



On the Value Distribution of the Differential Polynomial

$$A f^n f^{(k)} + B f^{n+1} - 1$$

Pulak Sahoo^a, Anjan Sarkar^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, West Bengal-741235, India.

Abstract. In the paper, we study the value distribution of the differential polynomial $A f^n f^{(k)} + B f^{n+1} - 1$, where f is a transcendental meromorphic function and $n(\geq 2), k(\neq 2)$ are positive integers. We prove an inequality for the Nevanlinna characteristic function $T(r, f)$ in terms of reduced counting function only. The result of the paper not only improves the result due to Q.D. Zhang [J. Chengdu Ins. Meteor., 20(1992), 12-20], also partially improves a recent result of H. Karmakar and P. Sahoo [Results Math., (2018),73:98].

1. Introduction, Definitions and Results

In this paper by meromorphic function we shall always mean meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We shall use standard notations of the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions as explained in [2, 6, 12, 13]. We denote by $T(r, f)$ the Nevanlinna characteristic function of a nonconstant meromorphic function f and by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$ for all r possibly outside a set of finite logarithmic measure. A meromorphic function ξ is said to be a small function of f , if $T(r, \xi) = S(r, f)$.

In this research work the following definitions will be needed.

Definition 1.1. [13] Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function and p be a positive integer or infinity. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $N_{(p)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the counting function of those zeros of $f(z) - a$ whose multiplicities are not greater than p and by $\bar{N}_{(p)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the corresponding reduced counting function. We denote by $N_{(p+1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the counting function of those zeros of $f(z) - a$ whose multiplicities are greater than p and by $\bar{N}_{(p+1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the corresponding reduced counting function. We denote by $N_p(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ the counting function of those zeros of $f(z) - a$ whose multiplicities are exactly p .

Definition 1.2. [13] Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and α is a small function of f . Let n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k be nonnegative integers. We denote by $M(f) = \alpha f^{n_0} (f')^{n_1} \dots (f^{(k)})^{n_k}$ the differential monomial in f and by $n = \sum_{j=0}^k n_j$ the degree of $M(f)$. Also let $M_1(f), M_2(f), \dots, M_k(f)$ be differential monomials in f of degree m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k respectively. The summation $P(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k M_j(f)$ is said to be the differential polynomial in f and $m = \max\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$, the degree of $P(f)$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D35

Keywords. Value distribution, Meromorphic function, Differential polynomial.

Received: 24 August 2019; Accepted: 04 May 2021

Communicated by Miodrag Mateljević

Research supported by University Grants Commission (India) and DST-PURSE (India).

Email addresses: sahoopulak1@gmail.com (Pulak Sahoo), sarkaranjan1992@gmail.com (Anjan Sarkar)

A great number of research works have been done on value distribution of differential polynomials of meromorphic functions by many mathematicians across the world (See [4, 8–11, 14, 15]). In 1979, E. Mues [7] proved a qualitative result in this direction which is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane. Then $f^2 f' - 1$ has infinitely many zeros.*

In 1992, Q.D. Zhang [14] proved the following quantitative result related to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane and $f(z)$ is not of the form $Ce^{-\frac{B}{A}z}$, where $A(\neq 0)$, B , C are complex constants. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq 6N\left(r, \frac{1}{Af^2 f' + Bf^3 - 1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

In 2005, X. Huang and Y. Gu [3] proved the following result related to Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane and k be a positive integer. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq 6N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^2 f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

To find whether the above inequality holds if the counting function is replaced by corresponding reduced counting function, in 2009, J.F. Xu, H.X. Yi and Z.L. Zhang [10] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane and $L[f] = a_k f^{(k)} + a_{k-2} f^{(k-2)} + \dots + a_0 f$, where $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}, a_k (\neq 0)$ are small functions of f . For $c(\neq 0)$, let $F = f^2 L[f] - c$. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq M\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + S(r, f),$$

where $M > 0$ is a constant which does not depend on f .

Remark 1.1. *In the same paper, assuming $N_1(r, \frac{1}{f}) = S(r, f)$, the authors also proved the inequality*

$$T(r, f) \leq 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^2 f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

In 2011, the same authors [11] improved the above result by eliminating the restriction on simple zeros of f and proved the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq M\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^2 f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f),$$

where M is 6 if $k = 1$ or $k \geq 3$ and M is 10 if $k = 2$.

Recently, H. Karmakar and P. Sahoo [5] proved the following result which certainly improves Theorems 1.3 and 1.5.

Theorem 1.6. *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and $n(\geq 2)$, $k(\geq 1)$ be integers. Then*

$$T(r, f) \leq \frac{6}{2n-3}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n f^{(k)} - 1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Now it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 1.1. What happens if we replace $f^n f^{(k)} - 1$ by $Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} - 1$ in Theorem 1.6, where $A(\neq 0)$ and B are complex constants?

In this paper we investigate to find out a partial answer of the above question and obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.7. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, $n(\geq 2)$, $k(\neq 2)$ be positive integers and $f(z)$ is not of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i e^{m_i z}$, where $m_i^k + \frac{B}{A} = 0$, C_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are arbitrary constants and $A(\neq 0), B$ are complex constants. Then

$$T(r, f) \leq \frac{6}{2n-3} \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} - 1}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.7 improves and generalizes Theorem 1.6, except for $k = 2$.

Remark 1.3. Obviously, Theorem 1.7 improves Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1.4. The authors do not know about the validity of the conclusion of Theorem 1.7 when $k = 2$. So it remains open for further research.

2. Lemmas

Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane. Let us define $g = Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} - 1$ and $h = \frac{g'}{f^{n-1}}$ where $n(\geq 2)$, $k(\neq 2)$ are positive integers and $A(\neq 0), B$ are complex constants. Also, let

$$F = a_1 \left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)^2 + a_2 \left(\frac{g'}{g}\right)' + a_3 \frac{g'}{g} \cdot \frac{h'}{h} + a_4 \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 + a_5 \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)' + a_6 \frac{B}{A} \cdot \frac{g'}{g} + a_7 \frac{B}{A} \cdot \frac{h'}{h} + a_8 \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where for $k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 2(4n^2 + 8n + 7), & a_2 &= 2(n+2)(4n^2 - 1), \\ a_3 &= -2(n+2)(2n^2 + 3n + 4), & a_4 &= (n+2)^2(n+1), \\ a_5 &= -(n+2)^2(2n-1), & a_6 &= 2(n+2)(2n^2 + 9n + 1), \\ a_7 &= -(n+2)^2(n+1)(2n+1), & a_8 &= 2n(n+1)(n+2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and for $k > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \{(n-1)k^3 - 3(n^3 - 2n + 1)k^2 - 3(6n^3 - 3n + 1)k - (27n^3 - 4n + 1)\}, \\ a_2 &= (n+k+1)\{(n-1)k + (3n-1)\}\{(n-1)k^2 - (3n^2 - 5n + 2)k - (9n^2 - 4n + 1)\}, \\ a_3 &= -2n(n+k+1)\{(n-1)k^2 - (3n^2 - 5n + 2)k - (9n^2 - 4n + 1)\}, \\ a_4 &= n^2(n-1)(k+1)(n+k+1)^2, \\ a_5 &= -n(n-1)(k+1)(n+k+1)^2\{(n-1)k + (3n-1)\}, \quad a_6 = a_7 = a_8 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. [1] Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function and $f^n P(f) = Q(f)$, where $P(f)$ and $Q(f)$ are differential polynomials in $f(z)$ with functions of small proximity related to f as the coefficient and the degree of $Q(f)$ is at most n . Then $m(r, P(f)) = S(r, f)$.

Lemma 2.2. [5] For two integers $n(\geq 2)$, $k(\geq 2)$, if

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (n-1)\left[\{(k+1)n^4 + 2(k^2 + 5k + 10)n^3 + (k+1)^2(k+2)n^2 - (k+1)^2(2k+5)n + (k+1)^3\}x^2\right. \\ &+ (n+k+1)(k+1)\{(k+1)n^3 + (k^2 + 4k + 9)n^2 - (2k^2 + 7k + 5)n + (k+1)^2\}x \\ &\left.- n(n+k+1)^2(k+1)\{(n-1)k + (2n-1)\}\right], \end{aligned}$$

then $f(x) = 0$ has no solution in \mathbb{Z}_+ .

Lemma 2.3. Let f and g be defined as in the beginning of the section and $f(z)$ be not of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k C_i e^{m_i z}$, where $m_i^k + \frac{B}{A} = 0$ and C_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are arbitrary constants. Then g is not equivalently constant.

Proof. Suppose $Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} \equiv D$ (a constant). Obviously, $D \neq 0$, otherwise, we get $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^k C_i e^{m_i z}$, a contradiction. Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{f^{n+1}} = \frac{A}{D} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} + \frac{B}{D}.$$

Therefore

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n+1}}\right) = m\left(r, \frac{A}{D} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} + \frac{B}{D}\right).$$

i.e.,

$$(n + 1)m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{A}{D}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{B}{D}\right) + O(1) = S(r, f).$$

Also, since

$$\frac{1}{Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{D},$$

we have,

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1}}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{D}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Therefore,

$$T(r, f) = S(r, f),$$

a contradiction. Thus $Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1}$ is not equivalently constant and hence g is not equivalently constant. \square

Lemma 2.4. Let f and g be defined as in the beginning of the section. Then

$$(n + 1)T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{N(r, f) - \bar{N}(r, f)\right\} + \left\{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right\} + \left\{N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\right\} + (n - 2)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + m(r, f) + n m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right)$ denotes the counting function of those zeros of g' which are not zero of f or g .

Proof. Given $g = Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} - 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we have g is not equivalently constant. Therefore we can write

$$\frac{1}{f^{n+1}} = A \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} - \frac{g'}{f^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{g}{g'} + B. \tag{2.4}$$

Now

$$g' = Af^n f^{(k+1)} + nAf^{n-1} f' f^{(k)} + B(n + 1)f^n f'.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{g'}{f^{n+1}} = A \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} + nA \cdot \frac{f'}{f} \cdot \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} + B(n + 1) \cdot \frac{f'}{f}$$

and hence

$$m\left(r, \frac{g'}{f^{n+1}}\right) = S(r, f). \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{g'}{f^{n+1}}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq N\left(r, \frac{g'}{g}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, f) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) = N_{000}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + N_{00}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f), \tag{2.7}$$

where $N_{000}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right)$ denotes the counting function of those zeros of g' which come from the zeros of g and $N_{00}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right)$ denotes the counting function of those zeros of g' which come from the zeros of f . Therefore

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N_{000}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) = \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right). \tag{2.8}$$

Let z_0 be a zero of f with multiplicity p . If $p \leq k$, then z_0 is a zero of g' with multiplicity at least $(np - 1)$. If $p \geq k + 1$, then z_0 is zero of g' with multiplicity at least $(n + 1)p - k - 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} N_{00}\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) &\geq nN_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - \bar{N}_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + (n + 1)N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - (k + 1)\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\ &= nN\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.6) - (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n + 1)T(r, f) &= (n + 1)m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + (n + 1)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq (n + 1)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - nN\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
 &\quad - N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f) \\
 &= \bar{N}(r, f) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f), \tag{2.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.2). Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (n + 1)T(r, f) &= T(r, f) + n T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(1) \\
 &= N(r, f) + m(r, f) + (n - 2)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
 &\quad + N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + n m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{N(r, f) - \bar{N}(r, f)\} + \{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\} + \{N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\} + (n - 2)N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \\
 + m(r, f) + n m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) - N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{g'}\right) + S(r, f),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.3). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.5. Let f, g, h, F, a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) be defined as in the beginning of the section. Then the simple poles of f are zero of F .

Proof. Let z_0 be a simple pole of f . Then in some neighbourhood of z_0 , we write

$$f(z) = \frac{a}{z - z_0} \left[1 + b_0(z - z_0) + b_1(z - z_0)^2 + b_2(z - z_0)^3 + O((z - z_0)^4) \right],$$

where $a(\neq 0), b_0, b_1, b_2$ are constants. Therefore we get

$$f'(z) = \frac{a}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[-1 + b_1(z - z_0) + 2b_2(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right];$$

$$f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{(-1)^k a k!}{(z - z_0)^{k+1}} \left[1 + (-1)^k b_k(z - z_0) + O((z - z_0)^2) \right];$$

$$f^n(z) = \frac{a^n}{(z - z_0)^n} \left[1 + n b_0(z - z_0) + \frac{1}{2} \{n(n - 1)b_0^2 + 2n b_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]$$

and

$$f^{n+1}(z) = \frac{a^{n+1}}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} \left[1 + (n + 1)b_0(z - z_0) + \frac{1}{2} \{n(n + 1)b_0^2 + 2(n + 1)b_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right].$$

Now we discuss the following two cases separately.

Case 1. Let $k=1$. Then

$$g(z) = Af^n(z)f'(z) + Bf^{n+1}(z) - 1 = \frac{(-1)Aa^{n+1}}{(z - z_0)^{n+2}} \left[1 + \left(nb_0 - \frac{B}{A} \right) (z - z_0) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ n(n - 1)b_0^2 + 2(n - 1)b_1 - 2(n + 1)b_0 \frac{B}{A} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]$$

and

$$h(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{f^{n-1}(z)} = \frac{Aa^2}{(z - z_0)^4} \left[(n + 2) + \left\{ 2b_0 - (n + 1) \frac{B}{A} \right\} (z - z_0) \right. \\ \left. - \left\{ 2(n - 1)b_1 + (n + 1)b_0 \frac{B}{A} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right].$$

Therefore

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{-1}{z - z_0} \left[(n + 2) - \left(nb_0 - \frac{B}{A} \right) (z - z_0) + \left\{ nb_0^2 - 2(n - 1)b_1 + 2b_0 \frac{B}{A} + \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2 \right\} (z - z_0)^2 \right. \\ \left. + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.12}$$

$$\left(\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(n + 2)^2 - 2(n + 2) \left(nb_0 - \frac{B}{A} \right) (z - z_0) + \left\{ n(3n + 4)b_0^2 - 4(n - 1)(n + 2)b_1 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2(n + 4)b_0 \frac{B}{A} + (2n + 5) \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2 \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.13}$$

$$\left(\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \right)' = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(n + 2) - \left\{ nb_0^2 - 2(n - 1)b_1 + 2b_0 \frac{B}{A} + \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2 \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.14}$$

$$\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{-1}{z - z_0} \left[4 - \frac{2b_0 - (n + 1) \frac{B}{A}}{n + 2} (z - z_0) + \left\{ \frac{4b_0^2 + 4(n + 2)(n - 1)b_1 + 2n(n + 1)b_0 \frac{B}{A}}{(n + 2)^2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{(n + 1)^2 \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2}{(n + 2)^2} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.15}$$

$$\left(\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[16 - 8 \frac{2b_0 - (n + 1) \frac{B}{A}}{n + 2} (z - z_0) + \left\{ 4 \frac{9b_0^2 + 8(n + 2)(n - 1)b_1}{(n + 2)^2} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{4(n + 1)(4n - 1)b_0 \frac{B}{A} + 9(n + 1)^2 \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2}{(n + 2)^2} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.16}$$

$$\left(\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} \right)' = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[4 - \left\{ \frac{4b_0^2 + 2n(n + 1)b_0 \frac{B}{A} + (n + 1)^2 \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2}{(n + 2)^2} + \frac{4(n - 1)b_1}{n + 2} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right] \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \cdot \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[4(n + 2) - \left\{ 2(2n + 1)b_0 - (n + 5) \frac{B}{A} \right\} (z - z_0) \right. \\ \left. + \left\{ 2(2n + 1)b_0^2 - 4(n - 1)b_1 + (n + 7)b_0 \frac{B}{A} + (n + 5) \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2 \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]. \tag{2.18}$$

Now substituting these values from (2.12) - (2.18) in the expression (2.1) we get $F(z) = O((z - z_0))$, which shows that z_0 is a zero of F .

Case 2. Let $k > 2$. Then

$$g(z) = Af^n(z)f^{(k)}(z) + Bf^{n+1}(z) - 1 = \frac{(-1)^k k! Aa^{n+1}}{(z - z_0)^{n+k+1}} \left[1 + nb_0(z - z_0) + \frac{1}{2} \{n(n - 1)b_0^2 + 2nb_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]$$

and

$$h(z) = \frac{g'(z)}{f^{n-1}(z)} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} k! Aa^2}{(z - z_0)^{k+3}} \left[(n + k + 1) + (k + 1)b_0(z - z_0) - (n - k - 1)b_1(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right].$$

Therefore

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{-1}{z - z_0} \left[(n + k + 1) - nb_0(z - z_0) + \{nb_0^2 - 2nb_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.19}$$

$$\left(\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(n + k + 1)^2 - 2n(n + k + 1)b_0(z - z_0) + \{n(3n + 2k + 2)b_0^2 - 4n(n + k + 1)b_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.20}$$

$$\left(\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \right)' = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(n + k + 1) - \{nb_0^2 - 2nb_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.21}$$

$$\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{-1}{z - z_0} \left[(k + 3) - \frac{(k + 1)b_0}{n + k + 1}(z - z_0) + \left\{ \frac{(k + 1)^2 b_0^2}{(n + k + 1)^2} + 2 \frac{(n - k - 1)b_1}{n + k + 1} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.22}$$

$$\left(\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(k + 3)^2 - 2 \frac{(k + 1)(k + 3)b_0}{n + k + 1}(z - z_0) + \left\{ \frac{(k + 1)^2(2k + 7)b_0^2}{(n + k + 1)^2} + 4 \frac{(k + 3)(n - k - 1)b_1}{n + k + 1} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]; \tag{2.23}$$

$$\left(\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} \right)' = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(k + 3) - \left\{ \frac{(k + 1)^2 b_0^2}{(n + k + 1)^2} + 2 \frac{(n - k - 1)b_1}{n + k + 1} \right\} (z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right] \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \cdot \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2} \left[(n + k + 1)(k + 3) - (nk + k + 3n + 1)b_0(z - z_0) + \{nk + k + 3n + 1\}b_0^2 - 2(nk + k + 2n + 1)b_1\}(z - z_0)^2 + O((z - z_0)^3) \right]. \tag{2.25}$$

Now substituting these values from (2.19) - (2.25) in the expression (2.1) we get $F(z) = O((z - z_0))$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

Lemma 2.6. Let f, g, h, F, a_i 's ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) be defined as in the beginning of this section. Then $F(z) \neq 0$.

Proof. If possible, we assume that $F(z) \equiv 0$. Under this hypothesis we first show that

- i) g has no zero,
- ii) h has no zero.

Suppose that z_1 is a zero of g of multiplicity $l_1 (\geq 1)$. Then it is clear that $f(z_1) \neq 0, \infty$ and z_1 is a zero of h with multiplicity $(l_1 - 1)$. Then from the Laurent series expansion of $F(z)$ we get the coefficient of $(z - z_1)^{-2}$ as

$$A(l_1) = (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)l_1^2 - (a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5)l_1 + (a_4 + a_5).$$

For $k = 1$, putting the values of a_i 's ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) we get

$$A(l_1) = -\{(n + 1)(3n^2 - 2n - 2)l_1^2 + (n + 2)(4n^2 - 3n - 4)l_1 + (n + 2)^2(n - 2)\}.$$

Clearly $A(l_1) \neq 0$ for any positive integral value of l_1 .

For $k > 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(l_1) &= (n - 1)\left\{ (k + 1)n^4 + 2(k^2 + 5k + 10)n^3 + (k + 1)^2(k + 2)n^2 - (k + 1)^2(2k + 5)n + (k + 1)^3 \right\}l_1^2 \\ &+ (n + k + 1)(k + 1)\left\{ (k + 1)n^3 + (k^2 + 4k + 9)n^2 - (2k^2 + 7k + 5)n + (k + 1)^2 \right\}l_1 \\ &- n(n + k + 1)^2(k + 1)\left\{ (n - 1)k + (2n - 1) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get $A(l_1) \neq 0$ for any positive integral value of l_1 . Therefore z_1 is a pole of F , a contradiction to our hypothesis. Thus, z_1 is not a zero of g and hence g has no zero.

Let z_2 be a zero of h of multiplicity l_2 . Then z_2 is neither a zero nor a pole of g . Then from the Laurent series expansion of $F(z)$ we obtain the coefficient of $(z - z_2)^{-2}$ as

$$B(l_2) = a_4l_2^2 - a_5l_2.$$

Now for $k = 1$, $\frac{a_5}{a_4} = -\frac{2n-1}{n+1}$ and for $k > 2$, $\frac{a_5}{a_4} = -(k + 3) + \frac{k+1}{n}$. Clearly, $B(l_2) \neq 0$ for any positive integer value of l_2 . Then z_2 is a pole of F , a contradiction. Hence h has no zero.

Set

$$\psi = \frac{g'}{g} \cdot \frac{1}{f} = \frac{h}{g} \cdot f^{n-2} = \frac{A\{ff^{(k+1)} + nf'f^{(k)}\} + (n + 1)Bff'}{Afnf^{(k)} + Bfn^{n+1} - 1} \cdot f^{n-2}.$$

Also,

$$\frac{g'}{g} = \psi f \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h'}{h} = \psi f - (n - 2)\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{\psi'}{\psi}.$$

Now substituting these values in the expression (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (n - 2)(a_3 + 2a_4) - (a_2 + a_5) \right\} \psi f' &= (a_1 + a_3 + a_4)\psi^2 f^2 + \left\{ (a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + a_5)\frac{\psi'}{\psi} + (a_6 + a_7)\frac{B}{A} \right\} \psi f \\ &+ \left[a_4 \left(\frac{\psi'}{\psi} - (n - 2)\frac{f'}{f} \right)^2 + a_5 \left\{ \left(\frac{\psi'}{\psi} \right)' - (n - 2)\left(\frac{f'}{f} \right)' \right\} + a_7 \frac{B}{A} \left(\frac{\psi'}{\psi} - (n - 2)\frac{f'}{f} \right) + a_8 \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$f' = \frac{l_1}{\psi} + l_2 f + l_3 \psi f^2, \tag{2.26}$$

where l_1, l_2, l_3 are differential polynomials of $\frac{\psi'}{\psi}$ and $\frac{f'}{f}$.

Now let z_3 be a zero of f . Then z_3 is a pole of l_1 and almost a zero of ψ . Hence from (2.26), z_3 is a pole of f' , which is a contradiction.

Therefore $N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = 0$. Then from (2.3) we get $m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f)$. Therefore

$$T(r, f) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + O(1) = S(r, f),$$

a contradiction. Hence $F(z) \not\equiv 0$. \square

3. Proof of the Theorem

Proof. By Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 we have seen that the simple poles of f are zeros of F and $F(z) \not\equiv 0$. Now

$$g = Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h = \frac{g'}{f^{n-1}} = A\{ff^{(k+1)} + nf'f^{(k)}\} + (n+1)Bff'. \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$\beta = -\frac{h}{g} = -\frac{A\{ff^{(k+1)} + nf'f^{(k)}\} + (n+1)Bff'}{Af^n f^{(k)} + Bf^{n+1} - 1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore

$$\beta f^{n-1} = -\frac{g'}{g}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we consider the poles of $\beta^2 F$. From Lemma 2.5 we observe that the poles of F are of multiplicities at most 2 and come from the zeros and poles of g or h . From (3.2) we can see that the poles of β are zeros of g or poles of h . Now poles of g and h come from the poles of f . But we see that a pole of f of order $s (\geq 2)$ is a zero of β of order $(n-1)s - 1 \geq 1$. Therefore poles of f can not be a pole of $\beta^2 F$. Also from (3.1) we can see that zeros of h comes from multiple zeros of f . But multiple zeros of f are pole of F of order at most 2 and zero of β^2 of order at least 2. Therefore multiple zeros of f can not be a pole of $\beta^2 F$. Hence poles of $\beta^2 F$ comes only from zeros of g .

Let us suppose that z_4 be a zero of g of multiplicity t . Then $f(z_4) \neq 0$ or ∞ . Therefore z_4 is a zero of g' and h with multiplicity $(t-1)$ and hence a simple pole of β . Also we remember that the zeros of g and h can be a pole of F of order at most 2. Therefore z_4 is a pole of $\beta^2 F$ of order at most 4. Therefore

$$N(r, \beta^2 F) \leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{3.4}$$

Now from the expression (2.1) we get $m(r, F) = S(r, f)$. Also using Lemma 2.1 we get from (3.3) that $m(r, \beta^2) = S(r, f)$. Thus $m(r, \beta^2 F) = S(r, f)$. Therefore

$$T(r, \beta^2 F) \leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{3.5}$$

Now the zeros of f of order $\mu (\geq k+1)$ are zero of β of order atleast $(2\mu - k - 1)$. Also zeros of f are not zero of g but a zero of h of order $(2\mu - k - 1)$ and then a pole of F of order 2. Therefore zeros of $\beta^2 F$ are of multiplicity at least $(4\mu - 2k - 4)$. Also simple poles of f are zero of $\beta^2 F$. Therefore

$$N_1(r, f) + 4N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2(k+2)\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\beta^2 F}\right) \leq T\left(r, \frac{1}{\beta^2 F}\right) \leq 4\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{3.6}$$

Combining (3.6) with twice of (2.2) we obtain

$$2(n + 1)T(r, f) - 2\bar{N}(r, f) - 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2k\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + N_1(r, f) + 4N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2(k + 2)\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq 6\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{3.7}$$

Now

$$(2n + 2)T(r, f) = (2n - 3)T(r, f) + T(r, f) + 4T\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \geq (2n - 3)T(r, f) + N(r, f) + 4N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right). \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$(2n - 3)T(r, f) + \{N(r, f) + N_1(r, f) - 2\bar{N}(r, f)\} + \{4N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 4(k + 1)\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\} \leq 6\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f). \tag{3.9}$$

Now

$$N(r, f) + N_1(r, f) - 2\bar{N}(r, f) \geq N_1(r, f) + N_{(2)}(r, f) + N_1(r, f) - 2\bar{N}_1(r, f) - 2\bar{N}_{(2)}(r, f) = N_{(2)}(r, f) - 2\bar{N}_{(2)}(r, f) \geq 0$$

and

$$4N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 2N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + 4N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - 4(k + 1)\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = 2\{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\} + 2\{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\} + 4\{N_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) - (k + 1)\bar{N}_{(k+1)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)\} \geq 0.$$

Therefore from (3.9) we have

$$T(r, f) \leq \frac{6}{2n - 3}\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, f).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments towards the improvement of the paper.

References

[1] J. Clunie, On the integral and meromorphic functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 37(1962), 17-22.
 [2] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
 [3] X. Huang and Y. Gu, On the value distribution of $f^2 f^{(k)}$, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 78(2005), 17-26.
 [4] Y. Jiang, A note on the value distribution of $f(f')^n$ for $n \geq 2$. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 53(2016), 365-371.
 [5] H. Karmakar and P. Sahoo, On the value distribution of $f^n f^{(k)} - 1$, *Results Math.*, (2018), 73:98.
 [6] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1993.
 [7] E. Mues, Uber ein problem von Hayman, *Math. Z.*, 164(1979), 239-259.
 [8] J.P. Wang, On the value distribution of $f f^{(k)}$, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 46(2006), 169-180.
 [9] J.F. Xu and H.X. Yi, A precise inequality of differential polynomials related to small functions, *J. Math. Inequality*, 10(2016), 971-976.
 [10] J.F. Xu, H.X. Yi and Z.L. Zhang, Some inequalities of differential polynomials, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 12(2009), 99-113.
 [11] J.F. Xu, H.X. Yi and Z.L. Zhang, Some inequalities of differential polynomials II, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 14(2011), 93-100.
 [12] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
 [13] H.X. Yi and C.C. Yang, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
 [14] Q.D. Zhang, A growth theorem for meromorphic functions, *J. Chengdu Inst. Meteor.*, 20(1992), 12-20.
 [15] Q.D. Zhang, On the zeros of the differential polynomial $\phi(z)f^2(z)f'(z) - 1$ of a transcendental meromorphic function $f(z)$, *J. Chengdu Inst. Meteor.*, 23(1992), 9-18.