Filomat 34:4 (2020), 1105–1115 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2004105M



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Perturbation Determinants on Banach Spaces and Operator Differentiability for Hirsch Functional Calculus

## A. R. Mirotin<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Francisk Skorina Gomel State University

**Abstract.** We consider a perturbation determinant for pairs of nonpositive (in a sense of Komatsu) operators on Banach space with nuclear difference and prove the formula for the logarithmic derivative of this determinant. To this end the Frechet differentiability of operator monotonic (negative complete Bernstein) functions of negative and nonpositive operators on Banach spaces is investigated. The results may be regarded as a contribution to the Hirsch functional calculus.

#### 1. Introduction

The perturbation determinant plays an important role in perturbation theory for linear operators. It was introduced by M. Krein in his seminal paper [17] for operators on Hilbert space and is crucial in particular for Krein's theory of trace formulas and spectral shift functions. Later this concept received many other applications (see, e.g., [9, Chapter IV] where perturbation determinants are channels for the use in operator theory of theorems of the theory of functions). For more recent results on perturbation determinants see, e.g., [7], [8], [21], [20]. As was mentioned in [9, Chapter IV] a number of relations of the theory of perturbation determinants can be traced as far back as an old paper by H. Bateman [2] on integral operators. All aforementioned works deal with Hilbert space operators only.

The perturbation determinants for the pairs of generators of strongly continuous semigroups on Banach spaces was considered by the author in [25]. In the present article, following [29] we extend the classical concept of perturbation determinant to a more general setup of pairs of nonpositive operators on Banach space with nuclear difference and prove a generalization of the important formula for the logarithmic derivative of this determinant. The last formula was proved (by another method) in [29] for negative operators. Our main tool is the notion of a Frechet derivative of a Bernstein function of an operator argument.

Yu. Daletskiĭ and S.G. Kreĭn pioneered the study of the problem of differentiability of functions of selfadjoint operators in [5]. Their study has been motivated by problems in perturbation theory. Existence of the higher order derivatives was established in [31]. Differential calculus for functions of several commuting Hermitian operators in Hilbert spaces was studied in [18]. For a survey and bibliography of the theory for Hilbert spaces that resulted see the article [1]. The case of Banach spaces was considered in [24], and [25].

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A56; Secondary 47B10, 47L20

*Keywords*. Perturbation determinant; nonpositive operator; Hirsch functional calculus; Bernstein function; operator monotonic function; operator differentiability

Received: 01 September 2018; Accepted: 28 May 2020

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the Fund of Fundamental Research of Republic of Belarus. Grant number  $\Phi$  17-082.

Email address: amirotin@yandex.ru (A. R. Mirotin)

In this paper (bearing in mind the applications to the theory of perturbation determinants on Banach spaces) we investigate operator differentiability of operator monotonic (negative complete Bernstein) functions of negative and nonpositive operators on Banach spaces. These results may also have an independent interest.

The paper may be regarded as a contribution to a perturbation theory for Hirsch functional calculus.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce classes of functions and operators and briefly describe a version of Hirsch functional calculus we shall use below.

**Definition 1.** We say that a function  $\varphi$  is *negative complete Bernstein* and write  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  if it is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , satisfies  $\operatorname{Im} w \operatorname{Im} \varphi(w) \ge 0$  for  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{+}$ , and such that the limit  $\varphi(-0)$  exists and is real.

According to [33, Theorem 6.1] this means that  $-\varphi(-z)$  is a complete Bernstein function and  $\varphi$  has the following integral representation

$$\varphi(z) = c + bz + \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{z}{t-z} d\mu(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (0, +\infty), \tag{1}$$

where  $c \le 0, b \ge 0$  and  $\mu$  is a unique positive measure such that  $\int_{(0,\infty)} d\mu(t)/(1+t) < \infty$ .

A lot of examples of complete Bernstein functions one can found in [33].

In the sequel unless otherwise stated we assume for the sake of simplicity that c = b = 0 in the integral representation (1) (otherwise one should replace  $\varphi(z)$  by  $\varphi(z) - c - bz$ ).

**Remark 1.** It is known (see, e.g., [33, Theorem 12.17]), that the families of complete Bernstein and positive operator monotone functions coincide. It follows that the families of negative complete Bernstein and negative operator monotone functions also coincide (we say that a real function  $\varphi$  on  $(-\infty, 0]$  is *negative operator monotone* if for every bounded self-adjoint operators *A* and *B* on a finite or infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space the inequalities  $A \le B \le O$  imply  $\varphi(A) \le \varphi(B)$ ). That is why we denote the family of negative complete Bernstein functions by  $OM_{-}$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that closed, densely defined operator *A* on a complex Banach space *X* is *nonpositive* (*negative*) (in a sense of Komatsu) if  $(0, \infty)$  is contained in  $\rho(A)$ , the resolvent set of *A* (respectively  $[0, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ ), and

$$M_A := \sup_{t>0} \|tR(t,A)\| < \infty$$

(respectively

$$M_A := \sup_{t>0} \|(1+t)R(t,A)\| < \infty)$$

where  $R(t, A) = (tI - A)^{-1}$  stands for the resolvent of an operator A, and Ix = x for all  $x \in X$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{NP}(X)$  ( $\mathcal{N}(X)$ ) the class of nonpositive (respectively, negative) operators on the space X. **Remark 2.** The operator A is nonpositive (negative) if and only if -A is nonnegative (positive) in a sense of Komatsu [16] (see also [21, Chapter 1]). We deal with negative operators instead of positive one because in this form our results are consistent with Bochner-Phillips functional calculus of semigroup generators considered in [22] – [28]. According to the Hille-Yosida Theorem every generator of strongly continuous uniformly bounded semigroup of operators belongs to  $\mathcal{NP}(X)$ . It follows that even for the case of Hilbert space the class of nonpositive operators in a sense of Komatsu is wider that the class of self-adjoint nonpositive operators in the classical sense.

**Definition 3** [3]. For any function  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  with representing measure  $\mu$  and any  $A \in N\mathcal{P}(X)$  we put

$$\varphi(A)x = \int_{(0,\infty)} AR(t,A)xd\mu(t) \quad (x \in D(A))$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

(the Bochner integral). This operator is closable (see, e.g., [3]) and its closure will be denoted by  $\varphi(A)$ , too.

It is known [10] –[14] (see also [21, Theorem 7.4.6]) that for  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  the operator  $\varphi(A)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{NP}(X)$  ( $\mathcal{N}(X)$ ) if  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  (respectively,  $A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ ).

Remark 3. In the Hirsch functional calculus [10] -[14] (see also [21], [32]) functions of the form

$$f(w) = a + \int_{[0,\infty)} \frac{w}{1 + ws} d\lambda(s)$$

 $(a \ge 0, \lambda \text{ is a unique positive measure such that } \int_{(0,\infty)} d\lambda(s)/(1+s) < \infty)$  are applied to nonnegative operators

T on Banach spaces via the formula

$$f(T)x = ax + \int_{[0,\infty)} T(I + sT)xd\lambda(s) \quad (x \in D(T)).$$

Since

$$f(w) = a + bw + \int_{(0,\infty)} \frac{w}{s^{-1} + w} s^{-1} d\lambda(s),$$

every such function is complete Bernstein. Consequently, the functional calculus under consideration is in fact a form of Hirsch functional calculus.

In what follows  $(I, \|\cdot\|_I)$  stands for a *symmetrically normed operator ideal* in complex Banach space *X*, i.e., two-sided ideal of the algebra  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  of bounded operators on *X* that is complete with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_I$ , and satisfies  $\|ASB\|_I \leq \|A\|\|S\|_I \|B\|$ , and  $\|S\| \leq \|S\|_I$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $S \in I$  (the case  $I = \mathcal{L}(X)$  is also of interest).

### 3. Operator differentiability

**Definition 4**. Let  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$ ,  $A \in N\mathcal{P}(X)$ , and let I be an operator ideal. A bounded operator  $\varphi_A^{\nabla}$  on I (transformer) is called an *I*-*Frechet derivative* of a function  $\varphi$  at the point A if for every  $\Delta A \in I$  the following asymptotic equality holds:

$$\|\varphi(A + \Delta A) - \varphi(A) - \varphi_A^{\vee}(\Delta A)\|_I = o(\|\Delta A\|_I) \text{ as } \|\Delta A\|_I \to 0.$$

We need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** (i) Let  $A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ . Then  $A + V \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  and  $\rho(A + V) \supset \rho(A)$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $||V|| < 1/M_A$ . In this case  $M_{A+V} \leq M_A/(1 - M_A||V||)$ .

(ii) Let  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$ . Then  $A + V \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  and  $\rho(A + V) \supset \rho(A)$  for every  $V \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $||V|| < 1/2M_A$ . In this case  $M_{A+V} \leq 2M_A/(1 - 2M_A||V||)$ .

Proof. (i) First note that  $||V|| < 1/M_A \le 1/||R(t, A)||$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . It follows in view of [15, Remark IV.3.2] that  $\rho(A + V) \supset \rho(A) \supset \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Next, applying [15, Theorem IV.1.16, Remark IV.1.17] we have for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

$$||R(t, A + V) - R(t, A)|| \le \frac{||V|| ||R(t, A)||^2}{1 - ||V|| ||R(t, A)||}$$
(3)

since  $||V||||R(t, A)|| < ||R(t, A)||/M_A \le 1$ . Thus, we obtain for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

$$\begin{split} \|R(t,A+V)\| &\leq \|R(t,A)\| + \frac{\|V\|\|R(t,A)\|^2}{1 - \|V\|\|R(t,A)\|} = \frac{\|R(t,A)\|}{1 - \|V\|\|R(t,A)\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|R(t,A)\|}{1 - \|V\|M_A} \leq \frac{M_A/(1 - M_A\|V\|)}{1 + t} \end{split}$$

which completes the proof of (i).

(ii) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $M_{A-\varepsilon I} \leq 2M_A$ , since

$$M_{A-\varepsilon I} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \|\lambda((\lambda+\varepsilon)I-A)^{-1}\| \le \sup_{\lambda>0} \|(\lambda+\varepsilon)((\lambda+\varepsilon)I-A)^{-1}\| + \varepsilon \sup_{\lambda>0} \|((\lambda+\varepsilon)I-A)^{-1}\| \le M_A + \varepsilon \sup_{\lambda>0} \frac{M_A}{\lambda+\varepsilon} = 2M_A.$$

Therefore for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  the condition  $||V|| < 1/2M_A$  yields  $||V|| < 1/M_{A-\varepsilon I}$ . So, by (i),  $A - \varepsilon I + V \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ . It follows first of all that  $\rho(A - \varepsilon I + V) \supset \rho(A - \varepsilon I)$  which implies  $\rho(A + V) \supset \rho(A)$ .

Moreover,

$$\sup_{t>0} ||tR(t, (A - \varepsilon I) + V)|| \le M_{A - \varepsilon I}/(1 - M_{A - \varepsilon I}||V||) \le 2M_A/(1 - 2M_A||V||).$$

Consequently, for all  $\varepsilon > 0, t > 0$ 

$$||tR(t + \varepsilon, A + V)|| \le 2M_A/(1 - 2M_A||V||).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  tend to zero, we obtain  $||tR(t, A + V)|| \le 2M_A/(1 - 2M_A||V||)$  for all t > 0 and the result follows.

**Lemma 2.** (Cf. [30].) (i) Let  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$ . For any operators  $A, B \in N(X)$  such that  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ , and  $A - B \in I$  the operator  $\varphi(A) - \varphi(B)$  belongs to I, too, and satisfies the inequality

$$\|\varphi(A) - \varphi(B)\|_{I} \le M_{A}M_{B}\varphi'(-1)\|A - B\|_{I}.$$

(ii) If, in addition,  $\varphi'(-0) \neq \infty$ , then  $\varphi(A) - \varphi(B)$  belongs to I for any operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  such that  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ , and  $A - B \in I$  and

$$|\varphi(A) - \varphi(B)||_I \le M_A M_B \varphi'(-0) ||A - B||_I.$$

Proof. (i) Since AR(t, A)x = R(t, A)Ax for  $x \in D(A)$ , we have

$$(\varphi(A) - \varphi(B))x = \int_{(0,\infty)} (AR(t,A) - BR(t,B))xd\mu(t) \ (x \in D(A))$$

Let g(t) := AR(t, A) - BR(t, B) (t > 0). The well known equality AR(t, A) = -I + tR(t, A) ( $t \in \rho(A)$ ) implies in view of the second resolvent identity that g(t) = t(R(t, A) - R(t, B)) = tR(t, A)(A - B)R(t, B). Therefore  $||g(t)||_{I} \le M_{A}M_{B}||A - B||_{I}t/(1 + t)^{2}$ . It follows that the Bochner integral  $\int_{(0,\infty)} g(t)d\mu(t)$  exists with respect to the I norm, and the desired inequality is valid, because  $\int_{(0,\infty)} td\mu(t)/(1 + t)^{2} = \varphi'(-1)$ .

(ii) In this case,  $\|g(t)\|_{I} \leq M_{A}M_{B}\|A - B\|_{I}/t$ . Then the Bochner integral  $\int_{(0,\infty)} g(t)d\mu(t)$  exists with respect to the I norm and the desired inequality holds, since  $\int_{(0,\infty)} d\mu(t)/t = \varphi'(-0) \neq \infty$ .

**Definition 5.** We introduce on  $\mathcal{NP}(X)$  the following equivalence relation: operators A and A' from  $\mathcal{NP}(X)$  are equivalent if  $A' - A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Formula ||A' - A|| defines metrics in every equivalence class.

**Theorem 1.** 1) (a) A function  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  is *I*-differentiable in Frechet sense at any point  $A \in N(X)$ , and its *I*-Frechet derivative is given by the formula

$$\varphi_A^{\nabla}(V) = \int_0^\infty R(t, A) V R(t, A) t d\mu(t) \quad (V \in \mathcal{I}).$$
(4)

(b) For every equivalence class *C* of operators from N(X) the mapping  $A \mapsto \varphi_A^{\nabla}$  from *C* to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(X))$  is continuous. 2) Let the function  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  satisfies  $\varphi'(-0) \neq \infty$ ,  $\varphi''(-0) \neq \infty$ . Then  $\varphi$  is *I*-differentiable in Frechet sense at any point  $A \in N\mathcal{P}(X)$ , and its *I*-Frechet derivative is given by formula (4). Proof. 1) (a). Fix  $A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ . The transformer  $F_A : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}$  which is defined by the right-hand side of formula (4) is bounded, because

$$\|F_A(V)\|_I \le M_A^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{td\mu(t)}{(1+t)^2} \|V\|_I.$$

Let  $\Delta A \in I$  be such that  $\|\Delta A\|_{I} < 1/M_{A}$ . Then  $A + \Delta A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  (see lemma 1) and by means of consideration from the proof of lemma 2 we obtain the equality

$$\varphi(A + \Delta A) - \varphi(A) - F_A(\Delta A) = \int_0^\infty (R(t, A + \Delta A) - R(t, A)) \Delta AR(t, A) t d\mu(t).$$
(5)

Furthermore, we have by lemma 1

$$\begin{split} \|R(t,A+\Delta A)-R(t,A)\| &= \|R(t,A+\Delta A)\Delta AR(t,A)\| \leq \\ \frac{M_{A+\Delta A}M_A}{(1+t)^2} \|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \frac{2M_A^2}{1-M_A\|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}}} \frac{\|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}}}{(1+t)^2}. \end{split}$$

In view of this inequality formula (5) implies

$$\|\varphi(A + \Delta A) - \varphi(A) - F_A(\Delta A)\|_{\mathcal{I}} \le 2M_A^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{td\mu(t)}{(1+t)^3} \frac{\|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}}^2}{1 - 2M_A \|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}}} = o(\|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}})$$

and the first statement follows.

(b). Let operators *A* and *A'* from  $\mathcal{N}(X)$  be equivalent. By virtue of formula (4) for any  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  we have

$$(\varphi_{A'}^{\nabla} - \varphi_{A}^{\nabla})(B) = \int_{0}^{\infty} R(t, A') B(R(t, A') - R(t, A)) t d\mu(t) + \int_{0}^{\infty} (R(t, A') - R(t, A)) BR(t, A) t d\mu(t).$$

Hence,

$$\|(\varphi_{A'}^{\nabla} - \varphi_{A}^{\nabla})(B)\| \le 2 \max\{M_{A'}, M_{A}\}\|B\| \int_{0}^{\infty} \|R(t, A') - R(t, A)\| \frac{t}{1+t} d\mu(t).$$
(6)

Choose arbitrary  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and let  $||A' - A|| < \varepsilon/(2M_A)$ . Then ||A' - A||||R(t, A)|| < 1/2, and therefore  $M_{A'} \le 2M_A$  by lemma 1 with V = A' - A. Moreover, formula (3) implies for V = A' - A that

$$||R(t,A') - R(t,A)|| < 2||A' - A||||R(t,A)||^2 \le 2||A' - A||\frac{M_A^2}{(1+t)^2} < \frac{M_A}{(1+t)^2}\varepsilon.$$

So, by virtue of formula (6)

$$\|(\varphi_{A'}^{\nabla} - \varphi_A^{\nabla})B\| \le 4M_A^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{td\mu(t)}{(1+t)^3} \varepsilon \|B\|,$$

and then

$$\|\varphi_{A'}^{\nabla}-\varphi_A^{\nabla}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(X))} \leq \left(4M_A^3\int_0^\infty \frac{td\mu(t)}{(1+t)^3}\right)\varepsilon,$$

which completes the proof of the part (b).

2). Since  $\int_{(0,\infty)} d\mu(t)/t = \varphi'(-0) \neq \infty$ , the transformer  $F_A : I \to I$  which is defined by the right-hand side of formula (4) is bounded. Moreover, formula (5) implies by the second resolvent identity that

$$\|\varphi(A + \Delta A) - \varphi(A) - F_A(\Delta A)\|_I =$$

$$\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} R(t,A+\Delta A)\Delta AR(t,A)\Delta AR(t,A)td\mu(t)\right\|_{I} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \|R(t,A+\Delta A)\|\|R(t,A)\|^{2}td\mu(t)\|\Delta A\|_{I}^{2}$$

If  $\|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{I}} < 1/2M_A$  we have by lemma 1 that  $\|R(t, A + \Delta A)\| \le M_{A+\Delta A}/t \le 2M_A(1 - 2M_A\|\Delta A\|)^{-1}/t$ . Since  $\int_{(0,\infty)} d\mu(t)/t^2 = \varphi''(-0) \neq \infty$ , it follows that

$$\|\varphi(A + \Delta A) - \varphi(A) - F_A(\Delta A)\|_{I} \le 2M_A^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu(t)}{t^2} \frac{\|\Delta A\|_{I}^2}{1 - 2M_A \|\Delta A\|_{I}} = o(\|\Delta A\|_{I})$$

and the proof is complete.

**Remark 4.** If  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  has integral representation (1) (with a = b = 0), then  $\varphi'(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t d\mu(t)/(t-s)^{2}$ . Therefore for  $A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$  or  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  and  $\varphi'(-0) \neq \infty$  one can put

$$\varphi'(A) := \int_0^\infty R(t,A)^2 t d\mu(t).$$

If  $V \in I$  and A and V commutes it is easy to verify that  $\varphi_A^{\nabla}(V) = \varphi'(A)V$ .

**Examples.** 1) The function  $\psi_{\lambda}(s) := \log \lambda - \log(\lambda - s)$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) belongs to  $OM_{-}$  and has the integral representation (s < 0)

$$\psi_{\lambda}(s) = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{s}{t-s} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Thus, theorem 1 implies that for  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$ , and  $V \in \mathcal{I}$ 

$$(\psi_{\lambda})_{A}^{\nabla}(V) = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} R(t, A) V R(t, A) dt$$

If *A* and *V* commutes it follows that  $(\psi_{\lambda})_{A}^{\nabla}(V) = \psi'_{\lambda}(A)V = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}V$ .

2) The function  $\varphi(s) := -(-s)^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ) belongs to  $OM_{-}$  and has the integral representation

$$\varphi(s) = \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{s}{t-s} t^{\alpha-1} dt.$$

So, theorem 1 implies that for  $A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ , and  $V \in \mathcal{I}$ 

$$\varphi_A^{\nabla}(V) = \frac{\sin \alpha \pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty R(t, A) V R(t, A) t^{\alpha} dt.$$

Before we formulate our next theorem note that there exist differentiable functions f on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for some self-adjoint operators A and V the function  $t \mapsto f(A + tV) - f(A)$  is not differentiable at the origin (see, e. g., [1, Theorem 1.2.8]).

**Theorem 2.** If  $\varphi \in OM_-$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ ,  $V \in I$ , then the *I*-valued function  $z \mapsto \varphi(A + zV) - \varphi(A)$  is analytic in the neighborhood of the origin  $O_{A,V} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1/(||V||_I M_A)\}$ , and it allows in this neighborhood the expansion

$$\varphi(A+zV) - \varphi(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n C_n,$$
(7)

where the series absolutely converges in the I norm and

$$C_{n} = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{d^{n}}{dz^{n}} \varphi(A + zV) \right|_{z=0} = \int_{0}^{\infty} (R(t, A)V)^{n} R(t, A) t d\mu(t)$$
(8)

(the derivatives are understood in the sense of the *I* norm).

Proof. For every  $z \in O_{A,V}$  operator A + zV belongs to  $\mathcal{N}(X)$  by lemma 1 and therefore  $\varphi(A + zV) - \varphi(A) \in I$  by lemma 2. Since the function  $\varphi$  is I-Frechet differentiable at the point A + zV by theorem 1, its I-Gateaux derivative at the point A + zV, the transformer  $d/dh\varphi(A + (z + h)V)|_{h=0}$ , coincides with  $\varphi_{A+zV}^{\nabla}$ . This means, due to formula (4), that

$$\frac{d}{dz}\varphi(A+zV) = \varphi_{A+zV}^{\nabla} = \int_{0}^{\infty} R(t,A+zV)VR(t,A+zV)td\mu(t).$$
(9)

Consequently, I-valued function  $\varphi(A + zV) - \varphi(A)$  is analytic in  $O_{A,V}$  and allows an expansion (7), where  $C_n$  is determined by the first of equalities (8). The second equality is the consequence of the following equality  $(z \in O_{A,V})$ 

$$\frac{d^n}{dz^n}\varphi(A+zV) = n! \int_0^\infty (R(t,A+zV)V)^n R(t,A+zV)td\mu(t)$$
(10)

which we will prove by induction. For n = 1 it holds by virtue of (9). Assume that it is valid for certain n and let  $|z| < q/(||V||_I M_A)$  for  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Since d/dzR(t, A + zV) = R(t, A + zV)VR(t, A + zV), we have differentiating under the integral sign

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^{n+1}}{dz^{n+1}}\varphi(A+zV) &= n! \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dz} ((R(t,A+zV)V)^{n}R(t,A+zV))td\mu(t) = \\ n! \int_{0}^{\infty} ((nR(t,A+zV)V)^{n-1} \frac{d}{dz} (R(t,A+zV))VR(t,A+zV) + (R(t,A+zV)V)^{n} \frac{d}{dz} R(t,A+zV))td\mu(t) = \\ (n+1)! \int_{0}^{\infty} (R(t,A+zV)V)^{n+1} R(t,A+zV)td\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since, by lemma 1,  $M_{A+zV} \le M_A/(1 - M_A ||zV||_I) < M_A/(1 - q)$ , the validity of differentiation under the integral sign follows from the estimate

$$\begin{split} \|(R(t,A+zV)V)^{n+1}R(t,A+zV)t\|_{I} &\leq \|R(t,A+zV)\|^{n+2}\|V\|_{I}^{n+1}t \leq \\ &\left(\frac{M_{A+zV}}{1+t}\right)^{n+2}\|V\|_{I}^{n+1}t < \left(\frac{M_{A}}{1-q}\right)^{n+2}\|V\|_{I}^{n+1}\frac{t}{(1+t)^{n+2}}. \end{split}$$

Finally, for  $z \in O_{A,V}$  we have

$$\|z^{n}C_{n}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \frac{1}{\|V\|_{\mathcal{I}}^{n}M_{A}^{n}}\|C_{n}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \frac{1}{\|V\|_{\mathcal{I}}^{n}M_{A}^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \|(R(t,A)V)^{n}R(t,A)\|_{\mathcal{I}}td\mu(t) \leq M_{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{td\mu(t)}{(1+t)^{n+1}}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t d\mu(t)}{(1+t)^{n+1}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu(t)}{1+t} < \infty,$$

the series in (7) absolutely converges in the I norm. This completes the proof.

The analog of theorem 2 is valid for  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  as well.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\varphi \in OM_{-}$  be such that  $\varphi^{(n)}(-0) \neq \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$ ,  $V \in I$ . Then the *I*-valued function  $z \mapsto \varphi(A + zV) - \varphi(A)$  is analytic in the neighborhood of the origin  $O_{A,V}^{(2)} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1/(2||V||_I M_A)\},$ and it allows in this neighborhood the expansion (7) where the series absolutely converges in the I norm and formula (8) holds.

The proof is similar to the proof of theorem 2.

### 4. Application to perturbation determinants

We shall apply theorem 3 to prove the important formula for a logarithmic derivative of a perturbation determinant of operators on Banach spaces [25], [29]. Recall that operator on X is nuclear if it is representable as the sum of absolutely convergent in operator norm series of rank one operators; if, in addition, X has the approximation property, the continuous trace tr is defined on the operator ideal  $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \mathfrak{S}_1(X)$  of nuclear operators on *X*, see, e.g., [6].

**Definition 6** [29]. Let X has the approximation property. For  $A, B \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  such that  $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$  and B - A is nuclear define the perturbation determinant for the pair (A, B) by

$$\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda) := \exp(\operatorname{tr}(\psi_{\lambda}(B) - \psi_{\lambda}(A))) \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

where  $\psi_{\lambda}(s) := \log \lambda - \log(\lambda - s)$  (see example 1 above).

This is a generalization of the classical notion of a perturbation determinant for pairs of self-adjoint operators on Hilbert space (see, e.g., [4, formula (3.25)]).

Now we list several important properties of a perturbation determinant.

1) If operators  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  be such that A - B and B - C are nuclear, then

$$\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda)\Delta_{C/B}(\lambda) = \Delta_{C/A}(\lambda)$$

in particular,

$$\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda)\Delta_{A/B}(\lambda) = 1 \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

This property is an immediate consequence of the definition.

2)  $\lim_{A \to B/A} \Delta_{B/A}(\lambda) = 1.$ Indeed, by the lemma 2

$$\|\psi_{\lambda}(A) - \psi_{\lambda}(B)\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} \leq M_{A}M_{B}\psi_{\lambda}'(-0)\|A - B\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} = \frac{1}{\lambda}M_{A}M_{B}\|A - B\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} \to 0 \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty.$$

Since  $\psi_{\lambda}$  enjoys the conditions of theorem 3, we get also the next property.

**Corollary 1.** Let X has the approximation property. Let  $A \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ . Then the map  $z \mapsto \Delta_{(A+zV)/A}(\lambda)$ is analytic in  $O_{A,V}^{(2)}$  for every  $\lambda > 0$  and it allows in this neighborhood the representation

$$\Delta_{(A+zV)/A}(\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\operatorname{tr}(C_n(\lambda))z^n} \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

where

$$\operatorname{tr}(C_n(\lambda)) = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}((R(t,A)V)^n R(t,A)) dt$$

Proof. This follows from theorem 3 applied to the function  $\psi_{\lambda}$ .

Now we are able to prove a generalization of the formula for the logarithmic derivative of a perturbation determinant (for negative operators on Banach spaces this formula was proved by another method in [29]). **Theorem 4.** Let X has the approximation property,  $A, B \in N\mathcal{P}(X), A \neq B$  and  $B - A \in \mathfrak{S}_1(X)$ . Then for all  $\lambda > 1/2||A - B||$ 

$$\frac{\Delta'_{B/A}(\lambda)}{\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda)} = \operatorname{tr}(R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, B)).$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is broken into two steps.

1) First consider the case  $||B - A||_{\mathfrak{S}_1} < 1/2M_A$ . One can assume that B - A = zV, where  $V \in \mathfrak{S}_1(X), z \in O_{A,V}^{(2)}$  (for example, we can take  $V = (B - A)/||B - A||_{\mathfrak{S}_1}, z = ||B - A||_{\mathfrak{S}_1}$ ). Then, by corollary 1,

$$\frac{\Delta_{B/A}^{\prime}(\lambda)}{\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda)} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log \Delta_{(A+zV)/A}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \operatorname{tr}(C_n(\lambda)) z^n \ (\lambda > M_A)$$
(11)

because the series in the right-hand side converges uniformly in  $\lambda > M_A$ . Indeed, by corollary 1

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\operatorname{tr}(C_n(\lambda)) = -\operatorname{tr}((R(\lambda, A)V)^n R(\lambda, A))$$
(12)

and for all  $\lambda > M_A$  and  $z \in O_{A,V}^{(2)}$  we have

$$\|zR(\lambda,A)V\| \le \|zR(\lambda,A)V\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} \le |z|\frac{M_A}{\lambda}\|V\|_{\mathfrak{S}_1} < \frac{1}{2}.$$
(13)

Consequently,

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\lambda} \operatorname{tr}(C_{n}(\lambda)) z^{n} \right| = \left| \operatorname{tr}((zR(\lambda, A)V)^{n}R(\lambda, A)) \right| \leq \left\| (zR(\lambda, A)V)^{n}R(\lambda, A) \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} = \\ \left\| (zR(\lambda, A)V)^{n-1}(zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A) \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} \leq \left\| (zR(\lambda, A)V)^{n-1} \right\| \left\| zR(\lambda, A)V \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} \left\| R(\lambda, A) \right\| \leq \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left| z \right\| \left\| V \right\|_{\mathfrak{S}_{1}} \left( \frac{M_{A}}{\lambda} \right)^{2} < \frac{1}{M_{A}} \frac{1}{2^{n}}.$$

$$(14)$$

Formulas (11) and (12) imply that

$$\frac{\Delta'_{B/A}(\lambda)}{\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda)} = -\operatorname{tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((zR(\lambda, A)V)^n R(\lambda, A)) \quad (\lambda > M_A)$$
(15)

(the series in the right-hand side converges absolutely with respect to the nuclear norm as the proof of (14) shows).

Moreover, since the estimate (13) is valid for  $\lambda > M_A$  and  $z \in O_{A,V}^{(2)}$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((zR(\lambda, A)V)^n = zR(\lambda, A)V(I - zR(\lambda, A)V)^{-1}.$$
(16)

We claim that

$$zR(\lambda, A)V(I - zR(\lambda, A)V)^{-1}R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda, A + zV) - R(\lambda, A).$$
(17)

1113

To this end, we shall show that for all  $x \in D(A)$ 

$$(I - zR(\lambda, A)V)^{-1}x = R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x.$$
(18)

1114

Indeed, this follows from the next calculations  $(\text{Im}(R(\lambda, A + zV)) = D(A))$ :

$$(I - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A) - zR(\lambda, A)V)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A))x = (R(\lambda, A)(\lambda A ))x = (R(\lambda, A))x = (R(\lambda, A))x = (R(\lambda, A))x = (R(\lambda, A)$$

$$R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A - zV)R(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)x = R(\lambda, A)(\lambda I - A)x = x.$$

Now, in view of (18) the left-hand side of (17) takes the form

$$zR(\lambda, A)V(I - zR(\lambda, A)V)^{-1}R(\lambda, A) = zR(\lambda, A)VR(\lambda, A + zV)(\lambda I - A)R(\lambda, A) =$$
$$zR(\lambda, A)VR(\lambda, A + zV) = -(R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, A + zV))$$

and (17) follows. Putting together (17), (16) and (15) we get for  $\lambda > M_A$  that

$$\frac{\Delta'_{B/A}(\lambda)}{\Delta_{B/A}(\lambda)} = \operatorname{tr}(R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, A + zV)) = \operatorname{tr}(R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, B))$$

For  $\lambda > M_A$  the proof of the case 1) is complete.

2) Now let  $||B - A||_{\mathfrak{S}_1}$  be an arbitrary positive number, and  $\lambda > 1/2||A - B||$ . Note that for all positive k and  $\lambda$  we have  $R(\lambda, kA) = k^{-1}R(k^{-1}\lambda, A)$ . First of all it follows that  $kA, kB \in \mathcal{NP}(X)$  and  $M_{kA} = M_A$ . Now we choose  $k < 1/(2M_A||A - B||)$  (and then  $||kA - kB|| < 1/2M_A$ ). According to the case 1) we have for  $\lambda > M_A$ 

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}(\log \Delta_{kB/kA})(\lambda) = \operatorname{tr}(R(\lambda, kA) - R(\lambda, kB)) = k^{-1}\operatorname{tr}(R(k^{-1}\lambda, A) - R(k^{-1}\lambda, B)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\log \Delta_{kB/kA}(\lambda) = \operatorname{tr}(\psi_{\lambda}(kB) - \psi_{\lambda}(kA)) = \operatorname{tr}(\psi_{k^{-1}\lambda}(B) - \psi_{k^{-1}\lambda}(A)) = \log \Delta_{B/A}(k^{-1}\lambda)$$

which implies

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}(\log \Delta_{kB/kA})(\lambda) = k^{-1}\frac{d}{d\lambda}(\log \Delta_{B/A})(k^{-1}\lambda).$$

Thus the equality under consideration is valid for all  $\lambda > kM_A$ , the more so, this is true for  $\lambda > 1/2||A - B||$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark.** Using the same arguments as in the proof of theorem 4.2 in [29] (see formula (10) there) one can show that  $\Delta_{A,B}$  possesses an analytic continuation into some open sector  $S_{\theta} \subset \rho(A) \cap \rho(B)$  symmetric about the positive real semiaxis. It follows that the above mentioned properties of the perturbation determinant are valid for  $\lambda \in S_{\theta}$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let the conditions of theorem 4 are fulfilled. Let the Banach space X has the extra property that the trace on  $\mathfrak{S}_1(X)$  is nilpotent in a sense that  $\operatorname{tr}(N) = 0$  for every nilpotent operator N. Suppose  $z_1$  is a regular point or an isolated eigenvalue of the operators B and A of finite algebraic multiplicities  $k_0$  and k. Then at the point  $z_1$  the function  $\Delta_{B/A}(z)$  has a pole (or zero) of order  $k_0 - k$  (respectively of order  $k - k_0$ ).

Proof. Due to the theorem 4 the proof of this assertion is similar to the proof of the property 4 of the perturbation determinant given in [34, p. 267] (formula (5) from [34, pp. 266–267] is valid for Banach spaces, see [15, Chapter III, subsection 6.5]).

#### References

- [1] A. B. Aleksandrov, V. V. Peller, Operator Lipschitz functions, Russian Mathematical Surveys 71 (2016) 605–702
- H. Bateman, A formula for the solving function of a certain integral equation of the second kind, Messengers of Mathematics 37 (1908) 179–187

- [3] C. Berg, K. Boyadzhiev, R. deLaubenfels, Generation of generators of holomorphic semigroups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 55 (1993) 246 – 269
- [4] M.S. Birman, D.R. Yafaev, The spectral shift function. The papers of M. G. Kreïn and their further development, Algebra i Analiz 4 (1992) 1–44 (Russian). English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. 4 (1993) 833 – 870
- [5] Yu.L. Daletskii, S. G. Kreĭn, Integration and differentiation of functions of Hermitian operators and application to the theory of perturbations (Russian), Trudy Sem. Functsion. Anal. Voronezh. Gos. Univ. 1 (1956) 81-105
- [6] A. Defant, K. Floret, Tensor norms and operator ideals, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993
- [7] A. Carey, F. Gesztesy, G. Levitina, F. Sukochev, The Spectral Shift Function and the Witten Index. In: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Vol. 254. Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics (2016) 71 – 105
- [8] F. Gestezy, M. Zinchenko, Symmetrized perturbation determinants and application to boundary data maps and Krein-type resolvent formulas, Proc. London Math. Soc 104 (2012) 577 – 612
- [9] I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. Vol. 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969
- [10] F. Hirsch, Integrales de resolventes et calcul simbolique, Ann Inst. Fourier, (Grenoble) 22 (1972) 239 264
- [11] F. Hirsch, Transformation de Stieltjes et fonctions operant sur les potentiels abstraits. In: Faraut, J. (ed.), Theorie du Potentiel et Analyse Harmonique, Springer, Lect. Notes Math. vol. 404, Berlin (1974), 149–163
- [12] F. Hirsch, Familles d'operateurs potentiels, Ann Inst. Fourier, (Grenoble) 25 (1975) 263 288
- [13] F. Hirsch, Extension des proprietes des puissances fractionnaires. In: Seminaire de Theorie du Potentiel de Paris, No. 2, Springer, Lect. Notes Math. vol. 563, Berlin (1976) 100-120
- [14] F/ Hirsch, Domaines d'operateurs representes comme de integrales de resolventes, J. Func. Anal. 23 (1976) 199 217
- [15] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1966
- [16] H. Komatsu, Fractional powers of operators, III, J. Math. Soc. Japan 21 (1969) 205 220
- [17] M. G. Kreĭn, On a trace formula in perturbation theory, Mat. Sbornik 33 (1953) 597-626 (Russian)
- [18] E. Kissin, D. Potapov, V. Shulman, F. Sukochev, Operator smoothness in Schatten norms for functions of several variables: Lipschitz conditions, differentiability and unbounded derivations, Proc. London Math. Soc. 105 (2012) 661–702
- [19] K. A. Makarov, A. Skripka, Some Applications of the Perturbation Determinant in Finite von Neumann Algebras, Canad. J. Math. 62 (2010) 133 – 156
- [20] M. Malamud, H. Neidhart, Perturbation determinant for singular perturbations, Russian journal of mathematical phisics 21 (2014) 55–98
- [21] C. Martinez-Carracedo, M. Santz-Alix, The Theory of Fractional Powers of Operators. North Holland, Amsterdam, 2001
- [22] A. R. Mirotin, Multidimensional  $\mathcal{T}$ -calculus for generators of  $C_0$  semigroups, Algebra i Analiz 11 (1999) 142–170; English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. 11 (1999) 315-335
- [23] A. R. Mirotin, On some properties of the multidimensional Bochner–Phillips functional calculus, Sib. Mat. Zhurnal. 52 (2011) 1300 – 1312; English transl.: Siberian Mathematical Journal 52 (2011) 1032–1041
- [24] A. R. Mirotin, Bernstein functions of several semigroup generators on Banach spaces under bounded perturbations, Operators and Matrices 11 (2017) 199–217
- [25] A. R. Mirotin, Bernstein functions of several semigroup generators on Banach spaces under bounded perturbations. II, Operators and Matrices 12 (2018) 445 – 463
- [26] A. R. Mirotin, On the  $\mathcal{T}$ -calculus of generators for  $C_0$ -semigroups, Sib. Matem. Zh. 39 (1998) 571-582; English transl.: Sib. Math. J. 39 (1998) 493-503
- [27] A. R. Mirotin, On multidimensional Bochner-Phillips functional calculus, Probl. Fiz. Mat. Tekh. 1 (2009) 63-66 (Russian)
- [28] A. R. Mirotin, Criteria for Analyticity of Subordinate Semigroups, Semigroup Forum 78 (2009) 262–275
- [29] A. R. Mirotin, LifshitzKreĭn trace formula for Hirsch functional calculus on Banach spaces, arXiv: 1805.01337v2
- [30] S. Naboko, Estimates in operator classes for a difference of functions, from the Pick class, of accretive operators, Funct. Anal. Appl. 24 (1990) 187 – 195
- [31] V. V. Peller, Multiple operator integrals and higher operator derivatives, J. Funct. Anal. 233 (2006) 515 544
- [32] E. I. Pustylnik, On functions of a positive operator, Math. USSR Sbornik 47 (1984) 27 42
- [33] R. Shilling, R. Song, Z. Vondracek, Bernstein functions. Theory and applications, de Greyter, Berlin-New York, 2010
- [34] D. R. Yafaev, Mathematical scattering theory. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. Vol. 105. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992