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Abstract. The object of the present paper is to characterize pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetimes. At first it is shown that a pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime is a GRW spacetime. Next, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime to be a perfect fluid spacetime. It is also proved that a pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime is perfect fluid, provided the conformal curvature tensor is divergence free. Moreover, we investigate shear and vorticity vector fields of such type of spacetimes and pointed out its implications towards the evolution of the Early Universe. Finally, we study conformally flat (PRS)\textsubscript{n} spacetimes.

1. Introduction

Investigating conformally flat space \( (M, g) \) of class one Sen and Chaki [28] found that the covariant derivative of the Ricci tensor \( R_{ab} \) takes the form

\[
R_{ab,c} = 2u_a R_{ab} + u_b R_{ac} + u_c R_{ab},
\]

where \( u_a \) is a non-zero covariant vector and \( , \) denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor \( g_{ab} \). Later Chaki [6] called an \( n \)-dimensional non-flat Riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor satisfies the condition (1), a pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold and denoted by \( (PRS)_n \). Pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds have been investigated by several authors in different aspects, see Ref.([12], [3], [27]).

In 1907, Einstein proposed a set of ten equations, known as the Einstein’s field equations, defined by

\[
R_{ab} - \frac{R}{2} g_{ab} + \lambda g_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab},
\]

where \( R_{ab} \) denotes the Ricci tensor, \( R \), the scalar curvature, \( \lambda \), the cosmological constant, \( \kappa \), the gravitational constant and \( T_{ab} \) is the energy momentum tensor of the fluid spacetime corresponding to the metric tensor \( g_{ab} \). Since then, many researchers found the solutions of the equation (2) under the certain assumptions and try to explain the behaviour of the universe. They noticed that our universe is in expanding era. A group of four scientists, namely Alexander Friedmann, Georges Lemaître, Howard P. Robertson and Arthur Geoffrey Walker proposed a metric by considering that the space is isotropic and homogeneous, and called such...
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Also, Mantica and Molinari[16] established the following:

**Theorem B.** A Lorentzian manifold of dimension $n > 3$ is a GRW spacetime if and only if it admits a unit timelike torse-forming vector, $\nabla_k u_j = \phi(u_k u_j + g_{kj})$, that is also an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor.

The idea of twisted spacetime has been introduced by B. Y. Chen [9], which is the generalization of GRW spacetime, that is, a Lorentzian manifold $M$ of dimension $n$ with the metric (in local shape)

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -(dt)^2 + f^2(x, t) g_{ij} dx^i dx^j,$$

(6)

where $f > 0$ is the scale factor and $g^i$ is the metric tensor of a Riemannian sub-manifold of dimension $(n - 1)$. If $f$ is a function of $t$ only, then the twisted spacetime becomes the generalized Roberson–Walker spacetime. Robertson–Walker, generalized Robertson–Walker and twisted spacetimes with torse-forming timelike unit vector have been characterized by Mantica et al. [17]. For more details about the Robertson-Walker, generalized Robertson-Walker and twisted spacetimes, we refer [1], [8], [9], [10], [11], [16] – [21], [23] and [24].

In [17] Mantica and Molinari proved that

**Theorem C.** A Lorentzian manifold $L_n$ is twisted if and only if it admits a unit timelike torse-forming vector field. That is,

$$u_{a,b} = \phi(g_{ab} + u_a u_b),$$

where ‘,$', denotes covariant differentiation, $\phi$ is a scalar function and $u_a u^a = -1$.

In the same paper the authors obtain necessary and sufficient condition for a twisted manifold to be a GRW spacetime. Also some interesting properties of the conformal curvature tensor and spacetimes have been addressed by the authors in ([17], [4], [33] and [23]). Also in [19] Mantica, Molinari and De established the following result:

**Theorem D.** Let $M$ be a perfect fluid spacetime, i.e., a Lorentzian manifold of dimension $n > 3$ with Ricci tensor $R_{ij} = A u_i u_j + B u_i u_j$, where $A$ and $B$ are scalars, $u$ is a unit time-like vector field $u^i u_i = -1$. If $u_i j - u_j i = 0$ and $C^m_{ab,m} = 0$, then $M$ is a generalized Robertson–Walker spacetime with Einstein fibers.

Motivated by the works in twisted spacetimes of Mantica and Molinari[17], in the present paper we mainly characterize pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetimes. Precisely we prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.1.** A pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime is a GRW spacetime.

**Theorem 1.2.** A pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime is a perfect fluid spacetime if and only if $C_{abcd} u^a u^d = 0$, where $u_a$ is the velocity vector field and $u^a = g^{ab} u_b$.

**Theorem 1.3.** A $(PRS)_n$ twisted spacetime with $\text{div} C = 0$ has vanishing vorticity and vanishing shear.

**Theorem 1.4.** In a perfect fluid pseudo Ricci symmetric spacetime, the state equation is $p + \mu = 0$ which leads to rapid expansion of the spacetime, now termed as inflation.

Finally, using the Theorem D of Mantica et. al[19] we prove

**Theorem 1.5.** A conformally flat pseudo Ricci symmetric spacetime is a GRW spacetime.

2. Preliminaries

It is observed that in a $(PRS)_n$, Chaki[6] obtained the following theorems:

**Theorem 2.1.** [6] If the scalar curvature $R$ of a $(PRS)_n$ is constant, then $R = 0$, while if $R \neq 0$ then the associated vector $u_a$ of the manifold is irrotational.

**Theorem 2.2.** [6] In a conformally flat $(PRS)_n$, the scalar curvature $R$ is necessarily different from zero.
Thus in a \((PRS)_{n}\), \(u_a\) is irrotational. Also in [6] the author prove that \(u^a R_{ab} = 0\). Throughout the present paper we assume that the associated vector \(u_a\) is a unit timelike vector field.

A Lorentzian manifold \(L_n\) is said to be a perfect fluid spacetime if the Ricci tensor \(R_{ab}\) is of the form:

\[
R_{ab} = A_{1ab} + B u_a u_b,
\]

where \(A\) and \(B\) are scalars and \(u_a\) is non-zero 1-form such that \(u_a u^a = -1\).

In perfect fluid spacetime, the energy momentum tensor \(T_{ab}\) of type \((0, 2)\) is of the form ([24]):

\[
T_{ab} = p_{1ab} + (\sigma + p) u_a u_b,
\]

where \(\sigma\) and \(p\) are the energy density and the isotropic pressure respectively and \(u_a\) is a unit timelike vector.

The fluid is called perfect because of the absence of heat conduction terms and stress terms corresponding to viscosity [14].

**Definition 2.3.** A vector field \(V\) is called torse-forming if \(V_i, j = \omega_j V_i + \phi_{1ij}\), being \(\phi\) is a scalar function and \(\omega_j\) is a covariant vector.

Torse-forming vector fields in Riemannian spaces were studied by Yano([31], [32]). Sinyukov[29], Mikeš and Rachunek[22] studied torse-forming vector fields in pseudo-Riemannian spaces. It can be easily prove that for a unit torse-forming vector \(u_i\), we get

\[
u_i, j = \phi (g_{ij} + u_i u_j),
\]

\(\phi\) is a scalar. If \(\omega_j\) is locally the gradient of a scalar, then torse-forming vectors are called concircular by Yano. Also Fialkow[13] defined concircular vector field in a different way as follows:

**Definition 2.4.** A vector field \(V\) is called concircular vector field if \(V_i, j = f_{1ij}\), \(f\) is a scalar function.

In 2014, Chen[10] characterized GRW spacetime in the presence of a timelike vector field given by Theorem A. On the other hand, Mantica and Molinari[23] proved that in a twisted spacetime the vector field \(u_a\) is Weyl compatible:

\[
(u_a C_{cde} + u_b C_{cde} + u_c C_{abde}) u^c = 0,
\]

where \(C\) denotes the conformal curvature tensor and \(u_a\) is unique.

### 3. Proof of the main Theorem

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:**

Let us assume that the pseudo Ricci symmetric spacetime is a twisted spacetime. Hence we have from Theorem C that

\[
u_{a,b} = \phi (g_{ab} + u_a u_b),
\]

where \(\phi\) is a scalar and \(u_a u^a = -1\).

Taking covariant differentiation of (9) with respect to \(x^c\) and using (9) we have

\[
u_{a,cb} = \phi_c (g_{ab} + u_a u_b) + \phi^2 (g_{ac} u_b + g_{bc} u_a + 2 u_a u_b u_c).
\]

From the foregoing equation we reveal that

\[
u_{a,cb} - \nu_{b,ca} = \phi_c (g_{ab} + u_a u_b) - \phi_b (g_{ac} u_c + g_{bc} u_a) + \phi^2 (g_{ac} u_b - g_{ab} u_c),
\]

where \(\phi\) is a scalar function such that \(\phi x = 0\).
Now defining $X$ is locally a gradient. Hence $\phi$ is a gradient. Therefore by Chen’s Theorem

\[ \phi \text{ is a gradient.} \]

Multiplying the above equation with $g^{ab}$ entails that

\[ u^c R_{cc} = \phi^2 (1-n) u_c + (n-2) \phi_c - \phi_a u^a u_c. \]

It is known[6] that $u^c R_{cc} = 0$ in a $(PRS)_n$.

Using the above result from (11) we infer that

\[ (\phi u_a)_a = (\phi u_a)_a = \phi_a = \sigma_a, \]

Using $\phi = f u_c$, where $f = \frac{1}{n-2}[-\phi^2 (1-n) + \phi u^c u_c]$ and $f$ is a scalar.

Then $\phi u_a = \phi u_a = \phi u_a = \phi u_a = \sigma_a$.

Using $\phi = f u_c$ and remembering that $u_c$ is irrotational, we finally obtain ($\phi u_a)_a = (\phi u_a)_a = 0$, that is, $\phi u_a$ is locally a gradient. Hence $\phi u_a = \sigma_a$ is a scalar.

Now defining $X_a = u_a e^{-\sigma_a}$, we have $X_a X^a = u_a u^c e^{-\sigma_a} = -1 < 0$ and $X_a X^a = u_a u^c e^{-\sigma_a} + u_a e^{-\sigma_a}(-\sigma_a) = \phi(g_{ab} + u_a u_b)(-u_a e^{-\sigma_a}(-\sigma_a) = (\phi e^{-\sigma_a}) g_{ab}$, using (9) and $\phi u_a = \sigma_a$.

Therefore by Chen’s Theorem A the spacetime is GRW spacetime. This completes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2:**

In an $n$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $\mathcal{M}_n$ the (1, 3) conformal curvature tensor is defined by

\[ C^a_{bcd} = R^a_{bcd} - \frac{1}{n-2} \left[ \delta^a_c R_{bc} - \delta^a_c R_{bd} + R^a_d g_{bc} - R^a_c g_{bd} \right] + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left[ \delta^a_c g_{bc} - \delta^a_c g_{bd} \right], \tag{12} \]

where $R^a_c$ is the (1, 1) Ricci tensor and $R$ denotes the scalar curvature.

Transvecting (12) by $u_a$ and using (10) it follows

\[ u_a C^a_{bcd} = \phi_d (g_{bc} + u_b u_c) - \phi_c (g_{bd} + u_b u_c) + \phi^2 (u_c g_{bd} - u_d g_{bc}) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{(n-2)} [u_a R_{bc} - u_c R_{bd}] + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} [u_a g_{bc} - u_c g_{bd}], \tag{13} \]

since $u^a R_{ab} = 0$ in a $(PRS)_n$.

Again transvecting with $u^d$ and using $u^a R_{ab} = 0$ we infer

\[ R_{bc} = \left[ \frac{R}{(n-1)} - (n-2)(\psi + \phi^2) \right] g_{bc} + \left[ \frac{R}{(n-1)} - (n-2)(\psi + \phi^2) \right] u_a u_c \]

\[ - C_{abcd} u^a u^d, \tag{14} \]

where $\psi = \phi_a u^a$.

The above equation implies that a pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime is a perfect fluid spacetime of the form

\[ R_{bc} = \alpha g_{bc} + \beta u_b u_c, \text{ if and only if } C_{abcd} u^a u^d = 0, \]

$\alpha = [\frac{R}{(n-1)} - (n-2)(\psi + \phi^2)]$ and $\beta = [\frac{R}{(n-1)} - (n-2)(\psi + \phi^2)]$. This finishes the proof.

From (8) we have

\[ (u_a C_{bcde} + u_b C_{caed} + u_c C_{abde}) u^e = 0. \]
Now transvecting with $u^b$ and using $C_{abcd}u^a u^d = 0$ we obtain $C_{cda} = 0$. But it is known[23]

$$C_{bca} = 0 \iff u_a C_{bca} = 0.$$

Therefore $C_{abcd}u^a u^d = 0$ implies $C_{bca} = 0$, that is, the conformal curvature tensor is divergence free. Thus we can state the following Corollary:

**Corollary 3.1.** A (PRS)$_n$ twisted spacetime is perfect fluid if the conformal curvature tensor is divergence free.

**Remark.** In a 4-dimensional pseudo Ricci symmetric twisted spacetime, $C_{abde}u^e = 0$ which implies either $C_{abde} = 0$ or the vector field $u^e$ is a null vector. Since $u^e$ is time-like, therefore the spacetime is conformally flat. Thus such a spacetime is of Petrov classification $O[25]$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3:**

A pseudo Ricci symmetric spacetime with $\text{div} C = 0$ is perfect fluid. For a twisted spacetime we have

$$u_{i,j} = \phi (g_{ij} + u_i u_j). \tag{15}$$

It is known[6] in a pseudo Ricci symmetric spacetime if the scalar curvature $R \neq 0$, then $u_a$ is irrotational. The Roy Choudhury equation[26] for the fluid in Lorentzian manifold $L_n$ can be written as

$$\tau_{a,b} = \omega_{ab} + \phi (g_{ab} + u_a u_b), \tag{16}$$

where $\omega_{ab}$ and $\tau_{ab}$ are respectively vorticity tensor and shear tensor.

From (15) and (16) we get

$$\omega_{ab} + \tau_{ab} = 0. \tag{17}$$

Since $u_a$ is irrotational, hence the vorticity of the fluid vanishes. Therefore $\omega_{ab} = 0$ and hence from (17) we have $\tau_{ab} = 0$. Hence the Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4:**

Consider a perfect fluid spacetime with energy momentum tensor

$$T_{ab} = (p + \mu) g_{ab} + \mu u_a u_b, \tag{18}$$

where $u_a$ is the velocity vector field, $p$ is the isotropic pressure and $\mu$ is the energy density. Einstein’s field equations are given by

$$R_{ab} - \frac{R}{2} g_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab}. \tag{19}$$

Multiplying (18) and (19) by $g^{ab}$ we have

$$T = -(p + \mu) + n \mu = (n - 1)p - \mu, \tag{20}$$

since $g^{ab} u_a u_b = -1$ and

$$\frac{2-n}{2} R = \kappa T, \tag{21}$$

where $T = g^{ab} T_{ab}$.

Equations (20) and (21) together implies that

$$\frac{R}{2} = \frac{\kappa (n - 1)}{2 - n} p - \frac{\kappa \mu}{2 - n}. \tag{22}$$
From (18) and (19) we obtain
\[ R_{ab} - \frac{R}{2} g_{ab} = \kappa (p + \mu) u_a u_b + \kappa p g_{ab}. \] (23)

Now using (22) in (23) reveals that
\[ R_{ab} = \kappa (p + \mu) u_a u_b + \kappa \frac{p - \mu}{2 - n} g_{ab}. \]

Comparison with the form (14) satisfying the condition \( C_{abcd} u^a u^d = 0 \), we get
\[ \kappa (p + \mu) = \frac{R}{n - 1} - (n - 2)(f + \phi^2) \]
and
\[ \kappa \frac{p - \mu}{2 - n} = \frac{R}{n - 1} - (n - 2)(f + \phi^2) \]

Hence \( \kappa (p + \mu) = \kappa \frac{p - \mu}{2 - n} \), which implies \( \mu + p = 0 \).

Now \( \mu + p = 0 \) causes the fluid motions as a cosmological constant[30]. This is also termed as phantom barrier[7]. In cosmology, we know such a choice \( \mu = -p \) leads to rapid expansion of the spacetime which is now termed as inflation[2]. This completes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5:**

We now consider conformally flat \((PRS)_n\) spacetime. Chaki[6] obtained an expression of the curvature tensor in a conformally flat \((PRS)_n\), which is given by
\[ R_{abcd} = \frac{R}{(n - 1)(n - 2)} \left[ g_{bc} g_{ad} - g_{ac} g_{bd} \right] - u_a u_c g_{bd} + u_b u_d g_{ac} + u_a u_d g_{bc}. \] (24)

Multiplying (24) by \( g^{bc} \) gives
\[ R_{ad} = \left( \frac{R}{n - 2} - 1 \right) g_{ad} + (n - 2) u_a u_d. \] (25)

Hence a conformally flat \((PRS)_n\) is a perfect fluid. Since a conformally flat manifold implies the conformal curvature tensor is divergence free, hence by the Theorem D of Mantica et. al[19] the proof of the theorem follows.
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