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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain some inequalities based on Casorati curvature for submanifolds in a real space form with a special kind of quarter-symmetric connection.


## 1. Introduction

Hayden [10] introduced the notion of a semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Nakao [21] studied submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connections. Agashe and Chafle [1,2] introduced the notion of a semi-symmetric non-metric connection and studied some of its properties and submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Chen[4] obtained a necessary condition for the existence of minimal isometric immersion from a given Riemannian manifold into Euclidean space and established a sharp inequality for a submanifold in a real space form using intrinsic invariants(scalar curvature, sectional curvature) and extrinsic invariant(squared mean curvature). The inequalities in this direction are known as Chen inequalities [5]. Mihia and Özgür derived the Chen inequalities for submanifolds of real space from with semi-symmetric metric connection and semi-symmetric non-metric connection [18,20]. The same authors extended the inequalities for complex space forms and sasakian space forms with semi-symmetric metric connections[19].

Casorati [3] introduced the notion of Casorati curvature(extrinsic invariant) defined as the normalized square length of the second fundamental form. The notion of Casorati curvature gives a better intuition of the curvature compared to Gaussian curvature. The geometrical meaning and the importance of the Casorati curvature discussed by some distinguished geometers [ $7,8,11$ ]. During the last decade, it becomes attractive area of research for geometers to obtain the optimal inequalities based on Casorati curvatures for various submanifolds of different ambient spaces [6, 12-16, 24, 25, 27].

The concept of "quarter-symmetric" connection was originally introduced by S. Golab [9]. Recently, in [23], authors introduced the special quater-symmetric connection and investigated Einstein warped products and multiply warped products. In 2019, Wang [26] obtained Chen inequalities for submanifolds of complex space forms and Sasakian space forms with special quarter-symmetric connections. The author [17] proved some basic inequalities in quatenionic settings with special quarter-symmetric connections.

[^0]In this paper, we obtain optimal inequalities for submanifolds in real space forms with special quartersymmetric connection. The chronology of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief introduction about the special quarter-symmetric connection. In the last section, we obtain some inequalities for generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures for submanifolds in real space forms with special quarter-symmetric connection.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric $g$ and $\tilde{\bar{\nabla}}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $\widetilde{M}$. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be a linear connection defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\tilde{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} Y+\Lambda_{1} \pi(Y) X-\Lambda_{2} g(X, Y) P \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X, Y$ vector fields on $\widetilde{M}, \Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$ are real constants and $P$ the vector field on $\widetilde{M}$ such that $\pi(X)=g(X, P)$, where $\pi$ is 1-form. If $\bar{\nabla} g=0$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is known as quarter -symmetric metric connection and if $\bar{\nabla} g \neq 0$, then $\bar{\nabla}$ is known as quarter -symmetric non-metric connection. The special cases of (1) can be obtained as
(i) when $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}=1$, then the above connection reduces to semi-symmetric metric connection.
(ii) when $\Lambda_{1}=1$ and $\Lambda_{2}=0$, then the above connection reduces to semi-symmetric non metric connection. The curvature tensor with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z=\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can define the curvature tensor with respect to $\overline{\bar{\nabla}}$.
Now, using (1), the curvature tensor takes the following form [26]

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) & =\overline{\bar{R}}(X, Y, Z, W)+\Lambda_{1} \alpha(X, Z) g(Y, W)-\Lambda_{1} \alpha(Y, Z) g(X, W) \\
& +\Lambda_{2} g(X, Z) \alpha(Y, W)-\Lambda_{2} g(Y, Z) \alpha(X, W)+\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) g(X, Z) \beta(Y, W)  \tag{3}\\
& -\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) g(Y, Z) \beta(X, W)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha(X, Y)=\left(\tilde{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} \pi\right)(Y)-\Lambda_{1} \pi(X) \pi(Y)+\frac{\Lambda_{2}}{2} g(X, Y) \pi(P)
$$

and

$$
\beta(X, Y)=\frac{\pi(P)}{2} g(X, Y)+\pi(X) \pi(Y)
$$

are ( 0,2 )-tensors. For simplicity, we denote by $\operatorname{tr}(\alpha)=a$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\beta)=b$.
Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold of an $m$-dimensional real space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$. On the submanifold $M$, we consider the induced quarter-symmetric connection denoted by $\nabla$ and the induced Levi-Civita connection denoted by $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Let $R$ and $\widetilde{R}$ be the curvature tensors of $\nabla$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Decomposing the vector field $P$ on $M$ uniquely into its tangent and normal components $P^{\top}$ and $P^{\perp}$, respectively, then we have $P=P^{\top}+P^{\perp}$. The Gauss formulas with respect to $\nabla$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(T M) \\
\widetilde{\bar{\nabla}}_{X} Y=\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y+\widetilde{h}(X, Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)
\end{array}
$$

where $\widetilde{h}$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $N$ and

$$
h(X, Y)=\widetilde{h}(X, Y)-\Lambda_{2} g(X, Y) P^{\perp}
$$

In $\widetilde{M}(c)$ we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}, \cdots, e_{m}\right\}$, such that, restricting to $M$, $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$. We write $h_{i j}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right)$. The squared length of $h$ is $\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right)$ and the mean curvature vector of $M$ associated to $\nabla$ is $H=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$. Similarly, the mean curvature vector of $M$ associated to $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is $\widetilde{H}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{h}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$. Let $\widetilde{M}(c)$ be an $m$-dimensional real space form of constant sectional curvature $c$ endowed with a quarter-symmetric connection satisfying (1). The curvature tensor $\widehat{\bar{R}}$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\bar{\nabla}}$ on $\widetilde{M}(c)$ is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\bar{R}}(X, Y, Z, W)=c\{g(X, W) g(Y, Z)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3) and (4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) & =c\{g(X, W) g(Y, Z)-g(X, Z) g(Y, W)\}+\Lambda_{1} \alpha(X, Z) g(Y, W) \\
& -\Lambda_{1} \alpha(Y, Z) g(X, W)+\Lambda_{2} g(X, Z) \alpha(Y, W)-\Lambda_{2} g(Y, Z) \alpha(X, W)  \tag{5}\\
& +\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) g(X, Z) \beta(Y, W)-\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) g(Y, Z) \beta(X, W) .
\end{align*}
$$

The Gauss equation takes the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W) & =R(X, Y, Z, W)-g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))+g(h(Y, W), h(X, Z)) \\
& +\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) g(h(Y, Z), P) g(X, W)+\left(\Lambda_{2}-\Lambda_{1}\right) g(h(X, Z), P) g(Y, W) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

For a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$, we denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of $M^{n}$ associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M^{n}, p \in M^{n}$. For an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of the tangent space $T_{p} M^{n}$, the scalar curvature $\tau$ is defined by

$$
\tau=\sum_{i<j} K_{i j},
$$

where $K_{i j}$ denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane section spanned by $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$. The normalized scalar curvature $\rho$ is defined as

$$
\rho=\frac{2 \tau}{n(n-1)} .
$$

The norm of the squared mean curvature of the submanifold is defined by

$$
\|H\|^{2}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{n+p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\gamma}\right)^{2},
$$

and the squared norm of second fundamental form $h$ is denoted by $C$ defined as

$$
C=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\gamma}\right)^{2},
$$

known as Casorati curvature of the submanifold.
If we suppose that $L$ be an $s$-dimensional subspace of $T M, s \geq 2$, and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{s}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L$. then the scalar curvature of the $s$-plane section $L$ is given as

$$
\tau(L)=\sum_{1 \leq \gamma<\beta \leq s} K\left(e_{\gamma} \wedge e_{\beta}\right)
$$

and the Casorati curvature $C$ of the subspace $L$ is as follows

$$
C(L)=\frac{1}{s} \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{s}\left(h_{i j}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}
$$

A point $p \in M$ is said to be an invariantly quasi-umbilical point if there exist $p$ mutually orthogonal unit normal vectors $\xi_{n+1}, \ldots, \xi_{n+p}$ such that the shape operators with respect to all directions $\xi_{\gamma}$ have an eigenvalue of multiplicity $n-1$ and that for each $\xi_{\gamma}$ the distinguished Eigen direction is the same. The submanifold is said to be an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold if each of its points is an invariantly quasi-umbilical point.

The normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(n-1)$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(n-1)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{c}(n-1)\right]_{p}=\frac{1}{2} C_{p}+\frac{n+1}{2 n} \inf \left\{C(L) \mid L: \text { a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(n-1)\right]_{p}=2 C_{p}+\frac{2 n-1}{2 n} \sup \left\{C(L) \mid L: \text { a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a positive real number $t \neq n(n-1)$, the generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures $\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)$ and $\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)$ are given as

$$
\left[\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)\right]_{p}=t C_{p}+\frac{(n-1)(n+t)\left(n^{2}-n-t\right)}{n t} \inf \left\{C(L) \mid L \text { : a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\}
$$

if $0<t<n^{2}-n$, and

$$
\left[\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)\right]_{p}=t C_{p}+\frac{(n-1)(n+t)\left(n^{2}-n-t\right)}{n t} \sup \left\{C(L) \mid L: \text { a hyperplane of } T_{p} M\right\}
$$

if $t>n^{2}-n$.
Now, we recall the following lemmas, which plays an important role for the proof of the main results.
Oprea[22] gives new direction to prove the Chen inequalities using optimization techniques. For a submanifold $(M, g)$ of a Riemannian manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ and $\mathcal{F}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a differential function. If we have a constrained problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{x \in M} \mathcal{F}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following result holds
Lemma 2.1. [22] Let $x_{0} \in M$ is the solution of the problem (9), then
(i) $(\operatorname{grad}(\mathcal{F}))\left(x_{\circ}\right) \in T_{x_{0}}^{\perp} M$
(ii) the bilinear form
$\mathcal{B}: T_{x_{0}} M \times T_{x_{0}} M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
$\mathcal{B}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hess}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y)+\widetilde{g}\left(h(X, Y),\left(\operatorname{grad}(\mathcal{F})\left(x_{\circ}\right)\right)\right.$
is positive semi-definite, where $h$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $\widetilde{M}$ and $\operatorname{grad}(\mathcal{F})$ if the gradient of $\mathcal{F}$.

## 3. Inequalities for generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvatures

Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional submanifold of an m-dimension real space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ endowed with a connection $\bar{\nabla}$, then
(i) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c-\frac{\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)}{n} a-\frac{\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)}{n} b-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) \pi(H) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t$ such that $0<t<n(n-1)$.
(ii) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c-\frac{\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)}{n} a-\frac{\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)}{n} b-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) \pi(H) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t>n(n-1)$. Moreover, the equality holds in (10) and (11) iff $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\widetilde{M}$, such that with respect to suitable tangent orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and normal orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, the shape operator $A_{\gamma} \equiv A_{e_{\gamma}}, \gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$, take the following form

$$
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
h_{11}^{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{12}\\
0 & h_{22}^{\gamma} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{33}^{\gamma} & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & h_{n-1 n-1}^{\gamma} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \frac{n(n-1)}{t} h_{n n}^{\gamma}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ be the orthonormal bases of $T_{p} M$ and $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ respectively at a point $p \in M$. Using (5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \tau(p)= & n(n-1) c-\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) a-\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) b  \tag{13}\\
& -\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) n \pi(H)+n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-n C .
\end{align*}
$$

Consider a polynomial $Q$ in the components of second fundamental form $h$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q= & t C+\frac{(n-1)(n+t)\left(n^{2}-n-t\right)}{n t} C(L)-2 \tau(p)+n(n-1) c \\
& -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) a-\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) b-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) n \pi(H),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L$ is hyperplane of tangent space at a point $p$. We assume that $L$ is spanned by $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ and $Q$ has an expression of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
Q= & \frac{t}{n} \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}+\frac{(n+t)\left(n^{2}-n-t\right)}{n t} \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n-1}\left(h_{i j}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}  \tag{14}\\
& -2 \tau(p)+n(n-1) c-\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) a \\
& -\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) b-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) n \pi(H) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (13) and (14), we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
Q= & \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[\left(\frac{\left(n^{2}+n t-n-2 t\right)}{t}\right)\left(h_{i i}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}+\frac{2(n+t)}{n}\left(h_{i n}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{m}\left[2\left(\frac{2(n+t)(n-1)}{t}\right) \sum_{(i<j)=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{(i<j)=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\gamma} h_{j j}^{\gamma}+\frac{t}{n}\left(h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \sum_{\gamma=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left[\left(\frac{\left(n^{2}+n(t-1)-2 t\right)}{t}\right)\left(h_{i i}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{(i<j)=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\gamma} h_{j j}^{\gamma}+\frac{t}{n}\left(h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

For $t=n+1, \ldots, m$, lets us have a quadratic form $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}\left(h_{11}^{\gamma}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{n^{2}+n(t-1)-2 t}{t}\left(h_{i i}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{(i<j)=1}^{n} h_{i i}^{\gamma} h_{j j}^{\gamma}+\frac{t}{n}\left(h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)^{2}
$$

and the optimization problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \mathcal{F}_{\gamma} \\
& \text { subject to } \quad G: h_{11}^{\gamma}+\cdots+h_{n n}^{\gamma}=c^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c^{\gamma}$ is a real constant. The partial derivatives of $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\gamma}}{\partial h_{i i}^{\gamma}}=\frac{2(n+t)(n-1)}{t} h_{i i}^{\gamma}-2 \sum_{l=1}^{n} h_{l l}^{\gamma}  \tag{16}\\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\gamma}}{\partial h_{n n}^{\gamma}}=\frac{2 t}{n} h_{n n}^{\gamma}-2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} h_{l l}^{\gamma}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i=\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}, i \neq j$, and $\gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$.
The vector $\operatorname{gradF}_{\gamma}$ is normal at $G$ for the optimal $\left(h_{11}^{\gamma}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)$ of the problem. that is, it is collinear with the vector $(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. Using (16), the critical point of the corresponding problem has the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{i i}^{\gamma}=\frac{t}{n(n-1)} v^{\gamma}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\},  \tag{17}\\
h_{n n}^{\gamma}=v^{\gamma}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By use of (17) and $\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} h_{i i}^{\gamma}=c^{\gamma}$, we arrive at

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{i i}^{\gamma}=\frac{t}{(n+t)(n-1)} c^{\gamma}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}  \tag{18}\\
h_{n n}^{\gamma}=\frac{n}{(n+t)} c^{\gamma} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For an arbitrary fixed point $p \in G$, the 2-form $\mathcal{B}: T_{p} G \times T_{p} G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hess}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}(X, Y)\right)+\left\langle h(X, Y),(\operatorname{grad}(\mathcal{F}))\left(x_{\circ}\right)\right\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ and $\langle$,$\rangle are the second fundamental form of G$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and standard inner product on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ respectively. The Hessian matrix of $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}$ is of the form

$$
\operatorname{Hess}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 \frac{(n+t)(n-1)}{t}-2 & -2 & \ldots & -2 & -2 \\
-2 & 2 \frac{(n+t)(n-1)}{t}-2 & \ldots & -2 & -2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-2 & -2 & \ldots & 2 \frac{(n+t)(n-1)}{t}-2 & -2 \\
-2 & -2 & \ldots & -2 & \frac{2 t}{n} .
\end{array}\right)
$$

Though $G$ is totally geodesic in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, take a tangent vector $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ at any arbitrary point $p$ on $G$, verifying the relation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=0$, we have the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(X, X) & =\frac{2\left(n^{2}-n+t n-2 t\right)}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_{i}^{2}+\frac{2 t}{n} X_{n}^{2}-2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{2\left(n^{2}-n+t n-2 t\right)}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_{i}^{2}+\frac{2 t}{n} X_{n}^{2} \\
& \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the point $\left(h_{11}^{\gamma}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)$ is the global minimum point by Lemma 2.1 and $\mathcal{F}_{\gamma}\left(h_{11}^{\gamma}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{\gamma}\right)=0$. Thus, we have $Q \geq 0$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \tau \leq & t C+\frac{(n-1)(n+t)\left(n^{2}-n-t\right)}{n t} C(L)+n(n-1) c \\
& -\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) a-\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) b-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)(n-1) n \pi(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

whereby, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho \leq & \frac{t}{n(n-1)} C+\frac{(n+t)\left(n^{2}-n-t\right)}{n^{2} t} C(L)+c \\
& -\frac{\left(\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}\right)}{n} a-\frac{\Lambda_{2}\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right)}{n} b-\left(\Lambda_{1}-\Lambda_{2}\right) \pi(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every tangent hyperplane $L$ of $M$. If we take the infimum over all tangent hyperplanes $L$, the result trivially follows. Moreover the equality sign holds iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}^{\gamma}=0, \forall i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j \text { and } \gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{n n}^{\gamma}=\frac{n(n-1)}{t} h_{11}^{\gamma}=\cdots=\frac{n(n-1)}{t} h_{n-1 n-1}^{\gamma} \\
& \forall \gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

From (21) and (22), we obtain that the equality holds if and only if the submanifold is invariantly quasiumbilical with normal connections in $\widetilde{M}$, such that the shape operator takes the form (12) with respect to the orthonormal tangent and orthonormal normal frames.

In the same way, we can prove (ii).

Now, if we put $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}=1$, we get the obtained Theorem 2.1 by in [13]

Corollary 3.2. Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold of an m-dimension real space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$, then
(i) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c-\frac{2}{n} \Lambda \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t$ such that $0<t<n(n-1)$.
(ii) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c-\frac{2}{n} \Lambda \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t>n(n-1)$. Moreover, the equality holds in (23) and (24) iff $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\widetilde{M}$, such that with respect to suitable tangent orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and normal orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, the shape operator $A_{\gamma} \equiv A_{e_{\gamma}}, \gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$, take the
following form

$$
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
h_{11}^{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{25}\\
0 & h_{22}^{\gamma} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{33}^{\gamma} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & h_{n-1 n-1}^{\gamma} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{n(n-1)}{t} h_{n n}^{\gamma}
\end{array}\right),
$$

In the similar way, if we put $\Lambda_{1}=1$ and $\Lambda_{2}=0$, we get the following result.
Corollary 3.3. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional submanifold of a real space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$ of dimension ( $m$ ) endowed with semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$, then
(i) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c-\frac{\Lambda}{n}-\pi(H) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t$ such that $0<t<n(n-1)$.
(ii) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\widetilde{\delta_{c}}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c-\frac{\Lambda}{n}-\pi(H) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t>n(n-1)$. Moreover, the equality holds in (26) and (27) iff $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\widetilde{M}$, such that with respect to suitable tangent orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and normal orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, the shape operator $A_{\gamma} \equiv A_{e_{\gamma}}, \gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$, take the following form

$$
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
h_{11}^{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{28}\\
0 & h_{22}^{\gamma} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{33}^{\gamma} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & h_{n-1 n-1}^{\gamma} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \frac{n(n-1)}{t} h_{n n}^{\gamma}
\end{array}\right),
$$

Now, if we put $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}=0$, we have the following result for real space forms.
Corollary 3.4. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional submanifold of an m-dimension real space form $\widetilde{M}(c)$, then
(i) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\delta_{c}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t$ such that $0<t<n(n-1)$.
(ii) The generalized normalized $\delta$-Casorati curvature $\widetilde{\delta}_{c}(t ; n-1)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \leq \frac{\widetilde{\delta_{c}}(t ; n-1)}{n(n-1)}+c \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any real number $t>n(n-1)$. Moreover, the equality holds in (29) and (30) iff $M$ is an invariantly quasi-umbilical submanifold with trivial normal connection in $\widetilde{M}$, such that with respect to suitable tangent orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ and normal orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, the shape operator $A_{\gamma} \equiv A_{e_{\gamma}}, \gamma \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$, take the following form

$$
A_{n+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
h_{11}^{\gamma} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0  \tag{31}\\
0 & h_{22}^{\gamma} & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h_{33}^{\gamma} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & h_{n-1 n-1}^{\gamma} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \frac{n(n-1)}{t} h_{n n}^{\gamma}
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$
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