

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Sojourns of a Two-Dimensional Fractional Bronwian Motion Risk Process

## Grigori Jasnovidova,b

<sup>a</sup>Department of Actuarial Science, University of Lausanne, UNIL-Dorigny, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland <sup>b</sup>St.Petersburg Departament of Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences

Abstract. This paper derives the asymptotic behavior of

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}\left(B_{H}(s)-c_{1}s>q_{1}u,B_{H}(s)-c_{2}s>q_{2}u\right)ds>T_{u}\right\},\quad u\to\infty,$$

where  $B_H$  is a fractional Brownian motion,  $c_1, c_2, q_1, q_2 > 0$ ,  $H \in (0, 1)$ ,  $T_u \ge 0$  is a measurable function and  $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$  is the indicator function.

## 1. Introduction & Preliminaries

Consider the risk model defined by

$$R(t) = u + \rho t - X(t), \quad t \ge 0, \tag{1}$$

where X(t) is a centered Gaussian risk process with a.s. continuous sample paths,  $\rho > 0$  is the net profit rate and u > 0 is the initial capital. This model is relevant to insurance and financial applications, see, e.g., [1]. A question of numerous investigations (see [2–17]) is the study of the asymptotics of the classical ruin probability

$$\lambda(u) := \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \ge 0 : R(t) < 0\right\} \tag{2}$$

as  $u \to \infty$  under different levels of generality. It turns out, that only for X being a Brownian motion (later on BM)  $\lambda(u)$  can be calculated explicitly: if X is a standard BM, then  $\lambda(u) = e^{-2\rho u}$ ,  $u, \rho > 0$ , see [18]. Since it seems impossible to find the exact value of  $\lambda(u)$  in other cases, the approximations of  $\lambda(u)$  as  $u \to \infty$  is dealt with. Some contributions (see, e.g., [19, 20]), extend the classical ruin problem to the so-called sojourn problem, i.e., approximation of the sojourn probability defined by

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(R(s)<0)ds>T_{u}\right\},\tag{3}$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60G15; Secondary 60G70

Keywords. fractional Brownian motion; simultaneous ruin probability; two-dimensional risk processes; sojourn

Received: 23 July 2021; Accepted: 22 September 2021

Communicated by Miljana Jovanović

Email address: griga1995@yandex.ru (Grigori Jasnovidov)

where  $T_u \ge 0$  is a measurable function of u. As in the classical case, only for X being a BM the probability above can be calculated explicitly, see [20]:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - cs > u)ds > T\right\} = \left(2(1 + c^{2}T)\Psi(c\sqrt{T}) - \frac{c\sqrt{2T}}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{\frac{-c^{2}T}{2}}\right)e^{-2cu}, \ c > 0, \ T, u \ge 0,$$

where  $\Psi$  is the survival function of a standard Gaussian random variable, B is a standard BM and  $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$  is the indicator function. Motivated by [21] (see also [5, 22, 23]), we study a generalization of the main problem in [21] for the sojourn case, i.e., we shall study the asymptotics of

$$\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) := \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}\left(B_H(s) - c_1 s > q_1 u, B_H(s) - c_2 s > q_2 u\right) ds > T_u\right\}, \quad u \to \infty,$$

where  $B_H$  is a standard fractional Brownian motion (later on fBM), i.e., a Gaussian process with zero expectation and covariance defined by

$$\mathrm{cov}(B_H(s),B_H(t)) = \frac{|t|^{2H} + |s|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}}{2}, \quad t,s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The ruin probability above is of interest for reinsurance models, see [21] and references therein. By the self-similarity of fBM we have

$$C_{T_{u}}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}\left(B_{H}(su) > c_{1}su + q_{1}u, B_{H}(su) > c_{2}su + q_{2}u\right)d(su) > T_{u}\right\} \\
= \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}\left(u^{H}B_{H}(s) > (c_{1}s + q_{1})u, u^{H}B_{H}(s) > (c_{2}s + q_{2})u\right)ds > T_{u}/u\right\} \\
= \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}\left(\frac{B_{H}(s)}{\max(c_{1}s + q_{1}, c_{2}s + q_{2})} > u^{1-H}\right)ds > T_{u}/u\right\}.$$

In order to prevent the problem of degenerating to the one-dimensional sojourn problem discussed in [19, 20] (i.e., to impose the denominator in the line above be nonlinear function) we assume that

$$c_1 > c_2, \quad q_2 > q_1.$$
 (4)

The variance of the process two lines above can achieve its unique maxima only at one of the following points:

$$t_* = \frac{q_2 - q_1}{c_1 - c_2}, \quad t_1 = \frac{q_1 H}{(1 - H)c_1}, \quad t_2 = \frac{q_2 H}{(1 - H)c_2}.$$
 (5)

From (4) it follows that  $t_1 < t_2$ ; as we shall see later, the order between  $t_1, t_2$  and  $t_*$  determines the asymptotics of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)$  as  $u \to \infty$ . As mentioned in [9], for the approximation of the one-dimensional Parisian ruin probability we need to control the growth of  $T_u$  as  $u \to \infty$ . As in [9], we impose the following condition:

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} T_u u^{1/H-2} = T \in [0, \infty), \ H \in (0, 1).$$
(6)

Note that  $T_u$  satisfying (6) may go to  $\infty$  for H > 1/2, converges to non-negative limit for H = 1/2 and approaches 0 for H < 1/2 as  $u \to \infty$ . We see later on in Proposition 2.2 that the condition above is necessary and it seems very difficult to derive the exact asymptotics of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)$  as  $u \to \infty$  without it.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following way. In the next section we present the main results of the paper, in Section 3 we give all proofs, while technical calculations are deferred to the Appendix.

#### 2. Main Results

Define for some function h and  $K \ge 0$  the sojourn Piterbarg constant by

$$\mathcal{B}_{K}^{h} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}\left(\sqrt{2}B(s) - |s| + h(s) > x\right) ds > K \right\} e^{x} dx$$

when the integral above is finite and Berman's constant by

$$\mathcal{B}_{2H}(x) = \lim_{S \to \infty} \frac{1}{S} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int\limits_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I}(\sqrt{2}B_{H}(t) - t^{2H} + z > 0) dt > x \right\} e^{-z} dz, \quad x \ge 0.$$

It is known (see, e.g., [20]) that  $\mathcal{B}_{2H}(x) \in (0, \infty)$  for all  $x \ge 0$ ; we refer to [20] and references therein for the properties of relevant Berman's constants. Let for i = 1, 2

$$\mathbb{D}_{H} = \frac{c_{1}t_{*} + q_{1}}{t_{*}^{H}}, K_{H} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2H}}\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{H(1 - H)}}, \mathbb{C}_{H}^{(i)} = \frac{c_{i}^{H}q_{i}^{1 - H}}{H^{H}(1 - H)^{1 - H}}, D_{i} = \frac{c_{i}^{2}(1 - H)^{2 - \frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}H^{2}}.$$
 (7)

Now we are ready to give the asymptotics of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)$  as  $u \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that (4) holds and  $T_u$  satisfies (6).

1) If  $t_* \notin (t_1, t_2)$ , then as  $u \to \infty$ 

$$\mathbb{C}_{T_{u}}(u) \sim (\frac{1}{2})^{\mathbb{I}(t_{*}=t_{i})} \times \begin{cases} \left(2(1+c_{i}^{2}T)\Psi(c_{i}\sqrt{T}) - \frac{c_{i}\sqrt{2T}}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{c_{i}^{2}T}{2}}\right)e^{-2c_{i}q_{i}u}, & H = 1/2\\ K_{H}\mathcal{B}_{2H}(TD_{i})(\mathbb{C}_{H}^{(i)}u^{1-H})^{\frac{1}{H}-1}\Psi(\mathbb{C}_{H}^{(i)}u^{1-H}), & H \neq 1/2, \end{cases}$$
(8)

where i = 1 if  $t_* \le t_1$  and i = 2 if  $t_* \ge t_2$ . 2) If  $t_* \in (t_1, t_2)$  and  $\lim_{u \to \infty} T_u u^{2-1/H} = 0$  for H > 1/2, then as  $u \to \infty$ 

$$\mathbb{C}_{T_{u}}(u) \sim \Psi(\mathbb{D}_{H}u^{1-H}) \times \begin{cases}
1, & H > 1/2 \\
\mathcal{B}_{T'}^{d}, & H = 1/2 \\
\mathcal{B}_{2H}(\overline{D}T)Au^{(1-H)(1/H-2)}, & H < 1/2,
\end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{T}^{d}$ ,  $\in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$T' = T \frac{(c_1 q_2 - q_1 c_2)^2}{2(c_1 - c_2)^2}, \quad d(s) = s \frac{c_1 q_2 + c_2 q_1 - 2c_2 q_2}{c_1 q_2 - q_1 c_2} \mathbb{I}(s < 0) + s \frac{2c_1 q_1 - c_1 q_2 - q_1 c_2}{c_1 q_2 - q_1 c_2} \mathbb{I}(s \ge 0)$$

$$(10)$$

and

$$A = \left( |H(c_1 t_* + q_1) - c_1 t_*|^{-1} + |H(c_2 t_* + q_2) - c_2 t_*|^{-1} \right) \frac{t_*^H \overline{\mathbb{D}}_H^{\frac{1}{H} - 1}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}}, \quad \overline{D} = \frac{(c_1 t_* + q_1)^{\frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}} t_*^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{11}$$

Note that if T = 0, then the result above reduces to Theorem 3.1 in [21]. As already mentioned in the introduction (6) is a necessary condition for the theorem above. To illustrate situation when it is not satisfied we consider a "simple" scenario with  $T_u$  being a positive constant.

**Proposition 2.2.** *If* H < 1/2,  $T_u = T > 0$  *and*  $t_* \in (t_1, t_2)$ , then

$$\begin{split} \bar{C}\Psi(\mathbb{D}_{H}u^{1-H})e^{-C_{1,\alpha}u^{2-4H}-C_{2,\alpha}u^{2(1-3H)}} & \leq & \mathbb{C}_{T_{u}}(u) \\ & \leq & (2+o(1))\Psi(\mathbb{D}_{H}u^{1-H})\Psi\left(u^{1-2H}\frac{T^{H}\mathbb{D}_{H}}{2t^{H}}\right), \quad u \to \infty, \end{split}$$

where  $\bar{C} \in (0,1)$  is a fixed constant that does not depend on u and

$$\alpha = \frac{T^{2H}}{2t_{\cdot}^{2H}}, \quad C_{i,\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^i}{i} \mathbb{D}_H^2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Note that instead of the exact asymptotics as in Theorem 2.1 here we observe lower and upper bounds, that decays to zero with different speed as  $u \to \infty$ . Moreover, asymptoitcs in (9) is exponentially bigger than the upper bound in Proposition 2.2

### 3. Proofs

First we give the following auxiliary results. As shown, e.g., in Lemma 2.1 in [24]

$$(1 - \frac{1}{u^2}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u}} e^{-u^2/2} \le \Psi(u) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u}} e^{-u^2/2}, \quad u > 0.$$
(12)

Recall that  $K_H$ ,  $D_1$  and  $\mathbb{C}_H^{(1)}$  are defined in (7). A proof of the proposition below is given in the Appendix. **Proposition 3.1.** Assume that  $T_u$  satisfies (6). Then as  $u \to \infty$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(B_{H}(t)-c_{1}t>q_{1}u)dt>T_{u}\right\}\sim\left\{\begin{pmatrix}2(1+c_{1}^{2}T)\Psi(c_{1}\sqrt{T})-\frac{c_{1}\sqrt{2T}}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{\frac{-c_{1}^{2}T}{2}}\end{pmatrix}e^{-2c_{1}q_{1}u},\quad H=1/2,\\K_{H}\mathcal{B}_{2H}(TD_{1})(\mathbb{C}_{H}^{(1)}u^{1-H})^{\frac{1}{H}-1}\Psi(\mathbb{C}_{H}^{(1)}u^{1-H}),\quad H\neq1/2.$$

Now we are ready to perform our proofs.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1. Case (1).** Assume that  $t_* < t_1$ . Let

$$V_i(t) = \frac{B_H(t)}{c_i t + q_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i(T_u, u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}(B_H(t) - c_i t > q_i u) ds > T_u\right\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For  $0 < \varepsilon < t_1 - t_*$  by the self-similarity of fBM we have

$$\psi_1(T_u,u) \geq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1+\varepsilon} \mathbb{I}(V_1(t) > u^{1-H}, V_2(t) > u^{1-H})dt > \frac{T_u}{u}\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{t_1-\varepsilon}^{t_1+\varepsilon} \mathbb{I}(V_1(t) > u^{1-H})dt > \frac{T_u}{u}\right\}.$$

We have by Borel-TIS inequality, see [14] (details are in the Appendix)

$$\psi_1(T_u, u) \sim \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{t_1 - \varepsilon}^{t_1 + \varepsilon} \mathbb{I}(V_1(t) > u^{1 - H}) ds > T_u / u\right\}, \quad u \to \infty$$
(13)

implying  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \sim \psi_1(T_u, u)$  as  $u \to \infty$ . The asymptotics of  $\psi_1(T_u, u)$  is given in Proposition 3.1, thus the claim follows.

Assume that  $t_* = t_1$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{t_1}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(V_1(s)>u^{1-H})ds>T_u\right\} &\leq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)\\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{t_1}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(V_1(s)>u^{1-H})ds>T_u\right\}+\mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t\in[0,t_1]:V_2(t)>u^{1-H}\right\}. \end{split}$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, case (4) in [21] it follows that the second term in the last line above is negligible comparing with the final asymptotics of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)$  given in (8), hence

$$\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \sim \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}(V_1(s) > u^{1-H})ds > T_u\right\}, \quad u \to \infty.$$

Since  $t_1$  is the unique maxima of  $Var\{V_1(t)\}$  from the proof of Theorem 2.1, case i) in [20] we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{t_1}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(V_1(t)>u^{1-H})dt>T_u/u\right\} &\sim &\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(V_1(t)>u^{1-H})dt>T_u/u\right\} \\ &=&\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}\mathbb{I}(B_H(t)-c_1t>q_1u)dt>T_u\right\},\ u\to\infty. \end{split}$$

The asymptotics of the last probability above is given in Proposition 3.1 establishing the claim. Case  $t_* \ge t_2$  follows by the same arguments.

Case (2). Assume that H > 1/2. We have by Theorem 2.1 in [22] and Theorem 3.1 in [21] with

$$\mathcal{R}_{T_{u}}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \geq 0 : B_{H}(t) - c_{1}t > q_{1}u, B_{H}(t) - c_{2}t > q_{2}u\right\}, 
\mathcal{P}_{T_{u}}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \geq 0 : \inf_{s \in [t, t + T_{u}]} (B_{H}(s) - c_{1}s) > q_{1}u, \inf_{s \in [t, t + T_{u}]} (B_{H}(s) - c_{2}s) > q_{2}u\right\}$$

that

$$\Psi(\mathbb{D}_H u^{1-H}) \sim \mathcal{P}_{T_u}(u) \leq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \leq \mathcal{R}_{T_u}(u) \sim \Psi(\mathbb{D}_H u^{1-H}), \quad u \to \infty,$$

and the claim follows.

Assume that H=1/2. First let (6) holds with  $T_u=T>0$ . We have as  $u\to\infty$  and then  $S\to\infty$  (proof is in the Appendix)

$$\mathbb{C}_{T_{u}}(u) \sim \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{ut,-S}^{ut,+S} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - c_{1}s > q_{1}u, B(s) - c_{2}s > q_{2}u)ds > T\right\} =: \kappa_{S}(u). \tag{14}$$

Next with  $\phi_u$  the density of  $B(ut_*)$ ,  $\eta = c_1t_* + q_1 = c_2t_* + q_2$  and  $\eta_* = \eta/t_* - c_2 = q_2/t_*$  we have

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{S}(u) &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int\limits_{ut_{*}-S}^{ut_{*}} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - c_{2}s > q_{2}u) ds + \int\limits_{ut_{*}}^{ut_{*}+S} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - c_{1}s > q_{1}u) ds > T \middle| B(ut_{*}) = \eta u - x \right\} \phi_{u}(\eta u - x) dx \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int\limits_{ut_{*}-S}^{ut_{*}} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - c_{2}s > q_{2}u) ds \right. \\ &+ \int\limits_{ut_{*}}^{ut_{*}+S} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - B(ut_{*}) - c_{1}(s - ut_{*}) - c_{1}ut_{*} > q_{1}u - \eta u + x) ds > T \middle| B(ut_{*}) = \eta u - x \right\} \phi_{u}(\eta u - x) dx \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int\limits_{ut_{*}-S}^{ut_{*}} \mathbb{I}(B(s) - c_{2}s > q_{2}u) ds + \int\limits_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I}(B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x) ds > T \middle| B(ut_{*}) = \eta u - x \right\} \phi_{u}(\eta u - x) dx \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta^{2}u}{2t_{*}}}}{\sqrt{2\pi ut_{*}}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int\limits_{-S}^{0} \mathbb{I}(Z_{u}(s) + \eta_{*}s > x) ds + \int\limits_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I}(B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x) ds > T \right\} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_{*}} - \frac{\chi^{2}}{2ut_{*}}} dx, \end{split}$$

where  $Z_u(t)$  is a Gaussian process with expectation and covariance defined below:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{Z_{u}(t)\right\} = \frac{-x}{ut}t, \quad \operatorname{cov}(Z_{u}(s), Z_{u}(t)) = \frac{-st}{ut} - t, \quad s \le t \le 0.$$

$$\tag{15}$$

Since  $Z_u(t)$  converges to BM in the sense of convergence finite-dimensional distributions for any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $u \to \infty$  we have (details are in the Appendix)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{-S}^{0} \mathbb{I} \left( Z_{u}(s) + \eta_{*}s > x \right) ds + \int_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I} (B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x) ds > T \right\} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_{*}} - \frac{x^{2}}{2ut_{*}}} dx$$

$$\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{-S}^{0} \mathbb{I} \left( B(s) + \eta_{*}s > x \right) ds + \int_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I} (B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x) ds > T \right\} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_{*}}} dx$$

$$=: K(S). \tag{16}$$

Since  $\mathbb{P}\{\exists t \ge 0 : B(t) - ct > x\} = e^{-2cx}, c, x > 0 \text{ (see, e.g., [18]) we have}$ 

$$K(S) \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists s < 0 : B(s) + \eta_{*}s > x \right\} + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists s \geq 0 : B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x \right\} \right) e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_{*}}} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_{*}}} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( e^{(-2\eta_{*} + \eta/t_{*})x} + e^{(-2c_{1} + \eta/t_{*})x} \right) dx + t_{*}/\eta < \infty$$

provided that  $t_* \in (t_1, t_2)$ . Since K(S) is an increasing function and  $\lim_{S \to \infty} K(S) < \infty$  we have as  $S \to \infty$ 

$$K(S) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I} \left( B(s) - \eta_{*}s > x \right) ds + \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I} \left( B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x \right) ds > T \right\} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_{*}}} dx$$

$$= \frac{t_{*}}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I} \left( B(s) - \frac{\eta_{*}t_{*}}{\eta} s > x \right) ds + \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{I} \left( B_{*}(s) - \frac{c_{1}t_{*}}{\eta} s > x \right) ds > \frac{\eta^{2}T}{t_{*}^{2}} \right\} e^{x} dx$$

$$= \frac{t_{*}}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{I} \left( \sqrt{2}B(s) - |s| + d(s) > x \right) ds > \frac{\eta^{2}T}{2t_{*}^{2}} \right\} e^{x} dx$$

$$= \frac{t_{*}}{\eta} \mathcal{B}_{T'}^{d} \in (0, \infty),$$

where T' and d(s) are defined in (10). Finally, combining (16) with the line above we have as  $u \to \infty$  and then  $S \to \infty$ 

$$\kappa_S(u) \sim \mathcal{B}_{T'}^d \Psi(\mathbb{D}_{1/2} \sqrt{u})$$

and by (14) the claim follows. If (6) holds with  $T_u = 0$ , then we obtain the claim immediately by Theorem 3.1 in [21] and observation that  $\mathcal{B}_0^d$  coincides with the corresponding Piterbarg constant introduced in [21].

Now assume that (6) holds with any possible  $T_u$ . If (6) holds with T > 0, then for large u and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  it holds, that  $\mathbb{C}_{(1+\varepsilon)T}(u) \leq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \leq \mathbb{C}_{(1-\varepsilon)T}(u)$  and hence

$$(1+o(1))\mathcal{B}^d_{T'(1+\varepsilon)}\Psi(\mathbb{D}_{1/2}\sqrt{u})\leq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)\leq \mathcal{B}^d_{T'(1-\varepsilon)}\Psi(\mathbb{D}_{1/2}\sqrt{u})(1+o(1)), \qquad u\to\infty.$$

By Lemma 4.1 in [20]  $\mathcal{B}_x^d$  is a continuous function with respect to x and thus letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we obtain the claim. If (6) holds with T = 0, then for large u and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\mathcal{B}^d_{\varepsilon} \Psi(\mathbb{D}_{1/2} \sqrt{u}) \leq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \leq \mathcal{B}^d_0 \Psi(\mathbb{D}_{1/2} \sqrt{u})$$

and again letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we obtain the claim by continuity of  $\mathcal{B}_{(\cdot)}^d$ .

Assume that H < 1/2. First we have with  $\delta_u = u^{2H-2} \ln^2 u$  as  $u \to \infty$  (proof is in Appendix)

$$\mathbb{C}_{T_{u}}(u) \sim \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{ut_{*}-u\delta_{u}}^{ut_{*}} \mathbb{I}(B_{H}(t)-c_{2}t>q_{2}u)dt>T_{u}\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{ut_{*}}^{ut_{*}+u\delta_{u}} \mathbb{I}(B_{H}(t)-c_{1}t>q_{1}u)dt>T_{u}\right\}$$

$$=: g_{1}(u)+g_{2}(u). \tag{17}$$

Assume that (6) holds with T > 0. Using the approach from [20] we have with  $\mathbb{I}_a(b) = \mathbb{I}(b > a)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$g_{2}(u) = \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\delta_{u}T_{u}^{-1}u} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)} \left( \frac{B_{H}(ut_{*} + tT_{u})}{u(q_{1} + c_{1}t_{*}) + c_{1}tT_{u}} M(u) \right) dt > 1 \right\}$$

$$=: \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\delta_{u}T_{u}^{-1}u} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)} (Z_{u}^{(1)}(t)) dt > 1 \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\delta_{u}T_{u}^{-1}uK_{1}} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)} (Z_{u}^{(1)}(tK_{1}^{-1})) dt > K_{1} \right\}$$

$$=: \mathbb{P} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\delta_{u}T_{u}^{-1}uK_{1}} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)} (Z_{u}^{(2)}(t)) dt > K_{1} \right\},$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{T \mathbb{D}_H^{1/H}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}} t_*}, \quad M(u) = \inf_{t \in [t_*, \infty)} \frac{u(c_1 t + q_1)}{\text{Var}\{B_H(ut)\}} = \mathbb{D}_H u^{1-H}.$$

For variance  $\sigma_{Z_u^{(2)}}^2(t)$  and correlation  $r_{Z_u^{(2)}}(s,t)$  of  $Z_u^{(2)}$  for  $t,s\in[0,\delta_uT_u^{-1}uK_1]$  it holds, that as  $u\to\infty$ 

$$1 - \sigma_{Z_{u}^{(2)}}(t) = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}t_{*}^{H}\mathbb{D}_{H}^{1-1/H}|q_{1}H - (1-H)c_{1}t_{*}|}{(q_{1} + c_{1}t_{*})^{2}}tu^{1-1/H} + O(t^{2}u^{2(1-1/H)}),$$

$$1 - r_{Z_{u}^{(2)}}(s,t) = \mathbb{D}_{H}^{-2}u^{2H-2}|t-s|^{2H} + O(u^{2H-2}|t-s|^{2H}\delta_{u}).$$

Now we apply Theorem 2.1 in [20]. All conditions of the theorem are fulfilled with parameters

$$\omega(x) = x, \ \overleftarrow{\omega}(x) = x, \ \beta = 1, \ g(u) = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2H}} t_*^H \mathbb{D}_H^{1-1/H} | q_1 H - (1-H)c_1 t_*|}{(q_1 + c_1 t_*)^2} u^{1-1/H},$$

$$\eta_{\varphi}(t) = B_H(t), \ \sigma_{\eta}^2(t) = t^{2H}, \ \Delta(u) = 1, \ \varphi = 1,$$

$$n(u) = \mathbb{D}_H u^{1-H}, \ a_1(u) = 0, \ a_2(u) = \delta_u T_u^{-1} u K_1, \ \gamma = 0, \ x_1 = 0, \ x_2 = \infty, \ y_1 = 0, \ y_2 = \infty, \ x = K_1,$$

$$\theta(u) = u^{(1/H-2)(1-H)} \mathbb{D}_H^{-1+1/H} | q_1 H - (1-H)c_1 t_*|^{-1} t_*^H 2^{-\frac{1}{2H}},$$

and thus as  $u \to \infty$ 

$$g_2(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_0^{\delta_u T_u^{-1} u K_1} \int_0^{t_H} \mathbb{I}_{M(u)}(Z_u^{(2)}(t)) dt > K_1\right\} \sim \mathcal{B}_{2H}\left(\frac{T \mathbb{D}_H^{\frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}} t_*}\right) u^{(\frac{1}{H} - 2)(1 - H)} \frac{t_*^H \mathbb{D}_H^{-1 + 1/H}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}} |q_1 H - (1 - H) c_1 t_*|} \Psi(\mathbb{D}_H u^{1 - H}).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$g_1(u) \sim \mathcal{B}_{2H}(\frac{T\mathbb{D}_H^{1/H}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}t_*})u^{(1/H-2)(1-H)} \frac{t_*^H\mathbb{D}_H^{-1+1/H}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}|q_2H - (1-H)c_2t_*|} \Psi(\mathbb{D}_H u^{1-H}), \quad u \to \infty$$

П

and the claim follows if in (6) T > 0. Now let (6) holds with T = 0. Since  $\mathcal{P}_{T_u}(u) \leq \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u) \leq \mathcal{R}_{T_u}(u)$  we obtain the claim by Theorem 2.1 in [22] and Theorem 3.1 in [21].

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** The proof of this proposition is the same as the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [22], thus we refer to [22] for the proof.

## 4. Appendix

**Proof of (13).** To establish the claim we need to show that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{[0,\infty)\backslash[t_1-\varepsilon,t_1+\varepsilon]}\mathbb{I}\left(V_1(s)>u^{1-H}\right)ds>T_u/u\right\}=o(\psi_1(T_u,u)),\ u\to\infty.$$

Applying Borell-TIS inequality (see, e.g., [14]) we have as  $u \to \infty$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{[0,\infty)\setminus[t_1-\varepsilon,t_1+\varepsilon]}\mathbb{I}(V_1(s)>u^{1-H})ds>T_u/u\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t\in[0,\infty)\setminus[t_1-\varepsilon,t_1+\varepsilon]:V_1(t)>u^{1-H}\right\}$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{(u^{1-H}-M)^2}{2m^2}},$$

where

$$M = \mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{\exists t \in [0,\infty) \setminus [t_1 - \varepsilon, t_1 + \varepsilon]} V_1(t)\right\} < \infty, \quad m^2 = \max_{\exists t \in [0,\infty) \setminus [t_1 - \varepsilon, t_1 + \varepsilon]} \operatorname{Var}\{V_1(t)\}.$$

Since  $Var{V_1(t)}$  achieves its unique maxima at  $t_1$  we obtain by (12) that

$$e^{-\frac{(u^{1-H}-M)^2}{2m^2}} = o(\mathbb{P}\{V_1(t_1) > u^{1-H}\}), \quad u \to \infty$$

and the claim follows from the asymptotics of  $\psi_1(T_u, u)$  given in Proposition 3.1.

**Proof of** (14). To prove the claim it is enough to show that as  $u \to \infty$  and then  $S \to \infty$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{[0,\infty)\setminus[ut_*-S,ut_*+S]}\mathbb{I}\Big(B(t)-c_1t>q_1u,B(t)-c_2t>q_2u\Big)dt>T\right\}=o(\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)),\quad u\to\infty.$$

We have that the probability above does not exceed

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \in [0, \infty) \setminus [ut_* - S, ut_* + S] : B(t) - c_1 t > q_1 u, B(t) - c_2 t > q_2 u\right\}.$$

From the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [21], Case (3) and the final asymptotics of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)$  given in (9) it follows that the expression above equals  $o(\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u))$ , as  $u \to \infty$  and then  $S \to \infty$ .

Proof of (16). Define

$$G(u,x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{-S}^{0} \mathbb{I}\left(Z_{u}(s) + \eta_{*}s > x\right)ds + \int_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I}\left(B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x\right)ds > T\right\}.$$

First we show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(u,x)e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*} - \frac{x^2}{2ut_*}} dx = \int_{-M}^{M} G(u,x)e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx + A_{M,u},$$
(18)

where  $A_{M,u} \to 0$  as  $u \to \infty$  and then  $M \to \infty$ . We have

$$|A_{M,u}| = |\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(u,x)e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*} - \frac{x^2}{2ut_*}} dx - \int_{-M}^{M} G(u,x)e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx|$$

$$\leq |\int_{-M}^{M} G(u,x)(e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*} - \frac{x^2}{2ut_*}} - e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}})dx| + \int_{|x|>M} G(u,x)e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx =: |I_1| + I_2.$$

Since the variance of  $Z_u$  (see (15)) converges to those of BM we have by Borell-TIS inequality for x > 0, large u and some C > 0

$$G(u,x) \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \in [-S,0) : (Z_u(t) + \eta_* t) > x\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \in [0,S] : (B_*(t) - c_1 t) > x\right\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \in [-S,0] : (Z_u(t) - \mathbb{E}\left\{Z_u(t)\right\}) > x\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \in [0,S] : B_*(t) > x\right\} \leq e^{-x^2/C}.$$

$$\tag{19}$$

Let  $u > M^4$ . For  $x \in [-M, M]$  it holds, that  $1 - e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ut_*}} \le \frac{x^2}{2ut_*} \le \frac{1}{M}$  and hence for  $u > M^4$  by (19) we have as  $M \to \infty$ 

$$|I_1| \leq \int_{-M}^{0} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} (1 - e^{-\frac{x^2}{2id_*}}) dx + \int_{0}^{M} e^{-x^2/C + \frac{\eta x}{t_*}} (1 - e^{-\frac{x^2}{2id_*}}) dx \leq \frac{1}{M} \Big( \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/C + \frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx \Big) \to 0.$$

For  $I_2$  we have

$$I_2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{-M} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx + \int_{M}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/C} e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}} dx \to 0, \quad M \to \infty,$$

hence (18) holds. Next we show that

$$G(u,x) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{-S}^{0} \mathbb{I}(B(s) + \eta_{*}s > x)ds + \int_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I}(B_{*}(s) - c_{1}s > x)ds > T\right\}, \quad u \to \infty$$

that is equivalent with

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{-s}^{s} \mathbb{I}\left(X_u(s)>x\right)ds>T\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_{-s}^{s} \mathbb{I}\left(B(s)+k(s)>x\right)ds>T\right\},\,$$

where  $k(s) = \mathbb{I}(s < 0)\eta_* s - \mathbb{I}(s \ge 0)c_1 s$  and

$$X_u(t) = (Z_u(t) + \eta_* t) \mathbb{I}(t < 0) + (B_*(t) - c_1 t) \mathbb{I}(t \ge 0).$$

We have for large u

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ (X_u(t) - X_u(s))^2 \right\} = \begin{cases} |t - s| + |t - s|^2 & t, s \ge 0 \\ -\frac{(s - t)^2}{ut_*} + |t - s| + \frac{x^2(t - s)^2}{u^2t_*^2} - \frac{2x(t - s)^2\eta_*}{ut_*} + \eta_*^2(t - s)^2 & t, s \le 0 \\ |t - s| - \frac{s^2}{ut_*} + \frac{x^2s^2}{u^2t_*^2} - \frac{2xs(\eta_*s + c_1t)}{ut_*} + (\eta_*s + c_1t)^2 & s < 0 < t \end{cases}$$

implying for all u large enough, some C > 0 and  $t, s \in [-S, S + T]$  that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{ (X_u(t) - X_u(s))^2 \right\} \le C|t - s|.$$

Next, by Proposition 9.2.4 in [14] the family  $X_u(t)$ , u > 0,  $t \in [-S, S + T]$  is tight in  $\mathcal{B}(C([-S, S + T]))$  (Borell  $\sigma$ -algebra in the space of the continuous functions on [-S, S + T] generated by the cylindric sets).

As follows from (15),  $Z_u(t)$  converges to B(t) in the sense of convergence finite-dimensional distributions as  $u \to \infty$ ,  $t \in [-S, S+T]$ . Thus, by Theorems 4 and 5 in Chapter 5 in [25] the tightness and convergence of finite-dimensional distributions imply weak convergence

$$X_u(t) \Rightarrow B(t) + k(t) =: W(t), t \in [-S, S + T].$$

By Skorohod representation theorem (Theorem 11, Chapter 5 in [25]) we can assume that the convergence is almost surely. Thus, we assume that  $X_u(t) \to W(t)$  a.s. as  $u \to \infty$  as elements of C[-S, S] space with the uniform metric. We prove that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\lim_{u\to\infty}\int\limits_{-S}^{S}\mathbb{I}(X_u(t)>x)dt=\int\limits_{-S}^{S}\mathbb{I}(W(t)>x)dt\right\}=1.$$
 (20)

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We shall show that as  $u \to \infty$  with probability 1

$$\mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S]: X_u(\omega, t) > x > W(\omega, t)\} + \mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S]: W(\omega, t) > x > X_u(\omega, t)\} \to 0,$$
 (21)

where  $\mu_{\Lambda}$  is the Lebesgue measure. Since for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  for large u and  $t \in [-S, S]$  with probability one  $|W(t) - X_u(t)| < \varepsilon$  we have that

$$\mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S] : X_{u}(\omega, t) > x > W(\omega, t)\} + \mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S] : W(\omega, t) > x > X_{u}(\omega, t)\}$$

$$\leq \mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S] : W(\omega, t) \in [-\varepsilon + x, \varepsilon + x]\}.$$

Thus, (21) holds if

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S] : W(t) \in [-\varepsilon + x, x + \varepsilon]\} = 0\right\} = 1. \tag{22}$$

Consider the subset  $\Omega_* \subset \Omega$  consisting of all  $\omega_*$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mu_{\Lambda} \{t \in [-S,S] : W(\omega_*,t) \in [-\varepsilon + x,x + \varepsilon] \} > 0.$$

Then for each  $\omega_*$  there exists the set  $\mathcal{A}(\omega_*) \subset [-S, S]$  such that  $\mu_{\Lambda}\{\mathcal{A}(\omega_*)\} > 0$  and for  $t \in \mathcal{A}(\omega_*)$  it holds, that  $W(\omega_*, t) = x$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\{\Omega_*\} = \mathbb{P}\{\mu_{\Lambda}\{t \in [-S, S] : W(t) = x\} > 0\},$$

the right side of the equation above equals 0 by Lemma 4.2 in [26]. Hence we conclude that (22) holds, consequently (21) and (20) are true. Since convergence almost sure implies convergence in distribution we have by (20) that for any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{-S}^{S}\mathbb{I}(X_u(t)>x)dt>T\right\}=\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{-S}^{S}\mathbb{I}(W(t)>x)dt>T\right\}.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\int_{-M}^{M} G(u,x)e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}}dx \to \int_{-M}^{M} \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{-S}^{0} \mathbb{I}\left(B(s) + \eta_* s > x\right)ds + \int_{0}^{S} \mathbb{I}(B_*(s) - c_1 s > x)ds > T\right\}e^{\frac{\eta x}{t_*}}dx, \quad u \to \infty.$$

Thus, the claim follows from the line above and (18).

**Proof of** (17). We have by the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [21], Case (3) and the final asymptotics of  $\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)$  given in (9)

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \int_{[0,\infty)\setminus [ut_*-u\delta_u, ut_*+u\delta_u]} \mathbb{I}(B_H(t)-c_1t>q_1u, B_H(t)-c_2t>q_2u)dt > T_u \right\} \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\exists t \in [0,\infty)\setminus [ut_*-u\delta_u, ut_*+u\delta_u] : B_H(t)-c_1t>q_1u, B_H(t)-c_2t>q_2u\right\} \\
= o(\mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u)), \quad u \to \infty$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\int_{[ut_*-u\delta_u,ut_*+u\delta_u]}\mathbb{I}(B_H(t)-c_1t>q_1u,B_H(t)-c_2t>q_2u)dt>T_u\right\}\sim \mathbb{C}_{T_u}(u),\quad u\to\infty.$$

The last probability above is equivalent with  $g_1(u) + g_2(u)$  as  $u \to \infty$ , this observation follows from the application of the double-sum method, see the proofs of Theorem 3.1, Case (3) H < 1/2 in [21] and Theorem 2.1 in [20] case i).

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** If H=1/2, then an equality takes place, see [20], Eq. [5]. Assume from now on that  $H \neq 1/2$ . First let (6) holds with T > 0. We have for c > 0 with  $\widetilde{M}(u) = u^{1-H} \frac{c^H}{(1-H)^{1-H}H^H}$  (recall,  $\mathbb{I}_a(b) = \mathbb{I}(b > a)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$\begin{split} h_{T_u}(u) &:= & \mathbb{P}\left\{\int\limits_0^\infty \mathbb{I}(B_H(t)-ct>u)dt>T_u\right\} \\ &= & \mathbb{P}\left\{u(u^{\frac{1}{H}-2}\frac{c^2(1-H)^{2-\frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}H^2})\int\limits_0^\infty \mathbb{I}_{\widetilde{M}(u)}(\frac{B_H(tu)\widetilde{M}(u)}{u(1+ct)})dt>T\frac{c^2(1-H)^{2-\frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}H^2}\right\}. \end{split}$$

Next we apply Theorem 3.1 in [20] to calculate the asymptotics of the last probability above as  $u \to \infty$ . For the parameters in the notation therein we have

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_\infty = H, \ \sigma(t) = t^H, \ \overleftarrow{\sigma}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{H}}, \ t^* = \frac{H}{c(1-H)}, \ A = \frac{c^H}{H^H(1-H)^{1-H}}, \ x = T \frac{c^2(1-H)^{2-\frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}H^2}$$

$$B = \frac{c^{2+H}(1-H)^{2+H}}{H^{H+1}}, \ M(u) = u^{1-H} \frac{c^H}{(1-H)^{1-H}H^H}, \ v(u) = u^{\frac{1}{H}-2} \frac{c^2(1-H)^{2-\frac{1}{H}}}{2^{\frac{1}{2H}}H^2}.$$

and hence we obtain

$$h_{T_u}(u) \sim K_H \mathcal{B}_{2H}(TD)(C_H u^{1-H})^{\frac{1}{H}-1} \Psi(C_H u^{1-H}), \quad u \to \infty,$$
 (23)

where

$$C_H = \frac{c^H}{H^H (1-H)^{1-H}}$$
 and  $D = 2^{-\frac{1}{2H}} c^2 H^{-2} (1-H)^{2-1/H}$ .

Assume that (6) holds with T = 0. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  for all large u we have  $h_{\varepsilon u^{1/H-2}}(u) \le h_{T_u}(u) \le h_0(u)$  and thus

$$K_H \mathcal{B}_{2H}(\varepsilon D)(C_H u^{1-H})^{\frac{1}{H}-1} \Psi(C_H u^{1-H}) \le h_{T_u}(u) \le K_H \mathcal{B}_{2H}(0)(C_H u^{1-H})^{\frac{1}{H}-1}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}_{2H}(\cdot)$  is a continuous function (Lemma 4.1 in [20]) letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we obtain (23) for any  $T_u$  satisfying (6). Replacing in (23) u and c by  $q_1u$  and  $c_1$  we obtain the claim.

#### References

- [1] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, T. Mikosch, Modelling extremal events, Applications of Mathematics 33 volume (New York), Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [2] A. B. Dieker, Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon, Stochastic Process. Appl. 115 volume, 2005, 207–248.
- [3] G. Jasnovidov, Approximation of ruin probability and ruin time in discrete Brownian risk models, Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 2020, 718–735.
- [4] I. A. Kozik, V. I. Piterbarg, High excursions of Gaussian nonstationary processes in discrete time, Fundamentalnaya i Prikladnaya Matematika volume 22, 2018, 159–169.
- [5] G. Jasnovidov, Simultaneous ruin probability for two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion risk process over discrete grid, Lithuanian Mathematical Journal, 2021.
- [6] K. Dębicki, Krzysztof, P. Liu, Extremes of stationary Gaussian storage models, Extremes 19 volume, 2016, 273–302.
- [7] K. Dębicki, Asymptotics of supremum of scaled Brownian motion, Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 2001.
- [8] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, E., P. Liu, Extremes of *γ*-reflected Gaussian process with stationary increments, ESAIM Probab. Stat. 21 volume, 2017, 495–535.
- [9] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, Parisian ruin over a finite-time horizon, Science China Mathematics 59 volume, 2016, 557–572.
- [10] K. Debicki, G. Sikora, Finite time asymptotics of fluid and ruin models: multiplexed fractional Brownian motions case, Applicationes Mathematicae (Warsaw) volume 33, 2011, 107–116.
- [11] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, T. Rolski, Extremal behaviour of hitting a cone by correlated Brownian motion with drift, Stoch. Proc. Appl., 2018.
- [12] B. Long, Asymptotics of Parisian ruin of Brownian motion risk model over an infinite-time horizon, Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 2018, 514–528.
- [13] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, Parisian ruin of self-similar Gaussian risk processes, Journal of Applied Probability 52 volume, 2015, 688–702.
- [14] V. I. Piterbarg, Twenty lectures about Gaussian processes, Atlantic Financial Press London New York, 2015.
- [15] V. I. Piterbarg, Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields, American Mathematical Society, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1996.
- [16] V. I., Piterbarg, V. R. Fatalov, The Laplace method for probability measures in Banach spaces, Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk 50 volume, 1995 57–150.
- [17] V. Piterbarg, G. Popivoda and S. Stamatovic, Extremes of Gaussian processes with a smooth random trend, Filomat, University of Nis, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, 2017, 2267–2279.
- [18] Queues and Lévy fluctuation theory, K. Dębicki, M. Mandjes, Springer, 2015.
- [19] L. Ji, On the cumulative Parisian ruin of multi-dimensional Brownian motion risk models, Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 2020, 819–842.
- [20] K. Dębicki, P. Liu, Z. Michna, Sojourn times of Gaussian processes with trend, Journal of Theoretical Probability 33 volume, 2020 2119–2166.
- [21] L. Ji, S. Robert, Ruin problem of a two-dimensional fractional Brownian motion risk process, Stochastic Models 34 volume, 2018 73–97
- [22] G. Jasnovidov, A. Shemendyuk, Parisian ruin for insurer and reinsurer under quota-Share treaty, arXiv:2103.03213, 2021.
- [23] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, Z. Michna, Simultaneous ruin probability for two-dimensional Brownian risk model, Journal of Applied Probability 57 volume, 2020, 597–612.
- [24] III, J. Pickands, Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes, transactions of the American mathematical society 145 volume, 1969, 51–73.
- [25] A.V. Bylinskii, A.N. Shiryaev, Theory of stochastic processes (in Russian), M.PHIZMATLIT, 2005.
- [26] N. Kriukov, arXiv:2001.09302, Parisian & cumulative Parisian ruin probability for two-dimensional Brownian risk model, 2020.