



Symmetries in Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long Categories

Dongdong Yan^a, Shuanhong Wang^a

^a*School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, Jiangsu, China.*

Abstract. Let H be a Hopf algebra and $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodules over H . We first give sufficient and necessary conditions for $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ to be symmetry and pseudosymmetry, respectively. We then introduce the definition of the u -condition in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ and discuss the relation between the u -condition and the symmetry of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$. Finally, we show that $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ over a triangular (cotriangular, resp.) Hopf algebra contains a rich symmetric subcategory.

1. Introduction

The notion of symmetric category is a classical concept in category theory. Cohen and Westreich [1] tested symmetries and the u -condition in the Yetter-Drinfel'd category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ over Hopf algebra H . Pareigis [7] found the necessary and sufficient condition for ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ to be symmetric. Later, Panaite et al. [8] proposed the definition of pseudosymmetric braided categories which can be viewed as a kind of weakened symmetric braided categories, and showed that the category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}^H$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative. The generalization of those classical structures and results have been introduced and discussed by many authors [5, 12, 13].

It is known that the Radford biproduct has a categorical interpretation (due to Majid): (H, A) is an admissible pair (see [11]) if and only if A is a bialgebra in the Yetter-Drinfel'd category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. Panaite and Van Oystaeyen [9] described a similar interpretation for L-R-admissible pairs and defined a prebraided category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ (which is braided if H has a bijective antipode) which contains ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and \mathcal{YD}_H^H as braided subcategories. They then showed that (H, B) is an L-R-admissible pair with an extra condition

$$b_{(0)} \triangleleft b'_{[-1]} \otimes b_{(1)} \triangleright b'_{[0]} = b \otimes b', \quad \text{for any } b, b' \in B$$

is equivalent to B is a bialgebra in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$, where the L-R-admissible pair is the sufficient condition for L-R smash biproduct $B \bowtie H$ to be a bialgebra. The Radford biproduct is a particular case. Lu and Zhang in [4] discussed the equivalence on Hom-Hopf algebra.

The aim of the present paper is to discuss the symmetries, the pseudosymmetries and the u -condition in Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long categories.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16T05, 18W05.

Keywords. Symmetric category, Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long category, The u -condition, Pseudosymmetry, (co)quasitriangular Hopf algebra

Received: 30 November 2020; Revised: 30 January 2021; Accepted: 02 February 2021

Communicated by Dijana Mosić

Corresponding author: Shuanhong Wang

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871144) and the NNSF of Jiangsu Province (No. BK20171348)

Email address: shuanhwang@seu.edu.cn (Shuanhong Wang)

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall some basic definitions and results related to Yetter-Drinfel’d-Long bimodules. Then we give some examples of Yetter-Drinfel’d-Long bimodules. In section 3, we show that the Yetter-Drinfel’d-Long category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is symmetric if and only if H is trivial in four different methods, and that $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative. In section 4, we introduce the definition of the u -condition in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ and give a necessary and sufficient condition for H_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) to satisfy the u -condition, where H_i is defined in Example 2.4. Then we study the relation between the u -condition and the symmetry of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$. In section 5, we prove that the subcategory ${}_{Y_H}\mathcal{M}_H$ of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ over triangular Hopf algebra H is symmetric. If we consider $M = H \otimes H$, we prove the converse. That is, assume that the braiding $\psi_{H \otimes H, H \otimes H}$ is symmetric forces H to be triangular. In section 6, we give the dual cases of section 5. The total integral introduced by Chen and Wang in T -coalgebras setting.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all algebraic systems are over a field \mathbb{k} . For a coalgebra C , the comultiplication will be denoted by Δ . We follow the Sweedler’s notation $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$, for any $c \in C$, in which we often omit the summation symbols for convenience. For any vector spaces M and N , we use $\tau : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$ for the flip map.

Let A be an algebra, a *right A -module* is a pair (M, \triangleleft) , in which M is a vector space and $\triangleleft : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ is a linear map, called the action of A on M , with notation $\triangleleft(m \otimes a) = m \triangleleft a$, such that, for any $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$:

$$\begin{cases} m \triangleleft ab = (m \triangleleft a) \triangleleft b, \\ m \triangleleft 1 = m. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can define the left A -module. A *right A -linear* is a linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $f(m) \triangleleft a = f(m \triangleleft a)$, for any $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Let C be a coalgebra, a *right C -comodule* is a pair (M, ρ) , in which M is a vector space and $\rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ is a linear map, called the coaction of C on M , with notation $\rho(m) = m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$, such that, for any $m \in M$:

$$\begin{cases} m_{(0)(0)} \otimes m_{(0)(1)} \otimes m_{(1)} = m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)1} \otimes m_{(1)2}, \\ m_{(0)} \varepsilon(m_{(1)}) = m. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we can define the left C -comodule. A *right C -colinear* is a linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ such that $\rho_N \circ f = (f \otimes id) \circ \rho_M$.

Let A be an algebra, and assume that M are both left A -module via $\triangleright : A \otimes M \rightarrow M, a \otimes m \mapsto a \triangleright m$ and right A -module via $\triangleleft : M \otimes A \rightarrow M, m \otimes b \mapsto m \triangleleft b$, then M is called an *A -bimodule* if

$$(a \triangleright m) \triangleleft b = a \triangleright (m \triangleleft b), \tag{2.1}$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Let C be a coalgebra, and assume that M are both left C -comodule via $\rho^l : M \rightarrow C \otimes M, m \mapsto m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}$ and right C -comodule via $\rho^r : M \rightarrow M \otimes C, m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$, then M is called a *C -bicomodule* if

$$m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{0} \otimes m_{[0](1)} = m_{(0)[-1]} \otimes m_{(0)[0]} \otimes m_{(1)}, \tag{2.2}$$

for any $m \in M$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra, we can denote those categories by ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ and ${}^H\mathcal{M}^H$. Take ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ whose objects are all H -bimodules, the morphisms in the category are morphisms of H -bilinear.

Definition 2.1. ([9]) Let H be a Hopf algebra. A Yetter-Drinfel’d-Long bimodule over H is a vector space M endowed with H -bimodule and H -bicomodule structures (denoted by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \triangleright m, m \otimes h \mapsto m \triangleleft h, m \mapsto m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}, m \mapsto$

$m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$, for any $h \in H$ and $m \in M$), such that M is a left-left Yetter-Drinfel'd module, a left-right Long module, a right-right Yetter-Drinfel'd module and a right-left Long module, i.e.

$$(h_1 \triangleright m)_{[-1]} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \triangleright m)_{[0]} = h_1 m_{[-1]} \otimes h_2 \triangleright m_{[0]}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$(h \triangleright m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \triangleright m)_{(1)} = h \triangleright m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$(m \triangleleft h_2)_{(0)} \otimes h_1 (m \triangleleft h_2)_{(1)} = m_{(0)} \triangleleft h_1 \otimes m_{(1)} h_2, \tag{2.5}$$

$$(m \triangleleft h)_{[-1]} \otimes (m \triangleleft h)_{[0]} = m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \triangleleft h. \tag{2.6}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ the category whose objects are all Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodules M over H , the morphisms in the category are morphisms of H -bilinear and H -bilinear.

If H has a bijective antipode S , $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ becomes a strict braided monoidal category with the following structures: for any $M, N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, and $h \in H$, $m \in M$ and $n \in N$,

$$h \triangleright (m \otimes n) = h_1 \triangleright m \otimes h_2 \triangleright n, \tag{2.7}$$

$$(m \otimes n) \triangleleft h = m \triangleleft h_1 \otimes n \triangleleft h_2, \tag{2.8}$$

$$(m \otimes n)_{[-1]} \otimes (m \otimes n)_{[0]} = m_{[-1]} n_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$(m \otimes n)_{(0)} \otimes (m \otimes n)_{(1)} = m_{(0)} \otimes n_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)} n_{(1)}, \tag{2.10}$$

the braiding

$$\psi_{M,N} : M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M : m \otimes n \mapsto m_{[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)} \otimes m_{[0]} \triangleleft n_{(1)}$$

and the inverse

$$\psi_{N,M}^{-1} : N \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes N : n \otimes m \mapsto m_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}(n_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(m_{[-1]}) \triangleright n_{(0)}.$$

Definition 2.2. ([6]) A quasitriangular (QT) Hopf algebra is a pair (H, R) , where H is a Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} and $R = R^1 \otimes R^2 \in H \otimes H$ is invertible, such that the following conditions hold ($r = R$):

$$(QT1) \Delta(R^1) \otimes R^2 = R^1 \otimes r^1 \otimes R^2 r^2;$$

$$(QT2) R^1 \otimes \Delta(R^2) = R^1 r^1 \otimes r^2 \otimes R^2;$$

$$(QT3) \Delta^{cop}(h)R = R\Delta(h);$$

$$(QT4) \varepsilon(R^1)R^2 = 1 = R^1 \varepsilon(R^2);$$

$$(QT5) \text{ If } R^{-1} = R^2 \otimes R^1, \text{ then } (H, R) \text{ is called a triangular Hopf algebra.}$$

Definition 2.3. ([6]) A coquasitriangular (CQT) Hopf algebra is a pair (H, ζ) , where H is a Hopf algebra over \mathbb{k} and $\zeta : H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ is a \mathbb{k} -bilinear form (braiding) which is convolution invertible in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(H \otimes H, \mathbb{k})$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$(CQT1) \zeta(h, gl) = \zeta(h_1, g)\zeta(h_2, l);$$

$$(CQT2) \zeta(hg, l) = \zeta(h, l_2)\zeta(g, l_1);$$

$$(CQT3) \zeta(h_1, g_1)g_2 h_2 = h_1 g_1 \zeta(h_2, g_2);$$

$$(CQT4) \zeta(h, 1) = \varepsilon(h) = \zeta(1, h);$$

$$(CQT5) \text{ If } \zeta(h_1, g_1)\zeta(g_2, h_2) = \varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h), \text{ then } (H, \zeta) \text{ is called a cotriangular Hopf algebra.}$$

The following are some examples of objects in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$.

Example 2.4. Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then

(1) $H_1 = H \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodule with the following structures, for any $h, k, l \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright (k \otimes l) &= hk \otimes l, & \rho^l(k \otimes l) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} = k_1 S(k_3) \otimes (k_2 \otimes l), \\ (k \otimes l) \triangleleft h &= k \otimes S(h_1)lh_2, & \rho^r(k \otimes l) &= (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)} = (k \otimes l_1) \otimes l_2. \end{aligned}$$

(2) $H_2 = H \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodule with the following structures, for any $h, k, l \in H$:

$$h \triangleright (k \otimes l) = h_1 k S(h_2) \otimes l, \quad \rho^l(k \otimes l) = (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} = k_1 \otimes (k_2 \otimes l),$$

$$(k \otimes l) \triangleleft h = k \otimes lh, \quad \rho^r(k \otimes l) = (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)} = (k \otimes l_2) \otimes S(l_1)l_3.$$

(3) $H_3 = H \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodule with the following structures, for any $h, k, l \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright (k \otimes l) &= hk \otimes l, & \rho^l(k \otimes l) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} = k_1 S(k_3) \otimes (k_2 \otimes l), \\ (k \otimes l) \triangleleft h &= k \otimes lh, & \rho^r(k \otimes l) &= (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)} = (k \otimes l_2) \otimes S(l_1)l_3. \end{aligned}$$

(4) $H_4 = H \otimes H$ is a Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodule with the following structures, for any $h, k, l \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright (k \otimes l) &= h_1 k S(h_2) \otimes l, & \rho^l(k \otimes l) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} = k_1 \otimes (k_2 \otimes l), \\ (k \otimes l) \triangleleft h &= k \otimes S(h_1)lh_2, & \rho^r(k \otimes l) &= (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)} = (k \otimes l_1) \otimes l_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $H \otimes H$ is also a Hopf algebra with usual tensor product and usual tensor coproduct.

3. Symmetric Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long categories

In this section, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ to be symmetric and pseudosymmetric, respectively.

Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal category and ψ a braiding on \mathcal{C} . The braiding ψ is called a symmetry if $\psi_{W,V} \circ \psi_{V,W} = id_{V \otimes W}$ for any $V, W \in \mathcal{C}$. In this case, \mathcal{C} is called a symmetric braided category (see [2]). The braiding ψ is called a pseudosymmetry if the following condition holds, for any $U, V, W \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$(id_W \otimes \psi_{U,V})(\psi_{W,U}^{-1} \otimes id_V)(id_U \otimes \psi_{V,W}) = (\psi_{V,W} \otimes id_U)(id_V \otimes \psi_{W,U}^{-1})(\psi_{U,V} \otimes id_W).$$

In this case, \mathcal{C} is called a pseudosymmetric braided category (see [8]).

Note that if ψ is a symmetry, that is, $\psi_{W,V}^{-1} = \psi_{V,W}$, then obviously ψ is a pseudosymmetry.

Theorem 3.1. Let H be a Hopf algebra such that the canonical braiding of the Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is a symmetry if and only if $H = \mathbb{k}$.

Proof. By Example 2.4, H_1 and H_2 are two Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodules. If the canonical braiding ψ is a symmetry, that is, $\psi_{H_2,H_1} \circ \psi_{H_1,H_2} = id_{H_1 \otimes H_2}$. Apply $\psi_{H_2,H_1} \circ \psi_{H_1,H_2}$ to the element $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \in H_1 \otimes H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{H_2,H_1} \circ \psi_{H_1,H_2}(1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1) &= \psi_{H_2,H_1}((1 \otimes k)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (1 \otimes k)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \\ &= \psi_{H_2,H_1}(1 \triangleright (1 \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes k) \triangleleft 1) \\ &= \psi_{H_2,H_1}(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes k) \\ &= (1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes k)_{(0)} \otimes (1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes k)_{(1)} \\ &= 1 \triangleright (1 \otimes k_1) \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \triangleleft k_2 \\ &= 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Apply $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id$ to both sides of the equation, we have $\varepsilon(k)1_H = k$. So $H = \mathbb{k}$.

The converse is straightforward, This completes the proof. \square

Here, we will give three other proofs of Theorem 3.1, and they are different from each other.

- By Example 2.4, H_1 and H_3 are two Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodules. If canonical braiding is a symmetry, that is, $\psi_{H_3,H_1} \circ \psi_{H_1,H_3} = id_{H_1 \otimes H_3}$. For any $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \in H_1 \otimes H_3$, we easily get that $\psi_{H_3,H_1} \circ \psi_{H_1,H_3}(1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Thus we have $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Apply $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id$ to both sides of the equation, we have $\varepsilon(k)1_H = k$. So $H = \mathbb{k}$.

- By Example 2.4, H_2 and H_4 are two Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodules. If canonical braiding is a symmetry, that is, $\psi_{H_2,H_4} \circ \psi_{H_4,H_2} = id_{H_4 \otimes H_2}$. For any $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \in H_4 \otimes H_2$, we easily get that $\psi_{H_2,H_4} \circ \psi_{H_4,H_2}(1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Thus we have $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Apply $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id$ to both sides of the equation, we have $\varepsilon(k)1_H = k$. So $H = \mathbb{k}$.
- By Example 2.4, H_3 and H_4 are two Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long bimodules. If canonical braiding is a symmetry, that is, $\psi_{H_3,H_4} \circ \psi_{H_4,H_3} = id_{H_4 \otimes H_3}$. For any $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \in H_4 \otimes H_3$, we easily get that $\psi_{H_3,H_4} \circ \psi_{H_4,H_3}(1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Thus we have $1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2$. Apply $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id$ to both sides of the equation, we have $\varepsilon(k)1_H = k$. So $H = \mathbb{k}$.

If $H_1 = \mathbb{k} \otimes H$ and $H_2 = \mathbb{k} \otimes H$, then H_1 and H_2 are two right-right Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. Hence using Theorem 3.1, we can improve the main result in [7].

Corollary 3.2. *Let H be a Hopf algebra such that the canonical braiding of right-right Yetter-Drinfel'd category \mathcal{YD}_H^H is a symmetry. Then $H = \mathbb{k}$.*

In the following, we will introduce the pseudosymmetry on $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ over a Hopf algebra H . For this purpose, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let H be a cocommutative Hopf algebra. Then the canonical braiding ψ_{H_1,H_2} of the category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is the usual flip map.*

Proof. For any $g \otimes h \otimes k \otimes l \in H_1 \otimes H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{H_1,H_2}(g \otimes h \otimes k \otimes l) &= (g \otimes h)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (g \otimes h)_{[0]} \triangleleft (k \otimes l)_{(1)} \\ &= g_1 S(g_3) \triangleright (k \otimes l_2) \otimes (g_2 \otimes h) \triangleleft l_1 S(l_3) \\ &= g_1 S(g_2) \triangleright (k \otimes l_3) \otimes (g_3 \otimes h) \triangleleft l_1 S(l_2) \quad \text{by cocommutative} \\ &= 1 \triangleright (k \otimes l) \otimes (g \otimes h) \triangleleft 1 \\ &= k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We now give necessary and sufficient conditions for the canonical braiding of the category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ to be a pseudosymmetry, we prove the necessary condition by a new method which is different from Proposition 2.5 in [10].

Theorem 3.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then the canonical braiding of the category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative.*

Proof. Assume that the canonical braiding ψ of the category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric. We first check that H is cocommutative. For any $1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \in H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_1,H_1}^{-1} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_2,H_1})(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_1,H_1}^{-1} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes (k \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \\ &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_1,H_1}^{-1} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_1 \triangleright (1 \otimes 1) \otimes (k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_1,H_1}^{-1} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2 \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2})((k_1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((k_1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes k_2 \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2})((k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \otimes S^{-1}(k_1 S(k_3)) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1) \otimes k_4 \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1,H_2})(k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes k_3 S^{-1}(k_1) \otimes 1 \otimes k_4 \otimes 1) \\ &= k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes (k_3 S^{-1}(k_1) \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k_4 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (k_3 S^{-1}(k_1) \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (k_4 \otimes 1)_{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes (k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_1 S((k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_3) \triangleright (k_4 \otimes 1) \otimes ((k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \\
 &= k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes [(k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_1 S((k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_3)]_1 k_4 S([(k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_1 S((k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_3)]_2) \otimes 1 \\
 &\quad \otimes (k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_2 \otimes 1
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\psi_{H_2, H_1} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_1}^{-1}) \circ (\psi_{H_1, H_2} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_1} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_1}^{-1}) \\
 &\quad ((1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (k \otimes 1)_{(1)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_1} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_1}^{-1})(1 \triangleright (k \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_1} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_1}^{-1})(k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_1} \otimes id)(k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (k \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes 1)_{(1)} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \\
 &= k_1 \triangleright (1 \otimes 1) \otimes (k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \\
 &= k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 &= k_2 \otimes 1 \otimes [(k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_1 S((k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_3)]_1 k_4 \\
 &\quad \times S([(k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_1 S((k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_3)]_2) \otimes 1 \otimes (k_3 S^{-1}(k_1))_2 \otimes 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply $id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon$ to both sides of the above equation, we get $k_2 \otimes k_3 S^{-1}(k_1) = k \otimes 1$. Therefore, we have

$$k_2 \otimes k_1 = k_2 \otimes 1 k_1 = k_3 \otimes k_4 S^{-1}(k_2) k_1 = k_1 \otimes k_2.$$

So H is cocommutative.

Next, we verify that H is commutative. For any $1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes g \otimes 1 \in H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_2, H_1}^{-1} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_2, H_2})(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes g \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_2, H_1}^{-1} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes (k \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (g \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (g \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \\
 &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_2, H_1}^{-1} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_1 \triangleright (g \otimes 1) \otimes (k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1) \\
 &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2}) \circ (\psi_{H_2, H_1}^{-1} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k_1 g S(k_2) \otimes 1 \otimes k_3 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2})((k_1 g S(k_2) \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{(1)})) \\
 &\quad \otimes S^{-1}((k_1 g S(k_2) \otimes 1)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes k_3 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2})((k_2 g_2 S(k_3) \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \otimes S^{-1}(k_1 g_1 S(k_4)) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1) \otimes k_5 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id \otimes \psi_{H_1, H_2})(k_2 g_2 S(k_3) \otimes 1 \otimes S^{-1}(k_1 g_1 S(k_4)) \otimes 1 \otimes k_5 \otimes 1) \\
 &= k_2 g_2 S(k_3) \otimes 1 \otimes k_5 \otimes 1 \otimes S^{-1}(k_1 g_1 S(k_4)) \otimes 1 \quad \text{by Lemma 3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\psi_{H_2, H_2} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_2, H_1}^{-1}) \circ (\psi_{H_1, H_2} \otimes id)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes 1 \otimes g \otimes 1) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_2} \otimes id) \circ (id \otimes \psi_{H_2, H_1}^{-1})(k \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes g \otimes 1) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.3} \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_2} \otimes id)(k \otimes 1 \otimes (g \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((g \otimes 1)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)}) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_2} \otimes id)(k \otimes 1 \otimes (g_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)) \\
 &= (\psi_{H_2, H_2} \otimes id)(k \otimes 1 \otimes g_2 \otimes 1 \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \otimes 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (k \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (g_2 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (g_2 \otimes 1)_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \otimes 1 \\ &= k_1 \triangleright (g_2 \otimes 1) \otimes (k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \otimes 1 \\ &= k_1 g_2 S(k_2) \otimes 1 \otimes k_3 \otimes 1 \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is pseudosymmetric, we get

$$k_2 g_2 S(k_3) \otimes 1 \otimes k_5 \otimes 1 \otimes S^{-1}(k_1 g_1 S(k_4)) \otimes 1 = k_1 g_2 S(k_2) \otimes 1 \otimes k_3 \otimes 1 \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \otimes 1.$$

Apply $(\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(id \otimes id \otimes id \otimes id \otimes S \otimes id)$ to both sides of the above equation, we get $k_3 \otimes k_1 g S(k_2) = k \otimes g$. Hence, we have

$$gk = k_1 g S(k_2) k_3 = k_1 g \varepsilon(k_2) = kg.$$

So H is commutative.

The proof of the converse can refer to Proposition 2.5 in [10]. This completes the proof. \square

If we consider $H_1 = H \otimes \mathbb{k}$ and $H_2 = H \otimes \mathbb{k}$, then H_1 and H_2 are two left-left Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. By the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have the following result:

Corollary 3.5. *The canonical braiding of ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is cocommutative and commutative.*

4. The u -condition in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$

In this section, we introduce the definition of the u -condition in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ over Hopf algebra H and discuss some properties and results related to the u -condition. It is easy to obtain the u -condition in ${}^H_H \mathcal{YD}$ when the right action and coaction are trivial.

Definition 4.1. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and $M \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$. Then M is said to satisfy the u -condition if*

$$m_{[-1]} \triangleright m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)} = m, \tag{4.1}$$

for any $m \in M$.

Note that Eq.(4.1) is equivalent to the following equation:

$$m_{(0)[-1]} \triangleright m_{(0)[0]} \triangleleft m_{(1)} = m, \tag{4.2}$$

for any $m \in M$.

In the following, we will give a necessary and sufficient condition for H_1, H_2, H_3 and H_4 in Example 2.4 to satisfy the u -condition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let H be a Hopf algebra. Then*

- (1) H_1 satisfies the u -condition if and only if $S^2 = id$.
- (2) H_2 satisfies the u -condition if and only if $S^2 = id$.
- (3) H_3 satisfies the u -condition if and only if $S^2 = id$.
- (4) H_4 satisfies the u -condition if and only if $S^2 = id$.

Proof. It is basic in [3] that $S^2 = id$ if and only if $S(h_2)h_1 = \varepsilon(h)$ or $h_2S(h_1) = \varepsilon(h)$.

For (1), if $S^2 = id$, we only need to check that Eq.(4.1) holds. For any $k, l \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{0} \triangleleft (k \otimes l)_{[0](1)} &= k_1 S(k_3) \triangleright (k_2 \otimes l)_{(0)} \triangleleft (k_2 \otimes l)_{(1)} \\ &= k_1 S(k_3) \triangleright (k_2 \otimes l_1) \triangleleft l_2 \\ &= k_1 S(k_3) k_2 \otimes S(l_2) l_1 l_3 \\ &= k_1 \varepsilon(k_2) \otimes \varepsilon(l_1) l_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= k \otimes l.$$

Conversely, assume that H_1 satisfies the u -condition. For any $k \otimes 1 \in H_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes 1)_{0} \triangleleft (k \otimes 1)_{[0](1)} &= k_1 S(k_3) \triangleright (k_2 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \triangleleft (k_2 \otimes 1)_{(1)} \\ &= k_1 S(k_3) \triangleright (k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \\ &= k_1 S(k_3) k_2 \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, we have $k_1 S(k_3) k_2 \otimes 1 = k \otimes 1$. Apply $id \otimes \varepsilon$ to both sides, we get

$$k_1 S(k_3) k_2 = k. \tag{4.3}$$

By computing we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(k_2) k_1 &= \varepsilon(k_1) S(k_3) k_2 \\ &= (S(k_1) k_2) S(k_4) k_3 \\ &= S(k_1) (k_2 S(k_4) k_3) \\ &= S(k_1) k_2 \quad \text{by (4.3) applied to } k_2 \\ &= \varepsilon(k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S^2 = id$.

For (2), if $S^2 = id$, for any $k, l \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{0} \triangleleft (k \otimes l)_{[0](1)} &= k_1 \triangleright (k_2 \otimes l)_{(0)} \triangleleft (k_2 \otimes l)_{(1)} \\ &= k_1 \triangleright (k_2 \otimes l_2) \triangleleft S(l_1) l_3 \\ &= k_1 k_3 S(k_2) \otimes l_2 S(l_1) l_3 \\ &= k_1 \varepsilon(k_2) \otimes \varepsilon(l_1) l_2 \\ &= k \otimes l. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that H_2 satisfies the u -condition. For any $k \otimes 1 \in H_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes 1)_{0} \triangleleft (k \otimes 1)_{[0](1)} &= k_1 \triangleright (k_2 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \triangleleft (k_2 \otimes 1)_{(1)} \\ &= k_1 \triangleright (k_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1 \\ &= k_1 k_3 S(k_2) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, we have $k_1 k_3 S(k_2) \otimes 1 = k \otimes 1$. Apply $id \otimes \varepsilon$ to both sides, we get

$$k_1 k_3 S(k_2) = k. \tag{4.4}$$

By computing we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 S(k_1) &= \varepsilon(k_1) k_3 S(k_2) \\ &= (S(k_1) k_2) k_4 S(k_3) \\ &= S(k_1) (k_2 k_4 S(k_3)) \\ &= S(k_1) k_2 \quad \text{by (4.4) applied to } k_2 \\ &= \varepsilon(k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S^2 = id$.

Similarly, we can check that the statements (3) and (4) hold. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let H be a Hopf algebra and $S^2 = id$, and assume that M and N satisfy the u -condition. Then $M \otimes N$ satisfies the u -condition if and only if $\psi_{M,N}$ is a symmetry.*

Proof. For any $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (m \otimes n)_{[-1]} \triangleright (m \otimes n)_{0} \triangleleft (m \otimes n)_{[0](1)} \\
 &= (m_{[-1]}n_{[-1]}) \triangleright (m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]})_{(0)} \triangleleft (m_{[0]} \otimes n_{[0]})_{(1)} \\
 &= (m_{[-1]}n_{[-1]}) \triangleright (m_{0} \otimes n_{0}) \triangleleft (m_{[0](1)}n_{[0](1)}) \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [n_{[-1]} \triangleright (m_{0} \otimes n_{0}) \triangleleft m_{[0](1)}] \triangleleft n_{[0](1)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [n_{[-1]1} \triangleright (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)1}) \otimes (n_{[-1]2} \triangleright n_{0}) \triangleleft m_{[0](1)2}] \triangleleft n_{[0](1)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [n_{(0)[-1]1} \triangleright (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)1}) \otimes (n_{(0)[-1]2} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]}) \triangleleft m_{[0](1)2}] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \quad \text{by (2.2)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [n_{(0)[-1]1} (n_{(0)[-1]4} S(n_{(0)[-1]3})) \triangleright (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)3}) \\
 &\quad \otimes (n_{(0)[-1]2} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]}) \triangleleft (S(m_{[0](1)2})m_{[0](1)1})m_{[0](1)4}] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \quad \text{by } S^2 = id \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [(n_{(0)[-1]11}n_{(0)[-1]2})S(n_{(0)[-1]3}) \triangleright (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)22}) \\
 &\quad \otimes (n_{(0)[-1]12} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]}) \triangleleft S(m_{[0](1)21})(m_{[0](1)1}m_{[0](1)23})] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [(n_{(0)[-1]1}n_{(0)[0](-1)})S(n_{(0)[-1]3}) \triangleright (m_{0(0)} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)2}) \\
 &\quad \otimes (n_{(0)[-1]2} \triangleright n_{(0)[0][0]}) \triangleleft S(m_{[0](1)1})(m_{0(1)}m_{[0](1)3})] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [(n_{(0)[-1]1} \triangleright n_{(0)[0](-1)}n_{(0)[-1]2}S(n_{(0)[-1]3}) \triangleright (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)3})_{(0)} \\
 &\quad \otimes (n_{(0)[-1]1} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]})_{[0]} \triangleleft S(m_{[0](1)1})m_{[0](1)2}(m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)3})_{(1)}] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \quad \text{by (2.3), (2.5)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [(n_{(0)[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)[0](-1)} \triangleright (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)})_{(0)} \\
 &\quad \otimes (n_{(0)[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]})_{[0]} \triangleleft (m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)})_{(1)}] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \\
 &= m_{[-1]} \triangleright [\psi_{N,M}(n_{(0)[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]} \otimes m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)})] \triangleleft n_{(1)} \\
 &= \psi_{N,M}(m_{[-1]} \triangleright [n_{(0)[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]} \otimes m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)}] \triangleleft n_{(1)}) \\
 &= \psi_{N,M}(m_{[-1]1}n_{(0)[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)[0]} \triangleleft n_{(1)1} \otimes m_{[-1]2} \triangleright m_{0} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)}n_{(1)2}) \\
 &= \psi_{N,M}(m_{[-1]}n_{(0)(0)[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)(0)[0]} \triangleleft n_{(0)(1)} \otimes m_{[0][-1]} \triangleright m_{[0]0} \triangleleft m_{[0][0](1)}n_{(1)}) \\
 &= \psi_{N,M}(m_{[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)} \otimes m_{[0]} \triangleleft n_{(1)}) \quad \text{by (4.1), (4.2)} \\
 &= \psi_{N,M} \circ \psi_{M,N}(m \otimes n).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

If we consider $M = H_i$ and $N = H_j$, for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ (see Example 2.4). By Proposition 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain:

Corollary 4.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra, and assume that H_i and H_j satisfy the u -condition. Then $H_i \otimes H_j$ satisfies the u -condition if and only if ψ_{H_i, H_j} is a symmetry, for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

5. Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long categories over quasitriangular Hopf algebras

In this section, we focus on $M \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ for which $\psi_{M,M}$ is a symmetry. Triangular Hopf algebras give rise to such M .

Theorem 5.1. *Let (H, R) be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra. Then the category ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ of H -bimodules is a Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long subcategory of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ under the coactions $\rho^l(m) = R^2 \otimes R^1 \triangleright m$ and $\rho^r(m) = m \triangleleft R^1 \otimes R^2$, where \triangleright (\triangleleft , resp.) is the left (right, resp.) action on M .*

Proof. First, we check that M is a right H -comodule. By the definition of right H -comodule, for any $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (id \otimes \Delta)\rho^r(m) &= (id \otimes \Delta)(m \triangleleft R^1 \otimes R^2) \\
 &= m \triangleleft R^1 \otimes R^2_1 \otimes R^2_2 \\
 &= m \triangleleft R^1 r^1 \otimes r^2 \otimes R^2 \quad \text{by (QT2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\rho^r \otimes id)(m \triangleleft R^1 \otimes R^2) \\ &= (\rho^r \otimes id)\rho^r(m), \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that $m_{(0)}\varepsilon(m_{(1)}) = m \triangleleft R^1\varepsilon(R^2) = m \triangleleft 1 = m$. Similarly, we can get that M is a left H -comodule. Next, we verify the compatible condition of H -bicomodule. For any $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (id \otimes \rho^r)\rho^l(m) &= (id \otimes \rho^r)(R^2 \otimes R^1 \triangleright m) \\ &= R^2 \otimes (R^1 \triangleright m) \triangleleft r^1 \otimes r^2 \\ &= R^2 \otimes R^1 \triangleright (m \triangleleft r^1) \otimes r^2 \quad \text{by (2.1)} \\ &= (\rho^l \otimes id)(m \triangleleft r^1 \otimes r^2) \\ &= (\rho^l \otimes id)\rho^r(m). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that M satisfies the four compatible conditions (2.3) ~ (2.6). Indeed, for any $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h \triangleright m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \triangleright m)_{(1)} &= (h \triangleright m) \triangleleft R^1 \otimes R^2 \\ &= h \triangleright (m \triangleleft R^1) \otimes R^2 \\ &= h \triangleright m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Eq.(2.4) holds. For Eq.(2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(0)} \triangleleft h_1 \otimes m_{(1)}h_2 &= (m \triangleleft R^1) \triangleleft h_1 \otimes R^2h_2 \\ &= m \triangleleft R^1h_1 \otimes R^2h_2 \\ &= m \triangleleft h_2R^1 \otimes h_1R^2 \quad \text{by (QT3)} \\ &= (m \triangleleft h_2) \triangleleft R^1 \otimes h_1R^2 \\ &= (m \triangleleft h_2)_{(0)} \otimes h_1(m \triangleleft h_2)_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that Eq.(2.3) and (2.6) hold.

Finally, we need to show that any morphisms in ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ are both left H -colinear and right H -colinear. For this purpose, we take any $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{M}_H$, and assume that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$, we get

$$(f \otimes id) \circ \rho_M^r(m) = f(m \triangleleft R^1) \otimes R^2 = f(m) \triangleleft R^1 \otimes R^2 = \rho_N^r \circ f(m).$$

So f is right H -colinear. Similarly, we can obtain that f described above is left H -colinear.

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.2. *Let H be a triangular Hopf algebra. Then the Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long subcategory ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ defined above is symmetric.*

Proof. For any $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N,M} \circ \psi_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= \psi_{N,M}(R^2 \triangleright n \triangleleft r^1 \otimes R^1 \triangleright m \triangleleft r^2) \\ &= Q^2 \triangleright (R^1 \triangleright m \triangleleft r^2) \triangleleft q^1 \otimes Q^1 \triangleright (R^2 \triangleright n \triangleleft r^1) \triangleleft q^2 \\ &= Q^2R^1 \triangleright m \triangleleft r^2q^1 \otimes Q^1R^2 \triangleright n \triangleleft r^1q^2 \quad \text{by (QT5)} \\ &= 1 \triangleright m \triangleleft 1 \otimes 1 \triangleright n \triangleleft 1 \\ &= m \otimes n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the subcategory ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ is symmetric. \square

By Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2, we know that If (H, R) be a triangular Hopf algebra then the subcategory ${}_H\mathcal{M}_H$ described above is symmetric. A particular example is $M = H \otimes H$. In the following we prove the converse. That is, assume that the braiding $\psi_{H \otimes H, H \otimes H}$ is a symmetry forces (H, R) to be triangular, where $H \otimes H$ is a Hopf algebra with usual tensor product and tensor coproduct.

Theorem 5.3. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, and assume that $(H \otimes H, \triangleright = m \otimes id, \rho^l = \rho_1 \otimes id, \triangleleft = id \otimes m, \rho^r = id \otimes \rho_2) \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, where m is usual multiplication and ρ_1 (ρ_2 , resp.) is a left (right, resp.) coaction on H . Then $\psi_{H \otimes H, H \otimes H}$ is a symmetry if and only if there exists $R \in H \otimes H$ so that (H, R) is triangular. And then ρ^l and ρ^r are induced by R . That is,*

$$\rho^l(k \otimes l) = R^2 \otimes R^1 k \otimes l, \quad \rho^r(k \otimes l) = k \otimes l R^1 \otimes R^2,$$

for any $k, l \in H$, in particular, $R^\tau \otimes 1 = \rho^l(1 \otimes 1)$ and $1 \otimes R = \rho^r(1 \otimes 1)$.

Proof. If $\psi = \psi_{H \otimes H, H \otimes H}$ is a symmetry, for any $k, l, g, h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (g \otimes h)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft (g \otimes h)_{(1)} \\ &= (g \otimes h)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((g \otimes h)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, let $\rho^l(1 \otimes 1) = x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1$ and $\rho^r(1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes s_i \otimes t_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_i \otimes s_i \otimes y_i \otimes t_i &= x_i \triangleright (1 \otimes s_i) \otimes (y_i \otimes 1) \triangleleft t_i \\ &= (1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes 1)_{(1)} \\ &= (1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \quad \text{by (5.1)} \\ &= (y_i \otimes 1) \triangleleft S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \triangleright (1 \otimes s_i) \\ &= y_i \otimes S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \otimes s_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$x_i \otimes s_i \otimes y_i \otimes t_i = y_i \otimes S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \otimes s_i.$$

Apply $id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id$ to both sides, respectively, we have

$$x_i \otimes y_i = y_i \otimes S^{-1}(x_i), \tag{5.2}$$

$$s_i \otimes t_i = S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes s_i. \tag{5.3}$$

Apply $id \otimes S$ to Eq.(5.2) yields

$$x_i \otimes S(y_i) = y_i \otimes x_i. \tag{5.4}$$

Set $R \otimes 1 = y_i \otimes x_i \otimes 1 = (\tau \otimes id) \circ \rho^l(1 \otimes 1)$ and $1 \otimes R = 1 \otimes s_i \otimes t_i = \rho^r(1 \otimes 1)$. In the following, we wish to show that (H, R) is triangular and that ρ^l and ρ^r are induced by R . For this purpose, we first need the following equations $\rho^l(k \otimes l) = (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)\psi(k \otimes l \otimes 1 \otimes 1)$ and $\rho^r(k \otimes l) = (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)\psi(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes l)$. Indeed, for any $k, l \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)\psi(k \otimes l \otimes 1 \otimes 1) &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)((k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)((k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes s_i) \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft t_i) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)((k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes s_i \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft t_i) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)((k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft s_i) \quad \text{by (5.3)} \\ &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft 1 \\ &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \\ &= \rho^l(k \otimes l) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)\psi(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes l) &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)((1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)(x_i \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (y_i \otimes 1) \triangleleft (k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)(x_i \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes y_i \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)(y_i \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \quad \text{by (5.2)} \\
 &= 1 \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)} \\
 &= (k \otimes l)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{(1)} \\
 &= \rho^r(k \otimes l).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that ρ^l and ρ^r are induced by R . For any $k, l \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho^l(k \otimes l) &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)\psi(k \otimes l \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)((1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)}) \quad \text{by (5.1)} \\
 &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)((y_i \otimes 1) \triangleleft S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)}) \\
 &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id^2)(y_i \otimes S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)}) \\
 &= y_i \otimes S^{-1}(x_i) \triangleright (k \otimes l) \\
 &= y_i \otimes S^{-1}(x_i)k \otimes l \\
 &= x_i \otimes y_i k \otimes l \quad \text{by (5.2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho^r(k \otimes l) &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)\psi(1 \otimes 1 \otimes k \otimes l) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)((k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)}) \quad \text{by (5.1)} \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)((k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (1 \otimes s_i)) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)((k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{[-1]}) \otimes s_i) \\
 &= (k \otimes l) \triangleleft S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes s_i \\
 &= k \otimes l S^{-1}(t_i) \otimes s_i \\
 &= k \otimes l s_i \otimes t_i. \quad \text{by (5.3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\rho^l(k \otimes l) = x_i \otimes y_i k \otimes l, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\rho^r(k \otimes l) = k \otimes l s_i \otimes t_i. \tag{5.6}$$

Finally, we verify that (H, R) is triangular. By definition, we need to prove the five equations (QT1) ~ (QT5). For (QT1), we only have to check that $\Delta(y_i) \otimes x_i = y_i \otimes y_j \otimes x_i x_j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(y_i) \otimes x_i &= (id^3 \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(y_i) \otimes x_i \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id^3 \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(x_i) \otimes S(y_i) \otimes 1) \quad \text{by (5.4)} \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes S \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta \otimes id^2)(x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes S \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta \otimes id^2)\rho^l(1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes S \otimes \varepsilon)(id \otimes \rho^l)\rho^l(1 \otimes 1) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes S \otimes \varepsilon)(x_i \otimes \rho^l(y_i \otimes 1)) \\
 &= (id^2 \otimes S \otimes \varepsilon)(x_i \otimes x_j \otimes y_j y_i \otimes 1) \quad \text{by (5.5)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (id^2 \otimes S \otimes \varepsilon)(y_i \otimes y_j \otimes S^{-1}(x_j)S^{-1}(x_i) \otimes 1) \quad \text{by (5.2)} \\ &= y_i \otimes y_j \otimes x_i x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can check that (QT2) holds. For (QT3), we only need to show that $h_2 y_i \otimes h_1 x_i = y_i h_1 \otimes x_i h_2$. Since both ψ and ε are H -module maps, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 x_i \otimes h_2 y_i &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(h_1 x_i \otimes 1 \otimes h_2 y_i \otimes 1) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(h_1 \triangleright (x_i \otimes 1) \otimes h_2 \triangleright (y_i \otimes 1)) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[h \triangleright (x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i \otimes 1)] \\ &= h \triangleright [(id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(x_i \otimes 1 \otimes y_i \otimes 1)] \\ &= h \triangleright [(id \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \rho^l(1 \otimes 1)] \\ &= h \triangleright [(id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)\psi(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1)] \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[h \triangleright \psi(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1)] \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[\psi(h \triangleright (1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1))] \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[\psi(h_1 \otimes 1 \otimes h_2 \otimes 1)] \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[(h_1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (h_2 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (h_1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (h_2 \otimes 1)_{(1)}] \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[x_i \triangleright (h_2 \otimes s_i) \otimes (y_i h_1 \otimes 1) \triangleleft t_i] \quad \text{by (5.5), (5.6)} \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)[x_i h_2 \otimes s_i \otimes y_i h_1 \otimes t_i] \\ &= x_i h_2 \otimes y_i h_1. \end{aligned}$$

For (QT4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(R^1)R^2 &= (\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(R^1 \otimes R^2 \otimes 1) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(y_i \otimes x_i \otimes 1) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(S^{-1}(x_i) \otimes y_i \otimes 1) \quad \text{by (5.2)} \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)(x_i \otimes y_i \otimes 1) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon)\rho^l(1 \otimes 1) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can check that $\varepsilon(R^2)R^1 = 1$. For (QT5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 &= \psi^2(1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) \\ &= \psi((1 \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \triangleright (1 \otimes 1)_{(0)} \otimes (1 \otimes 1)_{[0]} \triangleleft (1 \otimes 1)_{(1)}) \\ &= \psi(x_i \triangleright (1 \otimes s_i) \otimes (y_i \otimes 1) \triangleleft t_i) \\ &= \psi(x_i \otimes s_i \otimes y_i \otimes t_i) \\ &= (x_i \otimes s_i)_{[-1]} \triangleright (y_i \otimes t_i)_{(0)} \otimes (x_i \otimes s_i)_{[0]} \triangleleft (y_i \otimes t_i)_{(1)} \\ &= x_j \triangleright (y_i \otimes t_i s_j) \otimes (y_j x_i \otimes s_i) \triangleleft t_j \\ &= x_j y_i \otimes t_i s_j \otimes y_j x_i \otimes s_i t_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, R is invertible and $R^{-1} = x_i \otimes y_i = t_i \otimes s_i$.

The converse is Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2. This completes the proof. \square

As a corollary we have:

Corollary 5.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode. Then, for $H_3 \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, the braiding ψ_{H_3, H_3} is a symmetry if and only if H is cocommutative.*

Proof. If the braiding satisfies $\psi_{H_3, H_3}^2 = id$, then by Theorem 5.3 (H, R) is triangular with $\rho^l(1 \otimes 1) = R^\tau \otimes 1$. Since $\rho^l(k \otimes l) = k_1 S(k_3) \otimes k_2 \otimes l$ for any $k, l \in H$, we have $\rho^l(1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$, so $R = 1 \otimes 1$. Thus (QT3) implies that H is cocommutative.

Conversely, assume that H is cocommutative, for any $k, l, g, h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{H_3, H_3}(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (g \otimes h)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft (g \otimes h)_{(1)} \\ &= k_1 S(k_3) \triangleright (g \otimes h_2) \otimes (k_2 \otimes l) \triangleleft h_1 S(h_3) \\ &= k_1 S(k_2) \triangleright (g \otimes h_3) \otimes (k_3 \otimes l) \triangleleft h_1 S(h_2) \quad \text{by } H \text{ is cocommutative} \\ &= 1 \triangleright (g \otimes h) \otimes (k \otimes l) \triangleleft 1 \\ &= g \otimes h \otimes k \otimes l. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the braiding ψ_{H_3, H_3} is a symmetry. \square

If we consider $H \otimes \mathbb{k}$, by Theorem 5.3, we generalize the important result in [1].

Corollary 5.5. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, and assume that $(H, m, \rho) \in {}^H_H \mathcal{YD}$, where m is usual multiplication. Then $\psi_{H, H}$ is a symmetry if and only if there exists $R \in H \otimes H$ so that (H, R) is triangular. And then ρ is induced by R . That is,*

$$\rho(k) = R^2 \otimes R^1 k,$$

for any $k \in H$, in particular, $R^\tau = \rho(1)$.

6. Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long categories over coquasitriangular Hopf algebras

In this section, we discuss the dual cases of section 5.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (H, ζ) be a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra. Then the category ${}^H \mathcal{M}^H$ of H -bicomodules is a Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long subcategory of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ under the actions $h \triangleright m = \zeta(h, m_{[-1]})m_{[0]}$ and $m \triangleleft h = m_{(0)}\zeta(h, m_{(1)})$, for any $h \in H$ and $m \in M \in {}^H \mathcal{M}^H$.*

Proof. First, we prove that (M, \triangleleft) is a right H -module. For any $h, g \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (m \triangleleft g) \triangleleft h &= m_{(0)} \triangleleft h \zeta(g, m_{(1)}) \\ &= m_{(0)(0)} \zeta(h, m_{(0)(1)}) \zeta(g, m_{(1)}) \\ &= m_{(0)} \zeta(h, m_{(1)1}) \zeta(g, m_{(1)2}) \\ &= m_{(0)} \zeta(gh, m_{(1)}) \quad \text{by (CQT2)} \\ &= m \triangleleft gh, \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that $m \triangleleft 1 = m_{(0)} \zeta(1, m_{(1)}) = m_{(0)} \varepsilon(m_{(1)}) = m$. Similarly, we can obtain that (M, \triangleright) is a left H -module.

Next, we check the compatible condition of H -bimodule. For any $h, g \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h \triangleright m) \triangleleft g &= \zeta(h, m_{[-1]})m_{[0]} \triangleleft g \\ &= \zeta(h, m_{[-1]})m_{0} \zeta(g, m_{[0](1)}) \\ &= \zeta(h, m_{(0)[-1]})m_{(0)[0]} \zeta(g, m_{(1)}) \quad \text{by (2.2)} \\ &= h \triangleright m_{(0)} \zeta(g, m_{(1)}) \\ &= h \triangleright (m \triangleleft g). \end{aligned}$$

We now check that the four compatible conditions (2.3) ~ (2.6). For any $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h \triangleright m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \triangleright m)_{(1)} &= \zeta(h, m_{[-1]})m_{0} \otimes (h \triangleright m)_{[0](1)} \\ &= \zeta(h, m_{(0)[-1]})m_{(0)[0]} \otimes m_{(1)} \quad \text{by (2.2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= h \triangleright m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}.$$

Thus Eq.(2.4) holds. For Eq.(2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(0)} \triangleleft h_1 \otimes m_{(1)} h_2 &= m_{(0)(0)} \zeta(h_1, m_{(0)(1)}) \otimes m_{(1)} h_2 \\ &= m_{(0)} \otimes \zeta(h_1, m_{(1)1}) m_{(1)2} h_2 \\ &= m_{(0)} \otimes h_1 m_{(1)1} \zeta(h_2, m_{(1)2}) \quad \text{by (CQT3)} \\ &= m_{(0)(0)} \zeta(h_2, m_{(1)}) \otimes h_1 m_{(0)(1)} \\ &= (m \triangleleft h_2)_{(0)} \otimes h_1 (m \triangleleft h_2)_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can verify that Eq.(2.3) and (2.6) hold.

Finally, we have to prove that any morphisms in ${}^H\mathcal{M}^H$ are both left H -linear and right H -linear. For this purpose, we take any $M, N \in {}^H\mathcal{M}^H$, and assume that $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{M}^H$, we have

$$f(m \triangleleft h) = f(m_{(0)}) \zeta(h, m_{(1)}) = f(m)_{(0)} \zeta(h, f(m)_{(1)}) = f(m) \triangleleft h.$$

So f is right H -linear. Similarly, we can obtain that f is left H -linear.

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let H be a cotriangular Hopf algebra. Then the Yetter-Drinfel'd-Long subcategory ${}^H\mathcal{M}^H$ defined above is symmetric.*

Proof. For any $m \in M$ and $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{N,M} \circ \psi_{M,N}(m \otimes n) &= \psi_{N,M}(m_{[-1]} \triangleright n_{(0)} \otimes m_{[0]} \triangleleft n_{(1)}) \\ &= \psi_{N,M}(\zeta(m_{[-1]}, n_{(0)[-1]}) n_{(0)[0]} \otimes m_{0} \zeta(n_{(1)}, m_{[0](1)})) \\ &= \zeta(m_{[-1]}, n_{(0)[-1]}) \zeta(n_{(1)}, m_{[0](1)}) n_{(0)[0][-1]} \triangleright m_{0(0)} \otimes n_{(0)[0][0]} \triangleleft m_{0(1)} \\ &= \zeta(m_{[-1]}, n_{(0)[-1]1}) \zeta(n_{(1)}, m_{[0](1)2}) n_{(0)[-1]2} \triangleright m_{0} \otimes n_{(0)[0]} \triangleleft m_{[0](1)1} \\ &= \zeta(m_{(0)[-1]}, n_{[-1]1}) \zeta(n_{[0](1)}, m_{(1)2}) n_{[-1]2} \triangleright m_{(0)[0]} \otimes n_{0} \triangleleft m_{(1)1} \quad \text{by (2.2)} \\ &= \zeta(m_{(0)[-1]}, n_{[-1]1}) \zeta(n_{[0](1)}, m_{(1)2}) \\ &\quad \zeta(n_{[-1]2}, m_{(0)[0][-1]}) m_{(0)[0][0]} \otimes n_{0(0)} \zeta(m_{(1)1}, n_{0(1)}) \\ &= \zeta(m_{(0)[-1]1}, n_{[-1]1}) \zeta(n_{[-1]2}, m_{(0)[-1]2}) \\ &\quad \zeta(m_{(1)1}, n_{[0](1)1}) \zeta(n_{[0](1)2}, m_{(1)2}) m_{(0)[0]} \otimes n_{0} \quad \text{by (CQT5)} \\ &= m \otimes n. \end{aligned}$$

So the subcategory ${}^H\mathcal{M}^H$ is symmetric. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, and assume that $(H \otimes H, \triangleright = \rightarrow \otimes id, \rho^l = \Delta \otimes id, \triangleleft = id \otimes \leftarrow, \rho^r = id \otimes \Delta) \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, where Δ is usual comultiplication and \rightarrow (\leftarrow , resp.) is a left (right, resp.) action on H . Then $\psi_{H \otimes H, H \otimes H}$ is a symmetry if and only if there exists a braiding $\zeta : H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ so that (H, ζ) is cotriangular Hopf algebra. And then $\zeta(k, g) \zeta(h, l) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon) \psi(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h)$, for any $k, l, g, h \in H$. That is,*

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright (k \otimes l) &= h \rightarrow k \otimes l = \zeta(h, k_1) k_2 \otimes l, \\ (k \otimes l) \triangleleft h &= k \otimes l \leftarrow h = k \otimes l_1 \zeta(h, l_2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume that $\psi = \psi_{H \otimes H, H \otimes H}$ is a symmetry, then for any $k, l, g, h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (g \otimes h)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft (g \otimes h)_{(1)} \\ &= (g \otimes h)_{[0]} \triangleleft S^{-1}((k \otimes l)_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}((g \otimes h)_{[-1]}) \triangleright (k \otimes l)_{(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h) &= k_1 \rightarrow g \otimes h_1 \otimes k_2 \otimes l \leftarrow h_2 \\ &= g_2 \otimes h \leftarrow S^{-1}(l_2) \otimes S^{-1}(g_1) \rightarrow k \otimes l_1. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Define for any $k, l, g, h \in H$, $\zeta(k, g)\zeta(h, l) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\psi(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h)$. Let $l = h = 1$, and apply $\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$ to Eq.(6.1), we get

$$\zeta(k, g) = \varepsilon(k \rightarrow g) = \varepsilon(S^{-1}(g) \rightarrow k) = \zeta(S^{-1}(g), k). \tag{6.2}$$

By applying $\zeta(k, g) = \zeta(S^{-1}(g), k)$ to $\zeta(g, S(k))$, we get

$$\zeta(k, g) = \zeta(g, S(k)). \tag{6.3}$$

Similarly, we can get that

$$\zeta(h, l) = \varepsilon(l \leftarrow h) = \varepsilon(h \leftarrow S^{-1}(l)) = \zeta(S^{-1}(l), h) = \zeta(l, S(h)). \tag{6.4}$$

Moreover, let $l = h = 1$, and apply $id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$ to Eq.(6.1), we get by (6.2), that for any $k, g \in H$,

$$k \rightarrow g = \zeta(S^{-1}(g_1), k)g_2 = \zeta(k, g_1)g_2. \tag{6.5}$$

Similarly, we can get by (6.4), that for any $l, h \in H$,

$$l \leftarrow h = \zeta(S^{-1}(l_2), h)l_1 = \zeta(h, l_2)l_1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} h \triangleright (k \otimes l) &= h \rightarrow k \otimes l = \zeta(h, k_1)k_2 \otimes l, \\ (k \otimes l) \triangleleft h &= k \otimes l \leftarrow h = k \otimes l_1 \zeta(h, l_2). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of cotriangular, we need to prove the five equations (CQT1) ~ (CQT5). First, we prove (CQT2). For any $h, g, l \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(hg, l) &= \varepsilon(hg \rightarrow l) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(h_1 \rightarrow (g \rightarrow l) \otimes 1 \otimes h_2 \otimes 1) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(h_1 \triangleright (g \rightarrow l \otimes 1) \otimes (h_2 \otimes 1) \triangleleft 1) \\ &= (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\psi(h \otimes 1 \otimes g \rightarrow l \otimes 1) \\ &= \zeta(h, g \rightarrow l)\zeta(1, 1) \\ &= \zeta(h, \zeta(g, l_1)l_2) \quad \text{by (6.5)} \\ &= \zeta(h, l_2)\zeta(g, l_1). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove (CQT1). For any $h, g, l \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(h, gl) &= \zeta(gl, S(h)) \quad \text{by (6.3)} \\ &= \zeta(g, S(h_2))\zeta(l, S(h_1)) \quad \text{by (CQT2)} \\ &= \zeta(g, S(h_1))\zeta(l, S(h_2)) \\ &= \zeta(h_1, g)\zeta(h_2, l). \quad \text{by (6.3)} \end{aligned}$$

We prove now (CQT3).

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 g_1 \zeta(h_2, g_2) &= h_1 g_1 \varepsilon(h_2 \rightarrow g_2) \quad \text{by (6.2)} \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(h_1 g_1 \otimes h_2 \rightarrow g_2 \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(h_1(g \otimes 1)_{[-1]} \otimes h_2 \triangleright (g \otimes 1)_{[0]}) \\
&= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)((h_1 \triangleright (g \otimes 1))_{[-1]} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \triangleright (g \otimes 1))_{[0]}) \quad \text{by (2.3)} \\
&= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)((h_1 \rightarrow g \otimes 1)_{[-1]} h_2 \otimes (h_1 \rightarrow g \otimes 1)_{[0]}) \\
&= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)((h_1 \rightarrow g)_1 h_2 \otimes (h_1 \rightarrow g)_2 \otimes 1) \\
&= (h_1 \rightarrow g) h_2 \\
&= \zeta(h_1, g_1) g_2 h_2. \quad \text{by (6.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that (CQT4) and (CQT5) hold.

The converse is Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.2. This completes the proof. \square

As a corollary we have:

Corollary 6.4. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode. Then, for $H_4 \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$, the braiding ψ_{H_4, H_4} is a symmetry if and only if H is commutative.*

Proof. If the braiding satisfies $\psi_{H_4, H_4}^2 = id$, then by (6.2) $\zeta(k, g) = \varepsilon(k \rightarrow g) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(k \triangleright (g \otimes 1)) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)(k_1 g S(k_2) \otimes 1) = \varepsilon(g) \varepsilon(k)$ for any $k, g \in H$. Thus by Theorem 6.3 $(H, \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)$ is a cotriangular Hopf algebra, which by (CQT3) implies that H is commutative.

Conversely, assume that H is commutative, for any $k, l, g, h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{H_4, H_4}(k \otimes l \otimes g \otimes h) &= (k \otimes l)_{[-1]} \triangleright (g \otimes h)_{(0)} \otimes (k \otimes l)_{[0]} \triangleleft (g \otimes h)_{(1)} \\
&= k_1 \triangleright (g \otimes h_1) \otimes (k_2 \otimes l) \triangleleft h_2 \\
&= k_1 g S(k_2) \otimes h_1 \otimes k_3 \otimes h_2 l S(h_3) \\
&= k_1 S(k_2) g \otimes h_1 \otimes k_3 \otimes l h_2 S(h_3) \quad \text{by } H \text{ is commutative} \\
&= g \otimes h \otimes k \otimes l.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the braiding ψ_{H_4, H_4} is a symmetry. \square

If we consider $H \otimes \mathbb{k}$, by Theorem 6.3, we generalize the another important result in [1].

Corollary 6.5. *Let H be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, and assume that $(H, \rightarrow, \Delta) \in {}^H_H \mathcal{YD}$, where Δ is usual comultiplication and \rightarrow is a left action on H . Then $\psi_{H, H}$ is a symmetry if and only if there exists a braiding $\zeta : H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ so that (H, ζ) is cotriangular Hopf algebra. And then $\zeta(k, g) = (\varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon)\psi(k \otimes g)$, for any $k, g \in H$. That is,*

$$k \rightarrow g = \zeta(k, g_1) g_2.$$

References

- [1] M. Cohen, S. Westreich, Determinants and symmetries in “Yetter-Drinfeld” categories, *Appl. Categ. Structures* 6(2)(1998) 267-289.
- [2] A. Joyal, R. Street, Braided tensor categories, *Adv. Math.* 102(1)(1993) 20-78.
- [3] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [4] D.W. Lu, X.H. Zhang, Hom-L-R-smash biproduct and the category of Hom-Yetter-Drinfel’d-Long bimodules, *J. Algebra Appl.* 17(7)(2018) 1850133.
- [5] T.S. Ma, L.L. Liu, L.Y. Chen, Symmetries of (m,n)-Yetter-Drinfeld categories, *J. Algebra Appl.* 17(7)(2018) 1850135.
- [6] S. Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Washington, DC, 1993.
- [7] B. Pareigis, Symmetric Yetter-Drinfeld categories are trivial, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 155(2001) 91.
- [8] F. Panaite, M.D. Staic, F. Van Oystaeyen, Pseudosymmetric braidings, twines and twisted algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 214(6)(2010) 867-884.
- [9] F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, L-R-smash biproducts, double biproducts and a braided category of Yetter-Drinfeld-Long bimodules, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 40(6)(2010) 2013-2024.
- [10] F. Panaite, M.D. Staic, More examples of pseudosymmetric braided categories, *J. Algebra Appl.* 12(4)(2013) 1250186.
- [11] D.E. Radford, The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection, *J. Algebra* 92(2)(1985) 322-347.
- [12] S.X. Wang, S.J. Guo, Symmetries and the u-condition in Hom-Yetter-Drinfeld categories, *J. Math. Phys.* 55(8)(2014) 081708.
- [13] X.F. Zhao, G.H. Liu, S.H. Wang, Symmetric pairs in Yetter-Drinfeld categories over weak Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 43(10)(2015) 4502-4514.