Filomat 36:1 (2022), 221–229 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2201221U



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

A General Inequality for Pointwise Semi-Slant Warped Products in Nearly Kenmotsu Manifolds

Siraj Uddin^a, Ashwaq Altalhi^a, Nadia Alluhaibi^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Science and Arts College, Rabigh Campus, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21911, Saudi Arabia

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that every pointwise semi-slant warped product submanifold $M = N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ in a nearly Kenmotsu manifold \tilde{M} satisfies the following inequality: $||h||^2 \ge 2n_2 \left(1 + \frac{10}{9} \cot^2 \theta\right) \left(||\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)||^2 - 1\right)$, where $n_2 = \dim N^{\theta}$, $\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)$ is the gradient of $\ln f$ and ||h|| is the length of the second fundamental form of M. The equality and special cases of the inequality are investigated.

1. Introduction

It was proved in [20] that every nearly Kenmotsu manifold is locally isometric to the warped product $\mathbb{R} \times_f \tilde{M}$ of a real line \mathbb{R} and a nearly Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . It was also proved that a normal nearly Kenmotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold [20]. Nearly Kaehler manifolds were defined and studied by Gray in his series papers [22, 23]. Nearly Sasakian manifolds were introduced by Blair et al. [4]. Later, Olszak [29] studied nearly Sasakian non-Sasakian manifolds of dimension 5. In [19], Endo investigated the geometry of nearly cosymplectic manifolds. Later, Cappelletti Montano and Dileo studied nearly Sasakian manifolds for some other fundamental properties [7]. The geometry of nearly Kenmotsu manifolds was investigated in [34].

On the other hand, warped product manifolds introduced by Bishop on O'Neill to investigate the geometry of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature [2]. After a long gape, B.-Y. Chen introduced the notion of warped product submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds in his series papers [11, 12]. He investigate the geometry of CR-warped product submanifolds and proved that every CR-warped product $M = N^T \times_f N^\perp$ of a Kaehler manifold satisfies the following inequality

 $||h||^2 \ge 2q ||\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)||^2, \quad q = \dim N^{\perp}$

(1)

where $||h||^2$ is the squared norm of the second fundamental form h of M and $\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)$ is the gradient of $\ln f$. Later, this inequality known as Chen's first inequality for warped products and investigated for different

Received: 21 January 2021; Revised: 05 March 2021; Accepted: 23 March 2021

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C15; 53C40; 53C42; 53C25; 53B25

Keywords. warped products; slant; pointwise semi-slant submanifolds; nearly Kenmotsu manifolds

Communicated by Mića S. Stanković

The project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, under grant no. D: 662-199-40. The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks DSR for technical and financial support.

Email addresses: siraj.ch@gmail.com (Siraj Uddin), ashwag.altalhi@gmail.com (Ashwaq Åltalhi), nallehaibi@kau.edu.sa (Nadia Alluhaibi)

kinds of warped product submanifolds of almost Hermitian as well as almost contact metric manifolds [1, 14, 17, 28, 33, 37, 38, 40, 43, 44].

In this paper, we study pointwise semi-slant warped product submanifolds of the form $N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ of Kenmotsu manifolds where N^T and N^{θ} are invariant and proper pointwise slant submanifolds and obtain the following general inequality:

$$||h||^2 \ge 2n_2 \left(1 + \frac{10}{9}\cot^2\theta\right) \left(||\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)||^2 - 1\right), \quad n_2 = \dim N^{\theta}$$

The equality case of this inequality is also investigated and a special case of this inequality is given for contact CR-warped products.

2. Preliminaries

An odd dimensional differentiable manifold \tilde{M} endowed with a (1, 1) tensor field φ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η and a Riemannian metric g is called an almost contact metric manifold, if

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where $I : T\tilde{M} \to T\tilde{M}$ is the identity map and for any vector fields X, Y on \tilde{M} . The structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is called the almost contact metric structure on \tilde{M} ([3], [4]). This structure also satisfies:

$$\eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \quad \varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad g(\varphi X, Y) = -g(X, \varphi Y)$$

In this paper, we refer to ξ as the structure vector field (Reeb vector field) and to η as the dual (Reeb form) of ξ .

An almost contact metric manifold ($\tilde{M}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g$) is called a *nearly Kenmotsu manifold* [34], if

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \varphi)X = -\eta(Y)\varphi X - \eta(X)\varphi Y$$
⁽²⁾

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$, where $\Gamma(T\tilde{M})$ is the Lie algebra of the vector fields on \tilde{M} and $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of g. Moreover, if \tilde{M} satisfies

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y = g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X,\tag{3}$$

then it is called a *Kenmotsu manifold* [27]. It was proved that every Kenmotsu manifold is a nearly Kenmotsu manifold but converse is not true in general [20]. The following useful result is proved in [20].

Proposition 2.1. For a nearly Kenmotsu manifold, we have

$$g(\tilde{\nabla}_X\xi,Y) + g(X,\tilde{\nabla}_Y\xi) = 2g(\varphi X,\varphi Y),\tag{4}$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$.

Now, we give the brief introduction of warped product manifolds.

Let (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) be two Riemannian (or semi-Riemannian) manifolds and f be a positive smooth function on B. The *warped product* of B and F is the Riemannian manifold

$$B \times_f F = (M = B \times F, g)$$

equipped with the warped metric $g = g_B + f^2 g_F$. The function f is called the warping function and a warped product manifold M is said to be *trivial* or simply a Riemannian product manifold of B and F if f is constant (see, for instance, [2]).

Let X be a vector field on B and Z be an another vector field on F. Then, from Lemma 7.3 of [2], we have

$$\nabla_X Z = \nabla_Z X = X(\ln f)Z,\tag{5}$$

223

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on *M*. Now for a smooth function *f* on an *n*-dimensional manifold *M*, we have

$$\|\hat{\nabla}f\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(e_i(f)\right)^2 \tag{6}$$

for the given orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ on M, where $\hat{\nabla} f$ is the gradient of f defined by $g(\hat{\nabla} f, X) = X(f)$.

Remark 2.2. It is also important to note that for a warped product $M = B \times_f F$; B is totally geodesic and F is totally umbilical in M [2, 11].

Now, if *M* is a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in an another Riemannian manifold \tilde{M} , then formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are respectively given by

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^{\perp} N, \tag{8}$$

for any vector field $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$, where ∇^{\perp} is the normal connection in the normal bundle, *h* is the second fundamental form and *A* is the shape operator of the submanifold. They are related by $g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y)$

A submanifold *M* is said to be totally geodesic if h = 0 and totally umbilical if h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H, $\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $H = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}h(e_i, e_i)$ is the mean curvature vector of *M*. For any $x \in M$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, \dots, e_{2m+1}\}$ is an orthonormal frame of the tangent space $T_x \tilde{M}$ such that e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to *M* at *x*. Then, we set

$$h_{ij}^{r} = g(h(e_i, e_j), e_r), \quad ||h||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)), \quad i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}, \quad r \in \{n+1, \cdots, 2m+1\}.$$
(9)

B.-Y. Chen [9, 10] introduced a generalized class of holomorphic (invariant) and totally real (antiinvariant) submanifolds known as slant submanifolds in complex geometry. Later, A. Lotta [26] has extended Chen's idea for contact metric manifolds.

A submanifold *M* tangent to ξ is said to be *slant* if for any $p \in M$ and any $X \in T_pM$, linearly independent to ξ , the angle between φX and T_pM is a constant $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, called the *slant angle* of *M* in \tilde{M} .

As natural extension of slant submanifolds, Etayo [21] introduced the notion of pointwise slant submanifolds. Later, these submanifolds were studied by Chen and Garay [15] for their characterizations and fundamental properties. They proved many interesting results and provided a method that how to construct non-trivial examples of such submanifolds. They defined pointwise slant submanifolds as follows:

A submanifold *M* is called *pointwise slant* [15, 21, 42] if for any nonzero vector $X \in T_pM$ ($p \in M$), the angle $\theta(X)$ between φX and T_pM is independent of the choice of $X \in T_pM$. In this case, θ defines a function on *M*, called the *slant function*. In particular, if the slant function θ is globally constant on *M*, then *M* is said to be a *slant submanifold* or a θ -*slant submanifold*.

Anti-invariant submanifolds are pointwise slant submanifolds with slant function $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ everywhere on *M*. A pointwise slant submanifold is called *proper* if $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. See [? ?] for non-trivial examples of pointwise slant submanifolds.

For any vector field $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$\varphi X = TX + FX,\tag{10}$$

where *TX* and *FX* are the tangential and normal components of φX , respectively.

We recall the following useful characterization from [42].

Proposition 2.3. Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \tilde{M} with $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then M is pointwise slant if and only if

$$T^{2} = \cos^{2}\theta \left(-I + \eta \otimes \xi\right), \tag{11}$$

where θ is the slant function and I denotes the identity map on TM.

Following relations are straightforward consequence of (11)

$$g(TX, TY) = \cos^2 \theta[g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)], \tag{12}$$

$$g(FX, FY) = \sin^2 \theta[g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)], \tag{13}$$

for vector fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(M)$. Also, for pointwise slant submanifolds, we have

$$tFX = \sin^2 \theta \left(-X + \eta(X)\xi \right), \quad fFX = -FTX, \quad X \in \Gamma(TM).$$
(14)

A submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold \tilde{M} is said to be a *contact CR-submanifold* [14] if there exist a pair of orthogonal distributions \mathfrak{D}^T and \mathfrak{D}^\perp such that

$$TM = \mathfrak{D}^T \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle,$$

where \mathfrak{D}^T is φ -invariant i.e., $\varphi \mathfrak{D}^T \subseteq \mathfrak{D}^T$ and \mathfrak{D}^\perp is anti-invariant i.e., $\varphi \mathfrak{D}^\perp \subset T^\perp M$.

As a generalization of contact CR-submanifold, we define pointwise semi-slant submanifolds as follows:

Definition 2.4. Let \tilde{M} be an almost contact metric manifold and M be a submanifold of \tilde{M} such that the structure vector field ξ is tangent to M. Then M is called a pointwise semi-slant submanifold of \tilde{M} if there exists a pair of orthogonal distributions \mathfrak{D}^T and \mathfrak{D}^{θ} on M such that

$$TM = \mathfrak{D}^T \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta \oplus \langle \xi \rangle \tag{15}$$

where \mathfrak{D}^T is φ -invariant, i.e., $\varphi(\mathfrak{D}^T) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}^T$ and \mathfrak{D}^{θ} is a proper pointwise slant distribution with slant function $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$.

A pointwise semi-slant submanifold *M* is called *proper* if neither dim $\mathfrak{D}^T = 0$ nor the slant function of \mathfrak{D}^{θ} is constant.

Clearly, semi-slant and contact CR-submanifolds are the pointwise semi-slant slant submanifolds with slant function θ is globally constant and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, repetitively.

The normal bundle of a pointwise semi-slant submanifold *M* is decomposed as

$$T^{\perp}M = F\mathfrak{D}^{\theta} \oplus \mu \tag{16}$$

where μ is the maximal φ -invariant normal subbundle in $T^{\perp}M$.

3. Definition and a basic lemma

In this section, we give some preparatory results on pointwise semi-slant warped products. First, we define

Definition 3.1. A warped product of an φ -invariant submanifold and a proper pointwise slant submanifold in an almost contact metric manifold \tilde{M} is called a pointwise semi-slant warped product and it is denoted by $N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ or $N^{\theta} \times_f N^T$, where N^T and N^{θ} are invariant and proper pointwise slant submanifolds of \tilde{M} , respectively.

We accept the following convention that *X*, *Y* are vector fields on N^T and *Z*, *W* are the vector fields N^{θ} and for the simplicity we denote tangent spaces of N^T and N^{θ} by the same \mathfrak{D}^T and \mathfrak{D}^{θ} , respectively.

Remark 3.2. Notice that in both the cases of proper pointwise semi-slant warped products (in Definition 3.1), the structure vector field ξ is tangent to the base manifold of the warped products otherwise from Proposition 2.1, we easily find that there is no proper warped product.

Now, we have the following useful results for later use.

Lemma 3.3. Let $M = N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ be a pointwise semi-slant warped product of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold \tilde{M} such that ξ is tangent to N^T . Then, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^T)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^{\theta})$, we have

(*i*) $\xi(\ln f) = 1$,

(*ii*) $q(h(X, Y), \varphi Z) = 0;$

(*iii*) $g(h(X,Z),FW) = -\frac{1}{3}(\eta(X) - X(\ln f))g(TZ,W) - \varphi X(\ln f)g(Z,W).$

Proof. From (4) and (5), for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^{\theta})$, we have

$$2\xi(\ln f)q(Z,W) = q(\tilde{\nabla}_Z\xi,W) + q(\tilde{\nabla}_W\xi,Z) = 2q(\varphi Z,\varphi W) = 2q(Z,W),$$

which gives (i). For the second part of the lemma, we have

$$g(h(X,Y),FZ) = g(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \varphi Z - TZ) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y, Z) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi Y, Z) + g(\varphi \nabla_X Y, Z),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^T)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\theta)$. Since $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^T)$ (see, Remark 2.2), the last two terms in r.h.s. of above equation are identically zero. Then, we find

$$g(h(X,Y),FZ) = g((\nabla_X \varphi)Y,Z).$$
(17)

Hence, (ii) follows from (17) via polarization identity and (2). In the similar way, we have

$$g(h(X,Z),FW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_Z \varphi)X,W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \varphi X,W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z X,TW).$$

Using (5), we obtain

$$g(h(X,Z),FW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_Z \varphi)X,W) - \varphi X(\ln f)g(Z,W) - X(\ln f)g(Z,TW).$$
(18)

On the other hand, we know that

$$g(h(X,Z),FW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Z,W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_X TZ,W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_X FZ,W) - X(\ln f)g(Z,TW).$$
(19)

Using (8) and (5), we find

$$g(h(X,Z),FW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Z,W) + g(h(X,W),FZ).$$
⁽²⁰⁾

Then, from (18) and (20) together with (2), we derive

 $2g(h(X,Z),FW) = -\eta(X)g(TZ,W) - \varphi X(\ln f)g(Z,W) + X(\ln f)g(TZ,W) + g(h(X,W),FZ).$

Third relation immediately follows from above relation via polarization identity. Hence, the lemma is proved completely. \Box

Now, if we interchange Z by TZ in (19) and using Proposition 2.3 and (5), then we find

$$g(h(X, TZ), FW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)TZ, W) + \cos^2 \theta X(\ln f)g(Z, W) - \sin 2\theta X(\theta)g(Z, W) + g(h(X, W), FTZ) - \cos^2 \theta X(\ln f)g(Z, W).$$
(21)

Since, θ is the slant function on N^{θ} hence $X(\theta) = 0$, $\forall X \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^T)$. Then, Eq. (21) takes the form

$$g(h(X,TZ),FW) = g((\bar{\nabla}_X \varphi)TZ,W) + g(h(X,W),FTZ).$$
(22)

On the other hand, from (18), we have

$$g(h(X, TZ), FW) = g((\tilde{\nabla}_{TZ}\varphi)X, W) - \varphi X(\ln f)g(TZ, W) - \cos^2\theta X(\ln f)g(Z, W).$$
(23)

Then, (22), (23) and (2) imply that

$$2g(h(X,TZ),FW) = g(h(X,W),FTZ) + \cos^2\theta \left(\eta(X) - X(\ln f)\right)g(Z,W) - \varphi X(\ln f)g(TZ,W).$$
(24)

Furthermore, from Lemma 3.3(iii), we have

$$2g(h(X, TZ), FW) = \frac{2}{3}\cos^2\theta \left(\eta(X) - X(\ln f)\right)g(Z, W) - 2\varphi X(\ln f)g(TZ, W).$$
(25)

Then, from (24) and (25), we derive

$$g(h(X, W), FTZ) = -\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta \left(\eta(X) - X(\ln f)\right)g(Z, W) - \varphi X(\ln f)g(TZ, W).$$
(26)

The following relations are easily obtained by interchanging *X* by φX , *Z* by *TZ* and *W* by *TW* in Lemma 3.3(iii) and (26).

$$g(h(\varphi X, W), FZ) = -\frac{1}{3}\varphi X(\ln f) g(TZ, W) + (X(\ln f) - \eta(X)) g(Z, W),$$
(27)

$$g(h(X, TW), FZ) = \frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta \left(\eta(X) - X(\ln f)\right)g(Z, W) + \varphi X(\ln f)g(TZ, W),$$
(28)

$$g(h(X,TW),FTZ) = -\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta \left(\eta(X) - X(\ln f)\right)g(TZ,W) - \cos^2\theta\varphi X(\ln f)g(Z,W),$$
(29)

$$g(h(\varphi X, TW), FZ) = -\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta\varphi X(\ln f)g(Z, W) - (X(\ln f) - \eta(X))g(TZ, W),$$
(30)

$$g(h(\varphi X, W), FTZ) = -\frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta\varphi X(\ln f)g(Z, W) + (X(\ln f) - \eta(X))g(TZ, W),$$
(31)

$$g(h(\varphi X, TW), FTZ) = \frac{1}{3}\cos^2\theta\varphi X(\ln f)g(TZ, W) + \cos^2\theta \left(X(\ln f) - \eta(X)\right)g(Z, W).$$
(32)

In particular, if $X = \xi$, then we find

$$g(h(\xi, W), FZ) = g(h(\xi, TW), FZ) = g(h(\xi, TW), FTZ) = g(h(\xi, W), FTZ) = 0.$$
(33)

4. Chen's first inequality for pointwise semi-slant warped products

In this section, we prove B.-Y Chen's first inequality for pointwise semi-slant warped product $N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ in nearly Kenmotsu manifolds.

Let \tilde{M} be a (2m + 1)-dimensional nearly Kenmotsu manifold and $M = N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ be a *n*-dimensional warped product isometrically immersed in \tilde{M} . We denote the corresponding tangent spaces of N^T and N^{\perp} by the same symbols \mathfrak{D}^T and \mathfrak{D}^{θ} , respectively. If dim $N^T = n_1$ and dim $N^{\theta} = n_2$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{D}^{T} = \text{Span}\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{p}, e_{p+1} = \varphi e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2p} = \varphi e_{p}, e_{n_{1}} = e_{2p+1} = \xi\},\$$

$$\mathfrak{D}^{\theta} = \text{Span}\{e_{n_{1}+1}, = e_{1}^{*} \cdots, e_{n_{1}+q} = e_{q}^{*}, \cdots, e_{n_{1}+q+1} = \sec \theta \text{T}e_{1}^{*}, \cdots, e_{n} = \sec \theta \text{T}e_{q}^{*}\}$$

Then, the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$ of *M* is spanned by

$$F\mathfrak{D}^{\theta} = \operatorname{Span}\{e_{n+1}, = \csc\theta \operatorname{Fe}_{1}^{*}\cdots, e_{n+q} = \csc\theta \operatorname{Fe}_{q}^{*}\cdots, e_{n+q+1} = \csc\theta \sec\theta \operatorname{FTe}_{1}^{*}, \cdots, e_{n+n_{2}} = \csc\theta \sec\theta \operatorname{FTe}_{q}^{*}\}$$
$$\mu = \operatorname{Span}\{e_{n+n_{2}+1}, = \tilde{e}_{1}\cdots, e_{2m+1} = \tilde{e}_{2m+1-n-n_{2}}\}.$$

Now, we prove the main result of of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M = N^T \times_f N^{\theta}$ be a pointwsie semi-slant submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold \tilde{M} . Then, the second fundamental form h of M satisfies:

$$||h||^{2} \ge 2n_{2} \left(1 + \frac{10}{9} \cot^{2} \theta\right) \left(||\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)||^{2} - 1\right), \tag{34}$$

where $\|\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)$ is the gradient of $\ln f$ and $n_2 = \dim N^{\theta}$.

Moreover, if the equality sign in (34) holds identically then N^T is a totally geodesic submanifold and N^{θ} is a totally umbilical submanifold of \tilde{M} . Furthermore, M can not be mixed totally geodesic in \tilde{M} .

Proof. From (9), we have

$$||h||^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), h(e_{i}, e_{j})) = \sum_{r=n+1}^{2m+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), e_{r})^{2}.$$

Then from (16), the above equation decompose as

$$||h||^{2} = \sum_{r=n+1}^{n+n_{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), e_{r}))^{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{2m+1-n-n_{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), \tilde{e}_{r}))^{2}.$$
(35)

Leaving the last μ -components term, we obtain

$$||h||^{2} \geq \sum_{r=1}^{n_{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2p+1} (g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}), Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + 2\sum_{r=1}^{n_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} (g(h(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}), Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{n_{2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_{2}} (g(h(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}), Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2}.$$
(36)

First term in r.h.s. is identically zero by using Lemma 3.3(ii) and there is no relation for the third term, hence by leaving positive third term, we derive

$$\begin{split} ||h||^{2} &\geq 2\csc^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{2p}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(e_{i},e_{j}^{*}),Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(e_{i},Te_{j}^{*}),Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} \\ &+ 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(e_{i},e_{j}^{*}),FTe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{4}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{i=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(e_{i},Te_{j}^{*}),FTe_{r}^{*}))^{2} \\ &+ 2\csc^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(\xi,e_{j}^{*}),Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(\xi,Te_{j}^{*}),Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} \\ &+ 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(\xi,e_{j}^{*}),FTe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(\xi,Te_{j}^{*}),Fe_{r}^{*}))^{2} \\ &+ 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{2}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(\xi,e_{j}^{*}),FTe_{r}^{*}))^{2} + 2\csc^{2}\theta\sec^{4}\theta\sum_{r=1}^{q}\sum_{j=1}^{q}(g(h(\xi,Te_{j}^{*}),FTe_{r}^{*}))^{2}. \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 3.3, Eqs. (26)- (33), the above inequality takes the form

$$\begin{split} \|h\|^{2} &\geq 4q \csc^{2} \theta \sum_{i=1}^{2p} \left(e_{i}(\ln f)\right)^{2} + \frac{4q}{9} \cot^{2} \theta \sum_{i=1}^{2p} \left(e_{i}(\ln f)\right)^{2} \\ &= 2n_{2} \csc^{2} \theta \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \left(e_{i}(\ln f)\right)^{2} + \frac{2n_{2}}{9} \cot^{2} \theta \sum_{i=1}^{2p+1} \left(e_{i}(\ln f)\right)^{2} - 2n_{2} \csc^{2} \theta \left(\xi(\ln f)\right)^{2} - \frac{2n_{2}}{9} \cot^{2} \theta \left(\xi(\ln f)\right)^{2} . \end{split}$$

Then from (6) and Lemma 3.3(i), we get the required inequality (34). Now, for the equality case, from the excluded μ -components terms in (35), we obtain

$$h(X, Y) \perp \mu, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$
 (37)

Also, from the vanishing first term of (36), we get

$$h(\mathfrak{D}^T,\mathfrak{D}^T)\perp F\mathfrak{D}^\theta.$$
(38)

Then, from (37) and (38), we conclude that

$$h(\mathfrak{D}^T,\mathfrak{D}^T) = \{0\}.$$
(39)

Hence, N^T is totally geodesic in \tilde{M} by using the fact that N^T being totally geodesic in M (see, Remark 2.2). Furthermore, from the excluded third term of (36), we find

$$h(\mathfrak{D}^{\theta},\mathfrak{D}^{\theta})\perp F\mathfrak{D}^{\theta}.$$
(40)

Then, from (37) and (40), we obtain

$$h(\mathfrak{D}^{\theta}, \mathfrak{D}^{\theta}) = \{0\}.$$

$$\tag{41}$$

Moreover, from Lemma 3.3(iii), we find that

$$h(\mathfrak{D}^T, \mathfrak{D}^\theta) \neq \{0\}.$$

$$\tag{42}$$

Using the fact that N^{θ} is totally umbilical in M (see Remark 2.2) together with (41) and (42), we conclude that N^{θ} is a totally umbilical submanifold of \tilde{M} . Also, from (42), we observe that M can not be mixed totally geodesic in \tilde{M} . Hence, the theorem is proved completely. \Box

As a special case of Theorem 4.1, if $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in (34), we have the following useful result.

Theorem 4.2. Let $M = N^T \times_f N^{\perp}$ be a contact CR-warped product submanifold of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold \tilde{M} . Then

(i) The second fundamental from h of the warped product immersion satisfies

$$\|h\|^{2} \ge 2n_{2} \left(\|\hat{\nabla}(\ln f)\|^{2} - 1 \right), \quad n_{2} = \dim N^{\perp}.$$
(43)

(ii) If the equality sign holds in (43), then N^T is a totally geodesic submanifold and N^{\perp} is a totally umbilical submanifold of \tilde{M} .

References

- F. R. Al-Solamy, V.A. Khan and S. Uddin, Geometry of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds, Results. Math. 71 (2016), no. 3-4, 783–799.
- [2] R.L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, Manifolds of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), 1-49.
- [3] D. E. Blair, Almost contact manifolds with Killing structure tensors I, Pacific J. Math. **39** (1971), 285–292.
- [4] D. E. Blair, D. K. Showers and K. Yano, Nearly Sasakian structures, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 27 (1976), 175–180.
- [5] J. L.Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, Semi-slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, Geom. Dedicata 78 (1999), 183-199.
- [6] J. L.Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L. M. Fernandez and M. Fernandez, Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, Glasgow Math. J. 42 (2000), 125–138.
- [7] B. Cappelletti-Montano, G. Dileo, Nearly Sasakian geometry and ????(2)-structures, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 195 (2016), 897-922.
- [8] A. Carriazo, New developments in slant submanifolds theory, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi (2002).
- [9] B.-Y. Chen, Slant immersions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 41 (1990), no. 1, 135–147.
- [10] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of slant submanifolds, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium (1990).
- [11] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds, Monatsh. Math. 133 (2001), 177–195.
- [12] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds II, Monatsh. Math. 134 (2001), 103–119.
- [13] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of warped product submanifolds: A survey, J. Adv. Math. Stud. 6 (2) (2013), 1-43.
- [14] B.-Y. Chen, Differential geometry of warped product manifolds and submanifolds, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ (2017).
- [15] B.-Y. Chen and O. J. Garay, Pointwise slant submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds, Turk. J. Math. 36 (2012), 630-640.
- [16] B.-Y. Chen, S. Uddin, Warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen 92 (2018), no. 1-2, 183–199.

- [17] B.-Y. Chen, S. Uddin and F. R. Al-Solamy, Geometry of pointwise CR-Slant warped products in Kaehler manifolds, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina 61 (2020), no. 2, 353–365.
- [18] D. Chinea and C. Gonzalez, A classification of almost contact metric manifolds, Ann.Mat. Pura Appl.156 (1990), 15–36.
- [19] H. Endo, On the curvature tensor of nearly cosymplectic manifolds of con-stant Φ-sectional curvature, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi Secţ. I a Mat. (N.S.) 52 (2005), no. 2, 439–454.
- [20] I.K. Erken, P. Dacko and C. Murathan, On the Existence of Proper Nearly Kenmotsu Manifolds, Mediterr. J. Math. 13 (2016), 4497–4507.
- [21] F. Etayo, On quasi-slant submanifolds of an almost Hermitian manifold, Publ. Math. Debrecen 53 (1998), 217-223.
- [22] A. Gray, Nearly Kaehler manifolds, J. Differ. Geom. bf4 (1970), 283-309.
- [23] A. Gray, The structure of nearly Kaehler manifolds, Math. Ann. 223 (1976), no. 3, 233-248.
- [24] J. W. Gray, Some global properties of contact structures, Ann. Math. 69 (1959), 421–450.
- [25] A.Gray and L. M. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and theirlinear invariants, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 123 (1980), 35–58.
- [26] A. Lotta, Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, Bull. Math. Soc. Roumanie 39 (1996), 183-198.
- [27] K. Kenmotsu, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 24 (1972), 93-103
- [28] A. Mustafa, S. Uddin, V.A. Khan and B. R. Wong, Contact CR-warped product submanifolds of nearly trans-Sasakian manifolds, Taiwanese J. Math. 17 (2013), no. 4, 1473–1486.
- [29] Z. Olszak, Five-dimensional nearly Sasakian manifolds, Tensor N. S. 34 (1980), 273-276.
- [30] J. A. Oubina, New classes of almost contact metric structures, Publ. Math. Debrecen 32 (1985), 187–193.
- [31] N. Papaghiuc, Semi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iași Sect. I a Mat. 40 (1994), no. 1, 55-61.
- [32] B. Sahin, Non-existence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifold, Geom. Dedicata 117 (2006), 195–202.
- [33] B. Sahin, Warped product submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds with a slant factor, Ann. Pol. Math. 95 (2009), 207–226.
- [34] A. Shukla, Nearly trans-Sasakian manifolds, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. 23 (1996), no. 2, 139-144.
- [35] S. Uddin and A.Y. M. Chi, Warped product hemi-slant submanifolds of nearly Kaehler manifolds, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta. 19 (3) (2011), 195–204.
- [36] S. Uddin, V. A. Khan and K. A. Khan, Warped product submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold, Turk. J. Math. 36 (2012), 319-330.
- [37] S. Uddin, A. Mustafa, B. R. Wong and C. Ozel, A geometric inequality for warped product semi-slant submanifolds of nearly cosymplectic manifolds, Rev. Dela Union Math. Argentina 55 (2014), no. 1, 55—69.
- [38] S. Uddin, F.R. Al-Solamy and K. A. Khan, Geometry of warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi Secţ. I a Mat. (N.S.) 62 (2016), no.3, 927–938.
- [39] S. Uddin, B.-Y. Chen and F. R. Al-Solamy, Warped product bi-slant immersions in Kaehler manifolds Mediterr. J. Math. 14 (2) (2017), Art. 95, 11 pp.
- [40] S. Uddin and F. R. Al-Solamy, Warped product pseudo-slant immersions in Sasakian manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 91 (3-4) (2017), 331–348.
- [41] S. Uddin, Geometry of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds, Bull. Math. Sci. 8 (2018), no. 3, 435–451.
- [42] S. Uddin, A. H. Alkhaldi, Pointwise slant submanifolds and their warped products in Sasakian manifolds, Filomat 32 (2018), no. 12, 4131–4142.
- [43] S. Uddin and M. S. Stankovic, Warped product submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds with pointwise slant fiber, Filomat 32 (1) (2018), 35-44.
- [44] S. Uddin, F. R. Al-Solamy, M. H. Shahid and A. Saloom, B.-Y. Chen's inequality for bi-warped products and its applications in Kenmotsu manifolds, Mediterr. J. Math. (2018) 15: 193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-018-1238-1