Filomat 36:14 (2022), 4629–4644 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2214629S



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Characterization of Weighted (b,c) Inverse of an Element in a Ring

# Bibekananda Sitha<sup>a</sup>, Jajati Keshari Sahoo<sup>a</sup>, Ratikanta Behera<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, BITS Pilani, K.K. Birla Goa Campus, Goa, India <sup>b</sup>Department of Computational and Data Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 560012, India

**Abstract.** The notion of the weighted (b, c)-inverse of an element in rings were introduced very recently. In this paper, we further elaborate on this theory by establishing a few characterizations of this inverse and their relationships with other (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverses. We discuss a few necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse and the annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of an element in a ring. In addition, we explore a few sufficient conditions for the reverse-order law of the annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverses.

# 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Background and motivation

The theory of generalized inverses has generated tremendous interest in many research areas in mathematics [1, 11, 17, 20, 22–24, 26]. Several types of generalized inverses are available in the literature, such as Moore-Penrose inverse [15], group inverse [12], Drazin inverse [6], and core inverse [23]. It is worth mentioning that Drazin in [7] introduced (b, c)-inverse in the setting of a semigroup, which is a generalization of Moore–Penrose inverse. Further, the notion of (b, c)-inverse [2, 3, 13, 14] extended to rings along with various characterizations and representations [29]. The concepts of annihilator (b, c)-inverses and hybrid (b, c)-inverses were established as generalizations of (b, c)-inverses in [7]. Further, several characterizations of hybrid and annihilator (b, c)-inverse have been discussed in [27, 28]. Mary proposed the inverse along an element (see [18] Definition 4), as a new type of generalized inverse. Many researchers [8, 9] explored numerous properties of these inverses and interconnections with other generalized inverses. Among the extensive work of generalized inverses, there has been a growing interest in "weighted" generalized inverses [5, 19, 25] for encompassing the above-mentioned generalized inverses.

In connection with the theory of (b, c)-inverses (see [7], Definition 1.3 and [21]) and the Bott-Duffin inverse [4], Drazin explored the Bott-Duffin (e, f)-inverse (see [7], Definition 3.2) in a semigroup. Further, "(v, w)-weighted version" of (b, c)-inverses are introduced in [10], e.g., annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverses (see Definition 4.1) and hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverses (see Definition 4.2). The vast work on the hybrid and annihilator (b, c)-inverse along with the above weighted (b, c)-inverse, motivate us to study a few characterizations and representations for hybrid and annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

More precisely, the main contributions of this paper are as follows:

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A09; Secondary 16U90, 16W10

*Keywords*. Generalized inverse, Weighted (b, c)-inverse, Weighted hybrid (b, c)-inverse, Weighted annihilator (b, c)-inverse, Weighted Bott-Duffin (e, f)-inverse

Received: 19 August 2021; Revised: 07 June 2022; Accepted: 11 July 2022

Communicated by Predrag Stanimirović

*Email addresses:* p20190066@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in (Bibekananda Sitha), jksahoo@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in (Jajati Keshari Sahoo), ratikanta@iisc.ac.in (Ratikanta Behera)

- A few necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the (*v*, *w*)-weighted (*b*, *c*) inverses of elements in rings are introduced.
- Some characterizations of the (*v*, *w*)-weighted hybrid (*b*, *c*)-inverse and annihilator (*v*, *w*)-weighted (*b*, *c*)-inverses are investigated.
- The construction of the (v, w)-weighted hybrid (b, c)-inverse via group inverse is presented.

#### 1.2. Outline

Our presentation is organized as follows. We present some notations and definitions in Section 2. In Section 3, we have discussed a few characterizations for the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. Various equivalent properties of the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse are presented in Section 4. In Section 5, we study the representation of the annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. The contribution of our work is summarized in Section 6.

# 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{R}$  is an associative ring with unity 1. The sets of all left annihilators and right annihilators of *a* are respectively defined by

 $lann(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : xa = 0\}$  and  $rann(a) = \{z \in \mathcal{R} : az = 0\}.$ 

We denote the left and right ideals by  $a\mathcal{R} = \{ar : r \in \mathcal{R}\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}a = \{za : z \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  is called generalized or inner inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  if aya = a. If such y exist, we say a is regular. The set of inner inverses of a is denoted by  $a\{1\}$  and an inner inverse of a is represented by  $a^-$ . The following result proved in [16], gives the relation between ideals and annihilators.

**Proposition 2.1.** If a is idempotent then  $rann(a) = (1 - a)\mathcal{R}$  and  $lann(a) = \mathcal{R}(1 - a)$ .

Next, we recall the definition of group inverse [6] of an element in  $\mathcal{R}$ . An element y is called group inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  if aya = a, yay = y, and ay = ya. The group inverse of a is denoted by  $a^{\#}$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of group inverse is stated in the next result.

**Lemma 2.2.** [12, Theorem 1] Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then a is group invertible if and only if  $a \in a^2 \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{R}a^2$ .

We now recall the "(v, w)-weighted" version of (b, c) inverse.

**Definition 2.3.** [10, Theorem 2.1 (i)] Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

 $y \in b\mathcal{R}wy \cap yv\mathcal{R}c$ , yvawb = b and cvawy = c,

is called the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a and denoted by  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .

In [10], Drazin proved that [see Theorem 2.4, [10] for a proof]  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  is unique if exists. An equivalent characterization of the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse is presented below.

**Lemma 2.4.** [10, Theorem 2.1 and 2.8] Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) a has a (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.
- (ii)  $c \in cvawbR$  and  $b \in Rcvawb$ .
- (iii) there exists  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  such that yvawy = y,  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ .

Following the definition (see [18], Definition 4) of the inverse along an element of  $\mathcal{R}$ , we next, define (v, w)-weighted inverse of a along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $a, d, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

yvawd = d = dvawy,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq Rd$  and  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ ,

*is called the* (v, w)*-weighted inverse of a along*  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  *and denoted by*  $a_{ud}^{v,w}$ *.* 

Here is an example illustrating the above definition.

Example 2.6. Let  $\mathcal{R} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Since the matrix  $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfies  $yvawd = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = d,$   $dvawy = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = d,$   $wy = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = r_1 d \text{ and } yv = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = dr_2 \text{ for some } r_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} and$  $r_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , it follows that  $a_{\parallel d}^{v,w} = y$ .

In view of right [resp. left] hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse (see [10], Definition 4.2) and annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse (see [10], Definition 4.1) of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , we next present the definition of the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse and annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [10, Definition 4.2] Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

 $yvawy = y, yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}, and rann(c) = rann(wy),$ 

is called the right hybrid (or hybrid) (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a and denoted by  $a_{h,c}^{h,v,w}$ .

In section 4, we will discuss some results on right hybrid inverse (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse, which can be similarly proved for left hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. So from here onward, we call the right hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse as hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

The existence of hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse over a semigroup, as proved in [10], is restated for a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , below.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists if and only if  $rann(cvawb) \subseteq rann(b)$  and  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** [10, Definition 4.2] Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

yvawy = y, lann(yv) = lann(b), and rann(c) = rann(wy),

is called the annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a and denoted by  $a_{bc}^{a,v,w}$ .

In [10], it is proved that both  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  and  $a_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$  are unique. In view of Bott-Duffin inverse [7], we next introduce the (v, w)-weighted Bott-Duffin (e, f)-inverse.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $a, v, w, e, f \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $f^2 = f$ . An element  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  is called (v, w)-weighted Bott-Duffin (e, f)-inverse of a if it satisfies

$$z = ewz = zvf$$
,  $zvawe = e$ ,  $fvawz = f$ .

The (*v*, *w*)-weighted Bott-Duffin (*e*, *f*)-inverse of the element *a* is denoted as  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$ .

4631

**Example 2.11.** Let  $\mathcal{R} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . It is easy to verify that the matrix  $z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfies

$$zvawe = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = e_{1}$$

fvawz = f, and ewz = z = zvf. Hence  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w} = z$ .

# 3. Further results on (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse

In this section, we derive a few useful representations and properties of (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

#### **Proposition 3.1.** Let $v, w, d \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) If  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$  ( $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ ) then rann(wy) = rann(d) ( $rann(d) \subseteq rann(wy)$ ).
- (ii) If  $yv\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$  ( $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ ) then lann(yv) = lann(d) ( $lann(d) \subseteq lann(yv)$ ).
- (iii) If  $rann(d) \subseteq rann(wy)$  and  $d^-$  exists, then  $\Re wy \subseteq \Re d$ .
- (iv) If  $lann(d) \subseteq lann(yv)$  and  $d^-$  exists, then  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in rann(wy)$ . Then wyx = 0. From  $\Re wy = \Re d$ , we obtain d = twy for some  $t \in \Re$ . Now dx = twyx = 0. Hence  $rann(wy) \subseteq rann(d)$ . Again from  $\Re wy = \Re d$ , we have wy = sd for some  $s \in \Re$ . If  $z \in rann(d)$  then dz = 0 and hence wyz = sdz = 0. Thus  $rann(d) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . (ii) A similar argument as (i).

(iii) Let  $x \in d\{1\}$ . Then  $(1 - xd) \in rann(d) \subseteq rann(wy)$ , which implies wy = (wyx)d. Therefore,  $\Re wy \subseteq \Re d$ . (iv) Is similar to part (iii).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . If a has (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse, then both b and c are regular.

*Proof.* Let *y* be the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of *a*. Then by Definition 2.3, yvawb = b, cvawy = c and  $y \in bRwy \cap yvRc$ . From the ideals, we further obtain y = bswy and y = yvtc for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now b = yvawb = bswyvawb = bswb. Thus *b* is regular. Similarly, we have c = cvawy = cvawyvtc = cvtc and completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

An equivalent characterization of the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse is presented in the next result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) a has (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.
- (ii) *b* is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus lann(b)$  and  $lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = \{0\}$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus lann(b)$ ,  $lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = \{0\}$  and cvawb is regular.
- (iv) *c* is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$  and  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$ ,  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and cvawb is regular.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that *a* has a (*v*, *w*)-weighted (*b*, *c*)-inverse. By Proposition 3.2, we have *b* is regular. From Lemma 2.4, there exist *p*, *q*  $\in$  *R* such that *b* = *pcvawb* and *c* = *cvawbq*. Let *r* = 1-*pcvaw*. Then *r*  $\in$  *lann*(*b*). For any *t*  $\in$  *R*,

 $t = t \cdot 1 = t(pcvaw + r) = tpcvaw + tr \in \mathcal{R}cvaw + lann(b).$ 

4632

Therefore,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw + lann(b)$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{R}cvaw \cap lann(b)$  then ub = 0 and u = xcvaw for some  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now xc = x(cvawbq) = (ub)q = 0 and u = xcvaw = 0. Thus  $\mathcal{R}cvaw \cap lann(b) = \{0\}$ .

If  $m \in lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c$  then mvaw = 0 and m = sc, for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$ . Therefore, m = sc = scvawbq = mvawbq = 0 and hence  $lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = \{0\}$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus lann(b)$ . Then 1 = gcvaw + h for some  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $h \in lann(b)$ . Therefore,  $b = gcvawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ , which implies  $\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}cvawb \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$  is trivial, it follows that  $\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . From  $\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}cvawb$ , we have b = scvawb and cvawb = tb for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now

 $cvawb = tb = tbb^-b = cvawbb^-scvawb$ , where  $b^- \in b\{1\}$ .

Hence, *cvawb* is regular.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus lann(b)$ . Then 1 = gcvaw + h for some  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $h \in lann(b)$ . Therefore,  $b = gcvawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Now, we will prove lann(c) = lann(cvawb). Obviously,  $lann(c) \subseteq lann(cvawb)$ . For  $x \in lann(cvawb)$ , we have  $xcvaw \in lann(b) \cap \mathcal{R}cvaw = \{0\}$ , i.e. xcvaw = 0. This implies that  $xc \in lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = \{0\}$ . Thus  $x \in lann(c)$ , i.e. lann(c) = lann(cvawb). Now, let  $t \in (cvawb)\{1\}$ . Since (1 - cvawbt)cvawb = 0, we have  $1 - cvawbt \in lann(cvawb) = lann(c)$ . Thus,  $c = cvawbtc \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ . By Lemma 2.4, *a* has (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

The proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is similar to (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $a, b, c, w, v \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) 
$$y = a_{h,c}^{v,w}$$

(ii) yvawy = y,  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ .

(iii) yvawy = y, lann(yv) = lann(b),  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ , and b is regular.

(iv) yvawy = y, yvR = bR, rann(wy) = rann(c), and c is regular.

(v) yvawy = y, lann(yv) = lann(b) and rann(wy) = rann(c), and both b, c are regular.

(vi) both b, c are regular,  $y = bb^-y$ ,  $bb^- = yvawbb^-$ ,  $yc^-c = y$ , and  $c^-c = c^-cvawy$ .

(vii) both b, c are regular,  $bb^- \in \mathcal{R}(c^-cvawbb^-)$ , and  $c^-c \in (c^-cvawbb^-)\mathcal{R}$ .

(viii) both b, c are regular, and there exists s,  $t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $bb^- = tc^-cvawbb^-$ ,  $c^-c = c^-cvawbb^-s$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) The proof of this equivalence follows from Lemma 2.4.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) The regularity of *b* is follows from the equivalence of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) and Proposition 3.2. For any  $z \in lann(yv)$ , we have zyv = 0. Now zb = zyvt = 0. Thus  $z \in lann(b)$  and subsequently  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(b)$ . The reverse inclusion  $lann(b) \subseteq lann(yv)$  can be shown similarly. Therefore, lann(yv) = lann(b). (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let  $\Re wy = \Re c$ . Then wy = sc and c = twy for some  $s, t \in \Re$ . Now

$$c = twy = tw(yvawy) = cva(wy) = c(vas)c.$$

Thus *c* is regular. From yvawy = y, we have  $(yvaw - 1) \in lann(y) \subseteq lann(yv) = lann(b)$ . Further, yvawb = b. Thus  $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq yv\mathcal{R}$ . The reverse inclusion  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$  can be shown using Proposition 3.1(iv). Hence  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . For any  $z \in rann(wy)$ , we have wyz = 0. Now cz = twyz = 0. This implies  $z \in rann(c)$ . Hence  $rann(wy) \subseteq rann(c)$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in rann(c)$  then cx = 0. Now wyx = scx = 0. Therefore, rann(wy) = rann(c). (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) It is enough to show *b* is regular and lann(yv) = lann(b). The regularity of *b* and lann(yv) = lann(b) can be proved in the similar way as (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

 $(v) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Follows from Proposition 3.1(iii) and (iv).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) Let  $y = a_{b,c}^{v,w}$ . Then there exist  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that y = bswy and y = yvtc. Now

 $bb^-y = bb^-bswy = bswy = y$  and  $yvawbb^- = bb^-$ .

Similarly we can show,  $yc^-c = y$  and  $c^-c = c^-cvawy$ . Further, the regularity of *b* and *c* follows by Proposition 3.2.

(vi)⇒(vii) If (vi) holds, then  $bb^- = ycawbb^- = yc^-cvawbb^- \in \mathcal{R}(c^-cvawbb^-)$ . Similarly we can show  $c^-c \in (c^-cvawbb^-)\mathcal{R}$ .

 $(vii) \Rightarrow (viii)$  It is obvious.

(viii)⇒(i) Let  $bb^- = tc^-cvawbb^-$ . Post-multiplying by *b*, we obtain  $b = bb^-b = tc^-cvawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Similarly, pre-multiplying *c* to  $c^-c = c^-cvawbb^-s$ , we obtain  $c = cvawbb^-s \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.4, we obtain  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = y$ .  $\Box$ 

The relation between group inverse and (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse is presented in the next result.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exist. If there exist an element  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $s\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and rann(s) = rann(c), then vaws,  $svaw \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = s(vaws)^{\#} = (svaw)^{\#}s$ .

*Proof.* First, we will show that  $vaws \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = s(vaws)^{\#}$ . Let  $g \in rann(vaws)$ . Then vawsg = 0, which implies  $sg \in rann(vaw) \cap s\mathcal{R} = rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  by Theorem 3.3. It follows that sg = 0 and  $g \in rann(s)$ . Thus,  $rann(vaws) \subseteq rann(s)$  and consequently rann(vaws) = rann(s) = rann(c). Since  $s\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ , we have  $vaws\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R}$ . Using Theorem 3.3, we get

 $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c) = vaws\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(vaws).$ 

Thus 1 = vawsu + t for some  $u \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(vaws)$ . Now vaws = vawsvawsu. This yields vaws(vaws - vawsuvaws) = 0. Hence  $(vaws - vawsuvaws) \in rann(vaws) \cap vaws\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and subsequently,

vaws = vawsuvaws = vawsvawsu.

(1)

(2)

Clearly, *vawsu* is idempotent. Using Proposition 2.1, we obtain  $rann(vawsu) = (1 - vawsu)\mathcal{R}$ . Using equation (1), we obtain  $rann(vawsu) \subseteq rann(vaws)$ . For  $h \in rann(vaws)$ , we have vawsh = 0. By equation (1), *vawsvawsuh = vawsh = 0*. Thus  $vawsuh \in rann(vaws) \cap vaws\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and hence  $rann(vaws) \subseteq rann(vawsu)$ . Again,  $vaws - uvawsvaws \in rann(vaws) = rann(vawsu)$ . Thus vawsu(vaws - uvawsvaws) = 0. From equation (1), we get

 $vaws = vawsuvaws = (vawsu^2)vawsvaws.$ 

From equations (1) and (2), we have  $vaws \in \mathcal{R}(vaws)^2 \cap (vaws)^2 \mathcal{R}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2, *vaws* is group invertible.

Next we will show that  $s(vaws)^{\#}$  is the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a. Let  $t = s(vaws)^{\#}$ . Then

$$tvawt = s(vaws)^{\#}vaws(vaws)^{\#} = s(vaws)^{\#} = t$$

Clearly,  $tv\mathcal{R} = (s(vaws)^{\#})v\mathcal{R} \subseteq sv\mathcal{R} \subseteq s\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Since  $vaws((vaws)^{\#}vaws - 1) = 0$  and rann(vaws) = rann(s), it follows that  $s(vaws)^{\#}vaws = s$ . Hence,

$$bR = s\mathcal{R} = (s(vaws)^{\#}vaws)\mathcal{R} = tvaws\mathcal{R} \subseteq tv\mathcal{R}.$$

Similarly, we have

 $rann(wt) = rann(ws(vaws)^{\#}) \subseteq rann(vaws(vaws)^{\#}) = rann(vaws) = rann(s) = rann(c),$ 

and

$$rann(c) = rann(s) = rann(vaws) = rann(vaws(vaws)^{*}) \subseteq rann(s(vaws)^{*}vaws(vaws)^{*})$$
$$= rann(s(vaws)^{*}) = rann(t) \subseteq rann(wt).$$

Hence by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.4(iv), we obtain  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = t = s(vaws)^{\#}$ . Similarly, it can be shown that  $svaw \in \mathcal{R}^{\#}$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = (vaws)^{\#}s$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $a, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $f^2 = f$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $e \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe$  and  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f$ .
- (ii) there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that p = mfvawe + 1 e is invertible and  $fvawep^{-1}n = f$ .
- (iii) there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that q = fvawen + 1 f is invertible and  $mq^{-1}fvawe = e$ .
- (iv) there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that p = m f vawe + 1 e and q = f vawen + 1 f are invertible.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$  (ii),(iii) Let  $e \in \mathcal{R}$  fvawe and  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that e = mfvawe and f = fvawen. Take p = mfvawe + 1 - e and q = fvawen + 1 - f. Then p = q = 1,  $f = fvawen = fvawep^{-1}n$  and  $e = mq^{-1}fvawe$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) From  $f = fvawep^{-1}n$  and pe = mfvawe, we have  $e = p^{-1}mfvawe$ . Post-multiplying  $f = fvawep^{-1}n$  by f and pre-multiplying  $e = p^{-1}mfvawe$  by e, we obtain  $e \in eRfvawe$  and  $f \in fvaweRf$ . (iii) $\Rightarrow$  (i) Is similar to (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Using (ii), we have  $f = fvawep^{-1}n$  and p = mfvawe + 1 - e is invertible. Let  $n_1 = p^{-1}n$ . Then  $fvawen_1 + 1 - f = f + 1 - f = 1$ . Thus  $q = fvawen_1 + 1 - f$  is invertible.

(iv)⇒(i) Let p = mfvawe + 1 - e. Then pe = mfvawe and subsequently,  $e = p^{-1}mfvawe$ . Now  $e = e^2 = ep^{-1}mfvawe \in eRfvawe$ . Similarly, we can show  $f \in fvaweRf$ . □

Following the Definition 2.10, we present the following characterizations for (v, w)-weighted Bott-Duffin (e, f)-inverse.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $a, v, w, e, f \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $f^2 = f$ . If  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$  exist then  $e \in e\mathcal{R}$  frame and  $f \in frame\mathcal{R}f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z = a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$ . Then by Definition 2.10,  $e = zvawe = ewzvawe = e(wzv)fvawe \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe$  and  $f = fvawz = fvawzvf = fvawe(wzv)f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $a, e, f, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $e = e^* = e^2$  and  $f = f^* = f^2$ . If  $a_{e, f}^{b, v, w}$  exist then the following hold:

- (i)  $e \in \mathcal{R}(fvawe)^* fvawe and f \in fvawe(fvawe)^*\mathcal{R}$ .
- (ii)  $p = (fvawe)^* fvawe + 1 e$  is invertible and  $fvawep^{-1}(fvawe)^* = f$ .
- (iii)  $q = fvawe(fvawe)^* + 1 f$  is invertible and  $(fvawe)^*q^{-1}fvawe = e$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$  exists. Then by Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.7, we get r = gfvawe + 1 - e is invertible and  $fvawer^{-1}h = f$  for some  $g, h \in \mathcal{R}$ . Using this, we have  $e = r^{-1}gfvawe$ . Now

$$f^{*} = (r^{-1}gfvawe)^{*} = (fvawe)^{*}(r^{-1}g)^{*} = (fvawe)^{*}f(r^{-1}g)^{*} = (fvawe)^{*}fvawer^{-1}h(r^{-1}g)^{*}.$$

Thus  $e = (r^{-1}g)(r^{-1}h)^*(fvawe)^*fvawe \in \mathcal{R}(fvawe)^*fvawe$ . Similarly, we can show that  $f = fvawe(fvawe)^*(r^{-1}g)^*r^{-1}h$ and  $f \in fvawe(fvawe)^*\mathcal{R}$ . (ii) From part (i), we have  $e = r^{-1}gfvawe$  and  $fvawer^{-1}h = f$ . So  $r^{-1}gf = er^{-1}h$ .

Let  $\beta = (r^{-1}g)(r^{-1}h)^*$ . Then

$$\beta e = \beta e^* = (r^{-1}g)(r^{-1}h)^*(fvawe)^*(r^{-1}g)^* = r^{-1}gf(r^{-1}g)^* = er^{-1}h(r^{-1}g)^* = e\beta$$

Thus  $(\beta e+1-e)((fvawe)^*fvawe+1-e) = 1 = ((fvawe)^*fvawe+1-e)(\beta e+1-e)$ . Hence  $p = (fvawe)^*fvawe+1-e$  is invertible and  $p^{-1} = (\beta e+1-e)$ . Further,

$$fvawep^{-1}(fvawe)^* = fvawe(\beta e + 1 - e)(fvawe)^* = fvawe\beta^*(fvawe)^* = fvawer^{-1}g(r^{-1}h)^*(fvawe)^*$$
$$= fvawer^{-1}gf = fvawer^{-1}h = f.$$

(iii) Analogous to (ii).  $\Box$ 

### 4. Hybrid (v,w)-weighted (b,c)-inverse

First we discuss an equivalent definition of the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse, which will help us to prove more characterizations of this inverse.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w, y \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Then the followings are equivalent.

- (i)  $yvawy = y, yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and rann(wy) = rann(c).
- (ii) yvawb = b, cvawy = c,  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$  and  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exist a  $t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that b = yvt. Now b = yvt = yvawyvt = yvawb. From yvawy = y, we obtain  $1 - vawy \in rann(y) \subseteq rann(wy) = rann(c)$ . Thus c = cvawy.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ . Then yv = br for some  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Multiplying yvawb = b by  $rv^{-1}$  on the right gives yvawy = y. If w is invertible then yvawy = y is similarly follows from cvawy = c. Using yvawb = b, we get  $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq yv\mathcal{R}$ , and hence  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Now, let  $s \in rann(wy)$ . Then wys = 0. Further,  $s \in rann(c)$  since cs = cvawys = 0. Hence rann(wy) = rann(c).  $\Box$ 

In view of Lemma 2.8, we explore a necessary condition for the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse in the below result.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. If the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a exists, then there exist  $a \ t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that bt is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a satisfying c = cvawbt.

*Proof.* Let *a* has a hybrid (*v*, *w*)-weighted (*b*, *c*)-inverse. Then by Lemma 2.8,

$$c = cvawbt$$
 for some  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Let y = bt. Now we will claim that y is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a. Clearly,  $yv\mathcal{R} = btv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ . Using c = cvawbt, we obtain cvawb = cvawbtvawb. Thus  $(1 - tvawb) \in rann(cvawb) \subseteq rann(b)$  by Lemma 2.8. Hence

$$b = btvawb = yvawb.$$

For  $x \in rann(c)$ , we have cvawbtx = cx = 0, which yields  $tx \in rann(cvawb)$ . Further, by Lemma 2.8,  $tx \in rann(b)$  and consequently wyx = wbtx = 0. Therefore,

By Theorem 4.1, we get y = bt is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a.

A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c) is presented below.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Then  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists if and only if  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$ and  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let *a* has a hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. Then by Theorem 4.2, *bt* is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of *a* satisfying c = cvawbt, where  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Subsequently  $z := (1 - vawbt) \in rann(c)$ . For any  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , we can write

$$x = 1 \cdot x = (z + vawbt)x = zx + vawbtx \in rann(c) + vawb\mathcal{R}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{R} = rann(c) + vawb\mathcal{R}$  since the reverse inclusion is trivial. If  $r \in rann(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R}$ , then cr = 0 and r = vawbu for some  $u \in \mathcal{R}$ . From Theorem 4.1, taking y = bt, we have

rann(wbt) = rann(c) and btvawb = b,

(3)

which yields wbtvawbu = wbtr = 0 and r = vaw(b)u = va(wbtvawbu) = 0. Hence  $R = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$ . Next we will show that  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ . Let  $h \in rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R}$ , then vawh = 0 and h = bk for some  $k \in \mathcal{R}$ , which implies vawbk = 0. Using second part of equation (3), we have h = bk = bt(vawbk) = 0. Thus  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}.$ 

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{R} = vawbR \oplus rann(c)$ . Then 1 = vawbm + n for some  $m \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in rann(c)$ . Further,

 $c = cvawbm + cn = cvawbm \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$  since  $n \in rann(c)$ .

If  $x \in rann(cvawb)$ , then cvawbx = 0 and hence  $vawbx \in rann(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ . Thus  $bx \in rann(vaw) \oplus b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  since  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ . Hence  $x \in rann(b)$  and subsequently, we obtain

 $rann(cvawb) \subseteq rann(b).$ 

(5)

(4)

In view of equations (4), (5) and Lemma 2.8, *a* has a hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Assume that  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists. Then  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exists if and only if any one of the following holds.

- (i) cvawb is regular.
- (ii) c is regular.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exists. Then  $b \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$  and  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ . Further, b = scvawb and c = cvawbt for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now

b = scvawb = scvawbtvawb = btvawb, c = cvawbt = cvawscvawbt = c(vaws)c, and

cvawb = cvawbtvawscvawb = cvawb(tvaws)cvawb.

Hence *cvawb* is regular.

Conversely, let *cvawb* be regular. Then there exist an element  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  such that *cvawb* = *cvawbzcvawb*. Since *a* has a hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse, by Lemma 2.8, we have  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$  and  $b = bzcvawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$  due to the fact that  $1 - zcvawb \in rann(cvawb) \subseteq rann(b)$ . Hence by Lemma 2.4, *a* has a (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

(ii) The regularity of *c* is follows from Theorem 3.4.

Conversely, let *c* be regular and the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of *a* exist. Then by Theorem 4.3,  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$  and subsequently 1 = vawbs + t for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(c)$ . Therefore, c = cvawbs + ct = cvawbs. Since *c* is regular, there exist an element  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  such that c = cxc. Now

cvawb = (c)vawb = (c)xcvawb = cvawbsxcvawb = cvawb(sx)cvawb.

Thus *cvawb* is regular. Hence by part (i), *a* has a (*v*, *w*)-weighted (*b*, *c*)-inverse.  $\Box$ 

We next present the following characterizations of hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c) through annihilators.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. If a has a hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse then the following statements hold:

- (i) rann(vawb) = rann(b).
- (ii) If rann(b) = rann(c) then rann(vawbs) = rann(vawb), where  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfies  $vawb = (vawb)^2 s$ .

*Proof.* (i) It is trivial that  $rann(b) \subseteq rann(vawb)$ . Let *a* has a hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. Then by Theorem 4.3,  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ . For  $r \in rann(vawb)$ , we have  $br \in rann(vaw)$  and  $br \in b\mathcal{R}$ . Thus  $br \in rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and hence  $r \in rann(b)$ . Therefore,  $rann(vawb) \subseteq rann(b)$ .

(ii) Using the condition  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$  of Theorem 4.3, we have 1 = vawbs + t for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(c) = rann(b)$ . Thus b = bvawbs and  $vawb = vawbvawbs = (vawb)^2s$ . Let  $x \in rann(vawb)$ . Then  $(vawb)^2sx = vawbx = 0$ . Now

 $vawbsx \in rann(vawb) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = rann(b) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = rann(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = \{0\}.$ 

Therefore  $x \in rann(vawbs)$  and consequently,  $rann(vawb) \subseteq rann(vawbs)$ .

Conversely, let  $z \in rann(vawbs)$ . Then  $vawbz = (vawb)^2sz = 0$ , which implies  $z \in rann(vawb)$ . Thus,  $rann(vawbs) \subseteq rann(vawb)$  and hence rann(vawbs) = rann(vawb), where *s* satisfies  $vawb = (vawb)^2s$ .  $\Box$ 

The following result represent a necessary and sufficient condition for hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c) inverse through group inverse.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Assume that rann(vawb) = rann(b) = rann(c). Then the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a exists if and only of vawb is group invertible.

*Proof.* Let *a* have a hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. Then by Theorem 4.3, we have 1 = vawbs + t for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(c) = rann(vawb)$ , which implies

$$vawb = (vawb)^2 s \in (vawb)^2 \mathcal{R} \text{ and } (vawb)^2 = (vawb)^2 svawb.$$
(6)

Using the second part of equation (6) and Theorem 4.3, we obtain

 $vawb - vawbsvawb \in rann(vawb) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = rann(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = \{0\}.$ 

Thus

$$vawb = vawbsvawb$$
 and  $(vawb)^2 = vawbs(vawb)^2$ .

Applying equation (7) and Theorem 4.5, we have

 $vawb - s(vawb)^2 \in rann(vawb) = rann(vawbs).$ 

Further,  $vawbs^2(vawb)^2 = vawbsvawb = vawb$  and  $vawb \in \mathcal{R}(vawb)^2$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2, vawb is group invertible since  $vawb \in (vawb)^2 \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}(vawb)^2$ .

Conversely, let  $y = b(vawb)^{\#}$ . From  $vawb = (vawb)^2(vawb)^{\#}$  and rann(vawb) = rann(c), we have  $c(1 - vawb(vawb)^{\#}) = 0$  and

$$c = cvawb(vawb)^{\#} = cvawy.$$

Similarly by applying *rann*(*vawb*) = *rann*(*b*), we obtain

$$b = b(vawb)^{\#}vawb = yvawb.$$

The condition  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq y\mathcal{R} \subseteq bR$  follows from  $y = b(vawb)^{\#}$ . Next we will show that  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . Let  $x \in rann(c) = rann(b)$ . Then bx = 0.

Now  $wyx = wb(vawb)^{\#}x = wb(vawb)^{\#}(vawb)^{\#}vawbx = 0$ . Thus  $x \in rann(wy)$  and hence  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $y = b(vawb)^{\#}$  is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. If rann(vawb) = rann(b) = rann(c) and vawb is group invertible, then  $b(vawb)^{\#}$  is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse of a.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w be invertible and  $y = a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$ . Then rann(b) = rann(vawb) = rann(c) if and only of vawb is group invertible with  $y = b(vawb)^{\#}$ .

*Proof.* Let *vawb* be group invertible with  $y = b(vawb)^{\#}$ . From  $y = a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$ , we have rann(c) = rann(wy). If  $x \in rann(vawb)$ , then by Theorem 4.3,  $bx \in rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and hence  $x \in rann(b)$ . Thus rann(vawb) = rann(b) since the reverse inclusion  $rann(b) \subseteq rann(vawb)$  is obvious. Next we will show that rann(b) = rann(wy). Let  $z \in rann(b)$ . Then bz = 0 and consequently

$$wyz = wb(vawb)^{\#}z = wb(vawb)^{\#}(vawb)^{\#}vawbz = 0$$

Therefore,  $z \in rann(wy)$  and  $rann(b) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . If  $x \in rann(wy)$ , then wyx = 0 and

 $vawbx = (vawb)^2 (vawb)^{\#} x = vawbvawyx = 0.$ 

(7)

Further, by Theorem 4.3, we obtain  $bx \in rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ . Thus  $x \in rann(b)$ . Hence rann(b) = rann(wy) = rann(c).

The converse part follows from Theorem 4.6.  $\Box$ 

The following result presents hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c) inverse in the relationships with annihilators and (v, w)-weighted inverse of *a* along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $a, d, v, w, y \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) y is the (v, w)-weighted inverse of a along d.
- (ii) yvawd = d = dvawy,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ , and  $lann(d) \subseteq lann(yv)$ .
- (iii) yvawy = y,  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$ , and lann(yv) = lann(d).
- (iv) yvawd = d = dvawy,  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ , and rann(wy) = rann(d).
- (v) yvawy = y,  $yv\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ , and rann(wy) = rann(d).
- (vi) y is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (d, d)-inverse of a.
- (vii) y is the (v, w)-weighted (d, d)-inverse of a.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) The proof follows from the Definition 2.5 and Proposition 3.1 (ii). (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Then wy = sd for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$ . Pre-multiplying d = dvawy by  $w^{-1}s$ , we obtain

$$y = w^{-1}sd = w^{-1}sdvawy = yvawy.$$

From d = dvawy, we have  $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}wy$  and hence  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$ . Next we will show that  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(d)$ . If  $z \in lann(yv)$ , then by applying yvawd = d, we obtain

$$zd = z(yvawd) = (zyv)awd = 0.$$

Thus  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(d)$  and consequently lann(d) = lann(yv). (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let y = yvawy. Then  $(1 - yvaw) \in lann(y) \subseteq lann(yv) = lann(d)$ . Thus d = yvawd. From  $\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}wy$ , we have

d = swy and wy = td for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Pre-multylying yvawy = y by sw, we obtain d = sw(y) = (swy)vawy = dvawy. The condition rann(wy) = rann(d) follows from Proposition 3.1 (i). Using the second part of equation (8), we get d is regular since

d = dvawy = d(vat)d.

Hence by Proposition 3.1 (iv),  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ . (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) The proof is similar to (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). (v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi) This part is trivial and follows from the definition.

 $(v) \Rightarrow (vii)$  To establish the result, it is sufficient to show

*yvawd* = *d* = *dvawy* and  $y \in yv\mathcal{R}d \cap d\mathcal{R}wy$ .

Let *y* be the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (d, d)-inverse of *a*. Then yvawy = y, yvR = dR and rann(wy) = rann(d). From y = yvawy, we obtain  $(1 - vawy) \in rann(y) \subseteq rann(wy) = rann(d)$ . Thus d = dvawy. From yvR = dR, we have d = yvs and yv = dt for some  $s, t \in R$ . Therefore,

$$y = yvawy = d(ta)wy \in d\mathcal{R}wy, d = yvs = yvawyvs = yvawd and d = d(taw)d.$$

(8)

Hence *d* is regular and by Proposition 3.1 (iii), we obtain  $\Re wy \subseteq \Re d$ , which implies wy = zd for some  $z \in \Re$  and  $y = yvawy = yv(az)d \in yv\Re d$ . Hence *y* is the (v, w)-weighted (d, d)-inverse of *a*.

(vii)⇒(i) Let *y* be the (*v*, *w*)-weighted (*d*, *d*)-inverse of *a*. Then *yvawd* = *d* = *dvawy*, and *y* = *dswy* = *yvtd* for some *s*, *t* ∈  $\mathcal{R}$ . To establish the result, it is enough to show  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Since  $yv = d(swyv) = ds_1$  and  $wy = wyvtd = t_1d$  for some  $s_1 = swyv \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t_1 = wyvt \in \mathcal{R}$ , it follows that  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Hence by Definition 2.5, *y* is the (*v*, *w*)-weighted inverse of *a* along *d*. □

In view of Theorem 4.9, and taking b = c = d in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result as a corollary.

**Corollary 4.10.** Let  $a, d, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) a has a (v, w)-weighted inverse along d.
- (ii) *d* is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}dvaw \oplus lann(d)$ , and  $lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}d = \{0\}$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}dvaw \oplus lann(d)$ ,  $lann(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}d = \{0\}$  and dvawd is regular.
- (iv) *d* is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = vawd\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(d)$ , and  $rann(vaw) \cap d\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{R} = vawd\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(d)$ ,  $rann(vaw) \cap d\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and dvawd is regular.

The relation between (v, w)-weighted inverse along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  and the group inverse of an element is discussed in the next result.

**Corollary 4.11.** Let  $a, d, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $a_{\parallel d}^{v,w}$  exists if and only if vawd is group invertible and rann(vawd) = rann(d).

*Proof.* Let *y* be the (v, w)-weighted inverse of *a* along along *d*. Then by Theorem 4.9, *y* is the hybrid (v, w)-weighted (d, d)-inverse of *a* and *yvawd* = *d*. From the condition *yvawd* = *d*, we have  $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}vawd$ . Then  $rann(vawd) \subseteq rann(d)$  follows directly from Proposition 3.1 (i). Hence rann(vawd) = rann(d) since the reverse inclusion  $rann(d) \subseteq rann(vawd)$  is trivial. Replacing *b* and *c* by *d* in Corollary 4.8, we get *vawd* is group invertible. The converse part follows from Theorem 4.6  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Annihilator (v,w)-weighted (b,c)-inverse

This section is devoted to the characterizations of annihilator (v,w)-weighted (b,c)-inverse. The first result is represent an equivalent definition of annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse, which will be used in the subsequent results.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w, y \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) yvawy = y, rann(wy) = rann(c) and lann(yv) = lann(b).
- (ii) yvawb = b, cvawy = c,  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$  and  $lann(b) \subseteq lann(yv)$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let *yvawy* = *y*. Then (*yvaw* - 1)  $\in$  *lann*(*yv*) = *lann*(*b*). This yields *yvawb* = *b*. Similarly *cvawy* = *c* follows from

$$(vawy - 1) \in rann(wy) = rann(c).$$

Hence completes the proof.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$  and cvawy = c. Then  $(vawy - 1) \in rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . Thus wyvawy = wy. Similarly, from  $lann(b) \subseteq lann(yv)$  and yvawb = b, we can obtain yvawyv = yv. If either v or w is invertible then yvawy = y. Next we will claim that  $rann(wy) \subseteq rann(c)$  and  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(b)$ . For  $x \in rann(wy)$ , we have wyx = 0. Now cx = cva(wyx) = 0. Thus  $rann(wy) \subseteq rann(c)$ . If  $z \in lann(yv)$ , then zyv = 0. Further, zb = (zyv)awb = 0. Hence  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(b)$ .  $\Box$ 

With the help of Theorem 5.1 (i), we present the following property of annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

**Proposition 5.2.** For i = 1, 2, let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, v, w, y_i \in \mathcal{R}$  with both v, w invertible and  $y_i = a_{i_{b,c}}^{a,v,w}$ . If  $rc_1 = c_2r$   $rva_1w = va_2wr$  and  $rb_1 = b_2r$  for any  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $ry_1 = y_2r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_i = a_{i_{b_c}}^{a,v,w}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1, we obtain  $y_2va_2wb_2 = b_2$  and  $lann(b_1) \subseteq lann(y_1v)$ . Thus

$$rb_1 = b_2r = y_2va_2wb_2r = y_2(va_2wr)b_1 = y_2(rva_1w)b_1.$$

Further,  $(r - y_2 rva_1 w) \in lann(b_1) \subseteq lann(y_1 v)$ , which implies

$$ry_1v = y_2rva_1wy_1v$$

Similarly, we have  $c_2r = rc_1 = rc_1va_1wy_1 = c_2rva_1wy_1$  and  $(r - rva_1wy_1) \in rann(c_2) \subseteq rann(wy_2)$ . Thus

$$wy_2r = wy_2rva_1wy_1.$$

Using the invetibility of *v* and *w* in equation (9) and (10), we get  $ry_1 = y_2 r$ .  $\Box$ 

In the similar manner, we have the following result for the (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

**Corollary 5.3.** For i = 1, 2, let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, v, w, y_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $y_i$  be the (v, w)-weighted  $(b_i, c_i)$ -inverse of  $a_i$ . If  $rc_1 = c_2r$ ,  $rva_1w = va_2wr$  and  $rb_1 = b_2r$  for any  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $ry_1 = y_2r$ .

*Proof.* We first note that  $(rva_1w)b_1 = (va_2wr)b_1 = va_2w(rb_1)$  and similarly  $rva_1wb_1 = va_2wb_2r$ ,  $rc_1va_1w = c_2va_2wr$ . Since  $y_i$  is the (v, w)-weighted  $(b_i, c_i)$ -inverse of  $a_i$ , we have  $c_1va_1wy_1 = c_1$  and  $y_2va_2wb_2 = b_2$ . Also we can write  $y_1 = b_1ewy_1$  and  $y_2 = y_2vfc_2$  for some  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now we find

$$ry_1 = r(b_1ewy_1) = (rb_1)ewy_1 = (b_2r)ewy_1 = (y_2va_2wb_2)rewy_1 = y_2(va_2wb_2r)ewy_1$$
  
=  $y_2(rva_1wb_1)ewy_1 = y_2rva_1w(b_1ewy_1) = y_2rva_1wy_1,$ 

$$y_2r = (y_2vfc_2)r = y_2vf(c_2r) = y_2vf(rc_1) = y_2vfr(c_1va_1wy_1) = y_2vf(rc_1va_1w)y_1 = y_2vf(c_2va_2wr)y_1$$
  
=  $(y_2vfc_2)va_2wry_1 = y_2(va_2wr)y_1 = y_2(rva_1w)y_1.$ 

Hence  $ry_1 = y_2 r$ .  $\Box$ 

The next result concerning on the reverse order law for the annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse.

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $s, t, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with both v and w invertible. Assume that both  $s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$  and  $t_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$  exists. If bvtw = vtwb and cvsw = vswc then  $(swvt)_{b,c}^{a,v,w} = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ . Then we have

$$w(swvt)wb = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w}s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}vswvtwb = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w}s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}vswbvtw = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w}bvtw = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w}vtwb = b.$$

Similalry, we can show  $cv(swvt)wy=cvswvtwt_{b,c}^{a,v,w}s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} = c$ . From Definition 2.9, we have  $lann(b) = lann(t_{b,c}^{a,v,w}v)$  and  $rann(c) = rann(ws_{b,c}^{a,v,w})$ . Now for any  $z \in lann(b)$ , we obtain  $zt_{b,c}^{a,v,w}v = 0$  and

$$zyv = zt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} v = zt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vtwt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} v = 0.$$

Hence  $lann(b) \subseteq lann(yv)$ . Let  $z \in rann(c) = rann(ws_{b,c}^{a,v,w})$ . Then  $ws_{b,c}^{a,v,w}z = 0$ . Now

$$wyz = wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} z = wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vsws_{b,c}^{a,v,w} z = 0.$$

Thus  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . Hence by Theorem 5.1 (ii), we obtain  $(swvt)_{b,c}^{a,v,w} = y = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 5.5.** Let  $s, t, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  and both  $s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ ,  $t_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exists. If cvsw = vswc and bvtw = vtwb then  $(swvt)_{b,c}^{v,w} = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ . Then

4641

(10)

(9)

$$yvswvtwb = t^{v,w}_{b,c} s^{v,w}_{b,c} vswvtwb = t^{v,w}_{b,c} s^{v,w}_{b,c} vswbvtw = t^{v,w}_{b,c} bvtw = t^{v,w}_{b,c} vtwb = b$$

Similalry,

$$cvswvtwy = cvswvtwt_{b,c}^{v,w}s_{b,c}^{v,w} = vswcvtwt_{b,c}^{v,w}s_{b,c}^{v,w} = vswcs_{b,c}^{v,w} = cvsws_{b,c}^{v,w} = c.$$

Since  $t_{b,c}^{v,w} \in b\mathcal{R}wt_{b,c}^{v,w}$ , we have

$$y = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in b\mathcal{R}wt_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} = b\mathcal{R}wy$$

From  $s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in s_{b,c}^{v,w} v \mathcal{R}c$ , we obtain

$$y = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} v \mathcal{R} c = y v \mathcal{R} c$$

Hence  $(swvt)_{b,c}^{v,w} = t_{b,c}^{v,w}s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .  $\Box$ 

The following result present annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c) inverse in the relationships with hybrid (v, w)-weighted (b, c) inverse and (v, w)-weighted inverse of a along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** Let  $a, v, w, y, e \in \mathcal{R}$  with either v or w invertible and let e be regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $y = a_{\parallel e}^{v,w}$ .
- (ii) yvawe = e = evawy,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}e$  and  $lann(e) \subseteq lann(yv)$ .
- (iii) yvawy = y,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}e$  and lann(yv) = lann(e).
- (iv) yvawe = e = evawy,  $yvR \subseteq eR$  and  $rann(e) \subseteq rann(wy)$ .
- (v) yvawy = y,  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq e\mathcal{R}$  and rann(wy) = rann(e).
- (vi)  $y = a_{e,e}^{h,v,w}$ .
- (vii)  $y = a_{\rho,\rho}^{v,w}$ .
- (viii)  $y = a_{e,e}^{a,v,w}$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence of (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) follows from Theorem 4.9. Next we will show that (vi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (viii). Since  $a_{e,e}^{h,v,w}$  is a special case of  $a_{e,e}^{a,v,w}$ , it is enough show (viii) $\Rightarrow$ (vi). Let  $y = a_{e,e}^{a,v,w}$ . Then

yvawy = y, rann(wy) = rann(e), and lann(yv) = lann(e).

Clearly both *e* and *y* are regular. So by Proposition 3.1, lann(yv) = lann(e) gives  $yv\mathcal{R} = e\mathcal{R}$  and rann(wy) = rann(e) gives  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}e$ . Hence  $y = a_{e,e}^{v,w}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 5.7.** For i = 1, 2, let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, v, w, y_i \in \mathcal{R}$  with v, w both invertible and  $y_i = a_i^{a,v,w}$ . If  $b_1 = b_2$  then  $y_1va_1wy_2 = y_2$  and  $y_2va_2wy_1 = y_1$ . Mutually, if  $c_1 = c_2$  then  $y_1va_2wy_2 = y_1$  and  $y_2va_1wy_1 = y_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_i = a_{i_{b_i,c_i}}^{a,v,w}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1,  $y_1va_1wb_1 = b_1$  and consequently,

$$(y_1va_1w - 1) \in lann(b_1) = lann(b_2) \subseteq lann(y_2v).$$

Thus  $y_1va_1wy_2v = y_2v$ . Post-multiplying by  $v^{-1}$  we get  $y_1va_1wy_2 = y_2$ . From  $y_2va_2wb_2 = b_2$ , we have

 $(y_2va_2w - 1) \in lann(b_2) = lann(b_1) \subseteq lann(y_1v).$ 

Therefore,  $y_2va_2wy_1v = y_1v$ . Again post-multiplying by  $v^{-1}$ , we obtain  $y_2va_2wy_1 = y_1$ . In the similar manner, we can show if  $c_1 = c_2$  then  $y_1va_2wy_2 = y_1$  and  $y_2va_1wy_1 = y_2$ .  $\Box$ 

4642

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $a_1, a_2, v, w, b, c \in \mathcal{R}$  with both v and w invertible. If  $y_1 = a_1^{a,v,w}_{b,c}$  and  $y_2 = a_2^{a,v,w}_{b,c}$  then  $y_1 + y_2 = y_1 v(a_1 + a_2)wy_2 = y_2 v(a_1 + a_2)wy_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_1 = a_1^{a,v,w}_{b,c}$  and  $y_2 = a_2^{a,v,w}_{b,c}$ . Then by Lemma 5.7, we have  $y_1va_1wy_2 = y_2$ ,  $y_2va_2wy_1 = y_1$ ,  $y_2va_1wy_1 = y_2$ , and  $y_1va_2wy_2 = y_1$ . Now

 $y_1v(a_1 + a_2)wy_2 = y_1va_1wy_2 + y_1va_2wy_2 = y_2 + y_1$  and

 $y_2v(a_1 + a_2)wy_1 = y_2va_1wy_1 + y_2va_2wy_1 = y_2 + y_1.$ 

# 6. Conclusion

We have discussed a few necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the (v, w)-weighted (b, c) inverse of an elements in a ring. Derived representations are used in generating corresponding representations of the (v, w)-weighted hybrid (b, c)-inverse and annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverse. We have also explored a few results related to the reverse order law for annihilator (v, w)-weighted (b, c)-inverses. In addition, the notion of (v, w)-weighted Bott-Duffin (e, f)-inverse was introduced along with a few characterizations of this inverse.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### References

- A. Ben-Israel, T. N. E. Greville, Generalized inverses: theory and applications. John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1974.
- [2] E. Boasso, G. K. Montiel, The (*b*, *c*)-inverse in rings and in the Banach context, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, 14(3) (2017).
- [3] X. Chen, J. Chen, The (b, c)-inverse in semigroups and rings with involution, Front. Math. China, 15(6) (2020) 1089-1104
- [4] R. Bott, R. J. Duffin, On the algebra of networks, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1953) 99-109.
- [5] S. Das, J. K. Sahoo, R. Behera, Further results on weighted core inverse in a ring, arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.01130, 2020.
- [6] M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [7] M. P. Drazin, A class of outer generalized inverses. Linear Algebra Appl., 436 (7) (2012) 1909–1923.
- [8] M. P. Drazin, Commuting properties of generalized inverses, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 61(12) (2013) 1675--1681.
- [9] M. P. Drazin, Left and right generalized inverses, Linear Algebra Appl., 510 (2016) 64–78.
- [10] M. P. Drazin, Weighted (b, c)-inverses in categories and semigroups, Comm. Algebra, 48(4) (2020) 1423--1438.
- [11] T. N. E. Greville, Note on the generalized inverse of a matrix product, SIAM Rev. 8 (1966) 518–521.
- [12] R. E. Hartwig, J. Luh, A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements, Pacific J. Math. 71(2) (1977) 449-461.
- [13] Y. Ke, S. D. Čvetković-Ilić, J. Chen, J. Višnjić, New results on (b, c)-inverses, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 66 (3) (2018) 447-458.
- [14] Y. Ke, Y. Gao, J. Chen, Representations of the (*b*, *c*)-inverses in rings with involution, Filomat, 31:9 (2017) 2867–2875.
- [15] J. J. Koliha, D. Djordjević, D. Cvetković, Moore-Penrose inverse in rings with involution. Linear Algebra Appl. 426(2-3) (2007) 371—381.
- [16] J. J. Koliha, V. Rakočević, Invertibility of the difference of idempotents, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 51(1) (2003) 97--110.
- [17] W. Long, N. C. Gonzalez, J. Chen, Characterizations of outer generalized inverses, Canad. Math. Bull. 60(4) (2017) 861-871.
- [18] X. Mary, On generalized inverses and Green's relations, Linear Algebra Appl., 434(8) (2011) 1836–1844.
- [19] D. Mosić, C. Deng, H. Ma, On a weighted core inverse in a ring with involution, Comm. Algebra, 46(6) (2018) 2332–2345.
- [20] D. Mosić, D. Djordjević, Weighted outer inverse, Monatsh. Math. 188(2) (2019) 297–307.
- [21] D. Mosić, H. Zou, J. Chen, On the (b, c)-inverse in rings, Filomat 32(4) (2018) 1221–1231.
- [22] D. Mosić, P. S. Stanimirović, J. K. Sahoo, R. Behera, V. N. Katsikis, One-sided weighted outer inverses of tensor, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 388, Paper No. 113293, 22 (2021)
- [23] D. S. Rakić, N. C. Dinčić, D. S. Djordjević, Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution, Linear Algebra Appl. 463 (2014) 115–133.
- [24] J. K. Sahoo, R. Behera, P. S. Stanimirović, V. N. Katsikis, H. Ma, Core and core-EP inverses of tensors. Comput. Appl. Math. 39(1) (2020), 10.1007/s40314-019-0983-5.
- [25] P. S. Stanimirović, V. N. Katsikis, H. Ma, Representations and properties of the W-weighted Drazin inverse, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 65(6) (2017) 1080--1096.
- [26] P. S. Stanimirović, D. Mosić, H. Ma, New classes of more general weighted outer inverses, Linear Multilinear Algebra 70 (1) (2022) 122–147.
- [27] L. Wang, Further results on hybrid (b, c)-inverses in rings, Filomat, 33:15 (2019) 4943–4950.
- [28] H. Zhu, Further results on several types of generalized inverses, Comm. Algebra, 46(8) (2018) 3388-3396.

[29] Bhaskara Rao, K. P. S. The theory of generalized inverses over commutative rings. With a foreword by Adi Ben-Israel. Algebra, Logic and Applications, 17. Taylor & Francis Group, London, 2002. xii+167 pp. ISBN: 0-415-27248-3 MR1919967