



Coefficient Bounds for Subclasses of Analytic and Bi-Univalent Functions

Davood Alimohammadi^a, Nak Eun Cho^b, Ebrahim Analouei Adegani^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Arak University, Arak 38156-8-8349, Iran

^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Republic of Korea

^cFaculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology, P.O.Box 316-36155, Shahrood, Iran

Abstract. In this paper, various coefficient bounds of functions in some classes which are defined by subordination are estimated. Some special consequences of the main results are also presented. Moreover, it is pointed out that the given bounds improve and generalize some of the previous results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and let \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all univalent functions in \mathbb{U} .

Using the concept of subordination, Ma and Minda [24] introduced the subclasses of starlike and convex functions in which either of the quantity $zf'(z)/f(z)$ or $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$ is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. To this goal, they defined an analytic univalent function φ with positive real part in \mathbb{U} , $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis and starlike with the conditions $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. They defined the classes consisting of several well-known classes as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \varphi(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(\varphi) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < \varphi(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \right\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50, 30C80

Keywords. Univalent functions, coefficient bounds, starlike functions, subordination

Received: 09 February 2020; Revised: 26 April 2020; Accepted: 30 May 2020

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

The second author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2019R111A3A01050861).

Email addresses: d-alimohammadi@araku.ac.ir (Davood Alimohammadi), necho@pknu.ac.kr (Nak Eun Cho), analouey.ebrahim@gmail.com (Ebrahim Analouei Adegani)

where \prec stands for the usual subordination for analytic functions in \mathbb{U} . For example, the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\varphi)$ for $\varphi(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) reduce to the classes $\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]$ and $\mathcal{K}[A, B]$ of Janowski starlike and Janowski convex functions, respectively. Note that if $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then $\mathcal{S}^*[1 - 2\alpha, -1] =: \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, the classes of starlike functions of order α and $\mathcal{K}[1 - 2\alpha, -1] =: \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ the convex functions of order α . In particular, $\mathcal{S}^* := \mathcal{S}^*(0)$ and $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(0)$ are the well-known classes of starlike functions and of convex functions in \mathbb{U} , respectively.

Setting $\varphi(z) = \sqrt{1 + z}$ we get the class \mathcal{S}_l^* of consisting of functions f such that $w = zf'(z)/f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the right half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $|w^2 - 1| < 1$ which was investigated by Sokół and Stankiewicz [32]. In [31], Sokół generalized this class by introducing a more general class $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^* =: \mathcal{S}^*(\sqrt{1 + \lambda z})$, $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. Moreover, the feature of the class $\mathcal{S}_s^* := \mathcal{S}^*(1 + \sin z)$ such that the quantity $w = zf'(z)/f(z)$ lies in an eight-shaped region in the right-half plane was studied by Cho et al. in [13]. Raina and Sokół [29] considered the class $\mathcal{S}_{\zeta}^* := \mathcal{S}_q^*$, where $q(z) = z + \sqrt{1 + z^2}$. They have proved that $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\zeta}^*$ if and only if $zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathcal{R}$ where $\mathcal{R} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |w^2 - 1| < 2|zw|\}$.

Further, in a survey-cum-expository article [33] by Srivastava, it was indicated that the recent and future applications and importance of the classical q -calculus and the fractional q -calculus in geometric function theory of complex analysis motivate researchers to study many of these and other related subjects in this filed.

It is known that the image of \mathbb{U} under every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ contains a disk of radius $1/4$. Therefore, every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , which is defined by $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), and $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ($|w| < r_0(f)$; $r_0(f) \geq 1/4$), where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots =: w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n w^n. \tag{2}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *bi-univalent* in \mathbb{U} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} . Lewin [23] studied the bi-univalent function class Σ and obtained the bound for the second Taylor-Maclaurin coefficient $|a_2|$. A brief historical overview of functions in the class Σ can be found in the work of Srivastava et al. [37], which is a fundamental research on the bi-univalent function class Σ , as well as in the references cited therein. In a number of sequels to [37], bounds for the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of different subclasses of bi-univalent functions were given, for example, see [5, 9, 16, 34, 35, 41]. In fact, the study of analytic and bi-univalent functions was successfully revived by the pioneering work of Srivastava et al. [37] in recent years regarding the numerous papers on the subject.

Finding the upper bound for coefficients have been one of the central topic of research in geometric function theory as it gives several properties of functions. In particular, bound for the second coefficient gives growth and distortion theorems for functions in the class \mathcal{S} . According to [37], many authors put effort to review and study various subclasses of the class Σ of bi-univalent functions in recent years, for example, see [9, 16, 34, 35, 41]. In the literature, several authors used the Faber polynomial expansions to determine the general coefficient bounds of $|a_n|$ for the analytic bi-univalent functions [6, 18, 20, 36, 38–40, 45, 46]. It is remarkable that Faber polynomials play an important act in geometric function theory which was introduced by Faber [15].

Thorough this paper, we assume that φ is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk \mathbb{U} and $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis, satisfying $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\varphi'(0) > 0$ such that it has series expansion of the form

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots \quad (B_1 > 0). \tag{3}$$

A function $\omega : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *Schwarz function* if ω is a analytic function in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Clearly, a Schwarz function ω is the form

$$\omega(z) = w_1z + w_2z^2 + \dots .$$

We denote by Ω the set of all Schwarz functions on \mathbb{U} . Denote by $f * \Theta$ the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions f and Θ , that is, if $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\Theta(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, then

$$(f * \Theta)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Recently, Bulut [9] introduced a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions and obtained non-sharp estimates of first two coefficients of functions in this class as follows.

Definition 1.1. [9] Let the function f , defined by (1), be in the class \mathcal{A} and let

$$\Theta \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (b_n > 0).$$

We say that

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \mu}(\varphi; \Theta) \quad (\lambda \geq 1, \mu \geq 0),$$

if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma,$$

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{(f * \Theta)(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda (f * \Theta)'(z) \left(\frac{(f * \Theta)(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda ((f * \Theta)^{-1})'(w) \left(\frac{(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} < \varphi(w) \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the function $(f * \Theta)^{-1}$ is given by

$$(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 b_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 b_2^2 - a_3 b_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 b_2^3 - 5a_2 b_2 a_3 b_3 + a_4 b_4) w^4 + \dots$$

Definition 1.2. [21] For $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, the class $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following subordination:

$$\gamma f'(z) + (1 - \gamma) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} < \varphi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}_0(\varphi) =: \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{V}_1(\varphi) =: \mathcal{C}(\varphi) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f'(z) < \varphi(z), z \in \mathbb{U}\}$ is a subclass of close-to-convex function. Thus, this class provides a continuous passage from a subclass of starlike functions to the subclass of close-to-convex functions when γ varies from 0 to 1.

Theorem 1.3. [46] For $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$, let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$ be given by (1). If $a_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{B_1}{[\mu + (n - 1)\lambda] b_n} \quad (n \geq 3).$$

The present paper is motivated essentially by the recent works ([3, 28, 37, 46]) and the aim of this paper is to study the coefficient estimates of some classes. In Section 2, we use the Faber polynomial expansions to derive bounds for the coefficients $|a_n|$ for the functions of a general class that our results improve some of the previously ones. In Section 3, we obtain the sharp bounds on the functional $|a_2 a_3 - a_4|$ for the class $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma}(\varphi)$, which can be studied in the third Hankel determinant $H_3(1)$ for various classes of analytic and univalent functions, [7, 8, 11, 12]. We remark in passing that the Hankel determinants (like the second Hankel determinant, see [17]) play an important role in the study of the singularities and power series with integral coefficients, [26, 27].

2. Coefficient bounds $|a_n|$ of the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$

In this section, we find a smaller upper bound and more accurate estimation of coefficients $|a_n|$ ($n \geq 3$) of analytic bi-univalent functions in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$. To prove of our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [1, 2] Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ be given by (1), the coefficients of its inverse map $g = f^{-1}$ are given in terms of the Faber polynomials of f with

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) w^n, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n-1}^{-n} &= \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+1)!(n-1)!} a_2^{n-1} + \frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+1))!(n-3)!} a_2^{n-3} a_3 \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+3)!(n-4)!} a_2^{n-4} a_4 + \frac{(-n)!}{(2(-n+2))!(n-5)!} a_2^{n-5} [a_5 + (-n+2)a_3^2] \\ &+ \frac{(-n)!}{(-2n+5)!(n-6)!} a_2^{n-6} [a_6 + (-2n+5)a_3 a_4] + \sum_{j \geq 7} a_2^{n-j} V_j \end{aligned}$$

such that V_j ($7 \leq j \leq n$) is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n , and the expressions such as (for example) $(-n)!$ are to be interpreted symbolically by

$$(-n)! \equiv \Gamma(1-n) := (-n)(-n-1)(-n-2)\dots, \text{ with } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

In particular, the first three terms of K_{n-1}^{-n} are given by

$$K_1^{-2} = -2a_2, \quad K_2^{-3} = 3(2a_2^2 - a_3) \quad \text{and} \quad K_3^{-4} = -4(5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4).$$

In general, for any real number p the expansion of K_n^p is given below (see for details, [1]; see also [2, p. 349])

$$K_n^p = p a_{n+1} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} D_n^2 + \frac{p!}{(p-3)!3!} D_n^3 + \dots + \frac{p!}{(p-n)!n!} D_n^n, \tag{5}$$

where $D_n^p = D_n^p(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n+1})$ (see for details [42]). We also have

$$D_n^m(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n+1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m!(a_2)^{\mu_1} \dots (a_{n+1})^{\mu_n}}{\mu_1! \dots \mu_n!}, \tag{6}$$

where the sum is taken over all nonnegative integers μ_1, \dots, μ_n satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n = m \\ \mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \dots + n\mu_n = n. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $D_n^n(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{n+1}) = a_2^n$.

Lemma 2.2. [46] Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$. Then we have the following expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{f * \Theta(z)}{z} \right)^\mu + \lambda (f * \Theta)'(z) \left(\frac{f * \Theta(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} F_{n-1}(a_2 b_2, a_3 b_3, \dots, a_n b_n) z^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{n-1}(a_2b_2, a_3b_3, \dots, a_nb_n) &= \left(\frac{\mu + (n-1)\lambda}{\mu}\right) K_{n-1}^\mu(a_2b_2, a_3b_3, \dots, a_nb_n) \\
 &= [\mu + (n-1)\lambda](\mu-1)! \times \left[\sum_{i_1+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=n-1} \frac{(a_2b_2)^{i_1}(a_3b_3)^{i_2}\dots(a_nb_n)^{i_{n-1}}}{i_1!i_2!\dots i_{n-1}![\mu - (i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{n-1})!]} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. [46] Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$. Then we have the following expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1-\lambda)\left(\frac{(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^\mu + \lambda((f * \Theta)^{-1})'(w)\left(\frac{(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w)}{w}\right)^{\mu-1} \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^\infty F_{n-1}(A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n)w^{n-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_{n-1} = \left(\frac{\mu + (n-1)\lambda}{\mu}\right) K_{n-1}^\mu \text{ and } A_n = \frac{1}{n} K_{n-1}^{-n}(a_2b_2, a_3b_3, \dots, a_nb_n).$$

Lemma 2.4. [46] Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$. Then

$$F_{n-1}(a_2b_2, a_3b_3, \dots, a_nb_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k D_{n-1}^k(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}) \tag{7}$$

and

$$F_{n-1}(A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} B_k D_{n-1}^k(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n-1}), \tag{8}$$

where F_{n-1} and A_n are given by Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.5. [3] Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n}^\infty a_k z^k$; ($n \geq 2$) be a univalent function in \mathbb{U} and

$$f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{k=n}^\infty c_k w^k; (|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}).$$

Then

$$c_{2n-1} = na_n^2 - a_{2n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_k = -a_k \quad \text{for } (n \leq k \leq 2n-2).$$

Theorem 2.6. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n}^\infty a_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\lambda,\mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$ be given by (1). Then

$$|a_n| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{B_1}{[\mu + (n-1)\lambda]b_n}, \sqrt{\frac{2B_1}{n[\mu + (2n-2)\lambda]b_{2n-1}}} \right\} \quad (n \geq 3) \tag{9}$$

and

$$|na_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \frac{B_1}{[\mu + (2n-2)\lambda]b_{2n-1}}.$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \mu}(\varphi; \Theta)$. Then there are two functions $u, v \in \Omega$ with $u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$ and $v(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n z^n$, respectively, so that

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{f * \Theta(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda (f * \Theta)'(z) \left(\frac{f * \Theta(z)}{z} \right)^{\mu-1} = \varphi(u(z))$$

and

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu} + \lambda ((f * \Theta)^{-1})'(w) \left(\frac{(f * \Theta)^{-1}(w)}{w} \right)^{\mu-1} = \varphi(v(w)),$$

where by the relations (6) and (3) we have,

$$\varphi(u(z)) = 1 + B_1 p_1 z + (B_1 p_2 + B_2 p_1^2) z^2 + \dots = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n B_k D_n^k(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) z^n$$

and

$$\varphi(v(w)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n B_k D_n^k(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) w^n.$$

Since $a_k = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, so from Lemma 2.3, by the definition of K_n^p in (5), we obtain $A_n = -a_n b_n$ and since $B_1 > 0$ we have $p_1 = \dots = p_{n-2} = 0$, $q_1 = \dots = q_{n-2} = 0$. From Lemmas 2.2-2.4 according to (7) and (8), we have

$$[\mu + (n - 1)\lambda] a_n b_n = B_1 p_{n-1}$$

and

$$-[\mu + (n - 1)\lambda] a_n b_n = B_1 q_{n-1}.$$

Now, taking the absolute values of the above equalities and applying Lemma 3.1 with $|p_{n-1}| \leq 1 - |p_1|^2 \leq 1$, $|q_{n-1}| \leq 1 - |q_1|^2 \leq 1$, it yields

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{B_1}{[\mu + (n - 1)\lambda] b_n} \quad (n \geq 3). \tag{10}$$

On other the hand, using Lemma 2.5, we have $c_n = -a_n$ for $k = n$ and so according to the above inequality, it yields

$$|c_n| \leq \frac{B_1}{[\mu + (n - 1)\lambda] b_n} \quad (n \geq 3). \tag{11}$$

Also, in view of Lemma 2.5, using the inequalities (10) and (11), we obtain

$$|a_n| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|a_{2n-1}| + |c_{2n-1}|}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2B_1}{n[\mu + (2n - 2)\lambda] b_{2n-1}}} \quad (n \geq 3). \tag{12}$$

From (10) and (12) we conclude that the inequality (9) holds. Also, by (11) and using Lemma 2.5, we get

$$|na_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| = |c_{2n-1}| \leq \frac{B_1}{[\mu + (2n - 2)\lambda] b_{2n-1}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

In particular, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.7. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z}; \frac{z}{1-z} \right)$ be given by (1). Then

$$|a_n| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2(1-\beta)}{[\mu + (n-1)\lambda]}, \sqrt{\frac{4(1-\beta)}{n[\mu + (2n-2)\lambda]}} \right\} \quad (n \geq 3)$$

and

$$|na_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{[\mu + (2n-2)\lambda]}.$$

Proof. For

$$\Theta(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$$

and

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1-2\beta)z}{1-z} = 1 + 2(1-\beta)z + 2(1-\beta)z^2 + \dots \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $B_1 = 2(1-\beta)$ in Theorem 2.6, it gives the result stated in the corollary. \square

Remark 2.8. (i) The bound for $|a_n|$ in Theorem 2.6 is a improvement of the estimation given in Theorem 1.3.

(ii) From Corollary 2.7, the bound for $|a_n|$ is smaller than the estimate obtained in [10, Theorem 1].

(iii) Letting $\lambda = \mu = 1, \lambda = 1$ and $\mu = 1$ in Corollary 2.7, we obtain an improvement of the estimates obtained in [20, Theorem 2.1], [18, Theorem 1] and [19, Theorem 2.1], respectively.

Corollary 2.9. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\alpha}; \frac{z}{1-z} \right)$ be given by (1). Then

$$|a_n| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{[\mu + (n-1)\lambda]}, \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha}{n[\mu + (2n-2)\lambda]}} \right\} \quad (n \geq 3)$$

and

$$|na_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{[\mu + (2n-2)\lambda]}.$$

Proof. For

$$\Theta(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$$

and

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\alpha} = 1 + 2\alpha z + 2\alpha^2 z^2 + \dots \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $B_1 = 2\alpha$ in Theorem 2.6, it gives the required result. \square

3. Coefficient bounds $|a_2a_3 - a_4|$ of the $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\varphi)$

In this section, we obtain the sharp bounds on the functional $|a_2a_3 - a_4|$ for the class $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(\varphi)$. For this goal, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. [25, p. 172] Let $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n z^n$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Then $|w_1| \leq 1$ and

$$|w_n| \leq 1 - |w_1|^2 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n \geq 2.$$

Lemma 3.2. [4, 28] If $\omega \in \Omega$ with $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n z^n$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then for any real numbers q_1 and q_2 , the following sharp estimate holds:

$$|p_3 + q_1 w_1 w_2 + q_2 w_1^3| \leq H(q_1; q_2),$$

where

$$H(q_1; q_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (q_1, q_2) \in D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \{(2, 1)\}, \\ |q_2| & \text{if } (q_1, q_2) \in \cup_{k=3}^7 D_k, \\ \frac{2}{3}(|q_1| + 1) \left(\frac{|q_1| + 1}{3(|q_1| + 1 + q_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (q_1, q_2) \in D_8 \cup D_9, \\ \frac{q_2}{3} \left(\frac{q_1^2 - 4}{q_1^2 - 4q_2} \right) \left(\frac{q_1^2 - 4}{3(q_2 - 1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (q_1, q_2) \in D_{10} \cup D_{11} \setminus \{(2, 1)\}, \\ \frac{2}{3}(|q_1| - 1) \left(\frac{|q_1| - 1}{3(|q_1| - 1 - q_2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (q_1, q_2) \in D_{12}, \end{cases}$$

and the sets D_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, 12$ are defined as follows:

$$D_1 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |q_2| \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$D_2 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : \frac{1}{2} \leq |q_1| \leq 2, \frac{4}{27} \left((|q_1| + 1)^3 \right) - (|q_1| + 1) \leq q_2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

$$D_3 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, q_2 \leq -1 \right\},$$

$$D_4 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}, q_2 \leq -\frac{2}{3}(|q_1| + 1) \right\},$$

$$D_5 = \{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \leq 2, q_2 \geq 1 \},$$

$$D_6 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : 2 \leq |q_1| \leq 4, q_2 \geq \frac{1}{12}(q_1^2 + 8) \right\},$$

$$D_7 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \geq 4, q_2 \geq \frac{2}{3}(|q_1| - 1) \right\},$$

$$D_8 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : \frac{1}{2} \leq |q_1| \leq 2, -\frac{2}{3}(|q_1| + 1) \leq q_2 \leq \frac{4}{27} \left((|q_1| + 1)^3 \right) - (|q_1| + 1) \right\},$$

$$D_9 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \geq 2, -\frac{2}{3}(|q_1| + 1) \leq q_2 \leq \frac{2|q_1|(|q_1| + 1)}{q_1^2 + 2|q_1| + 4} \right\},$$

$$D_{10} = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : 2 \leq |q_1| \leq 4, \frac{2|q_1|(|q_1| + 1)}{q_1^2 + 2|q_1| + 4} \leq q_2 \leq \frac{1}{12}(q_1^2 + 8) \right\},$$

$$D_{11} = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \geq 4, \frac{2|q_1|(|q_1| + 1)}{q_1^2 + 2|q_1| + 4} \leq q_2 \leq \frac{2|q_1|(|q_1| - 1)}{q_1^2 - 2|q_1| + 4} \right\},$$

$$D_{12} = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \geq 4, \frac{2|q_1|(|q_1| - 1)}{q_1^2 - 2|q_1| + 4} \leq q_2 \leq \frac{2}{3}(|q_1| - 1) \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. If the function $f \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma(\varphi)$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{B_1}{3 + \gamma} H(q_1; q_2), \tag{13}$$

where $H(q_1; q_2)$ is given by Lemma 3.2 and

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_1 &= \frac{2B_2}{B_1} + \frac{B_1[3(1-\gamma) - (3+\gamma)]}{(1+\gamma)(2+\gamma)}, \\
 q_2 &= \frac{(3(1-\gamma) - (3+\gamma))(B_2(1+\gamma)^2 + B_1^2(1-\gamma))}{(1+\gamma)^3(2+\gamma)} - \frac{B_1^2(1-\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^3} + \frac{B_3}{B_1}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14}$$

The bound (13) is sharp.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma(\varphi)$ has the form (1), by the definition of the subordination there exists $\nu \in \Omega$, with $\nu(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n, z \in \mathbb{U}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma f'(z) + (1-\gamma) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} &= \varphi(\nu(z)) = 1 + B_1 d_1 z + (B_1 d_2 + B_2 d_1^2) z^2 \\
 &+ (B_1 d_3 + 2d_1 d_2 B_2 + B_3 d_1^3) z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the corresponding coefficients of the above relation, it follows that

$$\begin{cases}
 a_2(1+\gamma) = B_1 d_1, \\
 (2+\gamma)a_3 - (1-\gamma)a_2^2 = B_1 d_2 + B_2 d_1^2, \\
 (3+\gamma)a_4 - (1-\gamma)(3a_2 a_3 - a_3^2) = B_1 d_3 + 2d_1 d_2 B_2 + B_3 d_1^3.
 \end{cases}$$

Then from above equations we get

$$\begin{cases}
 a_2 = \frac{B_1 d_1}{1+\gamma}, \\
 a_3 = \frac{1}{2+\gamma} \left[B_1 d_2 + d_1^2 \left(B_2 + \frac{B_1^2(1-\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \right) \right], \\
 a_4 = \frac{1}{3+\gamma} \left[B_1 d_3 + \left(2B_2 + \frac{3B_1^2(1-\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)(2+\gamma)} \right) d_1 d_2 + \left(\frac{3B_1(1-\gamma)(B_2(1+\gamma)^2 + B_1^2(1-\gamma))}{(1+\gamma)^3(2+\gamma)} - \frac{B_1^3(1-\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^3} + B_3 \right) d_1^3 \right].
 \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_4 - a_2 a_3 &= \frac{B_1}{3+\gamma} \left(d_3 + \left[\frac{2B_2}{B_1} + \frac{B_1[3(1-\gamma) - (3+\gamma)]}{(1+\gamma)(2+\gamma)} \right] d_1 d_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[\frac{(3(1-\gamma) - (3+\gamma))(B_2(1+\gamma)^2 + B_1^2(1-\gamma))}{(1+\gamma)^3(2+\gamma)} - \frac{B_1^2(1-\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^3} + \frac{B_3}{B_1} \right] d_1^3 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2 a_3 - a_4| &= \frac{B_1}{3+\gamma} \left| d_3 + \left[\frac{2B_2}{B_1} + \frac{B_1[3(1-\gamma) - (3+\gamma)]}{(1+\gamma)(2+\gamma)} \right] d_1 d_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[\frac{(3(1-\gamma) - (3+\gamma))(B_2(1+\gamma)^2 + B_1^2(1-\gamma))}{(1+\gamma)^3(2+\gamma)} - \frac{B_1^2(1-\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^3} + \frac{B_3}{B_1} \right] d_1^3 \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{B_1}{3+\gamma} H(q_1; q_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are given in (14). This completes the proof. \square

For $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{B_1}{3}H(q_1; q_2),$$

where $H(q_1; q_2)$ is given by Lemma 3.2, $q_1 = 2B_2/B_1$ and $q_2 = (B_3 - B_1^3)/B_1$. The bound is sharp.

Corollary 3.5. [11, Theorem 2.1] *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3}(1 - \alpha)[4(1 - \alpha)^2 - 1], & 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \sqrt{3}/2, \\ \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{3\sqrt{1-(1-\alpha)^2}}, & 1 - \sqrt{3}/2 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

The bounds are sharp.

Proof. For

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} = 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)z + 2(1 - \alpha)^2z^2 + \dots \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $B_1 = B_2 = B_3 = 2(1 - \alpha)$ and $q_1 = 2, q_2 = 1 - 4(1 - \alpha)^2$ (with respect to D_4 and D_8) in Corollary 3.4, we obtain the required result. \square

Corollary 3.6. [14, Theorem 2.1(2)] *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_q^*$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{4\sqrt{6}}{27}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. For

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^4}{8} + \dots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $q_1 = 1, q_2 = -1$ (with respect to D_8) in Corollary 3.4, it gives the result stated in the corollary. \square

Corollary 3.7. [14, Theorem 3.1(2)] *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_{i_\lambda}^*$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{\lambda}{6}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. If we take

$$\varphi(z) = \sqrt{1 + \lambda z} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}z - \frac{\lambda^2}{8}z^2 + \frac{\lambda^3}{16}z^3 + \dots \quad (\lambda \in (0, 1], z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $q_1 = -\lambda/2, q_2 = -\lambda^2/8$ (with respect to D_1) in Corollary 3.4, then we have the required result. \square

Corollary 3.8. [22, Theorem 3.2] *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_B^*$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{6}{17}}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. Letting

$$\varphi(z) = e^{e^z-1} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n = 1 + z + z^2 + \frac{5}{6} z^3 + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $q_1 = 2$, $q_2 = -1/6$ (with respect to D_8) in Corollary 3.4, it gives the result stated in the corollary. \square

Taking

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + \sin z = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n = 1 + z - \frac{z^3}{6} + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $q_1 = 0$, $q_2 = -7/6$ (with respect to D_3) in Corollary 3.4, we have a correction of the result obtained in [44, Theorem 3] in the next result:

Corollary 3.9. *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_s^*$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{7}{18}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Corollary 3.10. [43, Corollary 2] *If the function $f \in \mathcal{S}_e^*$ has the power expansion series given by (1), then*

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{8}{9\sqrt{7}}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. Setting

$$\varphi(z) = e^z = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n z^n = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \cdots \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $q_1 = 1$, $q_2 = -5/6$ (with respect to D_8) in Corollary 3.4, we have the required result. \square

Remark 3.11. (i) *For $\lambda = 1$ in Corollary 3.7, we obtain the result given in [30, Theorem 2.5].*

(ii) *Setting $\varphi(z) = [(1+z)/(1-z)]^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) in Corollary 3.4, we obtain the estimate obtained in [12, Theorem 2.1].*

(iii) *Taking $\gamma = 1$ and $\varphi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$ in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the estimate obtained in [8, Theorem 2.1].*

References

- [1] H. Airault, A. Bouali, Differential calculus on the Faber polynomials, Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006) 179-222 .
- [2] H. Airault, J. Ren, An algebra of differential operators and generating functions on the set of univalent functions, Bull. Sci. Math. 126 (2002) 343-367.
- [3] O. Alrefal, M. Ali, General coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions: a new approach, Turk. J. Math. 44(1) (2020) 240–251.
- [4] E. Analouei Adegani, N. E. Cho, M. Jafari, Logarithmic coefficients for univalent functions defined by subordination, Mathematics 7 (2019) Article ID 408, 1–12.
- [5] E. Analouei Adegani, N. E. Cho, A. Motamednezhad, M. Jafari, Bi-univalent functions associated with Wright hypergeometric functions, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 28 (2020) 261–271.
- [6] E. Analouei Adegani, S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, A. Zireh, Coefficient estimates of m -fold symmetric bi-subordinate functions, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 48 (2019) 365–371.

- [7] K. O. Babalola, On $H_3(1)$ Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions, *Inequal. Theory Appl.* 6 (2010) 1–7.
- [8] D. Bansal, S. Maharana, J. K. Prajapat, Third order Hankel determinant for certain univalent functions, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 52(6) (2015) 1139–1148.
- [9] S. Bulut, Coefficient estimates for a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by Hadamard product, *J. Complex Anal.* 2014 (2014) Article ID 302019, 1–7.
- [10] S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions, *C. R. Math.* 352(6) (2014) 479–484.
- [11] N. E. Cho, B. Kowalczyk, O. S. Kwon, A. Lecko, Y. J. Sim, The bounds of some determinants for starlike functions of order alpha, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 41 (2018) 523–535.
- [12] N. E. Cho, B. Kowalczyk, O. S. Kwon, A. Lecko, Y. J. Sim, Some coefficient inequalities related to the Hankel determinant for strongly starlike functions of order alpha, *J. Math. Inequal.* 11 (2017) 429–439.
- [13] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, S. S. Kumar, V. Ravichandran, Radius problems for starlike functions associated with the sine function, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* 45(1) (2019) 1–20.
- [14] N. E. Cho, V. Kumar, O. S. Kwon, Y. J. Sim, Coefficient bounds for certain subclasses of starlike functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2019(1) (2019) Article ID 276, 1–13.
- [15] G. Faber, Über polynomische Entwicklungen, *Math. Ann.*, 57(3) (1903) 389–408.
- [16] B. A. Frasin, M. K. Aouf, New subclasses of bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 24 (2011) 1569–1573.
- [17] H. Ö. Güneş, G. Murugusundaramoorthy, H. M. Srivastava, The second Hankel determinant for a certain class of bi-close-to-convex functions, *Results Math.* 74 (2019) Article ID 93, 1–13.
- [18] J. M. Jahangiri, S. G. Hamidi, Coefficient estimates for certain classes of bi-univalent functions, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2013 (2013) Article ID 190560, 1–4.
- [19] J. M. Jahangiri, S. G. Hamidi, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for analytic bi-Bazilevič functions, *Matematicki Vesnik* 67 (2015) 123–129.
- [20] J. M. Jahangiri, S. G. Hamidi, S. A. Halim, Coefficients of bi-univalent functions with positive real part derivatives, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 37 (2014) 633–640.
- [21] K. Khatter, S. K. Lee, S. S. Kumar, Coefficient bounds for certain analytic functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 41(1) (2018) 455–490.
- [22] V. Kumar, N. E. Cho, V. Ravichandran, H. M. Srivastava, Sharp coefficient bounds for starlike functions associated with the Bell numbers, *Math. Slovaca* 69(5) (2019) 1053–1064.
- [23] M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967) 63–68.
- [24] W. C. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*; Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, USA (1992) 157–169.
- [25] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1952.
- [26] Ch. Pommerenke, On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 41 (1966) 111–122.
- [27] Ch. Pommerenke, On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions, *Mathematika* 14 (1967) 108–112.
- [28] D. V. Prokhorov, J. Szynal, Inverse coefficients for $(\alpha; \beta)$ -convex functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A* 35 (1984) 125–143.
- [29] R. K. Raina, J. Sokół, Some properties related to a certain class of starlike functions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I* 353(11) (2015) 973–978.
- [30] M. Raza, S. N. Malik, Upper bound of the third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with lemniscate of Bernoulli, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013 (2013) Article ID 412, 1–8.
- [31] J. Sokół, On some subclass of strongly starlike functions, *Demonstratio Math.* 21 (1998) 81–86.
- [32] J. Sokół, J. Stankiewicz, Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions, *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat.* 19 (1996) 101–105.
- [33] H. M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in geometric function theory of complex analysis, *Iran J. Sci. Technol. Trans. Sci.* 44 (2020) 327–344.
- [34] H. M. Srivastava, Ş. Altınkaya, S. Yalçın, Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials, *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* 43 (2019) 1873–1879.
- [35] H. M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, F. Ghanim, Coefficient estimates for a general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions of the Ma-Minda type, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* 112 (2018) 1157–1168.
- [36] H. M. Srivastava, S. Khan, Q. Z. Ahmad, N. Khan, S. Hussain, The Faber polynomial expansion method and its application to the general coefficient problem for some subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with a certain q -integral operator, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* 63 (2018) 419–436.
- [37] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and biunivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010) 1188–1192.
- [38] H. M. Srivastava, A. Motamednezhad, E. Analouei Adegani, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by using differential subordination and a certain fractional derivative operator, *Mathematics* 8 (2020) Article ID 172, 1–12.
- [39] H. M. Srivastava, S. Sümer Eker, R. M. Ali, Coefficient bounds for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Filomat* 29 (2015) 1839–1845.
- [40] H. M. Srivastava, S. Sümer Eker, S. G. Hamidi, J. M. Jahangiri, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* 44 (2018) 149–157.
- [41] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Wanas, Initial Maclaurin coefficient bounds for new subclasses of analytic and m -fold symmetric bi-univalent functions defined by a linear combination, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 59 (2019) 493–503.
- [42] P. G. Todorov, On the Faber polynomials of the univalent functions of class Σ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 162 (1991) 268–276.

- [43] P. Zaprawa, Hankel Determinants for univalent functions related to the exponential function, *Symmetry* 11 (2019) Article ID 1211, 1–10.
- [44] H. Y. Zhang, R. Srivastava, H. Tang, Third-order Hankel and Toeplitz determinants for starlike functions connected with the sine function, *Mathematics* 7(5) (2019) Article ID 404, 1–10.
- [45] A. Zireh, E. Analouei Adegani, M. Bidkham, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for subclass of bi-univalent functions defined by quasi-subordinate, *Math. Slovaca* 68 (2018) 369–378.
- [46] A. Zireh, E. Analouei Adegani, S. Bulut, Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions defined by subordination, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* 23 (2016) 487–504.