im}(f)$ . From  $f(T) \oplus S = N$ , we have  $\text{Im}(f) \cap S = f(T) \cap S = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3. (Jacobson Lemma)** Let  $a, b \in R$ . Then  $1 - ab$  is invertible if and only if  $1 - ba$  is invertible.

Let  $S = \text{End}_R(N)$  and  $T = \text{End}_R(M)$ . The following lemma is duo to Puystjens and Hartwig [8, Corollary 1.]. We will give a proof for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  is regular, and let  $f = ff^{(1)}f$ . Then the following are equivalent for any  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ .

(1)  $u = fhff^{(1)} + I_N - ff^{(1)}$  is invertible in  $S$ .

(2)  $v = f^{(1)}fhf + I_M - f^{(1)}f$  is invertible in  $T$ .

(3)  $Sfhf = Sf$  and  $fhfT = fT$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). Note that  $u = I_N - (f - fhf)f^{(1)}$  and  $v = I_M - f^{(1)}(f - fhf)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.3,  $u$  is invertible in  $S$  if and only if  $v$  is invertible in  $T$ .

(1) (and (2))  $\Rightarrow$  (3). It follows that  $uf = fhf = fv$  from (1) and (2). Note that  $u$  and  $v$  are both invertible. Then it implies that  $Sfhf = Sf$  and  $fhfT = fT$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose that  $xfhf = f = fhfy$  for some  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in T$ . Take  $\alpha = fyf^{(1)} + I_N - ff^{(1)}$  and  $\beta = xff^{(1)} + I_N - ff^{(1)}$ . Then we can directly calculate that  $u\alpha = \beta u = I_N$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ ,  $h \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(1)  $f$  is regular,  $u = fhff^{(1)} + I_N - ff^{(1)}$  is invertible in  $S$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Im}(h) = \{0\}$ .

(2)  $f$  is regular,  $v = f^{(1)}fhf + I_M - f^{(1)}f$  is invertible in  $T$  and  $\text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Im}(h) = \{0\}$ .

(3)  $f_{\text{Im}(h), \text{Ker}(h)}^{(1,2)}$  exists.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is clear from Lemma 3.4.

(1) (and (2))  $\Rightarrow$  (3). From (1) and (2), we can check easily that  $uf = fhf = fv$  and  $fv^{-1} = u^{-1}f$ . Set  $\varphi = fv^{-2}h$ . Then we have

$$\varphi(fh) = fv^{-2}hfh = u^{-2}fhfh = u^{-1}fh = fv^{-1}h = fhfv^{-2}h = (fh)\varphi,$$

$$\varphi(fh)\varphi = u^{-1}fhfv^{-2}h = fv^{-2}h = \varphi,$$



and

$$(fh)\varphi(fh) = fhfv^{-1}h = fh.$$

This shows that  $fh$  is group invertible and  $\varphi = (fh)^\#$ . Set  $g = h(fh)^\#$ . It is easy to check that

$$gfg = h(fh)^\#fh(fh)^\# = h(fh)^\# = g,$$

and

$$fgf = fhfv^{-2}hf = u^{-1}fhf = f.$$

Next, it is sufficient to show that  $\text{Im}(g) = \text{Im}(h)$  and  $\text{Ker}(g) = \text{Ker}(h)$ . Since  $fh = (fh)^2(fh)^\# = fhfg$ , we get  $f(h - hfg) = 0$ . This implies that

$$\text{Im}(h - hfg) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f) \cap \text{Im}(h) = \{0\},$$

and so

$$h = hfg = hfh(fh)^\# = h(fh)^\#fh = gfh.$$

Note that

$$g = h(fh)^\# = h((fh)^\#)^2fh.$$

Then we can obtain that  $\text{Im}(g) = \text{Im}(h)$  and  $\text{Ker}(g) = \text{Ker}(h)$ . Thus, it follows that  $f_{\text{Im}(h), \text{Ker}(h)}^{(1,2)}$  exists and  $g = f_{\text{Im}(h), \text{Ker}(h)}^{(1,2)}$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose  $f_{\text{Im}(h), \text{Ker}(h)}^{(1,2)}$  exists and say  $g = f_{\text{Im}(h), \text{Ker}(h)}^{(1,2)}$ . By Theorem 2.10, we have  $g = h(fh)^\#$ . Take  $S = \text{End}({}_R N)$  and  $T = \text{End}({}_R M)$ . Note that

$$Sfhf \subseteq Sf = Sfgf = Sfh(fh)^\#f = S(fh)^\#fhf \subseteq Sfhf.$$

Then  $Sfhf = Sf$ . It is easy to see

$$fhfT \subseteq fT \subseteq fgfgfT = fh(fh)^\#fh(fh)^\#fT = (fhf)h((fh)^\#)^2fT \subseteq fhfT,$$

so we get  $fhfT = fT$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $u$  is invertible in  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules.

(1) If  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ , then  $f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)}$  exists if and only if  $f^\#$  exists. Moreover,  $f^\# = f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)}$ .

(2) If  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and  $*$  is an involution on the homomorphisms of modules, then  $f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)}$  exists if and only if  $f^\dagger$  exists. Moreover,  $f^\dagger = f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)}$ .

**Proof.** (1). By Theorem 2.11, it is sufficient to show that the existence of  $f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)}$  implies existence of  $f^\#$ . Then, by Theorem 2.10,  $f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)} = f(f^2)^\# = (f^2)^\#f$ , and so  $f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)} = f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)}f$ . Hence,  $f_{\text{Im}(f), \text{Ker}(f)}^{(1,2)}$  is the group inverse of  $f$ .

(2). To show that existence of  $f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)}$  implies existence of  $f^\dagger$ , take  $h = f^*$  as in Theorem 2.10. Then  $f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)} = f^*(ff^*)^\# = (ff^*)^\#f^*$ . This implies that

$$(f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)})^* = f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)} \text{ and } (f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)}f)^* = f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)}.$$

Hence  $f_{\text{Im}(f^*), \text{Ker}(f^*)}^{(1,2)}$  is the Moore-Penrose inverse of  $f$ . Conversely, it follows from Theorem 2.11.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are grateful to the referees and Shen Guan for their very useful and detailed comments and suggestions which greatly improve the presentation.

**References**

- [1] F. W. Anderson, K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules* (2nd edition), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, Heidelberg, 2004.
- [2] E. P. Armendariz, J. W. Fisher, R. L. Snider, On injective and surjective endomorphisms of finitely generated modules, *Comm. Algebra* 6 (1978) 659-672.
- [3] A. Ben-Israel, T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized inverses: Theory and applications* (2nd edition), Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 2003.
- [4] D. L. Davis, D. W. Robinson, Generalized inverses of morphisms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 5 (1972) 319-328.
- [5] M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [6] J. M. Miao, D. W. Robinson, Group and Moore-Penrose inverses of regular morphisms with kernel and cokernel, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 110 (1988) 263-270.
- [7] W. K. Nicholson, Strongly clean rings and Fitting's lemma, *Comm. Algebra* 27 (1999) 3583-3592.
- [8] R. Puystjen, R. E. Hartwig, The group inverse of a companion matrix, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 43 (1997) 137-150.
- [9] R. Puystjens, D.W. Robinson, The Moore-Penrose inverse of a morphism in additive category, *Comm. Algebra* 12(3) (1984) 287-299.
- [10] D. W. Robinson, R. Puystjens, Generalized inverses of morphisms with kernels, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 96 (1987) 65-85.
- [11] H. You, J. L. Chen, Generalized inverses of a sum of morphisms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 338 (2001) 261-273.
- [12] G. R. Wang, Y. M. Wei, S. Qiao, *Generalized inverses: Theory and computations*, Science Press, Beijing/New York, 2004.
- [13] Y. M. Yu, G. R. Wang, The generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  over commutative rings, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 53 (2005) 293-302.
- [14] Y. M. Yu, G. R. Wang, The generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  of a matrix over an associative ring, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 83 (2007) 423-437.
- [15] Y. M. Wei, A characterization and representation of the generalized inverse  $A_{T,S}^{(2)}$  and its applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 280 (1998) 97-96.