



Selective Separability in (a) Topological Spaces

Sheetal Luthra^a, Harsh Vardhan Singh Chauhan^a, Brij Kishore Tyagi^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Delhi, New Delhi-110007, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Atmaram Sanatan Dharma College, University of Delhi, New Delhi-110021, India

Abstract. In this paper, we study selective versions of separability in (a) topological spaces with the help of some strong and weak forms of open sets. For this we use the notions of semi-closure, pre-closure, α -closure, β -closure and δ -closure and their respective density in (a) topological spaces. The interrelationships between different types of selective versions of separability in (a) topological spaces have been given by suitable counterexamples. Sufficient conditions are given for (a) topological spaces to be $(a)R^t$ -separable and $(a)M^t$ -separable for each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$. It is shown that under some condition $(a)M^t$ -separability and $(a)R^t$ -separability are equivalent.

1. Introduction

At first we recall the two classical selection principles in topological spaces which have been systematically investigated in the last two decades, though their roots go back to 1920s and 1930s. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be sets consisting of families of subsets of an infinite set X . Then:

$S_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the selection principle:

For each sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of elements of \mathcal{A} , there is a sequence $\langle B_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of finite sets such that for each n , $B_n \subseteq A_n$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ (see [24, 26]).

$S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the selection principle:

For each sequence $\langle A_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of elements of \mathcal{A} , there is a sequence $\langle a_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ such that for each n , $a_n \in A_n$ and $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$ (see [24, 26]).

In recent years, selection principles in topological spaces have been studied much in the literature. Several papers on selective version of separability have been published in the last few years. Further, several weak variant of selection principles in topological spaces have appeared in the literature and studied in detail by a number of authors. Also, there are some recent papers which deals with selection principles in bitopological spaces [25, 26, 28, 31, 33, 35, 36]. In selection principles theory, authors study mainly in two directions: (1). the closure operator is used in the definition of selection principles [2, 3, 7, 14–16, 21–23, 34, 40] and (2). sequences of open covers are replaced by sequences of covers by some weak form of open sets [27, 30, 38, 39]. In this paper, we study selective separability in first direction by using semi-closure, pre-closure, α -closure, β -closure and δ -closure in (a) topological spaces which is more general than bitopological spaces [20], (ω) topological spaces [9–11] and (\aleph_0) topological spaces [8]. Let D denote the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54D65; Secondary 54A10, 54A05, 54E55

Keywords. Selection principles, (a) topological spaces, selective separability, groupable

Received: 15 August 2020; Revised: 25 October 2020; Accepted: 30 October 2020

Communicated by Ljubiša D.R. Kočinac

Email addresses: premarora550@gmail.com (Sheetal Luthra), harsh.chauhan111@gmail.com (Harsh Vardhan Singh Chauhan), brijkishore.tyagi@gmail.com (Brij Kishore Tyagi)

family of all dense subsets of a topological space X . Selection principles in the context of separability, that is, $S_{fin}(D, D)$ and $S_1(D, D)$ in topological spaces have been introduced and studied in [42]. These principles appeared in a natural way in a study of hyperspace topologies in [12, 17]. The selection properties $S_{fin}(D, D^{gp})$ and $S_1(D, D^{gp})$ were introduced in [17], where D^{gp} is the family of all groupable dense subsets of a topological space X . Later on, these four selection properties have been studied by a several authors [1, 4–6, 19, 37] in a systematic way. The selection properties $S_{fin}(D, D)$, $S_1(D, D)$, $S_{fin}(D, D^{gp})$ and $S_1(D, D^{gp})$ are called M -separability, R -separability, GN -separability and H -separability (in a little bit modified form), respectively (see [5]). Study of selection principles in bitopological spaces began with [28] and continued in [29]. In the papers [28, 29, 32], variations of selective separability and tightness in bitopological function space $(C(X), \tau_k, \tau_p)$ were investigated and in [31], Lyakhovets et al. continue to study the selective properties and the corresponding topological games in $(C(X), \tau_k, \tau_p)$. In [33], S. Özçağ studied selective versions of separability in bitopological spaces by using the notions of θ -closure and θ -density. In this paper, we introduce and study the notion of selective version of separability in (a) topological spaces using the notion of semi-closure, pre-closure, α -closure, β -closure and δ -closure and their respective density.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce and study various weak forms of open set in (a) topological spaces. We give counterexamples that show the interrelations between them. In Section 3, we study δ -open sets in (a) topological spaces. In Section 4, we discuss various selection properties by using notion of semi-closure, pre-closure, α -closure, β -closure and δ -closure and their respective density in (a) topological spaces and provide interrelationships between them. In Section 5 and 6, we study R^t -separability, M^t -separability, H^t -separability and GN^t -separability in (a) topological spaces for each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$. Sufficient conditions are given for (a) topological spaces to be $(a)R^t$ -separable and $(a)M^t$ -separable for each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$. It is shown that under some condition $(a)M^t$ -separability and $(a)R^t$ -separability are equivalent.

Throughout the paper, $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ denote an (a) topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. If there is no scope of confusion, we denote (a) topological space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ by X . For a subset A of an (a) topological space X , (τ_n) interior (resp. (τ_n) closure) of A denoted by τ_n -int(A) (resp. τ_n -cl(A)). \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers and $k, l, m, n, m_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. For general notion of topology, we follow [18]. For other basic notions regarding selection principles, one can see [24, 26, 41, 43].

2. Weak Forms of Open Sets in (a) Topological Spaces

Definition 2.1. ([13]) If $\langle \tau_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ is a sequence of topologies on a set X , then the pair $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is called an (a) topological space (in short, (a) space).

Definition 2.2. A subset A of $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is said to be:

1. ([44]) (m, n) -semi-open ((m, n) -s-open) if $A \subseteq \tau_m$ -cl(τ_n -int(A)), or equivalently, if there exists a τ_n -open set U such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \tau_m$ -cl(U).
2. (m, n) -pre-open ((m, n) -p-open) if $A \subseteq \tau_n$ -int(τ_m -cl(A)), or equivalently, if there exists a τ_n -open set U such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \tau_m$ -cl(A).
3. (m, n) - α -open if $A \subseteq \tau_n$ -int(τ_m -cl(τ_n -int(A))), or equivalently, if there exists a τ_n -open set U such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \tau_n$ -int(τ_m -cl(U)).
4. (m, n) - β -open if $A \subseteq \tau_m$ -cl(τ_n -int(τ_m -cl(A))).

It is clear that every τ_n -open set of X is (m, n) - α -open and hence, (m, n) -pre-open, (m, n) -semi-open and (m, n) - β -open for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Evidently, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (m, n)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open} & \Rightarrow & (m, n)\text{-pre-open} & \Rightarrow & (m, n)\text{-}\beta\text{-open} \\ \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\ \tau_n\text{-open} & \Rightarrow & (m, n)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open} & \Rightarrow & (m, n)\text{-semi-open} \end{array}$$

Diagram 1

The collection of all (m, n) -semi-open (resp. (m, n) -pre-open, (m, n) - α -open and (m, n) - β -open) sets is closed under arbitrary union. However, finite intersection of (m, n) -semi-open (resp. (m, n) -pre-open, (m, n) - α -open and (m, n) - β -open) sets need not be (m, n) -semi-open (resp. (m, n) -pre-open, (m, n) - α -open and (m, n) - β -open) (see Example 2.3). The complement of (m, n) -semi-open set, (m, n) -pre-open set, (m, n) - α -open set and (m, n) - β -open set is (m, n) -semi-closed, (m, n) -pre-closed, (m, n) - α -closed and (m, n) - β -closed, respectively. For each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$, the (m, n) - t -interior of A denoted by $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_t}(A)$ is the union of all (m, n) - t -open sets contained in A and the (m, n) - t -closure of A denoted by $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_t}(A)$ is the intersection of all (m, n) - t -closed sets containing A . By $S_{(m,n)}X$, $P_{(m,n)}X$, $\alpha_{(m,n)}X$ and $\beta_{(m,n)}X$, we denote the family of all (m, n) -semi-open sets, (m, n) -pre-open sets, (m, n) - α -open sets and (m, n) - β -open sets, respectively.

Example 2.3. Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{Z} , where $\tau_n = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}, 2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} - 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ if n is odd and τ_n is the cofinite topology if n is even. Consider the set $A = 2\mathbb{Z} \cup \{1\}$ and $B = \mathbb{Z} - 2\mathbb{Z}$. Both A and B are $(2, 1)$ - α -open as $\tau_1\text{-int}(\tau_2\text{-cl}(\tau_1\text{-int}(A))) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau_1\text{-int}(\tau_2\text{-cl}(\tau_1\text{-int}(B))) = \mathbb{Z}$. But, $\tau_2\text{-cl}(\tau_1\text{-int}(\tau_2\text{-cl}(A \cap B))) = \emptyset$ witness that $A \cap B$ is not $(2, 1)$ - β -open. Thus, $S_{(m,n)}X$, $P_{(m,n)}X$, $\alpha_{(m,n)}X$ and $\beta_{(m,n)}X$ are not closed under finite intersection. \square

Proposition 2.4. Let X be an (a) space and A be a subset of X . Then A is:

1. (m, n) -semi-closed if and only if $A \supseteq \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A))$.
2. (m, n) -pre-closed if and only if $A \supseteq \tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(A))$.
3. (m, n) - α -closed if and only if $A \supseteq \tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A)))$.
4. (m, n) - β -closed if and only if $A \supseteq \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(A)))$.

Proof. (1) Let A be a (m, n) -semi-closed set in X . Then $X - A$ is (m, n) -semi-open, so $X - A \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(X - A))$. It follows that $A \supseteq X - (\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(X - A))) = \tau_m\text{-int}(X - \tau_n\text{-int}(X - A)) = \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A))$. Conversely, we have $A \supseteq \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A))$ which implies that $X - A \subseteq X - (\tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A))) = \tau_m\text{-cl}(X - \tau_n\text{-cl}(A)) = \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(X - A))$. So $X - A$ is (m, n) -semi-open and therefore, A is (m, n) -semi-closed.

Proof of parts (2), (3) and (4) can be proved in a similar manner. \square

Proposition 2.5. Let X be an (a) space and A be a subset of X . Then:

1. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_s}(A) = A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))$.
2. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_\alpha}(A) = A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)))$.
3. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_p}(A) \subseteq A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A))$.
4. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_\beta}(A) \subseteq A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A)))$.
5. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_s}(A) = A \cup \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A))$.
6. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\alpha}(A) = A \cup \tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A)))$.
7. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_p}(A) \supseteq A \cup \tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(A))$.
8. $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\beta}(A) \supseteq A \cup \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(A)))$.

Proof. We will prove relations (1), (2), (3) and (4) only as (5), (6), (7) and (8) are easy consequences of (1), (2), (3) and (4), respectively.

(1) Let $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_s}(A)$. Then there exists a (m, n) -semi-open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. So $U \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(U)) \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))$. Therefore, $x \in A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))$. Conversely, we have $\tau_n\text{-int}(A) \subseteq A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)) \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))$. So, $U = \tau_n\text{-int}(A)$ is a τ_n -open set such that $U \subseteq A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)) \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(U)$.

Therefore, $A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))$ is (m, n) -semi-open set contained in A and thus, $A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)) \subseteq \tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_s}(A)$.

(2) Let $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_\alpha}(A)$. Then there exists a (m, n) - α -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. So $U \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(U))) \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)))$. Therefore, $x \in A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)))$. Conversely, $\tau_n\text{-int}(A) \subseteq A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))) \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)))$. This implies that $U = \tau_n\text{-int}(A)$ is a τ_n -open set such that $U \subseteq A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))) \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))$. So $A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)))$ is (m, n) - α -open set contained in A . Thus, $A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A))) \subseteq \tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_\alpha}(A)$.

(3) Let $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_p}(A)$. Then there exists a (m, n) -pre-open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. So $U \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A))$. Therefore, $x \in A \cap \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A))$.

(4) Let $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-int}_\beta}(A)$. Then there exists a (m, n) - β -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq A$. So $U \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))) \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A)))$. Therefore, $x \in A \cap \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A)))$. \square

Definition 2.6. A subset A of $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is said to be:

1. ([44]) (a) -semi-open if A is (m, n) -semi-open for all $m \neq n$.
2. (a) -pre-open if A is (m, n) -pre-open for all $m \neq n$.
3. (a) - α -open if A is (m, n) - α -open for all $m \neq n$.
4. (a) - β -open if A is (m, n) - β -open for all $m \neq n$.
5. (a) -open if A is τ_n -open for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The collection of all (a) -open (resp. (a) -semi-open, (a) -pre-open, (a) - α -open and (a) - β -open) sets is closed under arbitrary union. Indeed, the collection of (a) -open sets form a topology on X . The complement of (a) -semi-open set, (a) -pre-open set, (a) - α -open set, (a) - β -open set and (a) -open set is (a) -semi-closed, (a) -pre-closed, (a) - α -closed, (a) - β -closed and (a) -closed, respectively. By $S(X)$, $P(X)$, $\alpha(X)$, $\beta(X)$ and $O(X)$, we denote the family of all (a) -semi-open sets, (a) -pre-open sets, (a) - α -open sets, (a) - β -open sets and (a) -open sets, respectively.

It is clear that every (a) -open set is (a) - α -open and hence, (a) -semi-open, (a) -pre-open and (a) - β -open.

Note that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (a)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open} & \Rightarrow & (a)\text{-pre-open} & \Rightarrow & (a)\text{-}\beta\text{-open} \\
 \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
 (a)\text{-open} & \Rightarrow & (a)\text{-}\alpha\text{-open} & \Rightarrow & (a)\text{-semi-open}
 \end{array}$$

Diagram 2

Following examples show that no implication in the above diagram is reversible.

Example 2.7. Let F be a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} having at least two elements. Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{Z} , where $\tau_n = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}, F\}$ if n is odd and τ_n is the cofinite topology if n is even. Let $w \in F$. Then $A = \mathbb{Z} - \{w\}$ is (a) -pre-open and (a) - β -open but not (a) -semi-open and hence, not (a) - α -open.

Example 2.8. Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{R}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{R} , where $\tau_n = \{(a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \cup \{\mathbb{R}\}$ is the right ray topology if n is odd and τ_n is the cocountable topology if n is even. For each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau_1\text{-cl}(\{a\}) = (-\infty, a]$. It is observe that $\tau_1\text{-cl}((10, \infty)) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau_2\text{-cl}((10, \infty)) = \mathbb{R}$. So $(10, \infty)$ is (m, n) -pre-open for all $m \neq n$ and therefore, (a) -pre-open. But $\tau_2\text{-int}((10, \infty)) = \emptyset$. So $(10, \infty) \not\subseteq \tau_1\text{-cl}(\tau_2\text{-int}((10, \infty)))$. Therefore, $(10, \infty)$ is not $(1, 2)$ -semi-open and hence, not (a) -semi-open. Thus, $(10, \infty)$ is (a) -pre-open but not (a) - α -open and (a) - β -open but not (a) -semi-open. \square

Every (a) -pre-open set is (a) - β -open and every (a) - α -open set is (a) -semi-open but not vice-versa. To show that converse need not be true, we recall the digital topology on \mathbb{Z} . Digital topology τ on \mathbb{Z} is generated by $\{\{2n - 1, 2n, 2n + 1\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

$$\tau\text{-cl}(\{m\}) = \begin{cases} \{m\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even;} \\ \{m - 1, m, m + 1\}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tau\text{-cl}(\{2n - 1, 2n, 2n + 1\}) = \{2n - 2, 2n - 1, 2n, 2n + 1, 2n + 2\}.$$

We consider the following example to show that not every (a) - β -open set is (a) -pre-open and not every (a) -semi-open set is (a) - α -open.

Example 2.9. Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$, where

τ_1 is the digital topology on \mathbb{Z} generated by $\{\{2n - 1, 2n, 2n + 1\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

τ_2 is the topology on \mathbb{Z} generated by $\{\{3n + 1, 3n + 2, 3n + 3, 3n + 4\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

τ_3 is the topology on \mathbb{Z} generated by $\{\{4n + 1, 4n + 2, 4n + 3, 4n + 4, 4n + 5\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

τ_4 is the topology on \mathbb{Z} generated by $\{\{5n + 1, 5n + 2, 5n + 3, 5n + 4, 5n + 5, 5n + 6\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

.

.

.

τ_k is the topology on \mathbb{Z} generated by $\{\{(k + 1)n + 1, (k + 1)n + 2, \dots, (k + 1)n + (k + 2)\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the set $G = \{1, 2\}$. Then $\tau_n\text{-int}(G) = \{1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau_m\text{-cl}(\{1\}) \supseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$ for all $m \neq n$.

So, $G = \{1, 2\} \subseteq \tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(G))$ for all $m \neq n$. Thus, G is (a) -semi-open and hence, (a) - β -open.

Observe that $\tau_3\text{-int}(\tau_1\text{-cl}(G)) = \tau_3\text{-int}(\{0, 1, 2\}) = \{1\}$. Thus, G is not a $(1, 3)$ -pre-open set. Therefore, G is not (a) -pre-open and hence, not (a) - α -open.

Conclusively, G is (a) - β -open but not (a) -pre-open and G is (a) -semi-open but not (a) - α -open in $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$. \square

It is clear from Example 2.8 and Example 2.9 that there is no relation between (a) semi-open and (a) -pre-open. Following example shows that there exists a set in some (a) space which is (a) -semi-open, (a) -pre-open, (a) - α -open and (a) - β -open but not (a) -open.

Example 2.10. Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{R}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{R} , where τ_n is the right ray topology if n is odd and τ_n is the cocountable topology if n is even. Consider the set $A = (-\infty, 9) \cup (10, \infty) \cup [(\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \cap (9, 10)] = \mathbb{R} - (\mathbb{Q} \cap (9, 10))$. A is not (a) -open as A is not open in (\mathbb{R}, τ_1) . We have $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(A)) = \mathbb{R}$ for all $m \neq n$. Thus, A is (a) -pre-open as well as (a) - β -open. Also, $\tau_m\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-int}(A)) = \mathbb{R}$ for all $m \neq n$. Thus, A is (a) -semi-open as well as (a) - α -open. Hence, A is (a) -semi-open, (a) -pre-open, (a) - β -open and (a) - α -open but not (a) -open. \square

3. Strong Form of Open Sets in (a) Topological Spaces

Recall that in a topological space (X, τ) , a point $x \in X$ is said to be δ -cluster point [45] of a subset $S \subseteq X$ if for every τ -open set G containing x , $\tau\text{-int}(\tau\text{-cl}(G)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Following Tyagi et. al. [44], we define δ -open sets in (a) spaces as follows:

Definition 3.1. Let X be an (a) space and S be a subset of X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be (m, n) - δ -cluster point of S if for every τ_n -open set G containing x , $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(G)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. The set of all (m, n) - δ -cluster points of S is called the (m, n) - δ -closure of S and denoted by $\tau_{(m,n)}\text{-cl}_\delta(S)$. S is said to be (m, n) - δ -closed if $\tau_{(m,n)}\text{-cl}_\delta(S) = S$. The complement of (m, n) - δ -closed set is (m, n) - δ -open. In case, S is (m, n) - δ -open for all $m \neq n$, S is (a) - δ -open.

Remark 3.2. The collection of all (m, n) - δ -open sets forms a topology.

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a subset of an (a) space X . Then A is (m, n) - δ -open if and only if for each $x \in A$ there exists a τ_n -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \subseteq A$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq X$ be (m, n) - δ -open. Then $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(X - A)} = X - A$. So for each $x \in A$ there is a τ_n -open set U containing x such that $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \cap (X - A) = \emptyset$. Therefore, for each $x \in A$ there exists a τ_n -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \subseteq A$. Conversely, let for each $x \in A$ there exists a τ_n -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \subseteq A$. Therefore, $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \cap (X - A) = \emptyset$. So $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(X - A)} = X - A$. Thus, $A \subseteq X$ is (m, n) - δ -open. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let A be a subset of an (a) space X . A point $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(A)}$ if and only if every (m, n) - δ -open set containing x intersects A .

Proof. Let $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(A)}$ and U be a (m, n) - δ -open set containing x . There exist a τ_n -open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(V)) \subseteq U$. Since $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(A)}$, $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(V)) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, let U be a τ_n -open set containing x . The set $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))$ is a (m, n) - δ -open set. Indeed, for each $x \in \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))$ there exists a τ_n -open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))$. Since $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(G)) \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))$, it follows that $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U))$ is (m, n) - δ -open. So $\tau_n\text{-int}(\tau_m\text{-cl}(U)) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and therefore, $x \in \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(A)}$. \square

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.4 emphasize that the (m, n) - δ -closure of a set A is the intersection of all (m, n) - δ -closed subsets of X containing A .

Proposition 3.6. Every (a) - δ -open set is (a) -open.

Proof. Let G be an (a) - δ -open set in X . By definition, G is (m, n) - δ -open for all $m \neq n$. Then $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(X - G)} = X - G$ for all $m \neq n$. But $\tau_n\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\delta(A)}$ for every set A , so $\tau_n\text{-cl}(X - G) = X - G$. Thus, G is τ_n -open for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

We end up this section by an example showing that converse of Proposition 3.6 need not be true in general.

Example 3.7. Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{Z} , where τ_n is the Digital topology if n is odd and $\tau_n = \{G \subseteq \mathbb{Z} : G = \emptyset \text{ or } 3 \in G\}$ is the Point-included topology if n is even.

For any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\tau_2\text{-cl}(A) = \begin{cases} A, & \text{if } 3 \notin A; \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } 3 \in A. \end{cases}$

and $\tau_2\text{-int}(A) = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } 3 \notin A; \\ A, & \text{if } 3 \in A. \end{cases}$

The set $G = \{3, 4, 5\}$ is (a) -open in $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$. We show that G is not (a) - δ -open. Let U be any τ_4 -open set containing 3. Then $\tau_4\text{-int}(\tau_2\text{-cl}(U)) = \mathbb{Z}$. So $\tau_4\text{-int}(\tau_2\text{-cl}(U)) \cap (\mathbb{Z} - G) \neq \emptyset$ for all τ_4 -open set U containing 3. Therefore, $3 \in \tau_{(2,4)\text{-cl}_\delta(\mathbb{Z} - G)}$ and $\tau_{(2,4)\text{-cl}_\delta(\mathbb{Z} - G)} \neq \mathbb{Z} - G$ which implies that $\mathbb{Z} - G$ is not $(2, 4)$ - δ -closed. Thus, G is not $(2, 4)$ - δ -open and hence, G is not (a) - δ -open. \square

4. Various Selection Properties

In this section, we discuss various selection properties by using notions of semi-closure, pre-closure, α -closure, β -closure and δ -closure and their respective density in (a) spaces and provide interrelationships between them.

Definition 4.1. In an (a) space X , a subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be:

1. τ_n -dense in X if A is dense in (X, τ_n) .
2. dense in X if A is dense in (X, τ_n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. t - (m, n) -dense if $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_t(A)} = X$, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.
4. t - (a) -dense if A is t - (m, n) -dense for all $m \neq n$, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

For each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$, let $D^t(m, n)$, D^t and D be the collection of all t - (m, n) -dense, t - (a) -dense and dense subsets of $(X, \{\tau_n\})$, respectively and $D(n)$ be the collection of all dense subsets of (X, τ_n) .

Proposition 4.2. In an (a) space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$, the following holds.

1. $D^\beta \subset D^s \subset D^\alpha$
2. $D^\beta \subset D^p \subset D^\alpha$
3. $D^s = D^\alpha = D$
4. $D \subset D^\delta$

Proof. (1) Let S be any subset of X . Since every (m, n) - α -open set is (m, n) -semi-open and every (m, n) -semi-open set is (m, n) - β -open for all $m \neq n$, so $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\beta(S)} \subset \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_s(S)} \subset \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\alpha(S)}$ for all $m \neq n$. Therefore, $D^\beta(m, n) \subset D^s(m, n) \subset D^\alpha(m, n)$ holds for all $m \neq n$. Thus, $D^\beta \subset D^s \subset D^\alpha$.

(2) Let S be any subset of X . Since every (m, n) - α -open set is (m, n) -pre-open and every (m, n) -pre-open set is (m, n) - β -open for all $m \neq n$, so $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\beta(S)} \subset \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_p(S)} \subset \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\alpha(S)}$ for all $m \neq n$. Therefore, $D^\beta(m, n) \subset D^p(m, n) \subset D^\alpha(m, n)$ holds for all $m \neq n$. Thus, $D^\beta \subset D^p \subset D^\alpha$.

(3) By (1), $D^s \subset D^\alpha$. It is enough to show that $D^\alpha \subset D \subset D^s$. For any $m \neq n$, let $A \in D^\alpha(m, n)$. Then $X = \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_\alpha(A)} = A \cup \tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A)))$. So, $X = A \cup \tau_n\text{-cl}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A)) \subset \tau_n\text{-cl}(A)$ and hence, $A \in D(n)$. Also, for any $A \in D(n)$, $\tau_n\text{-cl}(A) = X$ which gives that $X = A \cup \tau_m\text{-int}(\tau_n\text{-cl}(A)) = \tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_s(A)}$. So $A \in D^s(m, n)$. Therefore, $D^\alpha(m, n) \subset D(n) \subset D^s(m, n)$ for all $m \neq n$. Hence, $D^\alpha \subset D \subset D^s$.

(4) The proof follows by Proposition 3.6. \square

Remark 4.3. Following results hold for all naturals m, k and n .

1. $D^s(m, n) = D^s(k, n)$.
2. $D^\alpha(m, n) = D^\alpha(k, n)$.
3. $D^s(m, n) = D^\alpha(k, n)$.

Proof. Proof follows as $D^\alpha(m, n) = D(n)$ and $D^s(m, n) = D(n)$ for all naturals m and n . \square

Example 4.4. D^β is a proper subset of D^p .

Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{R}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{R} , where τ_n is the cocountable topology if n is odd and $\tau_n = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \{p\}, \{q\}, \{p, q\}\}$: $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$, if n is even. It is observe that the set of all (a) -pre-open sets, that is, $P(X) = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : A \text{ is uncountable and } p, q \in A\}$. Now, consider the set $G = \mathbb{R} - \{q\}$. G is an (a) - β -open set having empty intersection with $\{q\}$. So, $\{q\} \notin D^\beta$. But $\{q\}$ intersect with every (a) -pre-open set, hence, $\{q\} \in D^p - D^\beta$. \square

Example 4.5. D^p is a proper subset of D .

In Example 2.7, let $F = \{2, 3\}$. Then the set of even integers, say A , is dense in $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$ but $\mathbb{Z} - A$ is (a) -pre-open in $(\mathbb{Z}, \{\tau_n\})$. Thus, $A \in D - D^p$.

Example 4.6. D^β is a proper subset of D^s .

Consider the (a) space $(\mathbb{R}, \{\tau_n\})$ on \mathbb{R} , where $\tau_n = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}\}$ if n is odd and $\tau_n = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \cup \{1\}\}$ if n is even. It is observe that the set of all (a) -semi-open sets, that is, $S(X) = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : (\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}) \cup \{1\} \subseteq A\}$. Now, consider the set $G = \mathbb{R} - \{1\}$. G is an (a) - β -open set having empty intersection with $\{1\}$. So, $\{1\} \notin D^\beta$. But $\{1\}$ intersect with every (a) -semi-open set, so $\{1\} \in D^s$. Hence, $\{1\} \in D^s - D^\beta$. \square

Example 4.7. D is a proper subset of D^δ .

In Example 4.6, \mathbb{Q} is not dense in (\mathbb{R}, τ_1) as $\tau_1\text{-cl}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$. So $\mathbb{Q} \notin D(1)$ and therefore, $\mathbb{Q} \notin D$. But $\mathbb{Q} \in D^\delta(m, n)$ for all $m \neq n$. Thus, $\mathbb{Q} \in D^\delta - D$.

Conclusively, we have the following relations:

1. $D^\beta \subsetneq D^p \subsetneq D^\alpha = D \subsetneq D^\delta$.
2. $D^\beta \subsetneq D^s = D^\alpha = D \subsetneq D^\delta$.

The following implications are immediate.

$$S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta), S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^p), S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p), \\ S_{fin}(D^p, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p), S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha), \\ S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta), \\ S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\delta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^p), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^p, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha), \\ S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^p), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^p, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta), \\ S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\delta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta), \\ S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\delta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^s, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta), S_{fin}(D^s, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^s, D^s), S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^s), \\ S_{fin}(D^s, D^s) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^s), S_{fin}(D^s, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^s);$$

From above implications we have following implications too.

$$S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p), \\ S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p), \\ S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^p) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta), \\ S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^p, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p), \\ S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p), \\ S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\alpha, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha), \\ S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^p, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^\delta, D^\alpha) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta);$$

$$S_{fin}(D^s, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\delta), S_{fin}(D^s, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^\alpha), S_{fin}(D^s, D^\beta) \rightarrow S_{fin}(D^\beta, D^p).$$

5. R-Separability and M-Separability in (a)Topological Spaces

In this section, we study various selective separability properties using weak and strong forms of open sets. We begin with some definitions we will do with.

Definition 5.1. An (a)space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is said to be separable if there exists a countable subset of X which is dense in X .

Definition 5.2. An (a)space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is said to be:

1. (a)R-separable if $S_1(D(n), D(n))$ holds for all n .
2. (a)R^t-separable if $S_1(D^t(m, n), D^t(m, n))$ holds for all $m \neq n$, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.
3. (a)M-separable if $S_{fin}(D(n), D(n))$ holds for all n .
4. (a)M^t-separable if $S_{fin}(D^t(m, n), D^t(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

It is obvious that (a)R-separability implies (a)M-separability and (a)R^t-separability implies (a)M^t-separability. Also, if X is (a)R-separable (or separable), then each (X, τ_n) is separable. Following theorem is an analogous result of this.

Theorem 5.3. If X is (a)R^t-separable, $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$, then (X, τ_n) is separable for all n .

Proof. Let X be an (a)R^t-separable space. Then $S_1(D^t(m, n), D^t(m, n))$ holds for all $m \neq n$. For every sequence $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of elements of $D^t(m, n)$, there is a sequence $\langle a_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ such that for each k , $a_k \in A_k$ and $\{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in D^t(m, n)$. So $A = \{a_k : a_k \in A_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable subset of X such that $A \in D^t(m, n)$. But $D^t(m, n) \subset D(n)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, (X, τ_n) is separable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Recall that a family \mathcal{B} of non empty open subsets of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be π -base of X if for each non empty open subset, say $G \subseteq X$, there exists a $U \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $U \subseteq G$.

Theorem 5.4. If (X, τ_n) has a countable π -base for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is (a)R^p-separable.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\{Q_k^n : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable π -base of (X, τ_n) . For any $m \neq n$, let $\langle F_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of p -(m, n)-dense subsets of X . So $\tau_{(m,n)\text{-cl}_p}(F_k) = X$. Therefore, F_k intersects with every (m, n) -pre-open set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every τ_n -open set is (m, n) -pre-open for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so Q_k^n is (m, n) -pre-open for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_k \in Q_k^n \cap F_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is p -(m, n)-dense in X . Let W be a (m, n) -pre-open set. Then $\tau_n\text{-int}(W)$ is τ_n -open so there exists some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q_l^n \subseteq \tau_n\text{-int}(W)$. Then $x_l \in W$. Therefore, every (m, n) -pre-open subset of X intersects with $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus, $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in D^p(m, n)$ and $S_1(D^p(m, n), D^p(m, n))$ holds for all $m \neq n$. Hence, X is (a)R^p-separable. \square

In a similar way the following theorem can be proved.

Theorem 5.5. *If (X, τ_n) has countable π -base for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X is $(a)R^t$ -separable for all $t \in \{s, \alpha, \beta\}$.*

Theorem 5.6. *If (X, τ_n) has countable π -base for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X satisfies $S_1(D(n), D^\delta(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$.*

Proof. Let $\{Q_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable π -base of (X, τ_n) . Let $\langle F_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D(n)$. Then $Q_k \cap F_k \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_k \in Q_k \cap F_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in D^\delta(m, n)$ for all $m \neq n$. Indeed, for any (m, n) - δ -open set W , there exists some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q_l \subseteq W$ as every (m, n) - δ -open set is τ_n -open. Then $x_l \in W$ which gives that every (m, n) - δ -open set of X intersects with $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus, $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in D^\delta(m, n)$ for all $m \neq n$. \square

Corollary 5.7. *If (X, τ_n) has countable π -base for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then X satisfies $S_1(D^t(m, n), D^\delta(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$ and for all $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$.*

Proof. For each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$, $D^t(m, n) \subset D(n)$ for all $m \neq n$, so proof follows by Theorem 5.6. \square

Definition 5.8. Let X be an (a) space. Then:

1. X has countable (m, n) - t -fan tightness ($m \neq n$) if for each $x \in X$ and each sequence $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of subsets of X such that $x \in \tau_{(m, n)\text{-cl}_t(A_k)$ for each k , there are finite sets $F_k \subseteq A_k$ such that $x \in \tau_{(m, n)\text{-cl}_t(\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} F_k)$.
2. X has countable (m, n) - t -strong fan tightness ($m \neq n$) if for each $x \in X$ and each sequence $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of subsets of X such that $x \in \tau_{(m, n)\text{-cl}_t(A_k)$ for each k , there are points $x_k \in A_k$ such that $x \in \tau_{(m, n)\text{-cl}_t(\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$.

Theorem 5.9. *Let X be a separable space. If X has countable (m, n) - t -fan tightness for all $m \neq n$, then X is $(a)M^t$ -separable, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set which is dense in each (X, τ_n) and let $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D^t(m, n)$ for some $m_0 \neq n_0$, $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$. Consider a partition $\mathbb{N} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ of \mathbb{N} into pairwise disjoint and infinite sets. Since $\tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(A_k) = X$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \cap_{k \in M_n} \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(A_k)$. By countable (m_0, n_0) - t -fan tightness of X , there exists a sequence $\langle F_k : k \in M_n \rangle$ such that for each $k \in M_n$, $F_k \subseteq A_k$ and $a_n \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(\cup\{F_k : k \in M_n\})$. We claim that $\cup\{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is t - (m_0, n_0) -dense subset of X . Let G be a (m_0, n_0) - t -open subset of X and $V = \tau_{n_0}\text{-int}(G)$. Since A is dense in (X, τ_{n_0}) , $a_l \in V$ and hence, $a_l \in G$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ but $a_l \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(\cup\{F_k : k \in M_l\})$. Thus, $G \cap \{F_k : k \in M_l\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $G \cap \cup\{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \emptyset$ and hence, $X = \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(\cup\{F_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Let X be a separable space. If X has countable (m, n) - t -strong fan tightness, then X is $(a)R^t$ -separable, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be countable dense in each (X, τ_n) and let $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D^t(m_0, n_0)$ for some $m_0 \neq n_0$, $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$. Consider a partition $\mathbb{N} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ of \mathbb{N} into pairwise disjoint and infinite sets. Since $\tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(A_k) = X$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \cap_{k \in M_n} \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(A_k)$. By countable (m_0, n_0) - t -strong fan tightness of X , there exists a sequence $\langle x_k : k \in M_n \rangle$ such that for each $k \in M_n$, $x_k \in A_k$ and $a_n \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(\{x_k : k \in M_n\})$. The set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is t - (m_0, n_0) -dense subset of X . Indeed, let G be a (m_0, n_0) - t -open subset of X . Let $V = \tau_{n_0}\text{-int}(G)$. Since A is dense in (X, τ_{n_0}) , $a_l \in V$ and hence, $a_l \in G$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ but $a_l \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(\{x_k : k \in M_l\})$. Thus, $G \cap \{x_k : k \in M_l\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $G \cap \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \emptyset$ and hence, $X = \tau_{(m_0, n_0)\text{-cl}_t(\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$. \square

Theorem 5.11. *Let X be a separable space. If X has countable (m, n) - δ -strong fan tightness for all $m \neq n$, then X is $(a)R^\delta$ -separable.*

Proof. Let $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D^\delta(m_0, n_0)$ for some $m_0 \neq n_0$. So $\tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(A_k) = X$. Let $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set which is dense in each (X, τ_n) . Consider a partition $\mathbb{N} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ of \mathbb{N} into pairwise disjoint and infinite sets. Since $\tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(A_k) = X$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \bigcap_{k \in M_n} \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(A_k)$. By countable (m_0, n_0) - δ -strong fan tightness of X , there exists a sequence $\langle x_k : k \in M_n \rangle$ such that for each $k \in M_n$, $x_k \in A_k$ and $a_n \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(\{x_k : k \in M_n\})$. The set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is δ - (m_0, n_0) -dense in X . Indeed, for any (m_0, n_0) - δ -open subset G , $a_l \in G$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ as δ - (m_0, n_0) -open set is τ_{n_0} -open and A is dense in (X, τ_{n_0}) . But $a_l \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(\{x_k : k \in M_l\})$. Thus, $G \cap \{x_k : k \in M_l\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $G \cap \{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \emptyset$ and hence, $X = \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$. \square

Theorem 5.12. *Let X be a separable space. If X has countable (m, n) - δ -fan tightness for all $m \neq n$, then X is $(a)M^\delta$ -separable.*

Proof. Let $\langle F_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D^\delta(m_0, n_0)$ for some $m_0 \neq n_0$. So $\tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(F_k) = X$. Let $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set which is dense in each (X, τ_n) . Consider a partition $\mathbb{N} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ of \mathbb{N} into pairwise disjoint and infinite sets. Since $\tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(F_k) = X$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \bigcap_{k \in M_n} \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(F_k)$. By countable (m_0, n_0) - δ -strong fan tightness of X , there exists a sequence $\langle A_k : k \in M_n \rangle$ such that for each $k \in M_n$, $A_k \subseteq F_k$ and $a_n \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(\bigcup_{k \in M_n} A_k)$. The set $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ is δ - (m_0, n_0) -dense in X . Indeed, for any (m_0, n_0) - δ -open subset G , $a_l \in G$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ as δ - (m_0, n_0) -open set is τ_{n_0} -open and A is dense in (X, τ_{n_0}) . But $a_l \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(\bigcup_{k \in M_l} A_k)$. Thus, $G \cap (\bigcup_{k \in M_l} A_k) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $X = \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_\delta(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k)$ and hence, $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k \in D^\delta(m_0, n_0)$. So X is $(a)M^\delta$ -separable. \square

It is shown that separable Fréchet-Urysohn spaces are M -separable [4]. Now we are going to prove the t -version of this result in (a) spaces for each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$. For this we define the notion of convergence, Fréchet-Urysohn and Hausdorffness in (a) spaces. A sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in (a) space X is said to be $t_{(m, n)}$ -converge to some $x \in X$ if for every (m, n) - t -open set containing x , say U , there exists natural number k such that $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq k$. If for each $A \subseteq X$ and each $x \in \tau_{(m, n)}\text{-cl}_t(A)$ there is a sequence $\langle x_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ in A which $t_{(m, n)}$ -converge to x , we call X (m, n) - t -Fréchet-Urysohn. We say X to be (m, n) - t -Hausdorff or (m, n) - t - T_2 space if for every pair of distinct points x and y of X , there exist two disjoint (m, n) - t -open sets containing x and y , respectively.

Definition 5.13. An (a) space X is said to be (m, n) - t -dense in itself if no singleton subset of X is (m, n) - t -open, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

Theorem 5.14. *Let X be a separable space such that X is (m, n) - t -dense in itself for all $m \neq n$. If X is (m, n) - t -Fréchet-Urysohn and (m, n) - t -Hausdorff space for all $m \neq n$, then X is $(a)M^t$ -separable, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set which is dense in each (X, τ_n) . For $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$, let $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D^t(m_0, n_0)$ for some $m_0 \neq n_0$. Since X is (m_0, n_0) - t -dense in itself, $X - \{a\}$ is not (m_0, n_0) - t -closed. So for every $a \in A$, $a \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(X - \{a\}) = X$. Since X is (m_0, n_0) - t -Fréchet-Urysohn, there exists a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in $X - \{a\}$ such that $\langle x_n \rangle$ is $t_{(m_0, n_0)}$ -converges to a . Further, for each n , $x_n \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(A_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So there exist a sequence $y_n = \langle y_{n, m} \rangle$ in A_n which $t_{(m_0, n_0)}$ -converge to x_n . We claim that $a \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n)$. Indeed, for any (m_0, n_0) - t -open set U containing a , there exist $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq l$. In particular, $x_l \in U$ and sequence $\langle y_{l, m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ $t_{(m_0, n_0)}$ -converge to x_l . So $y_{l, m} \in U$ for all $m \geq k_1$ for some k_1 . Thus, $U \cap (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n) \neq \emptyset$ and hence, $a \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n)$. There exists a sequence $z = \langle z_m \rangle$ in $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n$ which $t_{(m_0, n_0)}$ -converges to a . Now sequence z and y_n $t_{(m_0, n_0)}$ -converges to different points, so $y_n \cap z = F_n \subseteq A_n$ is finite as X is (m_0, n_0) - t -Hausdorff. Since $a \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(\{z_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\})$ and $z = \bigcup F_n$, so we have $a \in \tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(\bigcup F_n)$. This implies that X has countable (m_0, n_0) - t -fan tightness at each point $a \in A$. So X has countable (m, n) - t -fan tightness at each point $a \in A$ for all $m \neq n$. By Theorem 5.9, X is $(a)M^t$ -separable. \square

Theorem 5.15. *Let $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ be a separable space such that (X, τ_n) is dense in itself for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is (m, n) - δ -Fréchet-Urysohn and (m, n) - δ - T_2 space for all $m \neq n$, then X is $(a)M^\delta$ -separable.*

Proof. Since (X, τ_n) is dense in itself for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so X is (m, n) - δ -dense in itself for all $m \neq n$ as every (m, n) - δ -open set is τ_n -open. So proof can be easily done on similar lines of Theorem 5.14. \square

In [19], it is shown that every Pytkeev M -separable space is R -separable. We are going to prove the t -version of this result in (a) spaces. An (a) space X is (m, n) - t -Pytkeev if for each $A \subseteq X$ and each $x \in (\tau_{(m,n)}\text{-cl}_t(A)) - A$ there are infinite sets $B_k \subseteq A$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every (m, n) - t -open set containing x contains some B_k , where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

Theorem 5.16. *Let X be a separable space such that X is (m, n) - t -Pytkeev for all $m \neq n$, $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$. If X is $(a)M^t$ -separable, then X is $(a)R^t$ -separable.*

Proof. Let $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of elements of $D^t(m_0, n_0)$ for some $m_0 \neq n_0$, $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$. Let $A = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable set which is dense in each (X, τ_n) . Fix a partition $\mathbb{N} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots$ of \mathbb{N} into pairwise disjoint infinite sets and consider the sequence $\langle A_m : m \in M_n \rangle$. Since X is $(a)M^t$ -separable, there exists finite subsets $F_m \subseteq A_m$, $m \in M_n$ such that $\cup_{m \in M_n} F_m = Y_n$ is (m_0, n_0) - t -dense in X . Let $K_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \in Y_n\}$ and $K_2 = \mathbb{N} - K_1$. For each $n \in K_2$, $a_n \in (\tau_{(m_0, n_0)}\text{-cl}_t(Y_n)) - Y_n$. Since X is (m_0, n_0) - t -Pytkeev so there exists infinite sets $B_{n,k} \subseteq Y_n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each (m_0, n_0) - t -open set U_{a_n} containing a_n , U_{a_n} contains some $B_{n,k}$. Clearly, each $B_{n,k}$ intersects infinitely with finitely many sets, say $F_{m_1}, F_{m_2}, \dots, F_{m_k}$. Choose $x_{n,m_k} \in B_{n,k} \cap F_{m_k} \subseteq A_{m_k}$. We define the sequence $\langle z_n \rangle$ by $z_n = a_n$ if $n \in K_1$, $z_n = x_{n,m_k}$ if $n \in K_2$. Sequence $\langle z_n \rangle$ is (m_0, n_0) - t -dense in X , so X is $(a)R^t$ -separable. \square

Let $\{(X_\alpha, \{\tau_{n\alpha}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of (a) spaces. Let X be the cartesian product of X_α , that is, $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} X_\alpha$. We define an (a) topology structure $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ on X by taking τ_n as the product topology on X generated by the projections $(\tau_n, \tau_{n\alpha})$ continuous for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. The pair $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is called the product (a) space.

Theorem 5.17. *Let $(X_1, \{\tau_n\})$ and $(X_2, \{\gamma_n\})$ be two (a) spaces and $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$. If X_1 satisfies $S_1(D(n), D^t(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$ and (X_2, γ_n) has a countable π -base for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the product (a) space $(X, \{\sigma_n\})$ satisfies $S_1(D(n), D^t(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$, where $X = X_1 \times X_2$, σ_n is the product topology on X generated by the continuous projections (σ_n, τ_n) and (σ_n, γ_n) for all n .*

Proof. Let $m_0 \neq n_0$ and let $\langle F_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of σ_{n_0} -dense subsets of X . Let $\{P_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable π -base for (X_2, γ_{n_0}) . Let $\mathbb{N} = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \dots \cup M_n \cup \dots$ be a partition of \mathbb{N} into pairwise disjoint subsets. Fix some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider M_n . Since $X_1 \times P_k \in \sigma_{n_0}$, $(X_1 \times P_k) \cap F_k \neq \emptyset$. Let $L_k = (X_1 \times P_k) \cap F_k \subseteq X$ and consider $A_k = \{x_1 \in X_1 : (x_1, x_2) \in L_k\}$. It is clear that A_k is dense in (X_1, τ_{n_0}) for each $k \in M_n$. Indeed, for any $A \in \tau_{n_0}$, $(A \times P_k) \cap F_k \neq \emptyset$. Let $(y_1, y_2) \in (A \times P_k) \cap F_k$. Therefore, $(y_1, y_2) \in L_k$ which implies that $y_1 \in A_k$. Hence, A_k is dense in (X_1, τ_{n_0}) . Since X_1 satisfies $S_1(D(n_0), D^t(m_0, n_0))$ and $\langle A_k : k \in M_n \rangle$ be a sequence of τ_{n_0} -dense subsets of X_1 , so there exist $x_{1k} \in A_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{x_{1k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is t - (m_0, n_0) -dense in X_1 . We claim that the set $F = \{(x_{1k}, x_{2k}) \in L_k\}$ is t - (m_0, n_0) -dense in X . Let G be a (m_0, n_0) - t -open subset of X . Then $W = \sigma_{n_0}\text{-int}(G)$ is a σ_{n_0} -open subset of X . Let $W = U \times V$, $U \in \tau_{n_0}$ and $V \in \gamma_{n_0}$. Since every τ_{n_0} -open set is (m_0, n_0) - t -open, so $U \cap \{x_{1k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \emptyset$ which implies that $(U \times V) \cap F \neq \emptyset$. So F is t - (m_0, n_0) -dense in X and hence, X satisfies $S_1(D(n_0), D^t(m_0, n_0))$. \square

Corollary 5.18. *Let $(X_1, \{\tau_n\})$ and $(X_2, \{\gamma_n\})$ be two (a) spaces and $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta\}$. If X_1 satisfies $S_1(D(n), D^t(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$ and (X_2, γ_n) has a countable π -base for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the product (a) space $(X, \{\sigma_n\})$ satisfies $S_1(D(n), D^\delta(m, n))$ for all $m \neq n$, $X = X_1 \times X_2$, σ_n is the product topology on X generated by the continuous projections (σ_n, τ_n) and (σ_n, γ_n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

6. H-Separability and GN-Separability in (a) Topological Spaces

In a topological space X , a countable dense subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be groupable if it can be partitioned as $A = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$, each A_k non empty finite set and every non empty open set in X intersects all but finitely many k . We denote the collection of all dense sets (resp. groupable sets) in X by D' (resp. D'_{gp}). In an

(a)space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$, a countable dense subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be (m, n) - t -groupable if it can be partitioned as $A = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$, each A_k is non empty finite set and every non empty (m, n) - t -open set in X intersects all but finitely many k . We denote the collection of all (m, n) - t -groupable sets in (a)space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ by $D_{gp}^t(m, n)$.

Definition 6.1. ([5]) A topological space (X, τ) is said to be:

1. H -separable if for each sequence $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of elements of D' , one can pick finite $F_k \subseteq A_k$ so that for every non empty open set $G \subseteq X$, the intersection $G \cap F_k$ is non empty for all but finitely many k .
2. GN -separable if $S_1(D', D'_{gp})$ holds.

We define t -version of H -separability and GN -separability in (a)spaces for each $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

Definition 6.2. An (a)space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ is said to be:

1. (a) GN^t -separable if $S_1(D^t(m, n), D_{gp}^t(m, n))$ holds for all $m \neq n$, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.
2. (a) H^t -separable if for every $m \neq n$ and for each sequence $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of elements of $D^t(m, n)$, one can pick finite $F_k \subseteq A_k$ so that for every (m, n) - t -open set, say $G \subseteq X$, the intersection $G \cap F_k$ is non empty for all but finitely many k , $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

It is clear that

$$(a)GN^t\text{-separability} \Rightarrow (a)R^t\text{-separability} \Rightarrow (a)M^t\text{-separability} \\ \uparrow \\ (a)H^t\text{-separability}$$

Lemma 6.3. Let A and B be subsets of an (a)space $(X, \{\tau_n\})$ with $A \subset B$. If B is countable and $A \in D_{gp}^t(m, n)$, then $B \in D_{gp}^t(m, n)$, where $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

Proof. Since $A \subset B$ with B countable and $A \in D_{gp}^t(m, n)$, B is a countable dense subset of X . Let $A = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ be a partition of A , where each A_k is non empty finite set and every non empty (m, n) - t -open set in X intersects all but finitely many k . Without loss of generality we can assume that all A_k 's are pairwise disjoint. If $B - A$ is a non empty finite set, then $B = (\cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k) \cup (B - A)$ witness that $B \in D_{gp}^t(m, n)$. If $B - A$ is a countably infinite set, let $B - A = \{b_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $\{B_k : B_k = A_k \cup \{b_k\}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a partition of non empty finite subsets of X such that every non empty (m, n) - t -open set in X intersects all but finitely many k . Thus, $B \in D_{gp}^t$. \square

Theorem 6.4. An (a)space X is (a) GN^t -separable if and only if X is (a) R^t -separable and each (m, n) - t -dense subset of X contains an (m, n) - t -groupable set for all $m \neq n$, $t \in \{s, p, \alpha, \beta, \delta\}$.

Proof. It is obvious that (a) GN^t -separability implies (a) R^t -separability. Let A be a (m, n) - t -dense subset of X . Consider the constant sequence $\langle A \rangle$, that is, Sequence $\langle A_k : A_k = A : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$. Since X is (a) GN^t -separable, there exists $a_k \in A_k$ such that $\{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is (m, n) - t -groupable. Thus, A contains an (m, n) - t -groupable set.

Conversely, Let $\langle A_k : k \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be a sequence of (m, n) - t -dense subsets of X . By (a) R^t -separability of X , for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $a_k \in A_k$ such that $\{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is (m, n) - t -dense in X . By hypothesis, there exists a (m, n) - t -groupable set, say B , such that $B \subseteq \{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. By Lemma 6.3, $\{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \in D_{gp}^t(m, n)$ and hence, X is (a) GN^t -separable. \square

References

- [1] L. Babinkostova, On some question about selective separability, *Math. Log. Quart.* 55 (2009) 539–541.
- [2] L. Babinkostova, B.A. Pansera, M. Scheepers, Weak covering properties and infinite games, *Topology Appl.* 159 (2012) 3644–3657.
- [3] L. Babinkostova, B.A. Pansera, M. Scheepers, Weak covering properties and selection principles, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) 2251–2271.
- [4] D. Barman, A. Dow, Selective separability and SS^+ , *Topology Appl.* 37 (2011) 181–204.
- [5] A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M. Matveev, Variation of selective separability, *Topology Appl.* 156 (2009) 1241–1252.
- [6] A. Bella, M. Bonanzinga, M. Matveev, V. Tkachuk, Selective separability: general facts and behavior in countable spaces, *Topol. Proc.* 32 (2008) 15–30.
- [7] M. Bonanzinga, F. Cammaroto, B.A. Pansera, B. Tsaban, Diagonalizations of dense families, *Topology Appl.* 165 (2014) 12–25.
- [8] M.K. Bose, A. Mukharjee, On countable families of topologies on a set, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 40 (2010) 7–16.
- [9] M.K. Bose, R. Tiwari, (ω)topological connectedness and hyperconnectedness, *Note Mat.* 31 (2011) 93–101.
- [10] M.K. Bose, R. Tiwari, On increasing sequences of topologies on a set, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma.* 7 (2007) 173–183.
- [11] M.K. Bose, R. Tiwari, On (ω)topological spaces, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma.* 9 (2008) 125–132.
- [12] A. Caserta, G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, E. Meccariello, Applications of k -covers II, *Topology Appl.* 153 (2006) 3277–3293.
- [13] A.R. Chaudhuri, A. Mukharjee, M.K. Bose, Hyperconnectedness and extremal disconnectedness in (α)topological spaces, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.* 44 (2015) 289–294.
- [14] P. Daniels, Pixley-Roy spaces over subsets of the reals, *Topology Appl.* 29 (1988) 93–106.
- [15] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Some covering properties of hyperspaces, *Topology Appl.* 155 (2008) 1959–1969.
- [16] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, A note on quasi-Menger and similar spaces, *Topology Appl.* 179 (2015) 148–155.
- [17] G. Di Maio, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, E. Meccariello, Selection principles and hyperspace topologies, *Topology Appl.* 153 (2005) 912–923.
- [18] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Translated from the polish by the author 2nd ed., Sigma Ser. Pure Math. 6, Heldermann-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [19] G. Gruenhage, M. Sakai, Selective separability and its variations, *Topology Appl.* 158 (2011) 1352–1359.
- [20] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *J. Proc. London Math. Soc.* 13 (1963) 71–89.
- [21] D. Kocev, Almost Menger and related spaces, *Mat. Vesnik* 61 (2009) 173–180.
- [22] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Star-Menger and related spaces II, *Filomat* 13 (1999) 129–140.
- [23] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, The Pixley-Roy topology and selection principles, *Quest. Answers Gen. Topology* 19 (2001) 219–225.
- [24] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Selected results on selection principles, In: *Proc. Third Seminar Geometry Topology*, July 15–17, 2004, Tabriz, Iran, pp. 71–104.
- [25] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, The Reznichenko property and the Pytkeev property in hyperspaces, *Acta Math. Hung.* 107 (2005) 225–238.
- [26] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Some covering properties in topological and uniform spaces, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 252 (2006) 122–137.
- [27] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, On mildly Hurewicz spaces, *Internat. Math. Forum* 11 (2016) 573–582.
- [28] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, S. Özçağ, Versions of separability in bitopological spaces, *Topology Appl.* 158 (2011) 1471–1477.
- [29] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, S. Özçağ, Bitopological spaces and selection principles, In: *Proceedings ICTA 2011*, Cambridge Scientific Publishers, 2012, pp. 243–255.
- [30] Lj.D.R. Kočinac, A. Sabah, M. ud Din Khan, D. Seba, Semi-Hurewicz spaces, *Hacettepe J. Math. Stat.* 46 (2017) 53–66.
- [31] D. Lyakhovets, A.V. Osipov, Selection principles and games in bitopological function spaces, *Filomat* 33 (2019) 4535–4540.
- [32] A.V. Osipov, S. Özçağ, Variations of selective separability and tightness in function spaces with set-open topologies, *Topology Appl.* 217 (2017) 38–50.
- [33] S. Özçağ, Selective bitopological versions of separability, *Topology Appl.* 201 (2016) 403–413.
- [34] B.A. Pansera, Weaker forms of the Menger property, *Quaest. Math.* 35 (2012) 161–169.
- [35] V. Pavlović, A selective versions of the Reznichenko property in function spaces, *Acta Math. Hung.* 113 (2006) 101–117.
- [36] V. Pavlović, A selective bitopological versions of the Reznichenko property in function spaces, *Topology Appl.* 156 (2009) 1636–1645.
- [37] D. Repovš, L. Zdomskyy, On M -separability of countable spaces and function spaces, *Topology Appl.* 157 (2010) 2538–2541.
- [38] A. Sabah, M. ud Din Khan, Lj.D.R. Kočinac, Covering properties defined by semi-open sets, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016) 4388–4398.
- [39] A. Sabah, M. ud Din Khan, Semi-Rothberger and related spaces, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* 43 (2017) 1969–1987.
- [40] M. Sakai, The weak Hurewicz property of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) 2531–2537.
- [41] M. Sakai, M. Scheepers, The combinatorics of open covers, In: K.P. Hart, J. van Mill, P. Simon (Eds.), *Recent Progress in General Topology III*, Atlantis Press, 2014, pp. 751–800.
- [42] M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers (VI): selectors for sequences of dense sets, *Quaest. Math.* 22 (1999) 109–130.
- [43] B. Tsaban, Some new directions in infinite-combinatorial topology, In: *Set Theory (J. Bagaria, S. Todorcević (eds.)), Trends in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, 2006, pp. 225–255.
- [44] B.K. Tyagi, S. Luthra, Harsh V.S. Chauhan, On new types of sets via γ -open sets in (α)topological spaces, *Cubo* 19(2) (2018) 41–52.
- [45] N. Velichko, H -closed topological spaces, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 70 (1966) 98–112.