



Induction Functors for Hom-Doi-Hopf Module Categories

Ling Jia^a, Xiangyuan Xu^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, Ludong University

Abstract. In this paper, we mainly investigate a revised induction functor to study Hom-Doi-Hopf modules and the coinvariant modules for Hom-Hopf comodule algebras.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The authors in [1] introduced the notions of Hom-associative algebras generalizing associative algebras to a situation where associativity law is twisted by a linear map providing a different way for constructing a subclass of quasi-Lie algebras. The coalgebra counterpart and the related notions of Hom-bialgebra and Hom-Hopf algebra were explored in [2-7, 9-10]. Afterwards, many important structures of Hopf algebra theory such as Doi-Hopf module, Yetter-Drinfeld modules, the Drinfeld doubles and other contexts have been replanted in Hom-setting.

Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, and A/B an H -extension. Then it is well-known that the functor $(-)^{\text{co}H} : \mathcal{M}_A^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_B$ sending $M \in \mathcal{M}_A^H$ to the module of coinvariants $M^{\text{co}H}$ has a left adjoint, which is called the induced functor $A \otimes_B - : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A^H$. (See [8, 11]).

Motivated by the study of Hom-Hopf structures and the work in [8, 11], the ultimate purpose of this paper is to investigate a modified induction functor $-\overline{\otimes}_B A$ which together with the coinvariant functor $(-)^{\text{co}H}$ determines an equivalence between \mathcal{M}_B and a full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ for a Hom-Hopf algebra H with bijective antipode and a Haar integral.

Conventions Throughout the paper we work over a field k . We use Sweedler-type notation for coalgebras and comodules: for a coalgebra C , we write its comultiplication $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$, for $c \in C$; for a right C -comodule M , we denote its coaction by $\rho(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}$.

Firstly we recall some basic definitions ([10]) needed in what follows. For further results see [1-7, 9].

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. We introduce a new category $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows: objects are couples (M, μ) , with $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mu \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$. A morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C} satisfying $\nu f = f \mu$.

Let \mathcal{U}_k denote the category of k -modules. $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}_k)$ will be called the Hom-category associated to \mathcal{U}_k . If $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{U}_k$, then $\mu : M \rightarrow M$ is obviously a morphism in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}_k)$. It is easy to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}_k) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}_k), \otimes, (I, I), \widetilde{a}, \widetilde{l}, \widetilde{r})$ is a monoidal category by Proposition 1.1 in [1]: the tensor product of (M, μ) and (N, ν) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}_k)$ is given by the formula $(M, \mu) \otimes (N, \nu) = (M \otimes N, \mu \otimes \nu)$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16W30

Keywords. monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra; Hom-integral; induction functor

Received: 26 September 2019; Accepted: 28 June 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (No.ZR2012AL02)

Email addresses: jinxinlun@126.com (Ling Jia), 2226738648@qq.com (Xiangyuan Xu)

Assume that $(M, \mu), (N, \nu), (P, \pi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$. The associativity and unit constraints are given by the formulas: $\widetilde{a}_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) = \mu(m) \otimes (n \otimes \pi^{-1}(p))$ and $\widetilde{l}_M(x \otimes m) = \widetilde{r}_M(m \otimes x) = x\mu(m)$. An algebra in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ will be called a monoidal Hom-algebra.

Definition 1.1. A monoidal Hom-algebra is an object $(A, \alpha) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ together with a k -linear map $m_A : A \rightarrow A$, $m_A(a \otimes b) = ab$ and an element $1_A \in A$ such that for any $a, b, c \in A$:

$$\alpha(ab) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b); \tag{1}$$

$$1_A a = a 1_A = \alpha(a); \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha(a)(bc) = (ab)\alpha(c); \tag{3}$$

$$\alpha(1_A) = 1_A. \tag{4}$$

Definition 1.2. A monoidal Hom-coalgebra is an object $(C, \beta) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ together with k -linear maps $\Delta_C : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $\Delta_C(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$ (summation implicitly understood) and $\varepsilon_C : C \rightarrow k$ such that for any $x \in C$:

$$\beta(x)_1 \otimes \beta(x)_2 = \beta(x_1) \otimes \beta(x_2); \tag{5}$$

$$\varepsilon(\beta(x)) = \varepsilon(x); \tag{6}$$

$$\varepsilon(x_1)x_2 = \varepsilon(x_2)x_1 = \beta^{-1}(x); \tag{7}$$

$$\beta^{-1}(x_1) \otimes x_{21} \otimes x_{22} = x_{11} \otimes x_{12} \otimes \beta^{-1}(x_2). \tag{8}$$

Definition 1.3. A monoidal Hom-bialgebra $H = (H, \gamma, m, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bialgebra in the symmetric monoidal category $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$. This means that (H, γ, m, η) is a monoidal Hom-algebra, $(H, \gamma, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra and that Δ and ε are morphisms of Hom-algebras, that is, for any $h, g \in H$:

$$(hg)_1 \otimes (hg)_2 = h_1 g_1 \otimes h_2 g_2; \tag{9}$$

$$\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1; \tag{10}$$

$$\varepsilon(hg) = \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(g); \tag{11}$$

$$\varepsilon(1) = 1. \tag{12}$$

Definition 1.4. A monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra (H, γ) together with a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ such that $S * I = I * S = \eta\varepsilon$ and $S\gamma = \gamma S$.

Definition 1.5. Let (A, α) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. A right (A, α) -Hom-module is an object $(M, \mu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ consists of a k -module M and a linear map $\mu : M \rightarrow M$ together with a morphism $\cdot : M \otimes A \rightarrow M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ satisfying for any $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$:

$$(m \cdot b) \cdot \alpha(a) = \mu(m) \cdot (ab); \tag{13}$$

$$m \cdot 1 = \mu(m); \tag{14}$$

$$\mu(m \cdot a) = \mu(m) \cdot \alpha(a). \tag{15}$$

The category of right (A, α) -Hom-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Definition 1.6. Let (C, β) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. A right (C, β) -Hom-comodule is an object $(M, \mu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ together with a k -linear map $\rho_M : M \otimes M \rightarrow C$, $\rho_M(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{U}_k)$ satisfying for any $m \in M$:

$$\mu(m)_{[0]} \otimes \mu(m)_{[1]} = \mu(m_{[0]}) \otimes \beta(m_{[1]}); \tag{16}$$

$$m_{[0][0]} \otimes m_{[0][1]} \otimes \beta^{-1}(m_{[1]}) = \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes m_{[1]1} \otimes m_{[1]2}; \tag{17}$$

$$\varepsilon(m_{[1]})m_{[0]} = \mu^{-1}(m). \tag{18}$$

The category of right (C, β) -Hom-comodules is denoted by \mathcal{M}^C . Define the coinvariant of (C, β) on (M, μ) as the set

$$M^{\text{coH}} = \{m \in M \mid m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} = \mu^{-1}(m) \otimes 1\}.$$

Definition 1.7. Let (H, γ) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (A, α) is called a right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule algebra if (A, α) is a right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule such that for any $a, b \in A$:

$$(ab)_{[0]} \otimes (ab)_{[1]} = a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}b_{[1]}; \tag{19}$$

$$1_{[0]} \otimes 1_{[1]} = 1 \otimes 1. \tag{20}$$

Let $B = A^{\text{coH}}$, then A/B is called a Hom- (H, γ) -extension.

Definition 1.8. Let (H, γ) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, and (A, α) a right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule algebra. A right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule (M, μ) is called a right $((H, \gamma), (A, \alpha))$ -Hom-Doi-Hopf module if it is also a right (A, α) -Hom-module such that for any $a \in A$ and $m \in M$,

$$(m \cdot a)_{[0]} \otimes (m \cdot a)_{[1]} = m_{[0]} \cdot a_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}a_{[1]}. \tag{21}$$

In the following, the category of right $((H, \gamma), (A, \alpha))$ -Hom-Doi-Hopf modules will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$.

Example 1.9. Let (A, α) be a right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule algebra and (M, μ) a right (A, α) -Hom-module.

Then $(M \otimes_B A, \mu \otimes \alpha)$ is a right $((H, \gamma), (A, \alpha))$ -Hom-Doi-Hopf module with the right action $(m \otimes a) \cdot b = \mu(m) \otimes a\alpha^{-1}(b)$ and the right coaction $(m \otimes a)_{[0]} \otimes (m \otimes a)_{[1]} = \mu^{-1}(m) \otimes a_{[0]} \otimes \alpha(a_{[1]})$ for and $m \in M$, $a, b \in A$.

Obviously, $(M \otimes_B A, \mu \otimes \alpha)$ is both a right (A, α) -Hom-module and a right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule. The only thing left to prove is that the compatibility condition (21) is satisfied. Now for any $m \in M$, $a, b \in A$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} ((m \otimes a) \cdot b)_{[0]} \otimes ((m \otimes a) \cdot b)_{[1]} &= m \otimes a_{[0]}\alpha^{-1}(b_{[0]}) \otimes \gamma(a_{[1]})b_{[1]} \\ &= (m \otimes a)_{[0]} \cdot b_{[0]} \otimes (m \otimes a)_{[1]}b_{[1]}. \end{aligned}$$

Directly from Example 1.9, there exists an induction functor $F : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, $F(M) = M \otimes_B A$.

Proposition 1.10. Let (A, α) be a right (H, γ) -Hom-comodule algebra. Then (F, G) is a pair of adjoint functors, where $G : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$, $G(N) = N^{\text{coH}}$.

Proof. Firstly, we define the unit and counit as follows:

$$\eta_{(M, \mu)} : M \rightarrow (M \otimes_B A)^{\text{coH}}, m \mapsto \mu^{-1}(m) \otimes_B 1;$$

$$\delta_{(N, \nu)} : N^{\text{coH}} \otimes_B A \rightarrow N, n \otimes_B a \mapsto n \cdot a.$$

Clearly, $\eta_{(M, \mu)}$ is well-defined. The fact that $\delta_{(N, \nu)}$ is reasonable follows from the computation $\delta_{(N, \nu)}(n \otimes_B a) = n \cdot (ba) \stackrel{(13)}{=} \delta_{(N, \nu)}(n \cdot \nu^{-1}(b) \otimes \alpha(a))$, $n \in N$, $b \in B$, $a \in A$.

We end the proof by checking the triangular identity:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{F(M, \mu)} \circ F_{\eta_{(M, \mu)}})(m \otimes_B a) &= (\mu^{-1}(m) \otimes_B 1) \cdot a = m \otimes_B a; \\ (G_{\delta_{(N, \nu)}} \circ \eta_{G(N, \nu)})(n) &= \nu^{-1}(n) \cdot 1 = n, \end{aligned}$$

for any $m \in M$, $a \in A$, $n \in N$. \square

Definition 1.11. Let (H, γ) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. An element $\lambda \in (H^*, \gamma^*)$ is called a left integral on (H, γ) if for any $h \in H$,

$$h_1 \lambda(h_2) = \lambda(h) 1_H; \tag{22}$$

$$\lambda(\gamma(h)) = \lambda(h). \tag{23}$$

If in addition $\lambda(1) = 1$, then the left integral λ is said to be *normalized*.

Similarly, we can define a normalized right integral on (H, γ) . A Haar integral on (H, γ) is a normalized two-sided integral.

Lemma 1.12. Let (H, γ) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and λ a Haar integral on (H, γ) . Then for any $h, g \in H$:

$$h_1 \lambda(g\gamma(h_2)) = \lambda(g_2 \gamma^{-1}(h)) S(g_1); \tag{24}$$

$$\gamma^{-1}(h_2) \lambda(h_1 g) = \lambda(\gamma^{-2}(h) g_1) S(g_2). \tag{25}$$

Proof. (24) is a consequence of the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 \lambda(g\gamma(h_2)) &\stackrel{(7),(23)}{=} \varepsilon(g_1) h_1 \lambda(gh_2) = (S(g_{11}) g_{12}) h_1 \lambda(gh_2) \\ &\stackrel{(3),(8)}{=} S(g_1) (g_{21} \gamma^{-1}(h_1)) \lambda(\gamma(g_{22}) h_2) \\ &\stackrel{(22),(23)}{=} S(g_1) \lambda(g_2 \gamma^{-1}(h_2)). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (25) is similar and left to the reader. \square

2. Induction Functor

In this section, we will investigate a revised induction functor for a Hom-Doi-Hopf module.

In what follows, we will first recall the notion of a torsion theory in [12].

Definition 2.1. ([12]) Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian theory. A torsion theory is given by a pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ of full and replete (i.e. isomorphism closed) subcategories of \mathcal{C} such that:

(I) For any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow X \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0,$$

where 0 is the zero object in \mathcal{C} , $T \in \mathcal{U}$, $F \in \mathcal{V}$.

(II) The only morphism $f : T \rightarrow F$ from $T \in \mathcal{U}$ to $F \in \mathcal{V}$ is the zero morphism.

When $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a torsion theory, \mathcal{U} is called the *torsion class* of \mathcal{C} , and \mathcal{V} its *torsion-free class*.

A torsion theory $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is *hereditary* if the torsion class \mathcal{U} is closed under subobjects.

Lemma 2.2. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$. Then:

(I) The map $\pi_M : M \rightarrow M^{\text{co}H}$, $m \mapsto \lambda(m_{[1]}) \mu(m_{[0]})$ is an idempotent surjection of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

(II) If we denote $\kappa(M) = \{m \in M \mid \pi_M(m \cdot a) = 0, \forall a \in A\}$, then $\kappa(M)$ is an object of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$.

(III) $\kappa(M/\kappa(M)) = \bar{0}$.

(IV) $(M/\kappa(M))^{\text{co}H} \cong M^{\text{co}H}$ as right B -modules.

Proof. (I) Firstly, π_M is surjective because λ is normalized. Now we show that π_M is well-defined. In fact, for any $m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M(m)_{[0]} \otimes \pi_M(m)_{[1]} &= \mu(m_{[0][0]}) \otimes \alpha(m_{[0][1]}) \lambda(m_{[1]}) \\ &\stackrel{(17)}{=} m_{[0]} \otimes \alpha(m_{[1]1}) \lambda \alpha(m_{[1]2}) = \mu^{-1}(\pi_M(m)) \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we immediately obtain that $\pi_M \circ \pi_M = \pi_M$. Meanwhile, for any $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M(m \cdot b) &= \lambda(m_{[1]}b_{[1]})\mu(m_{[0]}) \cdot \beta((b_{[0]})) \\ &= \lambda(m_{[1]})\mu(m_{[0]}) \cdot b = \pi_M(m) \cdot b, \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof of (I).

(II) Obviously, $\kappa(M)$ is an (A, α) -Hom-submodule of M . On the other hand, for any $a \in A$ and $m \in \kappa(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M(m_{[0]} \cdot a) \otimes m_{[1]} &= \lambda(m_{[0][1]}a_{[1]})\mu(m_{[0][0]}) \cdot \alpha(a_{[0]}) \otimes m_{[1]} \\ &\stackrel{(17)}{=} \lambda(m_{[1]}a_{[1]})m_{[0]} \cdot \alpha(a_{[0]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]2}) \\ &\stackrel{(25),(17)}{=} \lambda(m_{[1]})\gamma^2(a_{[0][1]})m_{[0]} \cdot \alpha^2(a_{[0][0]}) \otimes S(\gamma(a_{[1]})) \\ &= \mu^{-1}(m \cdot \alpha^2(a_{[0]})) \otimes S(\gamma(a_{[1]})) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

therefore $m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]} \in \kappa(M) \otimes H$, implying that $\kappa(M)$ is a subobject of M in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$.

(III) First we need to show that $\pi_M(\kappa(M)) \subseteq \kappa(M)$. Indeed, for any $a \in A$ and $m \in \kappa(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M(\pi_M(m) \cdot a) &= \lambda(\gamma(m_{[0][1]}a_{[1]})\lambda(m_{[1]})\mu^2(m_{[0][0]}) \cdot \alpha(a_{[0]})) \\ &\stackrel{(17)}{=} \lambda(\gamma(m_{[1]}a_{[1]})\lambda(m_{[1]2})\mu(m_{[0]}) \cdot \alpha(a_{[0]})) \\ &\stackrel{(25),(17)}{=} \lambda(\gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]}a_{[0][1]})\lambda(S(a_{[1]}))\mu(m_{[0]}) \cdot \alpha^2(a_{[0][0]})) \\ &= \pi_M(m \cdot \alpha(a_{[0]}))\lambda(S(a_{[1]})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m + \kappa(M) \in M/\kappa(M)$ such that $\pi_{M/\kappa(M)}(m \cdot a + \kappa(M)) = \bar{0}$. Since $\pi_{M/\kappa(M)}(m \cdot a + \kappa(M)) = \pi_M(m \cdot a) + \kappa(M)$, thus $\pi_M(m \cdot a) \in \kappa(M)$. By (I) we have $\pi_M(m \cdot a) = \pi_M^2(m \cdot a) = 0$, implying that $m \in \kappa(M)$. Hence $\kappa(M/\kappa(M)) = \bar{0}$.

(IV) We begin by proving that the functor $(-)^{\text{coH}}$ is exact. By Proposition 1.10, we know that it is left exact. We only need to show that it is also right exact. In fact, for any surjective morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ and $n \in N^{\text{coH}}$, there exists $m \in M$ such that $f(m) = n$. Since f is right (H, γ) -colinear, we obtain that $f(m_{[0]}) \otimes m_{[1]} = \nu^{-1}(n) \otimes 1$. Applying λ on the second tensorand of both sides, we get $f(\pi_M(m)) = n$ as required.

Next, for any $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, $\kappa(M)^{\text{coH}} = 0$. Indeed, for any $m \in \kappa(M)^{\text{coH}}$, then $m \in \kappa(M) \cap M^{\text{coH}}$, thus $m = \pi_M(m) = 0$, implying that $f|_{M^{\text{coH}}} : M^{\text{coH}} \rightarrow N^{\text{coH}}$ is surjective in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$. So the functor $(-)^{\text{coH}}$ is exact.

Now, since $(-)^{\text{coH}}$ is exact,

$$(M/\kappa(M))^{\text{coH}} \cong M^{\text{coH}}/\kappa(M)^{\text{coH}} = M^{\text{coH}},$$

thus we complete the proof. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2, we immediately obtain the following result:

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a hereditary torsion theory $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, where $\mathcal{U} = \{T \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H \mid \kappa(T) = T\}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \{F \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H \mid \kappa(F) = 0\}$.*

By Lemma 2.2 (II), we can induce a factor Hom-Doi-Hopf module

$$N\bar{\otimes}_B A = (N \otimes_B A) / \kappa(N \otimes_B A), \quad N \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

We denote by $n\bar{\otimes}_B a$ the image of the element $n \otimes_B a \in N \otimes_B A$ in $N\bar{\otimes}_B A$. Moreover, if $M, P \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ and $f : M \rightarrow P$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, then f maps $\kappa(M)$ to $\kappa(P)$. So it induces a morphism $\bar{f} : M/\kappa(M) \rightarrow P/\kappa(P)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$. For simplicity, we write $M/\kappa(M)$ as \bar{M} .

Proposition 2.4. *As mentioned above, $(-\bar{\otimes}_B A, (-)^{\text{coH}})$ is an adjoint pair of functors.*

Proof. Let $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ and $(N, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}_B$, we define

$$\chi : \text{Hom}_{(A,H)}(N \overline{\otimes}_B A, \overline{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(N, M^{\text{coH}}), \quad \chi(f)(n) = f(n \overline{\otimes}_B 1),$$

for any $n \in N$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{(A,H)}(N \overline{\otimes}_B A, \overline{M})$. It is easy to see that $\chi(f)$ is right B -Hom-linear and $\chi(f)(n) \in \overline{M}^{\text{coH}}$, hence it also belongs to M^{coH} by Lemma 2.2 (IV). Therefore χ is well-defined.

Consider another map $\omega : \text{Hom}_B(N, M^{\text{coH}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{(A,H)}(N \overline{\otimes}_B A, \overline{M})$ by $\omega(g)(n \overline{\otimes}_B a) = \overline{\mu^{-1}(g(n)) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a)}$ for any $g \in \text{Hom}_B(N, M^{\text{coH}})$ and $n \overline{\otimes}_B a \in N \overline{\otimes}_B A$.

Now we have to show that χ is the inverse of ω . In fact, for any $a \in A$, $n \in N$, $g \in \text{Hom}_B(N, M^{\text{coH}})$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{(A,H)}(N \overline{\otimes}_B A, \overline{M})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi \circ \omega)(g)(n) &= \chi(\omega(g))(n) = \overline{\mu^{-1}(g(n)) \cdot 1} \stackrel{(14)}{=} \overline{g(n)} = g(n); \\ (\omega \circ \chi)(f)(n \overline{\otimes}_B a) &= \overline{\mu^{-1}(\chi(f)(n)) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a)} \\ &= \overline{\mu^{-1}(f(n \overline{\otimes}_B 1)) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a)} = \overline{f(\nu^{-1}(n) \overline{\otimes}_B 1) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a)} = f(n \overline{\otimes}_B a), \end{aligned}$$

so $\chi \circ \omega = id_{\text{Hom}_B(N, M^{\text{coH}})}$ and $\omega \circ \chi = id_{\text{Hom}_{(A,H)}(N \overline{\otimes}_B A, \overline{M})}$ if we notice that $\overline{M^{\text{coH}}} = M^{\text{coH}}$. \square

The remainder of this section will be devoted to the discussion of equivalence between the full subcategory of all 0-generated Hom-Doi-Hopf modules which are torsion free and \mathcal{M}_B .

Definition 2.5. Let $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$. It is called 0-generated if $M = M^{\text{coH}}$.

If every object in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ is 0-generated, then the category $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ is called 0-generated.

Lemma 2.6. Assume that $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ is a 0-generated Hom-Doi-Hopf module and $(N, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}_B$. Then for any morphism $\theta \in \text{Hom}_B(M^{\text{coH}}, N)$, there exists a unique morphism $\vartheta \in \text{Hom}_{(A,H)}(M, N \overline{\otimes}_B A)$ such that $\vartheta|_{M^{\text{coH}}} = \theta \overline{\otimes}_B 1_A$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.10 the counit $\delta_{(M,\mu)} : M^{\text{coH}} \otimes_B A \rightarrow M$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, and its kernel $\ker(\delta_{(M,\mu)})$ is a torsion Hom-Doi-Hopf submodule of $M^{\text{coH}} \otimes_B A$. Since (M, μ) is 0-generated, $\delta_{(M,\mu)}$ is an epimorphism. It implies that the statements hold if and only if there is a unique morphism $\phi : M^{\text{coH}} \otimes_B A \rightarrow N \overline{\otimes}_B A$ such that $\phi(\ker(\delta_{(M,\mu)})) = 0$ and $\phi \circ (id_{M^{\text{coH}}} \otimes_B 1_A) = \theta \overline{\otimes}_B 1_A$.

It is clear that

$$\phi : M^{\text{coH}} \otimes_B A \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes_B id_A} N \otimes_B A \longrightarrow N \overline{\otimes}_B A$$

is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ such that the above statements are true. We still have to prove that $\ker(\delta_{(M,\mu)}) \subseteq \ker(\phi)$. It is obviously satisfied because $\ker(\delta_{(M,\mu)}) \subseteq \kappa(M^{\text{coH}} \otimes_B A) \subseteq \ker(\phi)$ since $N \overline{\otimes}_B A$ is torsion free. \square

Lemma 2.7. For any $(N, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}_B$, the factor unit $\overline{\eta}_N : N \rightarrow (N \overline{\otimes}_B A)^{\text{coH}}$ is bijective.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 (Iv), it suffice to prove that the unit

$$\eta_N : N \rightarrow (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{coH}}$$

is an isomorphism for any $(N, \nu) \in \mathcal{M}_B$. We define the map

$$\xi_N : (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{coH}} \rightarrow N, \quad \xi_N(n \otimes_B a) = n \cdot \pi_A(a),$$

and claim that it is the inverse of η_N . Indeed, for any $n \in N$, $a \in A$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_N \circ \eta_N)(n) &= \xi_N(\nu^{-1}(n) \otimes_B 1) = \nu^{-1}(n) \cdot 1 = n; \\ (\eta_N \circ \xi_N)(n \otimes_B a) &= \nu^{-1}(n \cdot \pi_A(a)) \otimes_B 1_A = \nu^{-1}(n) \cdot a_{[0]} \lambda(a_{[1]}) \otimes_B 1_A \\ &= \nu^{-1}(n) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a) \otimes_B 1_A = n \otimes_B a, \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. \square

Lemma 2.8. For any 0-generated $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, the factor counit

$$\overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}} : M^{\text{coH}} \overline{\otimes}_B A \rightarrow \overline{M}$$

is bijective.

Proof. We first suppose that (M, μ) is torsion free, that is $\overline{M} = M$. By Lemma 2.6, there is a unique Hom-Doi-Hopf module morphism ϑ such that $\vartheta|_{M^{\text{coH}}} = \text{id}_{M^{\text{coH}}} \overline{\otimes}_B 1_A$. Meanwhile since (M, μ) is torsion free, by the definition of χ , which is bijective in Proposition 2.4, there exists a unique Hom-Doi-Hopf module morphism $\varphi : M^{\text{coH}} \overline{\otimes}_B A \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi \circ (\text{id}_{M^{\text{coH}}} \overline{\otimes}_B 1_A) = \text{id}_{M^{\text{coH}}}$. Note that $\varphi = \overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}}$ by the uniqueness. Combining the foregoing two assertions, we can obtain a Hom-Doi-Hopf morphism $\overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}} \circ \vartheta$ that restricts to the identity on M^{coH} .

For any $m \in M$, there exists $x \in M^{\text{coH}}$ and $a \in A$ such that $m = x \cdot a$ since (M, μ) is 0-generated. Thus $(\overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}} \circ \vartheta)(m) = (\overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}} \circ \vartheta)(x \cdot a) = (\overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}} \circ \vartheta)(x) \cdot a = x \cdot a = m$, which implies that ϑ is injective. On the other hand, by the construction of ϑ in Lemma 2.6, we know that ϕ is the surjection from $M^{\text{coH}} \overline{\otimes}_B A$ to $M^{\text{coH}} \overline{\otimes}_B A$ and $\phi = \vartheta \circ \overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}}$. Thus ϑ is surjective and hence an isomorphism. Then so is $\overline{\delta_{(M, \mu)}}$.

Now for any 0-generated $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$, the factor $(\overline{M} = M/\kappa(M), \overline{\mu}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ is torsion free and 0-generated too. So $\overline{M} \cong \overline{M}^{\text{coH}} \overline{\otimes}_B A \cong M^{\text{coH}} \overline{\otimes}_B A$. \square

As a consequence of the above argument, we have the following main result.

Theorem 2.9. The pair of functors $(-\overline{\otimes}_B A, (-)^{\text{coH}})$ forms an equivalence between the full subcategory of all 0-generated Hom-Doi-Hopf modules which are torsion free and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Corollary 2.10. If $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ is 0-generated and torsion free, then $(-\overline{\otimes}_B A, (-)^{\text{coH}})$ forms an equivalence between $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}^H$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her constructive comments.

References

- [1] A.Makhlouf, S.D.Silvestrov, Hom-algebra Structures, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008) 51–64.
- [2] A.Makhlouf, S.D.Silvestrov, Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, J. Gen. Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and beyond, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2009) 189–206.
- [3] A.Makhlouf, S.D.Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010) 553–589.
- [4] A.Makhlouf, F.Panaite, Yetter-Drinfeld Modules for Hom-bialgebras, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014):013501.
- [5] D.Yau, Hom-quantum groups I: Quasitriangular Hom-bialgebras, J. Phys. A 45 (2012):065203.
- [6] D.Yau, Hom-quantum groups II: Cobraided Hom-bialgebras and Hom-quantum Geometry, arxiv:0907.1880.
- [7] D.Yau, Hom-quantum groups III: Representations and Module Hom-algebras, arXiv:0911.54402.
- [8] F.Van Oystaeyen, Zhang Yinhua, Induction functors and stable Clifford theory for Hopf modules, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 107 (1996) 337–351.
- [9] Ling Jia, Xiaoyuan Chen, Hom-Hopf algebras Arising from (Co)-braided Hom-Hopf Algebras, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 40 (2016) 511–527.
- [10] S.Caenepeel, I.Goyvaerts, Monoidal Hom-Hopf Algebras, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011) 2216–2240.
- [11] S.Caenepeel, S.Raianu, F.Van Oystaeyen, Induction and coinduction for Hopf algebras: applications, J. Algebra 165 (1994) 204–222.
- [12] S.E.Dickson, A torsion theory for abelian categories, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1998) 47–62.