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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a prime $*$-algebra. In this paper, we suppose that $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies


$$
\Phi(A \diamond B)=\Phi(A) \diamond B+A \diamond \Phi(B)
$$

where $A \diamond B=A^{*} B+B^{*} A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $*$-algebra. For $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, denoted by $A \bullet B=A B+B A^{*}$ and $[A, B]_{*}=A B-B A^{*}$, which are $*$-Jordan product and $*$-Lie product, respectively. These products are found playing a more and more important role in some research topics, and its study has recently attracted many author's attention (for example, see [3, 8, 10, 14]).

Recall that a map $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be an additive derivation if $\Phi(A+B)=\Phi(A)+\Phi(B)$ and $\Phi(A B)=\Phi(A) B+A \Phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$. A map $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation if it is an additive derivation and $\Phi\left(A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A)^{*}$. Derivations are very important maps both in theory and applications, and have been studied intensively ([2, 11-13, 17]).

Let us define $\lambda$-Jordan *-product by $A \bullet{ }_{\lambda} B=A B+\lambda B A^{*}$. We say that the map $\Phi$ with the property of $\Phi\left(A \bullet{ }_{\lambda} B\right)=\Phi(A) \bullet{ }_{\lambda} B+A \bullet \lambda(B)$ is a $\lambda$-Jordan $*$-derivation map. It is clear that for $\lambda=-1$ and $\lambda=1$, the $\lambda$-Jordan $*$-derivation map is a $*$-Lie derivation and $*$-Jordan derivation, respectively [1, 15].

A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a self-adjoint subalgebra of some $B(H)$, the algebra of bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space, which satisfies the double commutant property: $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{A}$ where $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\{T \in B(H), T A=A T, \forall A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\}^{\prime}$. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{A}$ the center of $\mathcal{A}$. A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a factor if its center is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})=\mathbb{C}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, recall that the central carrier of $A$, denoted by $\bar{A}$, is the smallest central projection $P$ such that $P A=A$. It is not difficult to see that $\bar{A}$ is the projection onto the closed subspace spanned by $\{B A x: B \in \mathcal{A}, x \in H\}$. If $A$ is self-adjoint,

[^0]then the core of $A$, denoted by $\underline{A}$, is $\sup \left\{S \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}): S=S^{*}, S \leq A\right\}$. If $A=P$ is a projection, it is clear that $\underline{P}$ is the largest central projection $\bar{Q}$ satisfying $Q \leq P$. A projection $P$ is said to be core-free if $\underline{P}=0$ (see [9]). It is easy to see that $\underline{P}=0$ if and only if $\overline{I-P}=I,[5,6]$.

Recently, Yu and Zhang in [18] proved that every non-linear *-Lie derivation from a factor von Neumann algebra into itself is an additive *-derivation. Also, Li, Lu and Fang in [7] have investigated a non-linear $\lambda$-Jordan $*$-derivation. They showed that if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra without central abelian projections and $\lambda$ is a non-zero scalar, then $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-linear $\lambda$-Jordan $*$-derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive *-derivation.

On the other hand, many mathematician devoted themselves to study the $*$-Jordan product $A \bullet B=$ $A B+B A^{*}$. In [19], F. Zhang proved that every non-linear *-Jordan derivation map $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ on a factor von neumann algebra with $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ the identity of it is an additive $*$-derivation.

In [16], we showed that *-Jordan derivation map on every factor von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an additive $*$-derivation.

Very recently the authors of [4] discussed some bijective maps preserving the new product $A^{*} B+B^{*} A$ between von Neumann algebras with no central abelian projections. In other words, $\Phi$ holds in the following condition

$$
\Phi\left(A^{*} B+B^{*} A\right)=\Phi(A)^{*} \Phi(B)+\Phi(B)^{*} \Phi(A)
$$

They showed that such a map is sum of a linear *-isomorphism and a conjugate linear *-isomorphism.
Motivated by the above results, in this paper, we prove that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a prime $*$-algebra then $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which holds in the following condition

$$
\Phi(A \diamond B)=\Phi(A) \diamond B+A \diamond \Phi(B)
$$

where $A \diamond B=A^{*} B+B^{*} A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, is an additive $*$-derivation.
We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is prime, that is, for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ if $A \mathcal{A} B=\{0\}$, then $A=0$ or $B=0$.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we show that $\Phi$ which satisfies in the following assumption is an $*$-additive derivation.
Assumption 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a prime *-algebra and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(A \diamond B)=\Phi(A) \diamond B+A \diamond \Phi(B) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where $A \diamond B=A^{*} B+B^{*} A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$.
It is easy to prove $\Phi(0)=0$. We need the following lemmas:
Lemma 2.1. Let $\Phi$ satisfy in Assumption 1, then we show that $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=0, \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)=0$ and $\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)=0$.
Proof. For showing that $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=0$, we write

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2} \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond \frac{I}{2}+\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)+\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)^{*}=0$ then $\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=0$
For proving $\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)=0$, we can write

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2} \diamond-\frac{I}{2}\right)=\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)=\frac{\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)+\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)^{*}}{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the above equation that $\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)$ is self-adjoint.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2} \diamond-\frac{I}{2}\right)=-\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)+\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond-\frac{I}{2} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=-\left(\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)+\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2}\right)$ is self-adjoint, from (4) we have the result.
For showing $\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)=0$, we have the following

$$
\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2} \diamond i \frac{I}{2}\right)=\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right) \diamond i \frac{I}{2}+i \frac{I}{2} \Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right)=-i \Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)+i \Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)^{*} .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)^{*}-\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{I}{2} \diamond i \frac{I}{2}\right)=\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right) .
$$

So,

$$
\Phi(0)=\frac{\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)^{*}+\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)}{2}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)^{*}+\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and (6), we have $\Phi\left(i \frac{I}{2}\right)=0$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $\Phi$ satisfy in Assumption 1 then we show that

1. $\Phi(-i A)=-i \Phi(A)$.
2. $\Phi(i A)=i \Phi(A)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we can check that

$$
\Phi\left(-i A \diamond \frac{I}{2}\right)=\Phi\left(A \diamond i \frac{I}{2}\right)
$$

So,

$$
\Phi(-i A) \diamond \frac{I}{2}=\Phi(A) \diamond i \frac{I}{2}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(-i A)^{*}+\Phi(-i A)=i \Phi(A)^{*}-i \Phi(A) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, one can check that

$$
\Phi\left(-i A \diamond i \frac{I}{2}\right)=\Phi\left(-\frac{I}{2} \diamond A\right)
$$

So,

$$
\Phi(-i A) \diamond i \frac{I}{2}=-\frac{I}{2} \diamond \Phi(A)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \Phi(-i A)^{*}-i \Phi(-i A)=-\Phi(A)-\Phi(A)^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Phi(-i A)^{*}+\Phi(-i A)=-i \Phi(A)-i \Phi(A)^{*} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding equations (7) and (9) we have

$$
\Phi(-i A)=-i \Phi(A)
$$

Similarly, we can show that $\Phi(i A)=i \Phi(A)$.
Our main theorem is as follows:
Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a prime *-algebra. Let $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(A \diamond B)=\Phi(A) \diamond B+A \diamond \Phi(B) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \diamond B=A^{*} B+B^{*} A$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\Phi$ is an *-additive derivation.
Let $P_{1}$ be a nontrivial projection in $\mathcal{A}$ and $P_{2}=I_{\mathcal{A}}-P_{1}$. Denote $\mathcal{A}_{i j}=P_{i} \mathcal{A} P_{j}, i, j=1,2$, then $\mathcal{A}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{i j}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we may write $A=A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}$. In all that follow, when we write $A_{i j}$, it indicates that $A_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{i j}$. For showing additivity of $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{A}$, we use above partition of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We give some claims that prove $\Phi$ is additive on each $\mathcal{A}_{i j}, i, j=1,2$.

Claim 1. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)
$$

Let $T=\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)$, we should prove that $T=0$.
For $X_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ we can write that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{21}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{21}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{21}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{21}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right) \diamond X_{21}+A_{11} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{21}\right) \\
& +\Phi\left(A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{21}+A_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{21}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)\right) \diamond X_{21}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{21}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtain

$$
T \diamond X_{21}=0
$$

Since $T=T_{11}+T_{12}+T_{21}+T_{22}$ we have

$$
T_{21}^{*} X_{21}+T_{22}^{*} X_{21}+X_{21}^{*} T_{21}+X_{21}^{*} T_{22}=0
$$

From the above equation and primeness of $\mathcal{A}$ we have $T_{22}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{21}^{*} X_{21}+X_{21}^{*} T_{21}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, similarly by applying $i X_{21}$ instead of $X_{21}$ in above, we obtain

$$
i T_{21}^{*} X_{21}+i T_{22}^{*} X_{21}-i X_{21}^{*} T_{21}-i X_{21}^{*} T_{22}=0
$$

Since $T_{22}=0$ we obtain from the above equation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-T_{21}^{*} X_{21}+X_{21}^{*} T_{21}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11) and (12) we have

$$
X_{21}^{*} T_{21}=0
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is prime, then we get $T_{21}=0$.
It suffices to show that $T_{12}=T_{11}=0$. For this purpose for $X_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right)\right) \diamond P_{1}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}+A_{11} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+A_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1} \\
& +A_{11} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right)+A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we showed that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi\left(\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}+A_{11} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1} \\
& +A_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+A_{11} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right)+A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}=0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right) \diamond X_{12}+A_{11} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right)\right) \diamond P_{1}+\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \\
& +\left(\Phi\left(A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}+A_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right)\right) \diamond P_{1}+\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}+A_{11} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+A_{11} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \\
& +\Phi\left(A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}+A_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi\left(\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}+A_{11} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1} \\
& +A_{11} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1} \\
& +A_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \diamond P_{1}+A_{12} \diamond X_{12} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{1}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (13) and (14) we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}
$$

It follows that $T \diamond X_{12} \diamond P_{1}=0$, so $T_{11}^{*} X_{12}+X_{12}^{*} T_{11}=0$. We have $T_{11}^{*} X_{12}=0$ or $T_{11} X P_{2}=0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$, then we have $T_{11}=0$. Similarly, we can show that $T_{12}=0$ by applying $P_{2}$ instead of $P_{1}$ in above.

Claim 2. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}, A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ we have

1. $\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)$.
2. $\Phi\left(A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)$.

We show that

$$
T=\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)=0
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond X_{21}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{21}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond X_{21}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21} \diamond X_{21}\right) \\
& \left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)\right) \diamond X_{21}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{21}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $T \diamond X_{21}=0$. Since $T=T_{11}+T_{12}+T_{21}+T_{22}$ we have

$$
T_{22}^{*} X_{21}+T_{21}^{*} X_{21}+X_{21}^{*} T_{22}+C_{21}^{*} T_{21}=0
$$

Therefore, $T_{22}=T_{21}=0$.
From Claim 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond X_{12}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21} \diamond X_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21} \diamond X_{12}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)\right) \diamond X_{12}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
T_{11}^{*} X_{12}+T_{12}^{*} X_{12}+X_{12}^{*} T_{11}+X_{12}^{*} T_{12}=0
$$

Then $T_{11}=T_{12}=0$. Similarly

$$
\Phi\left(A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)
$$

Claim 3. For each $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}, A_{21} \in \mathcal{A}_{21}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)
$$

We show that

$$
T=\Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)=0
$$

From Claim 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right) \diamond X_{12}+\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}\right) \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{22} \diamond X_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11} \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21} \diamond X_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{22} \diamond X_{12}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{21}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)\right) \diamond X_{12} \\
& +\left(A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{21}+A_{22}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{12}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $T \diamond X_{12}=0$. It follows that

$$
T_{11}^{*} X_{12}+T_{12}^{*} X_{12}+X_{12}^{*} T_{11}+X_{12}^{*} T_{12}=0
$$

Then $T_{11}=T_{12}=0$.
Similarly, by applying $X_{21}$ instead of $X_{12}$ in above, we obtain $T_{21}=T_{22}=0$.
Claim 4. For each $A_{i j}, B_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{i j}$ such that $i \neq j$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}\right)\left(P_{j}+B_{i j}\right)+\left(P_{j}+B_{i j}^{*}\right)\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right)=A_{i j}+B_{i j}+A_{i j}^{*}+B_{i j}^{*}
$$

So, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}+B_{i j}^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(P_{j}+B_{i j}\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(P_{j}+B_{i j}\right)+\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{j}+B_{i j}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(P_{i}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(P_{j}+B_{i j}\right)+\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(\Phi\left(P_{j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{i}\right) \diamond B_{i j}+P_{i} \diamond \Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond P_{j}+A_{i j}^{*} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{j}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{i} \diamond B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*} \diamond P_{j}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i j}^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}+B_{i j}^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i j}^{*}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an easy computation, we can write

$$
\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}\right)\left(i P_{j}+i B_{i j}\right)+\left(-i P_{j}-i B_{i j}^{*}\right)\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right)=i A_{i j}+i B_{i j}-i A_{i j}^{*}-i B_{i j}^{*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(i A_{i j}+i B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(-i A_{i j}^{*}-i B_{i j}^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(i P_{j}+i B_{i j}\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(i P_{j}+i B_{i j}\right)+\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(i P_{j}+i B_{i j}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(P_{i}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond\left(i P_{j}+i B_{i j}\right)+\left(P_{i}+A_{i j}^{*}\right)\left(\Phi\left(i P_{j}\right)+\Phi\left(i B_{i j}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{i}\right) \diamond i B_{i j}+P_{i} \diamond \Phi\left(i B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right) \diamond i P_{j}+A_{i j}^{*} \diamond \Phi\left(i P_{j}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{i} \diamond i B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*} \diamond i P_{j}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(i B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(-i B_{i j}^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(i A_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(-i A_{i j}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We showed that

$$
\Phi\left(i A_{i j}+i B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(-i A_{i j}^{*}-i B_{i j}^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(i B_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(-i B_{i j}^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(i A_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(-i A_{i j}^{*}\right)
$$

From Lemma 2.2 and the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}+B_{i j}^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)-\Phi\left(B_{i j}^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{i j}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{i j}^{*}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding equations (15) and (16), we obtain

$$
\Phi\left(A_{i j}+B_{i j}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i j}\right)
$$

Claim 5. For each $A_{i i}, B_{i i} \in \mathcal{A}_{i i}$ such that $1 \leq i \leq 2$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right)
$$

We show that

$$
T=\Phi\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right)-\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right)=0 .
$$

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond P_{j}+\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{j}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond P_{j}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{i i} \diamond P_{j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i} \diamond P_{j}\right) \\
& \Phi\left(A_{i i}\right) \diamond P_{j}+A_{i i} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right) \diamond P_{j}+B_{i i} \diamond \Phi\left(P_{j}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right)\right) \diamond P_{j}+\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(P_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
T \diamond P_{j}=0
$$

Therefore, we obtain $T_{i j}=T_{j i}=T_{j j}=0$.
On the other hand, for every $X_{i j} \in \mathcal{A}_{i j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond X_{i j}+\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{i j}\right)=\Phi\left(\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond X_{i j}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{i i} \diamond X_{i j}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i} \diamond X_{i j}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right) \diamond X_{i j}+A_{i i} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{i j}\right) \\
& +\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right) \diamond X_{i j}+B_{i i} \diamond \Phi\left(X_{i j}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right)\right) \diamond X_{i j}+\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right) \diamond \Phi\left(X_{i j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\left(\Phi\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right)-\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right)\right) \diamond X_{i j}=0
$$

It follows that $T \diamond X_{i j}=0$ or $T_{i i} X_{i j}=0$. By knowing that $\mathcal{A}$ is prime, we have $T_{i i}=0$.
Hence, the additivity of $\Phi$ comes from the above claims.
In the rest of this paper, we show that $\Phi$ is *-derivation.
Claim 6. Ф preserves star.
Since $\Phi(I)=0$ then we can write

$$
\Phi(I \diamond A)=I \diamond \Phi(A)
$$

Then

$$
\Phi\left(A+A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A)+\Phi(A)^{*}
$$

So, we showed that $\Phi$ preserves star.

Claim 7. we prove that $\Phi$ is derivation.
For every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A B+B^{*} A^{*}\right) & =\Phi\left(A^{*} \diamond B\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A^{*}\right) \diamond B+A^{*} \diamond \Phi(B) \\
& =\Phi\left(A^{*}\right)^{*} B+\Phi(B)^{*} A^{*}+B^{*} \Phi\left(A^{*}\right)+A \Phi(B)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since $\Phi$ preserves star, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A B+B^{*} A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A) B+A \Phi(B)+B^{*} \Phi\left(A^{*}\right)+\Phi(B)^{*} A^{*} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(i\left(A B-B^{*} A^{*}\right)=\Phi\left(A(i B)+(i B)^{*} A^{*}\right)\right. \\
& =\Phi(A)(i B)+A \Phi(i B)+(i B)^{*} \Phi\left(A^{*}\right)+\Phi(i B)^{*} A^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A B-B^{*} A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A) B+A \Phi(B)-B^{*} \Phi\left(A^{*}\right)-\Phi\left(B^{*}\right) A^{*} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding equations (17) and (18), we have

$$
\Phi(A B)=\Phi(A) B+A \Phi(B)
$$

This completes the proof.
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