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#### Abstract

We consider the Duhamel equation


```
\varphi \otimes f = g
```

in the subspace
$C_{x y}^{\infty}=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}([0,1] \times[0,1]): f(x, y)=F(x y)\right.$ for some $\left.F \in C^{\infty}[0,1]\right\}$
of the space $C^{\infty}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ and prove that if $\varphi I_{x y=0} \neq 0$, then this equation is uniquely solvable in $C_{x y}^{\infty}$. The commutant of the restricted double integration operator $W_{x y} f(x y):=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f(t \tau) d \tau d t$ on $C_{x y}^{\infty}$ is also described. Some other related questions are also discussed.

## 1. Introduction

Let $C^{\infty}:=C^{\infty}([0,1] \times[0,1])$ be the Fréchet space of infinitely differentiable functions in the square $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. The Duhamel product in $C^{\infty}$ is defined by the formula (see Merryfield and Watson [12]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \circledast g)(x, y):=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f(x-t, y-\tau) g(t, \tau) d \tau d t . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that the Duhamel product is widely applied in various questions of analysis, especially, in the theory of differential equations, in mathematical physics (Merryfield and Watson [12], Wigley [19, 20]) and in operator theory; see, for instance, Ivanova and Melikhov [2] and references therein. For applications of Duhamel products in description of invariant subspaces of integration operators, we refer to the papers $[7,10,17,18]$. Recall that the commutant of the bounded linear operator $A$ acting in $C^{\infty}$, i.e., $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{\infty}\right)$ is defined by $\{A\}^{\prime}:=\left\{B \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{\infty}\right): B A=A B\right\}$.

Recall that the double integration operator $W$ is defined in $C^{\infty}$ by the formula

$$
(W f)(x, y):=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} f(t, \tau) d \tau d t, f \in C^{\infty}([0,1] \times[0,1])
$$

[^0]We set

$$
C_{x y}^{\infty}:=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}: f(x, y)=g(x y) \text { for some } g \in C^{\infty}[0,1]\right\}
$$

It can be easily shown that $C_{x y}^{\infty}$ is the closed subspace of $C^{\infty}$ and $W C_{x y}^{\infty} \subset C_{x y}^{\infty}$, i.e., $C_{x y}^{\infty}$ is the invariant subspace of the integration operator $W$. We set $W_{x y}:=\left.W\right|_{C_{x y}^{\infty}}$.

In this article, which is motivated with papers [8] and [14], we study uniqueness of Duhamel equations related to the commutant of double integration operator $W_{x y}$ on $C_{x y}^{\infty}$.

## 2. Description of the commutant $\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$

Note that the study of commutant of a given operator $A$ is one of the important problems of operator theory on topological spaces, including Banach spaces. For example, it is enough to remember the celebrated Lomonosov's theorem on the existence of closed nontrivial hyperinvariant subspaces of compact operators on a Banach space $X$ (recall that a closed subspace $E \subset X$ is called hyperinvariant subspace for the operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, if it is invariant for any operator $B$ in $\left.\{A\}^{\prime}\right)$. In this section, we describe in terms of Duhamel operators the commutant $\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$ of the operator $W_{x y}$ on $C_{x y}^{\infty}$. Recall that the topology in $C^{\infty}$ is given by the family of the seminorms $\left\{P_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(f)=\max \left\{\max _{(x, y) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]}\left|\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^{\alpha_{1}} \partial y^{\alpha_{2}}} f(x, y)\right|:|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=0,1, \ldots, n\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that the Duhamel operator $D_{f}, D_{f} g:=f \circledast g$, is the continuous operator on $C^{\infty}$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}$, in particular, for any $f \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ the Duhamel operator $D_{f}, D_{f} g(x y)=(f \circledast g)(x y)$, is continuous in $C_{x y}^{\infty}$. In general, by using the method of the paper [8], it can be proved that $\left(C^{\infty}, \circledast\right)$ and $\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}, \circledast\right)$ are algebras (we omit it).

Theorem 2.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}\right)$ be an operator. Then $T \in\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$, i.e., $T W_{x y}=W_{x y} T$, if and only if there exists a function $\varphi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ such that $T=D_{\varphi}$, where $D_{\varphi}$ is the Duhamel operator defined by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(D_{\varphi} f\right)(x y) \\
& =(\varphi \circledast f)(x y)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \varphi((x-t)(y-\tau)) f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\left.\varphi\right|_{x y=0} f(x y)+\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left[\varphi_{y}((x-t)(y-\tau))+(x-t)(y-\tau) \varphi_{x y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right] f(t \tau) d \tau d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi_{y}:=\frac{\partial \varphi(x y)}{\partial y}$ and $\varphi_{x y}:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \varphi(x y)$.
Proof. We use an idea of the paper [14]; for the sake of completeness we provide here details. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}\right)$, i.e., $T W_{x y}=W_{x y} T$. Then we have $T W_{x y}(x y)^{k}=W_{x y} T(x y)^{k}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots$, whence by computing $W_{x y}(x y)^{k}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}(t \tau)^{k} d \tau d t\right) & =T\left(\int_{0}^{x} t^{k}\left(\int_{0}^{y} \tau^{k} d \tau\right) d t\right) \\
& =T \int_{0}^{x} t^{k} \frac{\tau^{k+1}}{k+1} d t \\
& =T\left(\frac{x^{k+1} y^{k+1}}{(k+1)^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{(k+1)^{2}} T(x y)^{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x y)^{k+1}=(k+1)^{2} W_{x y} T(x y)^{k}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=0,1, \ldots$. For (3) we get by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x y)^{k}=W_{x y}^{k} T \prod_{m=1}^{k} m^{2}(k=1,2, \ldots) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for $k=1$, we obtain from (3) that $T(x y)=W_{x y} T \mathbf{1}$, as desired. Assume for $k=n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x y)^{n}=W_{x y}^{n} T 1 \prod_{m=1}^{k} m^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k=n+1$ we have from (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x y)^{n+1}=(n+1)^{2} W_{x y} T(x y)^{n} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering (5), we have from the latter equality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(x y)^{n+1} & =(n+1)^{2} W_{x y}\left(W_{x y}^{n} T \mathbf{1} \prod_{m=1}^{n} m^{2}\right) \\
& =W_{x y}^{n+1} T \mathbf{1}(n+1)^{2} \prod_{m=1}^{n} m^{2}=W_{x y}^{n+1} T 1 \prod_{m=1}^{n+1} m^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (4). Now we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{x y}^{k} f\right)(x y)=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{[(x-t)(y-\tau)]^{k-1}}{[(k-1)!]^{2}} f(t \tau) d \tau d t . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{x y}^{k} f\right)(x y)=\frac{(x y)^{k}}{[k!]^{2}} \circledast f(x y) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=0,1, \ldots$. In fact, it follows from that (1) that the constant function $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit of the algebra $\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}, \circledast\right)$ and $W_{x y}^{k} f(x y)=x y \circledast f(x y)$ for every $f \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$. So, by induction we have equality (8) (the details are omitted).

Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(W_{x y}^{k} f\right)(x y) & =\frac{(x y)^{k}}{[k!]^{2}} \circledast f(x y)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{[(x-t)(y-\tau)]^{k}}{[k!]^{2}} f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\frac{1}{(k!)^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} k^{2}[(x-t)(y-\tau)]^{k-1} f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}[(k-1)!]^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}[(x-t)(y-\tau)]^{k-1} f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{[(x-t)(y-\tau)]^{k-1}}{[(k-1)!]^{2}} f(t \tau) d \tau d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (7).
Now, formulas (4) and (7) together yield

$$
T(x y)^{k}=\prod_{m=1}^{k} m^{2} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{[(x-t)(y-\tau)]^{k-1}}{[(k-1)!]^{2}} T \mathbf{1} d \tau d t
$$

for all $k \geq 0$, and hence

$$
T(x y)^{k}=(x y)^{k} \circledast T \mathbf{1}(k \geq 0)
$$

which shows that

$$
T p(x y)=T \mathbf{1} \circledast p(x y)
$$

for all polynomials $p$. From this, by considering that every Duhamel operator $D_{g}$ with $g \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ is continuous on $C_{x y}^{\infty}$, we deduce by Weierstrass approximation theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T f)(x y) & =D_{T 1} f(x y) \\
& =T \mathbf{1} \circledast f(x y)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}(T \mathbf{1})((x-t)(y-\tau)) f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}(T \mathbf{1})((x-t)(y-\tau)) f(t \tau) d \tau d t+(T \mathbf{1})(0) f(x y) \\
& =\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left[(x-t)(y-\tau)(T \mathbf{1})_{x y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right. \\
& \left.+(T \mathbf{1})_{y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right] f(t \tau) d \tau d t+(T \mathbf{1})(0) f(x y)
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $\varphi:=T 1$. Clearly $\varphi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$. Thus, we have

$$
(T f)(x y)=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left[\varphi_{y}((x-t)(y-\tau))+(x-t)(y-\tau) \varphi_{x y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right] f(t \tau) d \tau d t+\varphi(0) f(x y)
$$

that is

$$
(T f)(x y)=\varphi(x y) \circledast f(x y)=\left(D_{\varphi} f\right)(x y)
$$

for all $f \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ and some $\varphi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$.
Conversely, if $\varphi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$, then the Duhamel operator $D_{\varphi}$ commutes with $W_{x y}$, i.e., $D_{\varphi} \in\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$. Since $\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}, \circledast\right)$ is an algebra, we conclude that $D_{\varphi}$ is a continuous linear operator on $C_{x y}^{\infty}$. This proves the theorem.

Let $\{A\}^{\prime \prime}$ denotes the bicommutant of the operator $A \in \mathcal{L}\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}\right)$,i.e., $\{A\}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{X \in \mathcal{L}\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}\right): X T=T X\right.$ for all $\left.T \in\{A\}^{\prime}\right\}$.
Corollary 2.2. $\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$.
Proof. In order to prove that $\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$, it is enough to show that $T_{1} T_{2}=T_{2} T_{1}$ for any $T_{1}, T_{2} \in\left\{W_{x y}\right\}^{\prime}$. In fact, by Theorem 1, there exist $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{1} f\right)(x y) & =\varphi_{1}(0) f(x y)+\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left[\varphi_{1, y}((x-t)(y-\tau))+(x-t)(y-\tau) \varphi_{1, x y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right] f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\left(\varphi_{1}(0) I+K_{\varphi_{1}}\right) f(x y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{2} f\right)(x y) & =\varphi_{2}(0) f(x y)+\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left[\varphi_{2, y}((x-t)(y-\tau))+(x-t)(y-\tau) \varphi_{2, x y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right] f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\left(\varphi_{2}(0) I+K_{\varphi_{2}}\right) f(x y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$, where

$$
\varphi_{i, y}:=\frac{\partial \varphi_{i}(x y)}{\partial y} \text { and } \varphi_{i, x y}:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \varphi_{i}(x y) \quad(i=1,2)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\varphi_{i}} f(x y) & :=\left(\varphi_{i} \circledast f\right)(x y) \\
& =\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} \varphi_{i}((x-t)(y-\tau)) f(t \tau) d \tau d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left[\varphi_{i, y}((x-t)(y-\tau))+(x-t)(y-\tau) \varphi_{i, x y}((x-t)(y-\tau))\right] f(t \tau) d \tau d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

$i=1,2$. Since $K_{\varphi_{1}} K_{\varphi_{2}}=K_{\varphi_{2}} K_{\varphi_{1}}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} T_{2} & =\left(\varphi_{1}(0) I+K_{\varphi_{1}}\right)\left(\varphi_{2}(0) I+K_{\varphi_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\varphi_{2}(0) I+K_{\varphi_{2}}\right)\left(\varphi_{1}(0) I+K_{\varphi_{1}}\right)=T_{2} T_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
The related results for the commutant of integration and generalized integration operators are given, for instance, in $[1,3,13,15,16]$.

## 3. Uniqueness of solutions of Duhamel equations

In the present section, we study uniqueness of the Duhamel equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi \circledast f=g, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ and $g$ are given functions in $C_{x y}^{\infty}$. First we prove the following main lemma. It generalizes Lemma 2.2 of the paper [8].

Lemma 3.1. If $f \in\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}, \circledast\right)$, then $f$ is $\circledast$-invertible if and only $\left.f\right|_{x y=0} \neq 0$.
Proof. The proof of the implication $\Longrightarrow$ is trivial. Indeed, if $f$ is $\circledast$-invertible, there exists $g \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ such that $f \circledast g=\mathbf{1}$, which implies that $\mathbf{1}=\left.(f \circledast g)\right|_{x y=0}=\left.\left.f\right|_{x y=0}\right|_{x y=0}$, which shows that $\left.f\right|_{x y=0} \neq 0$.

Conversely, we now prove that if $\left.f\right|_{x y=0} \neq 0$, then $f$ is $a \circledast$-invertible element of the algebra $\left(C_{x y}^{\infty}, \circledast\right)$. We assume without loss of generality that $\left.f\right|_{x y=0}=1$. Obviously, $f(x y)=\mathbf{1}-h(x y)$, where $h \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ and $h h_{x y=0}=0$. Choose $M>0$ such that $\left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h(x y)\right| \leq M$ for all $x \in[0,1]$ and $y \in[0,1]\left(\right.$ since $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h(x y)$ is continuous on $\left.[0,1] \times[0,1]\right)$. Then it is clear that

$$
|h(x y)|=\left|\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h(t \tau) d \tau d t\right| \leq M(x y)
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$ and $y \in[0,1]$. By the symbol $h^{[n]}$ we denote the $\circledast-$ product of $h$ with it self $n$ times for $n \geq 0$, i.e., $h^{[n]}=h(x y) \overbrace{\odot \ldots . . . \circledast} h(x y)$, where $h^{[0]}:=1$.

It follows from the definition of the Duhamel product $\circledast$ (see formula(1)) that

$$
\begin{align*}
(f \circledast g)(x, y) & =\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} f(x-t, y-\tau) g(t, \tau) d \tau d t+\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x-t, 0) g(t, \tau) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(0, y-\tau) g(t, \tau) d \tau+f(0,0) g(x, y) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $f, g \in C^{\infty}([0,1] \times[0,1])$. In particular, for functions $f, g \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ we get from (10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \circledast g)(x, y)=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} f((x-t, y-\tau)) g(t, \tau) d \tau d t+\left.f\right|_{x y=0} g(x y) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\right.$ since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f((x-t) 0)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(0)=0$ and $\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(0(y-\tau))=\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(0)=0\right)$.
Now we prove by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h^{[n]}(x y)\right| \leq \frac{M^{m}(x y)^{m}}{(m!)^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h^{[m]}(x y)\right| \leq \frac{M^{m}(x y)^{m-1}}{((m-1)!)^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in[0,1]$.
In fact, assume that the inequalities (12) and (13) hold for $m=n$, and prove that they are true also for $m=n+1$. For this purpose, by considering (11), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h^{[n+1]}(x y)\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2} h((x-t)(y-\tau))}{\partial x \partial y} h^{[n]}(t \tau) d \tau d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M^{n+1}}{(n!)^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} t^{n} \tau^{n} d \tau d t=\frac{M^{n+1}(x y)^{n+1}}{((n+1)!)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h^{[n]}(x y)\right| & \left.=\left|\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}} h((x-t)(y-\tau)) h^{[n]}(t \tau) d \tau d t+\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x \partial y}\right|_{x y=0} h^{[n]}(x y) \right\rvert\, \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h((x-t)(y-\tau)) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h^{[n]}((x-t)(y-\tau)) d \tau d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M^{n+1}}{((n-1)!)^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}(t \tau)^{n-1} d \tau d t \\
& =\frac{M^{n+1}(x y)^{n}}{((n)!)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (12) implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|h^{[n]}(x y)\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n}(x y)^{n}}{((n)!)^{2}}$, that is, the series

$$
g(x y):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^{[n]}(x y),
$$

is majorized by the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n}}{((n)!)^{2}}=$ : L. This means that the function series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^{[n]}(x y)$ with $h^{[n]} \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ $(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ converges uniformly in $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. In order to prove that $g \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$,we have to prove that for any integer $k>0$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y), \text { where } k=\alpha+\beta
$$

converges uniformly in $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. Indeed, choose $N_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)\right| \leq N_{n}
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$ and $y \in[0,1]$. Since $\left.h\right|_{x y=0}=0$, it is easy to verify that

$$
\left.h^{[k]}\right|_{x y=0}=\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y} h^{[n]}(x y)\right|_{x y=0}=\ldots=\left.\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial x^{k_{1}} \partial y^{k_{2}}} h^{[k]}(x y)\right|_{x y=0}=0
$$

for each $k \geq 2$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y) & =\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}\left[\left(h^{[k]} \circledast h^{[n-k]}\right)(x y)\right] \\
& =\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}\left[\left(h^{[k]} *\left(h^{[n-k]}\right)_{x y}\right)(x y)\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[k]} *\left(h^{[n-k]}\right)_{x y}\right)(x y),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)=\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[k]} *\left(h^{[n-k]}\right)_{x y}\right)(x y) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (12) , (13) and (14), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)\right| & =\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)\right|+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)\right| \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\left|\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}\left(h^{[k]} *\left(h^{[n-k]}\right)_{x y}\right)(x y)\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\left|\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[k]}((x-t)(y-\tau))\left(h^{[n-k]}\right)_{x y}(t \tau) d \tau d t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+N_{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y}\left|\left(h^{[n-k]}\right)_{x y}(t \tau) d \tau d t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+N_{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n-k}}{((n-k-1)!)^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{y} t^{n-k-1} \tau^{n-k-1} d \tau d t \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+N_{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n-k}}{((n-k)!)^{2}}(x y)^{n-k} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+N_{k} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{M^{n-k}}{((n-k)!)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} h^{[n]}(x y)$ is majorized by the number series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}=\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} N_{n}+N_{k} L
$$

where

$$
a_{n}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
N_{n}, & \text { if } 0 \leq n \leq k-1 \\
N_{k} \frac{M^{n-k}}{((n-k)!)^{2}}, & \text { if } n \geq k
\end{array},\right.
$$

which implies that $g \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$. Since

$$
(f \circledast g)(x y)=((1-h) \circledast g)(x y)=\left((1-h) \circledast \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h^{[n]}\right)(x y)=1,
$$

we deduce that $f$ is $\circledast$-invertible. The proof of lemma is completed.
Our next result is about the uniqueness of equation (9).
Theorem 3.2. If $\varphi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{x y=0} \neq 0$, then equation (9) has a unique solution for any right-hand side $g \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$.
Proof. Indeed, since $\varphi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$ and $\left.\varphi\right|_{x y=0} \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma1 that $\varphi$ is $\circledast$-invertible in $C_{x y}^{\infty}$. Let $\psi:=\varphi^{-1 \circledast}$, then $\psi \in C_{x y}^{\infty}$. Therefore we have from (9) that

$$
\psi \circledast(\varphi \circledast f)=\psi \circledast g
$$

hence $(\psi \circledast \varphi) \circledast f=\psi \circledast g$, or equivalently $\mathbf{1} \circledast f=\psi \circledast g$. Thus $f=\psi \circledast g$, which obviously shows that the solution of the Duhamel equation (9) exists (since $D_{\varphi}$ is the invertible operator on $C_{x y}^{\infty}$ ) and it is unique. The theorem is proven.

Other applications of Duhamel products are given in [4-6, 9, 11, 15].
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