



Grüss-Landau Inequalities for Elementary Operators and Inner Product Type Transformers in \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}^* Norm Ideals of Compact Operators

Milan Lazarević^a

^aUniversity of Belgrade, Department of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, P.O. box 550, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract. For a probability measure μ on Ω and square integrable (Hilbert space) operator valued functions $\{A_i^*\}_{i \in \Omega}$, $\{B_i\}_{i \in \Omega}$, we prove Grüss-Landau type operator inequality for inner product type transformers

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} A_i X B_i d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_i d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_i d\mu(t) \right|^{2\eta} \leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_i A_i^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_i^* d\mu(t) \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_i^* X^* X B_i d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_i d\mu(t) \right\|^2 \eta,$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and for all $\eta \in [0, 1]$.

Let $p \geq 2$, Φ to be a symmetrically norming (s.n.) function, $\Phi^{(p)}$ to be its p -modification, $\Phi^{(p)*}$ is a s.n. function adjoint to $\Phi^{(p)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(p)*}}$ to be a norm on its associated ideal $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)*}}(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators. If $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)*}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1]$, such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\alpha_n^{-1/2} A_n f\|^2 + \|\alpha_n^{-1/2} B_n^* f\|^2 < +\infty$ for some families $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of bounded operators on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} A_n X B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)*}} \leq \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |A_n|^2} - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right|^2 \right\| X \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |B_n|^2} - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right|^2 \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)*}},$$

if at least one of those operator families consists of mutually commuting normal operators.

The related Grüss-Landau type $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}$ norm inequalities for inner product type transformers are also provided.

1. Introduction

A well known Grüss-Landau inequality says that for a probability measure μ on Ω and measurable complex functions f and g on Ω

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} f g d\mu - \int_{\Omega} f d\mu \int_{\Omega} g d\mu \right| \leq \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 d\mu - \left| \int_{\Omega} f d\mu \right|^2} \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |g|^2 d\mu - \left| \int_{\Omega} g d\mu \right|^2} =: R. \quad (1)$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A30, 47A63; Secondary 47B10, 47B15, 46L05

Keywords. Unitarily invariant norms, symmetrically norming functions, p -modified norms and their dual norms

Received: 17 August 2018; Accepted: 21 October 2018

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by MPNTR grant No. 174017, Serbia

Email address: lazarevic@matf.bg.ac.rs (Milan Lazarević)

Specially, if f and g are real bounded functions on Ω , then the rightmost side of (1) can be further estimated by

$$R \leq \left(\left(\Phi - \int_{\Omega} f d\mu \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} f d\mu - \varphi \right) \left(\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} g d\mu \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} g d\mu - \gamma \right) \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Phi - \varphi)(\Gamma - \gamma), \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi := \inf_{\text{ess}_{\Omega}} f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\sup_{\text{ess}_{\Omega}}(-f)$, $\Phi := \sup_{\text{ess}_{\Omega}} f$, $\gamma := \inf_{\text{ess}_{\Omega}} g$ and $\Gamma := \sup_{\text{ess}_{\Omega}} g$.

The first special case of inequalities (1) and (2), for the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\Omega := [a, b]$ (i.e. $d\mu(t) := \frac{dt}{b-a}$), was essentially proved by G. Grüss in [4]. E. Landau in his paper [11] reformulated those results in the above presented form, also providing an explicit application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove (1). An alternative application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, based on Korkine type identities given in [13, (7.1) p. 243], was used in [13] to prove (1), which immediately implies (2). A refined form of (1) was given in [9, Lemma 2.1] in the case of finite set Ω , including the case of operator valued functions f and g , while some other generalization of Grüss-Landau inequalities (1) and (2) were presented in [7, 8, 12] and the references therein.

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable, complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the spaces of all bounded and all compact linear operators, respectively. Each “symmetric gauge” or “symmetrically norming” (s.n.) function Φ , defined on sequences of complex numbers, gives rise to a symmetric or a unitary invariant (u.i.) norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$ on operators. Basic examples of s.n. functions are trace s.n. function ℓ^1 , defined by $\ell^1((\lambda_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|$ and (operator norm ℓ^{∞} or) operator norm ℓ^{∞} defined by $\ell^{\infty}((\lambda_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_n|$. Any such norm is unitarily invariant (u.i.) and it is defined on the naturally associated norm ideal $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$. If Φ is a s.n. function, then its adjoint s.n. function will be denoted by Φ^* . For any $p > 0$ a s.n. function Φ could be p -modified and its modification $\Phi^{(p)}$ represent a new s.n. functions (only) for $p \geq 1$. The proof of the triangle inequality for norms induced by this type of s.n. functions, and other properties, can be seen in preliminary section in [6]. Also, the corresponding ideals of compact operators will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$ and its dual by $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}(\mathcal{H})$.

Schatten-von Neumann trace classes $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{C}_{\ell^p}(\mathcal{H})$ represent classical examples of norm ideals associated to degree p -modified (i.e. its s.n. function ℓ^1) norms. $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is also known as the class of nuclear operators, while $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ is known as the Hilbert-Schmidt class. Norm in $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ will be denoted simply by $\|\cdot\|_p$. For $p \geq 2$, all norms $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}$ are also known as Q -norms, as $\Phi^{(p)} = (\Phi^{(\frac{p}{2})})^{(2)}$ and $\Phi^{(\frac{p}{2})}$ is also a s.n. function, while its dual norms $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}$ are commonly known as Q^* -norms. Norm dual to some classes of p -modified ones are characterized in [6, Th. 2.1].

If $(\Omega, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$ is a space Ω with a measure μ on σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} , then we will refer to a function $A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}): t \mapsto A_t$ as to a weakly*-measurable if $t \mapsto \langle A_t g, h \rangle$ is a measurable for all $g, h \in \mathcal{H}$. If, in addition, those functions are integrable, then there is the unique (known as Gel'fand or weak*-integral and denoted by $\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)$) operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, satisfying

$$\left\langle \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) h, k \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle A_t h, k \rangle d\mu(t) \quad \text{for all } h, k \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{3}$$

Thus, it also complies with the definition of Pettis integral. For a more complete account about weak*-integrals the reader is referred to [2, p.53], [5, p.320] and [7, Lemma 1.2]. For every $h \in \mathcal{H}$, the function $t \mapsto \|A_t h\|$ is also measurable, and, if additionally $\int_{\Omega} \|A_t h\|^2 d\mu(t) < +\infty$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists weak*-integral $\int_{\Omega} A_t^* A_t d\mu(t) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, satisfying $\left\langle \int_{\Omega} A_t^* A_t d\mu(t) h, h \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \|A_t h\|^2 d\mu(t)$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, as shown in [5, Ex. 2]. Such families $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ will be simple called square integrable (s.i.). By $L_G^2(\Omega, \mu, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ will be denoted the Banach space of all weakly*-measurable functions $A: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}): t \mapsto A_t$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \|A_t h\|^2 d\mu(t) < +\infty$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, endowed by the norm $\|A\|_{L^2(\mu, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t^* A_t d\mu(t) \right\|^{1/2}$ for any $A \in L_G^2(\Omega, \mu, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. For a more general class of norms and its associated Banach spaces of weakly*-measurable operator valued (o.v.) functions see [5, Th. 2.1].

In a discrete case, a family $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ will be called a strongly square summable (s.s.s.) if $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|A_n h\|^2 < +\infty$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$. If a family $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ (resp. $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$) consists of mutually commuting normal operators, i.e., those satisfying $A_s A_t = A_t A_s$ for all $s, t \in \Omega$ (resp. $A_m A_n = A_n A_m$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$), we will refer to it as to a m.c.n.o. family. The terminology used in this paper is closely related to the that one used in [10], and a more detailed introduction therein may contribute to the comfort of the reader of this article itself. For a more complete account on the theory of norm ideals, the reader is referred to [1, 3, 14].

We also need to emphasize that throughout this paper we will treat (address to) every unnumbered line in a multiline formula as (to) a part of the consequent numbered one.

2. Main results

The next theorem extends operator Grüss-Landau inequality (2.14) in [9, Cor. 2.1] from elementary operators to the settings of i.p.t. transformers, by taking $\Omega := \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\mu(\{n\}) := \alpha_n$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$, with $\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n = 1$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in (0, 1]$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let μ be a probability measure on Ω , $\{A_t^*\}_{t \in \Omega}, \{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ to be in $L^2_c(\Omega, \mu, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, $f, g \in \mathcal{H}, X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\eta \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \langle A_t X B_t f, g \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\langle \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) f, g \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle A_t A_t^* g, g \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right\|^2 \langle g, g \rangle \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} \langle B_t^* X^* X B_t f, f \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|^2 \langle f, f \rangle \right), \tag{4}$$

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^{2\eta} \leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^{\eta} \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{\eta}, \tag{5}$$

$$\left| \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right)^* \right|^{2\eta} \leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* B_t d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^{\eta} \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t X X^* A_t d\mu(t) - \left| X^* \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{\eta}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. To prove (4), we note that

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} \int_{\Omega} \langle A_t A_t^* g, g \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right\|^2 \langle g, g \rangle & \int_{\Omega} \langle A_t X B_t f, g \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\langle \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) f, g \right\rangle \\ \int_{\Omega} \langle (A_t X B_t)^* g, f \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\langle \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right)^* g, f \right\rangle & \int_{\Omega} \langle B_t^* X^* X B_t f, f \rangle d\mu(t) - \left\| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|^2 \langle f, f \rangle \end{array} \right| \geq 0.$$

This is a direct consequence of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{cc} \int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 & \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \\ \int_{\Omega} (A_t X B_t)^* d\mu(t) - \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right)^* & \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \end{array} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} \begin{bmatrix} (A_s - A_t)(A_s - A_t)^* & (A_s - A_t)X(B_s - B_t) \\ (B_s - B_t)^* X^*(A_s - A_t)^* & (B_s - B_t)^* X^* X (B_s - B_t) \end{bmatrix} d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} \begin{bmatrix} A_s - A_t & 0 \\ (X B_s - X B_t)^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (A_s - A_t)^* & X B_s - X B_t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} (A_s - A_t)^* & X B_s - X B_t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, if we take $C_{s,t} := (A_s - A_t)/\sqrt{2}$, $\mathcal{D}_{s,t} := (B_s - B_t)/\sqrt{2}$, $\mathcal{X}_{s,t} := X$ for all $s, t \in \Omega$ and $\theta := 1$ in [5, Th. 3.1(a)]. To justify equality in (7), we rely on the following Korkine type identity for i.t.p. transformers:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) &= \int_{\Omega} d\mu(s) \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_s d\mu(s) d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} (A_s - A_t) X (B_s - B_t) d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

presented in [7, (2.2)]. Specially, by taking $X := I$ and $B_t := A_t^*$ (resp. X^*X instead of X and $A_t := B_t^*$) for $t \in \Omega$ in (8), we get $\int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} (A_s - A_t)(A_s - A_t)^* d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t)$ (resp. $\int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) X^* X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} (B_s - B_t)^* X^* X (B_s - B_t) d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t)$), which based on (8), implies (7) and completes the proof of (4).

First, to prove the case $\eta := 1$ in (5), we start from (4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\langle \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) f, g \right\rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left\langle \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right) g, g \right\rangle \left\langle \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right) f, f \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\| \left\| \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right) f, f \right\| \|g\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we used the very definition (3) of weak* (or Gel'fand) integral to estimate the middle expression in (4), to justify the last inequality in (9). Now, by taking $g := \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) f$, we actually obtain (5) for $\eta := 1$. So it suffices to consider the remaining case $\eta \in (0, 1)$, which follows immediately from the operator monotonicity of the function $t \mapsto t^\eta$ on $[0, \infty)$, when applied to the already proven case $\eta := 1$.

As $\left(\int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right)^* = \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* A_t^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) X^* \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t)$, it is suffices to take $A_t := B_t^*$, $B_t := A_t^*$, for $t \in \Omega$, and X^* instead of X in (5), to get (6). \square

In the case of bounded self-adjoint families $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$, inequality (5) can be upgraded to the more widely known form of Grüss-Landau inequality.

Theorem 2.2. *Let under conditions of Theorem 2.1, $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ be families of self-adjoint operators, which satisfy $\varphi \leq A_t \leq \Phi$ for some self-adjoint $\varphi, \Phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with A_t for every $t \in \Omega$ and satisfying $\varphi\Phi = \Phi\varphi$, as well as $\gamma \leq B_t \leq \Gamma$ for some self-adjoint $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with B_t for every $t \in \Omega$ and satisfying $\gamma\Gamma = \Gamma\gamma$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Omega} A_t B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^{2\eta} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^\eta \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^\eta \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \left(\Phi - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) - \varphi \right) \right\|^\eta \left\| \left(\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) - \gamma \right) \right\|^\eta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^{2\eta}} \|\Phi - \varphi\|^{2\eta} \|\Gamma - \gamma\|^{2\eta}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Proof. We start the proof by the following identities:

$$\int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} (A_s - A_t)^2 d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t) \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^2} \left(\left(A_s - \frac{\Phi + \varphi}{2} \right) - \left(A_t - \frac{\Phi + \varphi}{2} \right) \right)^2 d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(A_t - \frac{\Phi + \varphi}{2} \right)^2 d\mu(t) - \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(A_t - \frac{\Phi + \varphi}{2} \right) d\mu(t) \right)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} (A_t - \Phi)(A_t - \varphi) d\mu(t) + \left(\frac{\Phi - \varphi}{2} \right)^2 - \int_{\Omega} (A_t - \Phi) d\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} (A_t - \varphi) d\mu(t) - \left(\frac{\Phi - \varphi}{2} \right)^2 \tag{14}$$

$$= \left(\Phi - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) - \varphi \right) - \int_{\Omega} (\Phi - A_t)(A_t - \varphi) d\mu(t), \tag{15}$$

where (12) and the second equality in (13) are based on Korkine type equality (8) applied this time on the family $\left\{ A_t - \frac{\Phi + \varphi}{2} \right\}_{t \in \Omega}$ instead of $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$, while (14) and (15) checks directly. As $\Phi - A_t$ and $A_t - \varphi$ are positive, mutually commuting operators, then $(\Phi - A_t)(A_t - \varphi) \geq 0$ and consequently $\int_{\Omega} (\Phi - A_t)(A_t - \varphi) d\mu(t) \geq 0$. Therefore, a straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} A_t^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 &\leq \left(\Phi - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) - \varphi \right) \\ &= - \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(A_t - \frac{\Phi + \varphi}{2} \right) d\mu(t) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Phi - \varphi}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{(\Phi - \varphi)^2}{4} \leq \frac{\|\Phi - \varphi\|^2}{4} I, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\int_{\Omega} B_t^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \leq \left(\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) - \gamma \right) \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)^2}{4}.$$

As (10) is just a special case $X := I$ in (5) of Theorem 2.1, the final inequalities in (11) follows by the monotonicity of operator norm on positive operators and operator monotonicity of function $t \mapsto t^\eta$ on $[0, \infty)$. \square

Remark 2.3. The commutativity requirement that φ and Φ (resp. γ and Γ) both commute with all A_t (resp. B_t) for $t \in \Omega$ and that $\varphi\Phi = \Phi\varphi$ (resp. $\gamma\Gamma = \Gamma\gamma$), in Theorem 2.2, is obviously satisfied if $\varphi, \Phi, \gamma, \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are of the form $\varphi := dI, \Phi := DI, \gamma := cI$ and $\Gamma := CI$ for some $c, C, d, D \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now, we are in a position to complement [7, Th. 2.6].

Corollary 2.4. If Φ is a s.n. function and $\theta > 0$, then, under conditions of Theorem 2.1, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\| \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* B_t d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\| \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X X^* A_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| X^* \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Proof. We will rely on the monotonicity of singular values combined by the monotonicity of all θ modifications of u.i. norms, which says that if $0 \leq A \leq B$ for $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}_\Phi(\mathcal{H})$, then $s_n^\theta(A) \leq s_n^\theta(B)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as $\|A\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}} \leq \|B\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}$ for all $\theta > 0$. So (16) follows from the case $\eta := 1$ in (5), as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}^2 &= \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t A_t^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right\| \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Here, the equality in (18) is based on the very definition of $\theta/2$ -modification $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}$ of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi}$. The proof of (17) follows immediately from the already proven inequality (16), as

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}^2 &= \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* A_t^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) X^* \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta)}}^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right\| \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X X^* A_t^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Equality in (19) is a simple consequence of B^* property of u.i. norms, combined with the weak* integral property $(\int_{\Omega} C_t d\mu(t))^* = \int_{\Omega} C_t^* d\mu(t)$, for weak* integrable families $\{C_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$. \square

Remark 2.5. Previous Corollary 2.4 extends inequality (2.17) in [9, Th. 2.2] in the settings of i.t.p. transformers, when they act on ideals of compact operators. Namely, it is enough to take $\theta := p$, $\Omega := \{1, \dots, N\}$, $\mu(\{n\}) := \alpha_n$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n = 1$ for some $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$, to get inequality (2.17) in [9, Th. 2.2] from inequality (16).

In the case of Hilbert-Schmidt norm (i.e. if $\Phi := \ell^1$ and $\theta := 2$), then the lefthand side in (16) and (17) can be written in the more transparent form.

Theorem 2.6. Let $X \in \mathfrak{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and μ to be a probability measure on Ω . If $\{A_t^*\}_{t \in \Omega}, \{B_t^*\}_{t \in \Omega}$ are in $L^2_c(\Omega, \mu, \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_2 &\leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} |A_t^*|^2 d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right\|^{1/2} \left\| X \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |B_t^*|^2 d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t)} \right\|_2, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

while, if $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}, \{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ are in $L^2_c(\Omega, \mu, \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_2 &\leq \left\| \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |A_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t)} \right\|_2 \left\| X \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t)} \right\|_2^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof. As $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(\theta/2)}}$ is a nuclear norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ for $\Phi := \ell^1$ and $\theta := 2$, then it will suffice to recognize that in the

righthand side of (16) we have

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|_1 = \text{tr} \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right)^* X^* X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right) \quad (22)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \text{tr}(B_t^* X^* X B_t) d\mu(t) - \text{tr} \left(X^* X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right) \\ = \int_{\Omega} \text{tr}(X^* X B_t B_t^*) d\mu(t) - \text{tr} \left(X^* X \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right) \quad (23)$$

$$= \text{tr} \left(\int_{\Omega} X^* X B_t B_t^* d\mu(t) \right) - \text{tr} \left(X^* X \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right) = \text{tr} \left(X^* X \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t B_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right) \right) \quad (24)$$

$$= \text{tr} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |B_t^*|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} X^* X \left(\int_{\Omega} B_t B_t^* d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \quad (25)$$

$$= \left\| X \left(\int_{\Omega} |B_t^*|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_2^2. \quad (26)$$

Equality in (22) justifies by the positivity of $\int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \left| X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |X(B_s - B_t)|^2 d(\mu \times \mu)(s, t)$, based on the already used Korkine type identity (8). First equalities in (23) and (24) follow by the alternative definition of weak* (Gel'fand) integrals, given in [7, Lemma 1.2], while the second equality in (23) is a consequence of the operator's commutativity under trace, as $X^* X B_t \in \mathfrak{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $B_t^* \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $t \in \Omega$. Equality in (25) is again based on the commutativity under trace, while equality in (26) is due to the basic Hilbert-Schmidt norm property $\|Y\|_2^2 = \text{tr}(Y^* Y)$ for all $Y \in \mathfrak{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$.

To prove inequality (21), we use again B^* property of u.i. norms and weak* integrals, combined with already proven inequality (20), to get

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_2 = \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* A_t^* d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) X^* \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right\|_2 \\ \leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^{1/2} \left\| X^* \left(\int_{\Omega} |A_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_2 \\ = \left\| \left(\int_{\Omega} |A_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} X \right\|_2 \left\| \int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

Inequalities (20) and (21) are still true for arbitrary $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}$ norms, whenever $p \geq 2$ and at least one of families $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}, \{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ is m.c.n.o. family, as we show in the next theorem, which also complements (the case $p \geq 2$ of) the Grüss-Landau type inequality [7, Th. 2.4] for Schatten norms $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Theorem 2.7. Let μ be a probability measure on Ω , Φ to be a s.n. function, $p \geq 2$, $X \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let both families $\{A_t^*\}_{t \in \Omega}$ and $\{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ be in $L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega, \mu, \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. If, in addition, $\{B_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ is a m.c.n.o. family, such that

$X \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}} \\ \leq \left\| \int_{\Omega} |A_t^*|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t^* d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|^{1/2} \left\| X \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}. \quad (27)$$

Alternatively, if $\{A_t\}_{t \in \Omega}$ is a m.c.n.o. family, such that $\sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |A_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2} X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$, then it also follows that $\int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\Omega} A_t X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}} \\ & \leq \left\| \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |A_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} A_t d\mu(t) \right|^2} X \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}} \left\| \int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Proof. To prove (27), we first apply (16) case $\theta := p$, and we proceed by an application of [7, Th. 2.1] to $X^* X$ instead of X , $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi^{(p/2)}}$ instead of $\|\cdot\|$, in the special case $\mathcal{A}_t^* := \mathcal{B}_t := B_t$, for all $t \in \Omega$, to get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{\Omega} B_t^* X^* X B_t d\mu(t) - \int_{\Omega} B_t^* d\mu(t) X^* X \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right\|_{\Phi^{(p/2)}} \\ & \leq \left\| \left(\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} X^* X \left(\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p/2)}} \\ & = \left\| X \left(\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p/2)}} = \left\| X \left(\int_{\Omega} |B_t|^2 d\mu(t) - \left| \int_{\Omega} B_t d\mu(t) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}. \end{aligned}$$

(28) can be proved by analogy, by the use of (17) instead of (16). \square

A special case of the previous Theorem 2.7 in the discrete setting says:

Corollary 2.8. Let $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1$, Φ to be a s.n. function, $p \geq 2$, $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\{\alpha_n^{-1/2} C_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\alpha_n^{-1/2} D_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be s.s.i. families. If $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is additionally a m.c.n.o. family, such that $X \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |D_n|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} C_n X D_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} C_n X D_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |C_n^*|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^* \right|^2 \right\|^{1/2} \left\| X \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |D_n|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \right|^2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}. \tag{29}$$

Alternatively, if $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a m.c.n.o. family, such that $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |C_n^*|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^* \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$, then also $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} C_n X D_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} C_n X D_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}} \leq \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |C_n^*|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n^* \right|^2} X \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |D_n|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \right|^2 \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)}}^{1/2}. \tag{30}$$

Proof. It is enough to apply Theorem 2.7 special case $\Omega := \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(\{n\}) := \alpha_n$, $A_n := \alpha_n^{-1} C_n$ and $B_n := \alpha_n^{-1} D_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to get the proclaimed inequalities (29) and (30). \square

Remark 2.9. Similarly to the situation discussed in Remark 2.5, the previous Corollary 2.8 extends inequalities (2.21) (in the case $c := \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n^{-1} A_n^* A_n - \left| \sum_{n=1}^N A_n \right|^2 \right\|^{1/2}$) and (2.22), when $q := p$, in [9, Th. 2.2], in the settings of elementary operators.

To complement the case $1 \leq p \leq 2$ of [7, Th. 2.4], let us first note that $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\Phi}(\mathcal{H})$ for all s.n. function Φ , as the nuclear norm is the maximal one amongst all u.i. norms. So, if $2 \leq p < +\infty$, then $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{C}_{p/(p-1)}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$, following the duality argument. Thus, we are now in a position to further complement [7, Th. 2.4] for $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}(\mathcal{H})$ ideals, as follows.

Theorem 2.10. Let $\alpha_n \in (0, 1]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1$, Φ to be a s.n. function, $p \geq 2$ and let $\{\alpha_n^{-1/2} A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\alpha_n^{-1/2} B_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be s.s.i. families such that one of them is a m.c.n.o. family. If $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}(\mathcal{H})$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} A_n X B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}(\mathcal{H})$ and we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} A_n X B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}} \leq \left\| \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |A_n|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right|^2} X \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |B_n^*|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^* \right|^2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}. \quad (31)$$

Proof. First, note that using identity (8) for $\Omega := \mathbb{N}$, $\mu(\{n\}) := \alpha_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, applied to $\alpha_n^{-1} A_n$ and $\alpha_n^{-1} B_n$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} A_n X B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n (\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n) X (\alpha_m^{-1} B_m - \alpha_n^{-1} B_n).$$

As the above identity also implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n^{-1/2} A_n|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n |\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n|^2$ and $\{\alpha_n^{-1/2} A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is s.s.s. family, then we also have that $\{\sqrt{\alpha_m \alpha_n} (\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n)\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ is s.s.s. family, i.e. for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n \|(\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n) f\|^2 < +\infty$. Similarly, we have that $\{\sqrt{\alpha_m \alpha_n} (\alpha_m^{-1} B_m^* - \alpha_n^{-1} B_n^*)\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ is s.s.s. family.

Therefore, we can apply the first inequality in (6) in [10, Lemma 2.1] to s.s.s. families $\{\sqrt{\alpha_m \alpha_n} (\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n)\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\sqrt{\alpha_m \alpha_n} (\alpha_m^{-1} B_m^* - \alpha_n^{-1} B_n^*)\}_{m,n=1}^{\infty}$, to obtain inequality in

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} A_n X B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n (\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n) X (\alpha_m^{-1} B_m - \alpha_n^{-1} B_n) \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left(\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n |\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} X \left(\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n |\alpha_m^{-1} B_m^* - \alpha_n^{-1} B_n^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}} \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |A_n|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} X \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |B_n^*|^2 - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^* \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which proves (31). As $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} A_n X B_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m \alpha_n (\alpha_m^{-1} A_m - \alpha_n^{-1} A_n) X (\alpha_m^{-1} B_m - \alpha_n^{-1} B_n) \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi^{(p)^*}}(\mathcal{H})$ can also be concluded from the part of the proof presented in (32), this altogether ends the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. Bhatia, Matrix Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] J. Diestel, J.J. Uhl, Vector measures, Mathematical Surveys, Vol. 15, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977, MR56:12216.
- [3] I.C. Gohberg, M.G. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Non-selfadjoint Operators, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [4] G. Grüss, Über das Maximum des absoluten Betrages von $\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b f(x)dx \int_a^b g(x)dx$, Math. Z. **39** (1935), 215-226.
- [5] D.R. Jocić, Cauchy-Schwarz norm inequalities for weak*-integrals of operator valued functions, J. Funct. Anal. **218** (2005), 318-346.
- [6] D.R. Jocić, Multipliers of elementary operators and comparison of row and column space Schatten p norms, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), 2062-2070.
- [7] D.R. Jocić, Đ. Krtnić, M. Sal Moslehian, Landau and Grüss type inequalities for inner product type integral transformers in norm ideals, Math. Ineq. Appl. **16** (2013), 109-125.
- [8] D.R. Jocić, Clarkson-McCarthy inequalities for several operators and other norm inequalities for operators and operator matrices related through sums of its squares, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **13** (2019), 583-613.
- [9] D.R. Jocić, Đ. Krtnić, M. Lazarević, P. Melentijević, S. Milošević, Refinements of inequalities related to Landau-Grüss inequalities for elementary operators acting on ideals associated to p -modified unitarily invariant norms, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **12** (2018), 195-205.
- [10] D.R. Jocić, M. Lazarević, S. Milošević, Norm inequalities for a class of elementary operators generated by analytic functions with non-negative Taylor coefficients in ideals of compact operators related to p -modified unitarily invariant norms, Linear Algebra Appl. **540** (2018), 60-83.
- [11] E. Landau, Über einige Ungleichungen von Herrn G. Grüss, Math. Z. **39** (1935), 742-744.
- [12] J.S. Matharu, M. Sal Moslehian, Grüss inequality for some types of positive linear maps, J. Oper. Theory **73** (2015), 265-278.
- [13] D.S. Mitrinović, J.E. Pečarić, A.M. Fink, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [14] B. Simon, Trace Ideals and Their Applications, Amer. Math. Soc., Mat. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 120, 2005.