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Abstract. In the setting of real ordered positive Hilbert spaces, a new class of general nonlinear ordered Yosida inclusion problem involving $\oplus$ operation has been considered and solved by employing a perturbed two step-iterative algorithm. The stability and convergence analysis of solution of new class of Yosida inclusion problem involving $\oplus$ operation has been substantiated by applying a new resolvent operator and Yosida approximation operator method with XOR-operation technique. The iterative algorithm and results demonstrated in this article have witnessed, a significant improvement for many previously known results of this domain. Further, we give a numerical example in support of our main result by using MATLAB programming.

1. Introduction

A useful and important generalization of variational inequalities is a mixed type variational inequalities involving nonlinear term. Due to the presence of the nonlinear term, the projection method cannot be used to study the existence and algorithm of solutions for the mixed type variational inequalities. In 1994, Hassouni and Moudafi [16] used the resolvent operator technique form maximal monotone mapping to study a class of mixed type variational inequalities with single-valued mappings which were called variational inclusions and developed a perturbed algorithm for finding approximate solutions of the mixed variational inequalities. It has been proved that the theory of variational inequalities (inclusions) is quite application oriented and thus generalized in several different directions. This theory is used to solve efficiently many problems related to economics, optimization, transportation, elasticity, basic and applied sciences, etc., see [1–5, 7, 9, 11–13, 15, 24–31] and references therein.

Focussing on the work done related to ordered set-valued mapping, it is worth to mention that work done by Li [20] and Li et al. [19, 23] is quite interesting and applicable in pure and applied sciences. In 2009, Li [17] introduced and studied a new class of general nonlinear ordered variational inequalities
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(ordered equations), and established an existence theorem in real ordered Banach spaces by using the $B$-restricted-accretive mappings.

By using different kind of mappings such as RME set-valued mapping, weak-ANODDM mapping, ordered $(\alpha, \lambda)$-NOADM set-valued mapping, $(\gamma_G, \lambda)$-weak-GRD set-valued mapping and ordered $(\alpha, \lambda)$-ANODM set-valued mappings with strong comparison mapping and their respective resolvent operators, Li et al. [18–23] studied different classes of nonlinear inclusion problems and obtained their solutions in real ordered Hilbert spaces. Very recently, Ahmad et al. [6, 8] considered some classes of ordered variational inclusions involving XOR-operation in different settings.

It is well known that the monotone operators on Hilbert spaces can be regularized into single-valued Lipschitzian monotone operators through a process known as Yosida approximation, see [3–5, 10–13, 29–31]. An existence of this process in Banach spaces satisfying some assumptions can be found in [3, 5, 10–13, 29–31].

Yosida inclusions are similar to variational inclusions involving Yosida approximation operator. Motivated and inspired by on going research in this direction, we introduce a new class of general nonlinear ordered Yosida inclusion problem involving XOR-operation in real ordered positive Hilbert spaces. Using the concept of XOR-operation, we propose a perturbed two-step iterative algorithm which is more general than the previous iterative algorithms considered by Li et al. [18, 21, 23]. Furthermore, we prove the existence of solution of general nonlinear ordered Yosida inclusion problem involving XOR-operation and analyze the convergence criteria of the iterative sequences of the proposed algorithm. Finally, we discuss stability analysis. We also construct a numerical example and a convergence graph by using MATLAB programming.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we suppose that $\mathcal{H}_p$ is a real ordered positive Hilbert space endowed with a norm $\| \cdot \|$ and an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $d$ is the metric induced by the norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $2^\mathcal{H}_p$ is the family of all nonempty subsets of $\mathcal{H}_p$.

For the presentation of the results, let us demonstrate some known definitions and results.

**Definition 2.1** ([14, 32]). A nonempty subset $C$ of $\mathcal{H}_p$ is called

(i) a normal cone if there exists a constant $N > 0$ such that for $0 \leq a \leq b$, we have $\|a\| \leq N\|b\|$, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$;
(ii) for any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $a \leq b$ if and only if $b - a \in C$;
(iii) $a$ and $b$ are said to be comparative to each other if and only if, we have either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$ and is denoted by $a \propto b$.

**Definition 2.2** ([32]). For arbitrary elements $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, lub[$a, b$] and glb[$a, b$] mean least upper bound and greatest upper bound of the set $\{a, b\}$. Suppose lub[$a, b$] and glb[$a, b$] exist, some binary operations are defined as follows:

(i) $a \vee b = \text{lub}[a, b]$;
(ii) $a \wedge b = \text{glb}[a, b]$;
(iii) $a \oplus b = (a - b) \vee (b - a)$;
(iv) $a \odot b = (a - b) \wedge (b - a)$.

The operations $\oplus$, $\odot$ and $\wedge$ are called XOR, XNOR, OR and AND operations, respectively.

**Lemma 2.3** ([14]). For any natural number $n, a \propto b_n$ and $b_n \to b^r$ as $n \to \infty$, then $a \propto b^r$.

**Proposition 2.4** ([18, 20, 22, 23]). Let $\odot$ be an XNOR-operation and $\oplus$ be an XOR-operation. Then the following relations hold:

(i) $a \odot a = 0, a \odot b = b \odot a = -(a \oplus b) = -(b \oplus a)$;
(ii) if $a \propto 0$, then $-a \odot 0 \leq a \leq a \oplus 0$;
Definition 2.6 ([17, 20, 22]). Let $A$ be a comparison valued mapping, if $M$ is a comparison set-valued mapping and

$vii$: if $a$ and $w$ are comparative to each other, then $(a \oplus b) \leq a \oplus w + w \oplus b$;

$\gamma$: if $a \ll b$, then $(a \oplus b) \leq a \oplus 0 = a \oplus b$;

$\gamma$: if $a \ll b$, then $(a \ominus 0) \oplus (b \ominus 0)) \leq (a \oplus b) \ominus 0 = a \oplus b$;

Proposition 2.5 ([14]). Let $C$ be a normal cone in $\mathcal{H}_p$ with normal constant $N$, then for each $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, the following relations hold:

(i) $\|0 \oplus 0\| = \|0\| = 0$;

(ii) $\|a \lor b\| \leq \|a\| \lor \|b\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\|;

(iii) $\|a \ominus b\| \leq \|a - b\| \leq N\|a \ominus b\|;

(iv) if $a \ll b$, then $\|a \ominus b\| = \|a - b\|$.

Definition 2.6 ([17, 20, 22]). Let $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ be a single-valued mapping. Then

(i) $A$ is said to be strongly comparison mapping, if $A$ is a comparison mapping and $A(a) \ll A(b)$ if and only if $a \ll b$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$;

(ii) $A$ is said to be $\gamma$-ordered non-extended mapping, if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that

$\gamma(a \oplus b) \leq A(a) \oplus A(b)$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$.

Definition 2.7 ([17, 20]). A mapping $A : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is said to be $\beta$-ordered compression mapping, if $A$ is a comparison mapping and

$A(a) \oplus A(b) \leq \beta(a \oplus b)$, for $0 < \beta < 1$.

Definition 2.8 ([23]). A mapping $F : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p$ is said to be $(\kappa, \nu)$-ordered Lipschitz continuous, if $a \ll b$ and $u \ll v$, then $N(a, u) \ll N(b, v)$ and there exist constants $\kappa, \nu > 0$ such that

$F(a, u) \ominus F(b, v) \leq \kappa(a \ominus b) + \nu(u \ominus v)$, for all $a, b, u, v \in \mathcal{H}_p$.

Definition 2.9 ([18, 19, 22, 23]). Let $M : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be a set-valued mapping. Then

(i) $M$ is said to be a comparison mapping, if for any $v_a \in M(a), a \ll v_a$, and if $a \ll b$, then for any $v_a \in M(a)$ and $v_b \in M(b)$, $v_a = v_b$, $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$;

(ii) a comparison mapping $M$ is said to be $\alpha$-non-ordinary difference mapping, if for each $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $v_a \in M(a)$ and $v_b \in M(b)$ such that

$(v_a \ominus v_b) \oplus a(a \ominus b) = 0$;

(iii) a comparison mapping $M$ is said to be $\lambda$-XOR-weak-ordered strongly compression mapping, if $a \ll b$, then there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$\lambda(v_a \ominus v_b) \geq a \ominus b$, $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, $v_a \in M(a), v_b \in M(b)$,

holds.

Now, we introduce a new resolvent operator associated with XOR-weak-NODSM mapping as well as Yosida approximation operator based on the new resolvent operator.

Definition 2.10. A comparison set-valued mapping $M : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ is said to be $(\alpha, \lambda)$-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping, if $M$ is a $\alpha$-non-ordinary difference mapping and $\lambda$-XOR-weak-ordered strongly monotone mapping and $[I \oplus \lambda M](\mathcal{H}_p) = \mathcal{H}_p$ for $\lambda > 0$, where $I$ is identity mapping on $\mathcal{H}_p$. 
Definition 2.11. Let $M$ be a $(\alpha, \lambda)$-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping. The resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$ associated with $M$ is defined by

$$R^M_\lambda(a) = [I \oplus \lambda M]^{-1}(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{H}_p,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Now, we define the Yosida approximation operator based on the resolvent operator defined by (1).

Definition 2.12. The Yosida approximation operator of $M$ is defined by

$$J^M_\lambda(a) = \frac{1}{\lambda} [I \oplus R^M_\lambda](a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{H}_p,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

Definition 2.13 ([30]). Let $T : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$ be a single-valued mapping, $a_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and let

$$a_{n+1} = S(T, a_n)$$

defines an iterative sequence which yields a sequence of points $\{a_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_p$. Suppose that $F(T) = \{a \in \mathcal{H}_p : Ta = a\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{a_n\}$ converges to a fixed point $a^*$ of $T$. Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}_p$ and

$$\delta_n = ||u_{n+1} - S(T, a_n)||.
$$

If $\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n = 0$, which implies that $u_n \to a^*$, then the iterative sequence $\{a_n\}$ is said to be $T$-stable or stable with respect to $T$.

3. Some Basic Properties

In this section, we prove some basic properties of resolvent operator and Yosida approximation operator defined by (1) and (2), respectively.

Lemma 3.1. Let $M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be a $\alpha$-non-ordinary difference comparison mapping with $\alpha > \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Then, the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$ is a single-valued, for all $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. For any given $u \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and a constant $\lambda > 0$, let $a, b \in [I \oplus \lambda M]^{-1}(u)$. Then

$$v_a = \frac{1}{\lambda}(a \oplus u) \in M(a)$$

$$v_b = \frac{1}{\lambda}(b \oplus u) \in M(b).$$

Since $M$ is $\alpha$-non-ordinary difference comparison mapping, we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}(a \oplus u)\right] \oplus \alpha(a \oplus a) = 0$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}(b \oplus u)\right] \oplus \alpha(a \oplus b) = 0$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}(a \oplus b)\right] \oplus [\alpha(a \oplus b)] = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \oplus \alpha\right)(a \oplus b) = 0$$

$$(a \oplus b) = 0.$$

Therefore $x = y$, i.e., the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda = [I \oplus \lambda M]^{-1}$ is single-valued, for $\alpha > \frac{1}{\lambda}$. \qed
**Lemma 3.2.** The Yosida approximation operator $J^M_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ I \oplus R^M_\lambda \right](u)$ is a single-valued, for all $\lambda > 0$, where $M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ is a $\alpha$-non-ordinary difference comparison mapping and $R^M_\lambda$ is the resolvent operator is defined by (1).

**Proof.** For any given $u \in \mathcal{H}_p$ and $\lambda > 0$, let $a, b \in J^M_\lambda(u)$. Then

$$a \in J^M_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ I \oplus R^M_\lambda \right](u).$$

Then

$$\lambda a \in \left( u \oplus R^M_\lambda(u) \right)$$

$$u \oplus \lambda a \in R^M_\lambda(u) = [I \oplus \lambda M]^{-1}(u).$$

Thus,

$$u \in [I \oplus \lambda M](u \oplus \lambda a)$$

$$u \in (u \oplus \lambda a) \ominus \lambda M(u \oplus \lambda a)$$

$$a \in M(u \oplus \lambda a).$$ (3)

Suppose that $u \oplus \lambda a = z_1 \implies a = \frac{1}{\lambda}(z_1 \oplus u) = v_{z_1}$. Thus,

$$v_{z_1} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(u \oplus z_1) \in M(z_1).$$ (4)

Similarly, let $b \in J^M_\lambda(u)$ and consequently $b \in M(u \oplus \lambda b)$. Continuing the above arguments and taking $u \oplus \lambda b = v_{z_2}$, we have

$$v_{z_2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(u \oplus z_2) \in M(z_2).$$ (5)

Combining (4) and (5), we have

$$v_{z_1} \oplus v_{z_2} = \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda}(u \oplus z_1) \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda}(u \oplus z_2) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda}(z_1 \oplus z_2).$$

Since $M$ is $\alpha$-non-ordinary difference mapping, we have

$$(v_{z_1} \oplus v_{z_2}) \oplus a(z_1 \oplus z_2) = 0$$

$$\left[ \frac{1}{\lambda}(z_1 \oplus z_2) \right] \oplus a(z_1 \oplus z_2) = 0$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \oplus a \right)(z_1 \oplus z_2) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$z_1 \oplus z_2 = 0 \implies z_1 = z_2$$

$$\implies \lambda a = \lambda b$$

$$\implies (u \oplus \lambda x) = (u \oplus \lambda y)$$

$$\implies u \oplus u \oplus \lambda a = u \oplus u \oplus \lambda b$$

$$\implies 0 \oplus \lambda a = 0 \oplus \lambda b$$

$$\implies (0 \oplus \lambda)u = (0 \oplus \lambda)b$$

$$\implies a = b.$$

Therefore, the Yosida approximation operator $J^M_\lambda$ of $M$ is single-valued for $\alpha > \frac{1}{\lambda}$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be a $(\alpha, \lambda)$-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping with respect to $R^M_\lambda$. Then, the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p$ is a comparison mapping.

Proof. Let $M$ be a $(\alpha, \lambda)$-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping with respect to $R^M_\lambda$. That is, $M$ is a non-ordinary difference and $\lambda$-XOR-weak-ordered strongly different comparison mapping with respect to $R^M_\lambda$, so that $a \propto R^M_\lambda(a)$. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, let $a \propto b$ and

$$v_a = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( a \lhd R^M_\lambda(a) \right) \in \left( R^M_\lambda(a) \right)$$

and

$$v_b = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( b \lhd R^M_\lambda(b) \right) \in \left( R^M_\lambda(b) \right).$$

Since $M$ is $\lambda$-XOR-weak-ordered strongly compression mapping, using (6) and (7), we have

$$a \lhd b \leq \lambda (v_a \lhd v_b) = \left( a \lhd R^M_\lambda(a) \right) \lhd \left( b \lhd R^M_\lambda(b) \right)$$

and

$$a \lhd b \leq \left( a \lhd b \right) \lhd \left( R^M_\lambda(a) \lhd R^M_\lambda(b) \right)$$

Thus, we have

$$R^M_\lambda(a) \leq R^M_\lambda(b) \quad \text{or} \quad R^M_\lambda(b) \leq R^M_\lambda(a).$$

which implies that

$$R^M_\lambda(a) \propto R^M_\lambda(b).$$

Therefore, the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda$ is a comparison mapping.

Lemma 3.4. Let $M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be a $(\alpha, \lambda)$-XOR-weak-NODSM mapping with respect to $R^M_\lambda$ and the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda$ be a comparison mapping. Then, the Yosida approximation operator $J^M_\lambda$ is also a comparison mapping.

Proof. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p$, let $a \propto b$, then obviously $I(a) \propto I(b)$. As $R^M_\lambda$ is a comparison mapping, we have $R^M_\lambda(a) \propto R^M_\lambda(b)$. Thus, we have

$$\left( I(a) \lhd R^M_\lambda(a) \right) \propto \left( I(b) \lhd R^M_\lambda(b) \right)$$

and

$$\left( I(a) \lhd R^M_\lambda(a) \right) \propto \left( I(b) \lhd R^M_\lambda(b) \right),$$

which implies that

$$J^M_\lambda(a) \propto J^M_\lambda(b),$$

i.e. $a \propto b$ implies that $J^M_\lambda(a) \propto J^M_\lambda(b)$. Therefore, the Yosida approximation operator $J^M_\lambda$ is a comparison mapping.

Lemma 3.5. Let $M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}$ be a $(\alpha, \lambda)$-XOR-weak-NODSM mapping with respect to $R^M_\lambda$, for $\alpha \lambda > \mu$ and $\mu \geq 1$. Then the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda$ satisfying the following condition:

$$R^M_\lambda(a) \lhd R^M_\lambda(b) \leq \frac{\mu}{(\alpha \lambda + \mu)} (a \lhd b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p,$$

(8)

i.e., the resolvent operator $R^M_\lambda$ is $\frac{\lambda}{(\alpha \lambda + \mu)}$-ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping.
Proof. Let \( a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p \), \( u_a = R^M_\alpha(a) \), \( u_b = R^M_\alpha(b) \), and let
\[
v_a = \frac{1}{\lambda}(a \oplus u_a) \in M(u_a) \quad \text{and} \quad v_b = \frac{1}{\lambda}(b \oplus u_b) \in M(u_b).
\]
As \( M \) be an \((\alpha, \lambda)-XOR\)-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping with respect to \( R^M_\alpha \). It follows that \( M \) is also an \( \alpha \)-non-ordinary difference mapping with respect to \( R^M_\alpha \), we have
\[
(v_a \oplus v_b) \oplus \alpha(u_a \oplus u_b) = 0,
\]
and
\[
v_a \oplus v_b = \frac{1}{\lambda}[(a \oplus u_a) \oplus (b \oplus u_b)]
= \frac{1}{\lambda}[(a \oplus b) \oplus (u_a \oplus u_b)]
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}[(a \oplus b) \oplus (u_a \oplus u_b)], \quad \text{for } \mu \geq 1.
\]
From (9), we have
\[
a(u_a \oplus u_b) = v_a \oplus v_b
\leq \frac{\mu}{\lambda}[(a \oplus b) \oplus (u_a \oplus u_b)]
\frac{\alpha \lambda}{\mu} \oplus 1
(u_a \oplus u_b) \leq (a \oplus b).
\]
It follows that \( u_a \oplus u_b \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)}\right)(a \oplus b) \) and consequently, we have
\[
R^M_\alpha(a) \oplus R^M_\alpha(b) \leq \frac{\mu}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)}(a \oplus b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p.
\]
Therefore, the resolvent operator \( R^M_\alpha \) is \( \frac{\lambda}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)} \)-ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping.

\[\square\]

Lemma 3.6. Let \( M \) be a \((\alpha, \lambda)-XOR\)-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping with respect to \( R^M_\alpha \), for \( \alpha \lambda > \mu \) and \( \mu \geq 1 \), and the resolvent operator \( R^M_\alpha \) satisfied the condition (8). Then, the Yosida approximation operator \( J^M_\alpha \) satisfying the following condition:
\[
f^M_\alpha(a) \oplus f^M_\alpha(b) \leq \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)}(a \oplus b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p,
\]
i.e. the Yosida approximation operator \( f^M_\alpha \) is \( \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)} \)-ordered Lipschitz type continuous mapping.

Proof. For any \( a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p \), the resolvent operator \( R^M_\alpha \) satisfied the condition (8), we have
\[
f^M_\alpha(a) \oplus f^M_\alpha(b) = \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ I \oplus R^M_\alpha \right](a) \oplus \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ I \oplus R^M_\alpha \right](b)\right]
= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ a \oplus R^M_\alpha(a) \oplus b \oplus R^M_\alpha(b) \right]
= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ (a \oplus b) \oplus (R^M_\alpha(a) \oplus R^M_\alpha(b)) \right]
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ (a \oplus b) \oplus \left( \frac{\mu}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)} \right)(a \oplus b) \right]
= \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ 1 \oplus \frac{\mu}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)} \right](a \oplus b)
= \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu)}(a \oplus b)
\]
4. Formulation of the problem and existence result

Let \( \mathcal{H}_p \) be a real ordered positive Hilbert space and \( C \) be a normal cone with normal constant \( N \). Let \( F : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p \) and \( g : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p \) be the single-valued comparison mappings. Let \( M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p} \) be a \((\alpha, \lambda)\)-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping. We consider the problem:

For some \( \omega \geq 0 \) and any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \), find \( a \in \mathcal{H}_p \) such that

\[
\omega \in J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) - \xi F(a, g(a)). \tag{10}
\]

We call this problem as general nonlinear ordered Yosida inclusion problem involving \( \oplus \) operation (in short, GNOYIP).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( g : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p \) and \( F : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p \) be the single-valued mappings such that \( g \) is comparison and \( \delta \)-ordered compression mapping, \( F \) is comparison, and \( (\alpha, \lambda) \)-ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping with respect to \( g \), respectively. Let \( M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p} \) be an \((\alpha, \lambda)\)-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping. Then the followings are equivalent:

(i) \( a \in \mathcal{H}_p \) is a solution of GNOYIP (10);

(ii) \( a \in \mathcal{H}_p \) is a fixed point of a mapping \( Q : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p} \) defined by

\[ Q(a) = J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) - \xi F(a, g(a)) - \omega + a \]

(iii) \( a \in \mathcal{H}_p \) is a solution of the following equation

\[ a = R^M_\lambda \left( (\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_\lambda(a) \right). \tag{11} \]

**Proof.** (i) \( \implies \) (ii) Adding \( a \) to both sides of (10), we have

\[
0 \in J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) - \xi F(a, g(a)) - \omega \\
\implies a \in J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) - \xi F(a, g(a)) - \omega + a = Q(a).
\]

Hence, \( a \) is a fixed point of \( Q \).

(ii) \( \implies \) (iii) Let \( a \) be a fixed point of \( Q \), then

\[
a \in Q(a) = J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) - \xi F(a, g(a)) - \omega + a \\
\implies \omega \in J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) - \xi F(a, g(a)) \\
\implies \omega + \xi F(a, g(a)) \in J^M_\lambda(a) \oplus M(a) \\
\implies \lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a)) \in a \oplus R^M_\lambda(a) \oplus \lambda M(a) \\
\implies (\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_\lambda(a) \in a \oplus \lambda M(a) \\
\implies (\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_\lambda(a) \in [I \oplus \lambda M](a),
\]

which implies that

\[ a = R^M_\lambda [(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_\lambda(a)]. \]

Consequently, \( x \) is a solution of the GNOYIP (10).

(iii) \( \implies \) (i), from (11) we have

\[
a = R^M_\lambda [(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_\lambda(a)] \\
a = [I \oplus \lambda M]^{-1} [(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_\lambda(a)],
\]
so

\[
\{(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a) \} \in (I \oplus \lambda M)(a),
\]

\[(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a) \in I(a) \oplus \lambda M(a),
\]

\[\omega + \xi F(a, g(a)) \in \frac{1}{\lambda} [I(a) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a)] \oplus M(a),
\]

which implies

\[\omega \in (I \oplus M(a)) \oplus (\xi F(a, g(a))).\]

Therefore, \(a \in \mathcal{H}_p\) is a solution of problem GNOYIP (10).

Now, we prove the existence of solution for GNOYIP (10).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \(g : \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p\) and \(F : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p\) be the single-valued mappings such that \(g\) is comparison and \(\delta\)-ordered compression mapping, \(F\) is comparison, and \((\kappa, \nu)\)-ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping with respect to \(g\), respectively.

Let \(M : \mathcal{H}_p \to 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}\) be an \((a, \lambda)\)-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping. In addition, if \(F, g, M\) and \(R^M(\lambda)\) are compared to each other, the following conditions are satisfied:

\[
\begin{align*}
N(\lambda|\xi| + \nu \delta) &< \left[\frac{\alpha \lambda \mu}{\alpha \lambda + \mu} \oplus \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda + \mu}\right], \\
(\alpha \lambda \vee \mu) &> 1, \ \mu \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \lambda > \mu,
\end{align*}
\]

then, GNOYIP (10) admits a unique solution \(a' \in \mathcal{H}_p\), which is a fixed point of the resolvent operator

\[R^M(\lambda)(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a', g(a')) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a')).\]

**Proof.** Using Proposition 2.4, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we have

\[
0 \leq R^M(\lambda)(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a)) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a)) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a)))
\]

\[\leq \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu} \left[\left(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a_1, g(a_1)) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a_1)\right) \oplus \left(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a_2, g(a_2)) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a_2)\right)\right]
\]

\[\leq \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu} \left[\lambda |\xi| |F(a_1, g(a_1)) \oplus F(a_2, g(a_2))| \oplus \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu}(a_1 \oplus a_2)\right)\right]
\]

\[\leq \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu} \left[\lambda |\xi| |\xi(a_1 \oplus a_2) + \nu(g(a_1) \oplus g(a_2))| \oplus \left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu}(a_1 \oplus a_2)\right)\right]
\]

\[\leq \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu} \left[\lambda |\xi| + \nu \delta\right] \oplus \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \oplus \mu}(a_1 \oplus a_2),
\]

which implies that

\[
0 \leq R^M(\lambda)(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a)) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(a) \oplus R^M(\lambda)(\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a)))
\]

\[\leq \psi(a_1 \oplus a_2),
\]
where

\[ \psi = \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda + \mu} \left( (\lambda \xi|\kappa + \nu \delta) \oplus \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda + \mu} \right) . \]

Using the definition of normal cone and Proposition 2.5, we conclude that

\[
\left\| \left( \lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(\cdot, g(\cdot)) \right) \subset R^M(\cdot) (a_1) \right\| + \left\| \left( \lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(\cdot, g(\cdot)) \right) \subset R^M(\cdot) (a_2) \right\| \leq \|\psi\| \|\bar{a}_1 \oplus \bar{a}_2\| .
\]

Using the condition (12), we can see that \(|\psi| < \frac{1}{N}\). It follows from (13) that the resolvent operator \(R^M(\cdot) \left( \lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(\cdot, g(\cdot)) \subset R^M(\cdot) \right)\) is contraction operator. Hence, there exists a unique \(a^* \in \mathcal{H}_p\) such that

\[ a^* = R^M(\cdot) \left( \lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a^*, g(a^*)) \right) \subset R^M(a^*) . \]

From Lemma 4.1, \(a^*\) is a unique solution of GNOYIP (10). \(\square\)

5. Convergence Analysis and Stability

In this section, we suggest the following iterative algorithm based on Lemma 4.1 for finding the approximate solution of GNOYIP (10). We also discuss the convergence and stability analysis of the proposed algorithm.

**Algorithm 5.1.** Let \(g : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p\) and \(F : \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_p\) be the single-valued mappings. Let \(M : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}_p}\) be a set-valued mapping. Given any initial point \(a_0 \in \mathcal{H}_p\), assume that \(a_1 \propto a_0\). We define the sequence \([a_n]\) and let \(a_{n+1} \propto a_n\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \alpha_n R^M(\cdot) \left( \lambda \omega - \lambda \xi F(b_n, g(b_n)) \right) \subset R^M(\cdot) (b_n) + \alpha_n e_n, \\
    b_n &= (1 - \beta_n) a_n + \beta_n R^M(\cdot) \left( \lambda \omega - \lambda \xi F(a_n, g(a_n)) \right) \subset R^M(\cdot) (a_n) + \beta_n d_n.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \([u_n]\) be any sequence in \(\mathcal{H}_p\) and define the sequence \([v_n]\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
    v_n &= \left\| u_{n+1} - \left( (1 - \alpha_n) a_n + \alpha_n R^M(\cdot) \left( \lambda \omega - \lambda \xi F(t_n, g(t_n)) \right) \subset R^M(\cdot) (t_n) \right) + \alpha_n e_n \right\|, \\
    t_n &= (1 - \beta_n) u_n + \beta_n R^M(\cdot) \left( \lambda \omega - \lambda \xi F(u_n, g(u_n)) \right) \subset R^M(\cdot) (u_n) + \beta_n d_n.
\end{align*}
\]

where \(0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \forall n \geq 0, \forall e_n\) and \([d_n]\) are two sequences in \(\mathcal{H}_p\) introduced to take into account the possible inexact computation provided that \(e_n \propto 0 = e_n\) and \(d_n \propto 0 = d_n, \forall n \geq 0, \forall e_n\)

**Remark 5.2.** If \(\beta_n = 0, \forall n \geq 0\), then Algorithm 5.1 becomes Mann type iterative algorithm. Also, we remark that for suitable choices of operators involved in Algorithm 5.1, we can easily obtain many more algorithms studied by several authors for solving ordered variational inclusion problems, see e.g. [18–20, 22, 23].

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \(g, F\) and \(M\) be the same as in Theorem 4.2 such that all the conditions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied. In addition, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

\[
\begin{align*}
    &\lambda \xi|\kappa + \nu \delta < \frac{\alpha \lambda \mu}{\mu} \oplus \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda \mu}, \\
    &\alpha \lambda \lor \mu > 1, \mu \geq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \lambda > \mu.
\end{align*}
\]

If \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|e_n \lor (-e_n)\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|d_n \lor (-d_n)\| = 0\), then
Using the definition of normal cone and Proposition 2.5, we have

\[
\eta = (1 - \alpha_n)\eta + \alpha_n \mathcal{R}_M^I \left[ (\lambda\omega - \lambda\mathcal{E}(\eta,a(\eta))) \oplus \mathcal{R}_M^I(\eta) \right] \\
= (1 - \beta_n)\eta + \beta_n \mathcal{R}_M^I \left[ (\lambda\omega - \lambda\mathcal{E}(\eta,a(\eta))) \oplus \mathcal{R}_M^I(\eta) \right].
\]

Using the same argument as for (17), we calculate

\[
da' \leq a_{n+1} \oplus d' = \left[ (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \alpha_n \mathcal{R}_M^I \left[ (\lambda\omega - \lambda\mathcal{E}(a_n,a_n)) \oplus \mathcal{R}_M^I(a_n) \right] + \alpha_n \psi \right] \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n)(a_n \oplus a') + \alpha_n \mathcal{R}_M^I \left[ (\lambda\omega - \lambda\mathcal{E}(a_n,a_n)) \oplus \mathcal{R}_M^I(a_n) \right] + \alpha_n \psi (a_n \oplus a') + \alpha_n \psi (d_n \oplus 0)
\]

\[
\alpha_n = \frac{\mu}{a \lambda \oplus \mu} \left[ (\lambda \xi + \nu \lambda) \oplus \left( \frac{\mu}{a \lambda \oplus \mu} \right) \right]
\]

Using the same argument as for (17), we calculate

\[
o \leq b_n \oplus a' = \left[ (1 - \beta_n)b_n + \beta_n \mathcal{R}_M^I \left[ (\lambda\omega - \lambda\mathcal{E}(b_n,b_n)) \oplus \mathcal{R}_M^I(b_n) \right] + \beta_n \psi \right] \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n)(b_n \oplus a') + \beta_n \psi (b_n \oplus a') + \beta_n (d_n \oplus 0)
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \beta_n(1 - \psi)) (a_n \oplus a') + \beta_n (d_n \oplus 0)
\]

Using definition of normal cone and Proposition 2.5, we have

\[
\|a_{n+1} - a'\| \leq (1 - \lambda a_n(1 - \psi)) \|a_n - a'\| \\
+ \lambda a_n(1 - \psi) \left[ \psi \beta_n(d_n \oplus (\psi d_n)) + \|a_n \psi (d_n \oplus (\psi d_n)) \| \right].
\]

On setting \(\eta_n = \frac{\psi \beta_n(d_n \oplus (\psi d_n)) + \|a_n \psi (d_n \oplus (\psi d_n)) \|}{(1 - \psi)}\), we have

\[
\chi_n = \|a_n - a'\| \quad G_n = \lambda a_n(1 - \psi),
\]

Then (19) can be written as

\[
\chi_{n+1} \leq (1 - \lambda a_n)\chi_n + \lambda a_n(1 - \psi)\eta_n.
\]

From Lemma 3.6 and using the hypothesis \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|e_n \oplus (\psi e_n)\| = 0\), we can deduce that \(\chi_n \to 0\) as \(n \to \infty\), and so \(\{a_n\}\) converges strongly to a unique solution \(a'\) of GNOYIP (10).
Proof of (II). Let \( S(a^*) = R^M \left[ (\lambda \omega - \lambda \xi F(a^*, g(a^*))) \otimes R^M(a^*) \right] \). Using Algorithm 5.1, Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.5, we obtain

\[
0 \leq u_{n+1} \oplus a^* \\
\leq u_{n+1} \oplus ((1 - \alpha_n)u^* + \alpha_n S(a^*)) \\
\leq u_{n+1} \oplus ((1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n)) + \alpha_n e_n + ((1 - \alpha_n)u^* + \alpha_n S(a^*)) \\
\leq u_{n+1} \oplus ((1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n)) + \alpha_n e_n + (1 - \alpha_n)u^* + \alpha_n (S(t_n) \oplus S(a^*)) + \alpha_n (e_n \oplus 0) \\
\leq (u_{n+1} \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)u_n \oplus a^* + \alpha_n S(t_n) \oplus S(a^*) + \alpha_n(e_n \oplus 0) \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n)(u_n \oplus a^*) + \alpha_n \psi(t_n \oplus a^*) + \alpha_n(e_n \oplus 0),
\]

where

\[
\psi = \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda + \mu} \left[ \lambda [\xi(\kappa + \nu \delta)] \oplus \left( \frac{\mu}{\alpha \lambda + \mu} \right) \right].
\]

From (20), we have

\[
0 \leq t_n \oplus a^* \\
= \left[ (1 - \beta_n)u_n + \beta_n S(u_n) + \beta_n d_n \right] \oplus \left[ (1 - \beta_n)u^* + \beta_n S(a^*) \right] \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n)(u_n \oplus a^*) + \beta_n S(u_n) \oplus S(a^*) + \beta_n(d_n \oplus 0) \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n)(u_n \oplus a^*) + \beta_n \psi(u_n \oplus a^*) + \beta_n(d_n \oplus 0) \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \psi))(u_n \oplus a^*) + \beta_n(d_n \oplus 0) \\
\leq (u_n \oplus a^*) + \beta_n(d_n \oplus 0), \text{ since } 1 - \beta_n(1 - \psi) \leq 1.
\]

Using (21), (20) becomes as

\[
0 \leq u_{n+1} \oplus a^* \\
\leq [u_{n+1} \oplus ((1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n)] \\
+ [(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \psi))(u_n \oplus a^*) + \alpha_n \left[ \psi \beta_n(d_n \oplus 0) + (e_n \oplus 0) \right]].
\]

Using the definition of normal cone and Proposition 2.5, we have

\[
\|u_{n+1} - a^*\| \leq N\|u_{n+1} - [(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n]\| \\
\leq N\|u_{n+1} - [(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n]\| \\
+ N(1 - \alpha_n(1 - \psi))\|u_n - a^*\| \\
+ \alpha_n N(1 - \psi) \left[ \psi \beta_n\|d_n \vee (-d_n)\| + \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\| \right] \\
\leq N\|u_n\| + N(1 - \alpha_n(1 - \psi))\|u_n - a^*\| \\
+ \alpha_n N(1 - \psi) \left[ \psi \beta_n\|d_n \vee (-d_n)\| + \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\| \right] \\
\leq N\|u_n\| + N(1 - \alpha_n(1 - \psi))\|u_n - a^*\| \\
+ \alpha_n N(1 - \psi) \left[ \psi \beta_n\|d_n \vee (-d_n)\| + \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\| \right].
\]

Since \( 0 < \kappa \leq \alpha_n \), (22) becomes as

\[
\|u_{n+1} - a^*\| \leq (1 - N\alpha_n(1 - \psi))\|u_n - a^*\| \\
+ \alpha_n N(1 - \psi) \left[ \frac{\nu_n}{\kappa(1 - \psi)} + \frac{\psi \beta_n\|d_n \vee (-d_n)\| + \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\|}{(1 - \psi)} \right].
\]

Assume that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_n = 0 \), hence

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = a^*.
\]
where
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|d_n \vee (-d_n)\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\| = 0.
\]
Conversely, suppose that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = a^*\). From (11) and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} \|d_n \vee (-d_n)\| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\| = 0\), we have
\[
0 \leq u_{n+1} \oplus [(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n] \\
\leq (u_{n+1} \oplus a^*) + \left[ ((1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n) \oplus a^* \right] \\
= (u_{n+1} \oplus a^*) + \left[ ((1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)a^* + \alpha_n S(a^*) \right] \\
\leq u_{n+1} \oplus a^* + (1 - \alpha_n)u_n \oplus a^* + \alpha_n (S(t_n) \oplus S(a^*)) + \alpha_n e_n \oplus 0 \\
\leq u_{n+1} \oplus a^* + (1 - \alpha_n)u_n \oplus a^* + \alpha_n \psi(t_n \oplus a^*) \\
+ \alpha_n (e_n \oplus 0) \\
\leq u_{n+1} \oplus a^* + (1 - \alpha_n(1 - \psi))(u_n \oplus a^*) + \alpha_n \left[ \psi \beta_n(d_n \oplus 0) \right. \\
\left. + (e_n \oplus 0) \right].
\]
(23)

Applying again the definition of normal cone and Proposition 2.5, it follows that
\[
v_n = \|u_{n+1} - [(1 - \alpha_n)u_n + \alpha_n S(t_n) + \alpha_n e_n]\| \\
\leq N \|u_{n+1} - a^*\| + N (1 - \alpha_n(1 - \psi)) \|u_n - a^*\| \\
+ \alpha_n \| \psi \beta_n||d_n \vee (-d_n)\| + \|e_n \vee (-e_n)\|, \\
\]
which implies that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = 0.
\]
Hence, the iterative sequence \(\{u_n\}\) generated by (15) is stable with respect to \(R^M_\lambda\). This completes the proof. \(\Box\)

6. Numerical Example

In this section, we provide a numerical example to illustrate Algorithm 5.1 and justify our main result.

Example 6.1. Let \(\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}\) with the usual inner product and norm and let \(C = \{x \in \mathcal{H}_p : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}\) be a normal cone with normal constant \(N = 1\). Let \(g: \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p\) and \(F: \mathcal{H}_p \times \mathcal{H}_p \to \mathcal{H}_p\) be the mapping defined by
\[
g(a) = \frac{a}{3} \oplus \frac{1}{6} \text{ and } F(a, g(a)) = (a + 6g(a)).
\]

For each \(a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p\), \(a \prec b\). Then, it is easy to check that \(g\) is \(\frac{1}{2}\)-ordered compression mapping.

For \(a, b, u, v \in \mathcal{H}_p\), \(a \prec u, b \prec v\), we calculate
\[
F(a, g(u)) \oplus F(b, g(v)) = (a + 6g(u)) \oplus (b + 6g(v)) \\
\leq a \oplus b + 6(g(u) \oplus g(v)) \\
= a \oplus b + 6 \left( \left( \frac{u}{3} \oplus \frac{1}{6} \right) \oplus \left( \frac{v}{3} \oplus \frac{1}{6} \right) \right) \\
= a \oplus b + 2(u \oplus v),
\]
i.e.,
\[
F(a, g(u)) \oplus F(b, g(v)) \leq (a \oplus b) + 2(u \oplus v).
\]
Hence, $F$ is $(1, 2)$-ordered Lipschitz continuous mapping with respect to $g$. Suppose that $M : \mathcal{H}_p \to CB(\mathcal{H}_p)$ is a set-valued mapping defined by

$$M(p) = \left\{ \frac{a}{2} + 1 \right\}, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$ 

It can be easily verified that $M$ is a comparison mapping, $M$ is $\frac{1}{2}$-weak non-ordinary difference mapping and $3$-XOR-ordered different comparison mapping. Also, it is clear that for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, $[\mathcal{I} \oplus \lambda M](\mathcal{H}_p) = \mathcal{H}_p$. Hence, $M$ is an $\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 3\right\}$-XOR-weak-NODSM set-valued mapping. The resolvent operator and Yosida approximation operator defined by (1) and (2) associated with $M$ are given by

$$R^M_{\lambda}(a) = 2(a \oplus 3) \quad \text{and} \quad f^M_{\lambda}(a) = 2(a \oplus 6), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$ 

It is easy to examine that the resolvent operator and Yosida approximation operator defined above are comparison and single-valued mapping. In particular for $\mu = 1$, we obtain

$$R^M_{\lambda}(a) \oplus R^M_{\lambda}(b) = \left[2(a \oplus 3) \oplus [2(b \oplus 3)] \right] = \left[2(a \oplus 6) \oplus (2b \oplus 6)\right] = 2(a \oplus b) \leq \frac{5}{2}(a \oplus b),$$

i.e.

$$R^M_{\lambda}(a) \oplus R^M_{\lambda}(b) \leq \frac{5}{2}(a \oplus b), \quad \forall a, b \in \mathcal{H}_p.$$ 

That is, the resolvent operator $f^M_{\lambda,M}$ is $\frac{5}{2}$-ordered Lipschitz continuous. Similarly, we can show that the Yosida approximation operator is $\frac{5}{2}$-Lipschitz continuous.

If we take $\omega = 0$ and $\xi = 1$, we calculate

$$R^M_{\lambda} \left[ (\lambda \omega + \lambda \xi F(a, g(a))) \oplus R^M_{\lambda}(a) \right] = R^M_{\lambda} \left[ 3F(a, g(a)) \oplus R^M_{\lambda}(a) \right] = R^M_{\lambda} \left[ 3((3a + (2a \oplus 1)) \oplus (2a \oplus 6)) \oplus 3 \right] = 2((3a + (6a \oplus 3)) \oplus (2a \oplus 6)) \oplus 3$$

clearly, $0$ is a fixed point of $R^M_{\lambda} \left[ 3F(a, g(a)) \oplus R^M_{\lambda}(a) \right]$.

Let $\alpha_n = \frac{n+1}{n^2 + 1}$, $\beta_n = \frac{n+1}{n^3 + n^2}$, $e_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $d_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$. It is easy to show that the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{e_n\}$ and $\{d_n\}$ satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \quad e_n \oplus 0 = e_n, \quad d_n \oplus 0 = d_n.$$ 

Now, we can estimate the sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ by the following schemes:

$$a_{n+1} = \left( \frac{3n^2 - 2n}{3n^2 + 1} \right) a_n + \left( \frac{2n + 1}{3n^2 + 1} \right) \left[ \left( (6b_n + (6b_n \oplus 6)) \oplus (4b_n \oplus 12) \right) \oplus 6 \right] + \left( \frac{2n + 1}{3n^2 + n^2} \right),$$

$$b_n = \left( \frac{3n^3 - 1}{3n^3 + n^2} \right) b_n + \left( \frac{n^2 + 1}{3n^3 + n^2} \right) \left[ \left( (6a_n + (6a_n \oplus 6)) \oplus (4a_n \oplus 12) \right) \oplus 6 \right] + \left( \frac{n^2 + 1}{3n^6 + n^2} \right).$$
It is also verified that condition (16) is satisfied. Thus, all the assumptions of Theorem 5.3 are fulfilled. Hence, the sequence \( \{a_n\} \) converges strongly to the unique solution \( a^* = 0 \) of the GNOYIP (10).

All codes are written in MATLAB version 7.13, we have the following different initial values \( a_0 = 5, 10 \) and 15 which shows that the sequence \( \{a_n\} \) converge to \( a^* = 0 \).

Table 1: The values of \( a_n \) with initial values \( a_0 = 5, a_0 = 10 \) and \( a_0 = 15 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Iteration</th>
<th>( a_n ) for ( a_0 = 5 )</th>
<th>( a_n ) for ( a_0 = 10 )</th>
<th>( a_n ) for ( a_0 = 15 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=2</td>
<td>14.75000000</td>
<td>27.87500000</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=3</td>
<td>15.44436811</td>
<td>29.07417582</td>
<td>42.70398351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=4</td>
<td>9.90015732</td>
<td>18.60808969</td>
<td>27.31602239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=5</td>
<td>4.57646612</td>
<td>8.59038575</td>
<td>12.60430528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=6</td>
<td>1.65662274</td>
<td>3.10401002</td>
<td>4.55139771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=7</td>
<td>0.49425151</td>
<td>0.92293909</td>
<td>1.35162646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>0.126437212</td>
<td>0.23417848</td>
<td>0.34191996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=9</td>
<td>0.02906340</td>
<td>0.05258300</td>
<td>0.07610260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=10</td>
<td>0.0066226</td>
<td>0.01116001</td>
<td>0.01569893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=13</td>
<td>(5.37830012 \times 10^{-8})</td>
<td>(5.55419636e-08)</td>
<td>(5.73007407e-08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=17</td>
<td>(1.14435922e-13)</td>
<td>(1.99016354e-13)</td>
<td>(1.14435922e-13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: The convergence of \( a_n \) with initial values \( a_0 = 5, a_0 = 10 \) and \( a_0 = 15 \)
Remark 6.2. We choose the same operators as in Example 6.1 and compare our proposed Algorithm 5.1 with Mann-type Algorithm which are mentioned in Remark 5.2. On taking $\beta = 0$, we can calculate the sequence $\{a_n\}$ by the following Mann-type scheme:

$$a_{n+1} = \left(\frac{3n^2 - 2n}{3n^2 + 1}\right) a_n + \left(\frac{2n + 1}{3n^2 + 1}\right) \left[ ((6a_n + (6a_n \oplus 6)) \oplus (4a_n \oplus 12)) \oplus 6 \right]$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2n + 1}{3n^4 + n^2}\right).$$

(24)

The iteration methods will be stopped when the stopping criteria $\|a_{n+1} - a_n\| \leq 10^{-11}$ is satisfied. Table 2, Figure 2 and Figure 3 are comparisons of our proposed Algorithm 5.1 with Mann-type Algorithm (24), on taking initial values $a_0 = 10$ and $a_0 = 15$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Iteration</th>
<th>Proposed Algo For $a_0 = 10$</th>
<th>Mann-type Algo For $a_0 = 10$</th>
<th>Proposed Algo For $a_0 = 15$</th>
<th>Mann-type Algo For $a_0 = 15$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=2</td>
<td>27.875000</td>
<td>41.1950000</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>60.7700000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=3</td>
<td>29.074175</td>
<td>48.177692</td>
<td>42.703983</td>
<td>70.801730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=4</td>
<td>18.608089</td>
<td>32.583199</td>
<td>27.316022</td>
<td>47.850170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=5</td>
<td>8.590385</td>
<td>15.635180</td>
<td>12.604305</td>
<td>22.954871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=6</td>
<td>3.104010</td>
<td>5.939565</td>
<td>4.551397</td>
<td>8.721481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=7</td>
<td>0.922939</td>
<td>1.996028</td>
<td>1.351626</td>
<td>2.935093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=8</td>
<td>0.234178</td>
<td>0.733081</td>
<td>0.341919</td>
<td>1.083149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=9</td>
<td>0.052583</td>
<td>0.397098</td>
<td>0.0761020</td>
<td>0.591064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=10</td>
<td>0.011160</td>
<td>0.320126</td>
<td>0.015698</td>
<td>0.478654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>1.990163e-13</td>
<td>0.290361</td>
<td>5.7300747e-08</td>
<td>0.450128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.280616</td>
<td>4.020716e-10</td>
<td>0.305012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001664</td>
<td>1.144305e-13</td>
<td>0.001855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.280347e-13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.302043e-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numerical results of Table 2 and graphs of Figure 2 and Figure 3 imply that our proposed Algorithm 5.1 has a good performance and seems to have a competitive advantage. We can conclude that our algorithm is fast, efficient and stable, and it takes average of 13–20 iterations to converge.
Figure 2: The convergence of $a_n$ with initial value $a_0 = 10$

Figure 3: The convergence of $a_n$ with initial value $a_0 = 15$
7. Conclusion

In this article, we study a general nonlinear ordered Yosida inclusion problem involving XOR-operation in a real ordered Hilbert spaces and prove the existence of solution of the problem. We have also constructed a perturbed two-step iterative algorithm for this class of general nonlinear ordered Yosida inclusion problem which is more general than the Mann-type iterative algorithms with errors, and many other iterative schemes studies by several author’s, see e.g., [6, 8, 18–23]. We prove the convergence analysis of our proposed algorithm which assumes that the suggested algorithm converges in norm to a unique solution of our considered problem and also show that the convergence is stable. Finally, we give a numerical example in support of our main result. Our obtained results extend and generalize most of the results for different systems existing in the literature.
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