Filomat 36:6 (2022), 1857-1864 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2206857C



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

A New Variant of Hildebrandt's Theorem for the Weyl Spectrum in **Banach Spaces**

Salma Charfi^a, Sassia Rahali^b, Ines Walha^b

^aNational School of Electronics and Telecommunications of Sfax, BP 1163, Sfax 3018, Tunisia ^bUniversity of Sfax, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Department of Mathematics, BP 1171, Sfax 3000, Tunisia

Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is to establish a new variant of the Hildebrandt's theorem for the Weyl spectrum in a separable Banach space. This theorem asserts that the convex hull of the Weyl spectrum of an operator T is equal to the intersection of the Weyl numerical spectra of operators that are similar to T.

1. Introduction and Main Results

In 1918, O. Toeplitz [21] and F. Hausdorff [12] introduced a stronger invariant set for a linear operator T calling it the numerical range of *T*. This set is defined by

$$W(T) := \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle, x \in X, ||x|| = 1 \}$$

where $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ is a finite dimensional space endowed with Euclidean norm $\|.\|$.

The set W(T) is invariant only under unitary equivalence and has very nice properties making it a stronger invariant than the spectrum of *T* denoted by $\sigma(T)$. These properties are:

- (1) W(T) contains $\sigma(T)$.
- (2) W(T) is closed.
- (3) W(T) is convex.
- (4) $W(T) = \{0\}$ if and only if T = 0.

Later, the above formula of the numerical range was extended by M. H. Stone [20] to bounded operators acting on Hilbert spaces. This extension led to the construction of a unitary invariant subset satisfying properties (3) and (4), but lost properties (1) and (2).

In 1966 [13], S. Hildebrandt proved an important theorem which asserted that the convex hull of the spectrum of a bounded operator T on a complex Hilbert space was equal to the intersection of the numerical ranges of operators that were similar to *T*. This theorem reads as follows:

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A12; Secondary 47A10, 47D06

Keywords. Numerical range, Weyl spectrum, numerical spectrum, Weyl numerical spectrum, Hildebrandt's theorem Received: 15 July 2019; Revised: 03 September 2019; Accepted: 27 November 2021 Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: salma.charfi@enetcom.rnu.tn (Salma Charfi), rahali.sassia@gmail.com (Sassia Rahali), ines_walha@yahoo.fr (Ines Walha)

Theorem 1.1. [13] For every bounded operator *T* in Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we have

The notion of the numerical range was extensively studied during last five decades and was used in engineering as a rough estimate of eigenvalues of *T*. This set allows us to get more information about operators (see for example [4, 5, 10, 11]). Furthermore, a considerable attention has been devoted to extend the definition of the numerical range to operators acting on Banach spaces (see [3, 14, 17]), but all these interesting definitions still lose at least one property among the four properties already cited. For this reason, M. Adler et al. [1] have recently proposed a definition of the numerical spectrum, $\sigma_n(T)$, of a closed densely defined linear operator *T* on a Banach space *X*. Unlike the numerical range, the numerical spectrum is always an isometric invariant and satisfies all properties (1) – (4).

In literature, spectral theory has witnessed an explosive development in the study of the Weyl spectrum of linear operators which opened up a new line to investigate some spectral properties of the underlying physical systems. The original definition of this spectrum goes back to H. Weyl [23] and later, which has considerably attracted the attention of many authors [7, 8, 15, 16, 18, 19].

In [19], it was shown that the Weyl spectrum of an operator T acting on a Banach space X, consists of those points of the spectrum which cannot be removed from the spectrum by the addition to T of a compact operator, that is:

$$\sigma_w(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma(T + K).$$
(1)

We draw the attention of the reader that if there exists a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ such that $\sigma(T + K) = \sigma_w(T)$, then the operator *T* is said to satisfy the problem of Salinas. This property is valid for all bounded linear operators defined on a separable Hilbert space but not for all Banach spaces setting. (See [2] for more details).

Recently, J. Bračič et al. in [6] proved the following Hildebrandt theorem for the Weyl spectrum:

Theorem 1.2. [6] For every bounded operator T on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we have:

 $conv(\sigma_w(T)) := \bigcap \{ W_w(VTV^{-1}), V \text{ is a bounded invertible operator on } \mathcal{H} \}$

where the Weyl numerical range of *T*, denoted by $W_w(T)$, is defined by

$$W_w(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \overline{W(T+K)}.$$

A natural question can be asked about the extension of the Hildebrandt's theorem to bounded operators acting in Banach spaces. For this subject, analogously to the Weyl spectrum, we can define the Weyl numerical spectrum for a bounded operator T acting on a Banach space X, as follows:

$$\sigma_{w,n}(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma_n(T+K).$$
(2)

This new concept allows us to provide an extension of Hildebrandt's theorem to the case of Banach space. Indeed, we will first generalize Theorem 1.1 by proving the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. (Hildebrandt's Theorem in Banach space) Let *X* be a separable Banach space and *T* a bounded operator on *X*. Then

 $conv(\sigma(T)) = \bigcap \{\sigma_n(VTV^{-1}), V \text{ is a bounded invertible operator on } X\}.$

Next, we will extend Theorem 1.2 by proving the following result:

Theorem 1.4. Let *X* be a separable Banach space and *T* be a bounded operator on *X* satisfying the Salinas problem. Then, we have:

$$conv(\sigma_w(T)) = \bigcap \{ \sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), V \text{ is a bounded invertible operator on } X \}.$$

Now, let us outline the content of this paper. In Section 2, we shall present some basic notations and properties connected to the main body of the paper. In Section 3, we will formulate straightforward auxiliary results to prove the Hildebrandt's theorem for the Weyl spectrum in a separable Banach space.

2. Basic Notations and Preliminary results

To outline the main topics of this paper, we need first to agree on some standard notations and introduce some terminology.

Let *X* be an infinite dimensional and separable Banach space and *X'* its dual. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the set of all bounded linear operators on *X* and by $\mathcal{K}(X)$ the set of all compact operators which is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. The range of a bounded operator *T* is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(T)$.

In the sequel, *convM* denotes the closed convex hull of a given set *M*.

Definition 2.1. [1, Corollary 2.7] Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, the numerical spectrum of *T* is defined by

$$\sigma_n(T) := \overline{conv} \{ \langle Tx, J(x) \rangle, x \in X, ||x|| = 1, J(x) \in \mathfrak{J}(x) \}$$

where $\Im(x)$ denotes the (non empty) duality set defined by

$$\mathfrak{J}(x) := \{J(x) \in X' : \langle x, J(x) \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|J(x)\|^2\}.$$

Now, we recall some several basic properties about the numerical spectrum and we refer the reader to the paper of M. Adler et al. in [1] for more details.

Proposition 2.2. [1] Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then, we have

(*i*) $\sigma_n(T)$ is closed and convex.

(*ii*) $\sigma_n(\alpha T + \beta) = \alpha \sigma_n(T) + \beta$ for all complex numbers α and β .

(*iii*) $\sigma_n(T) = \sigma_n(U^{-1}TU)$ for all isometric isomorphisms *U* on *X*.

(*iv*) $\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_n(T)$.

(*v*) $\sigma_n(T) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } |\lambda| \le ||T||\}.$

Remark 2.3. (*i*) The role of the Weyl numerical spectrum in comparison with the Weyl spectrum mimics the role of the numerical spectrum in comparison with the spectrum.

(*ii*) From Proposition 2.2 (*ii*) and the definition of the Weyl numerical spectrum $\sigma_{w,n}(.)$ given by (2), we infer that this set is closed and convex being the intersection of closed convex sets.

One of the impediments to the development of a clear parallel theory for operators on Banach spaces compared to there for Hilbert spaces is the lack of a suitable notion of an adjoint operator. For this reason, T. L. Gill et al. in [9] gave the construction of the adjoint of bounded linear operators on a separable Banach space. This construction was established in order to generalize the well-known result of J. von Neumann given in [22] for bounded operators in Hilbert spaces. Let first recall the following result:

1859

Theorem 2.4. [9, Theorem 5] Let *X* be a separable Banach space and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then, *T* has a well-defined adjoint T^* defined on *X* such that:

(*i*) the operator $T^*T \ge 0$ called maximal accretive,

 $(ii) (T^*T)^* = T^*T,$

(*iii*) $I + T^*T$ has a bounded inverse.

3. Proof of Main Results

The proofs of theorems 1.3 and 1.4 of this paper require some preparatory results. For this purpose, we consider in this section a separable Banach space X. Due to [9, Theorem 1.2], there exists two Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 satisfying $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq X \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2$ as continuous dense embedding where we consider in the next that $X' \subset \mathcal{H}_2$. Now, let us start by proving the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then *T* has a bounded extension \overline{T} to \mathcal{H}_2 and $\rho(T) = \rho(\overline{T})$.

Proof. Clearly, since *T* is bounded, then by Theorem 1.4 in [9], it can be extended to a bounded linear operator \overline{T} on \mathcal{H}_2 .

First, we will prove that $\rho(\overline{T}) \subset \rho(T)$.

Indeed, if $\lambda \in \rho(\overline{T})$, then $\lambda I - \overline{T}$ has an inverse which entails that $\lambda I - T$ also has one. So, $\rho(\overline{T}) \subset \rho(T)$.

Second, we can show that $\rho(T) \subset \rho(\overline{T})$. That is, since $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then $\rho(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let's $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, then $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ is a continuous mapping on *X*.

On the other hand, let's $f \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Since \overline{T} is continuous, then there exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset X$, such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \overline{T}f_n = \overline{T}f$ in \mathcal{H}_2 . Obviously, $\overline{T}f_n = Tf_n$. This entails $(\lambda I - \overline{T})f = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\lambda I - T)f_n$. However, by the boundedness fact of the operator $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ on X, we have

$$\|(\lambda I - \overline{T})f\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|(\lambda I - T)f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \delta \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}, \text{ for some } \delta > 0.$$

Thus, $\lambda I - \overline{T}$ has a bounded inverse and we conclude that $\rho(T) \subset \rho(\overline{T})$.

Now, we need to prove the following lemma which is useful in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 3.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If $\sigma(T)$ is contained in the open unit disk, then

$$V := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\overline{T}^*)^k \overline{T}^k$$

is an invertible and accretive operator with

$$\|V^{\frac{1}{2}}\overline{T}V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} < 1,$$

where \overline{T} and \overline{T}^* are respectively the extensions of *T* and its adjoint *T*^{*} on \mathcal{H}_2 .

Proof. Since *T* is bounded, Theorem 1.4 in [9] asserts that it has an adjoint *T*^{*}. Thus, these both operators can be extended to bounded linear operators \overline{T} and \overline{T}^* on \mathcal{H}_2 . Furthermore, following Lemma 3.1, we have $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\overline{T})$.

We notice that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \|(\overline{T}^*)^k \overline{T}^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{\frac{1}{k}} \le \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|\overline{T}^k\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{\frac{2}{k}} = r(\overline{T})^2,$$

where $r(\overline{T})$ is the spectral radius of \overline{T} . Since $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\overline{T})$ is a subset of the open unit disk , then $r(\overline{T}) < 1$. Consequently, $\limsup_{k\to\infty} ||(\overline{T}^*)^k \overline{T}^k||_{\mathcal{H}_2}^{\frac{1}{k}} < 1$. Hence, the sum converges absolutely. Clearly, *V* is an accretive operator being a sum of accretive operators.

One has

$$\langle \overline{T}^* V \overline{T} x, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}_2$$

it follows that $\overline{T}^* V \overline{T} = V - I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$. So, we infer that $V \ge I$. Thus, we claim that V is an invertible operator.

Now, since V is an accretive operator, we define the bounded operator $V^{\frac{1}{2}}\overline{T}V^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfying

$$\|V^{\frac{1}{2}}\overline{T}V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}^{2} \leq \|V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\overline{T}^{*}V\overline{T}V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} = \|V^{-\frac{1}{2}}(V-I)V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}} = \|I-V^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}.$$

On the other hand, since *V* and *V* – *I* are accretive operators, then $\sigma(V) \subseteq]1, +\infty[$. Hence, we conclude that $\sigma(V^{-1}) \subseteq (0, 1]$. That is,

$$\sigma(I-V^{-1})\subseteq [0,1),$$

and thus

$$\|I - V^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} < 1.$$

Which yields $\|V^{\frac{1}{2}}\overline{T}V^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} < 1$ as desired.

In the next, we will prove Theorem 1.3 by double inclusion.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 First, let us prove the first inclusion, that is:

 $conv(\sigma(\overline{T})) \subseteq \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } \mathcal{H}_2 \right\}.$

Clearly, for every invertible operator $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2)$, we have $\sigma(V\overline{T}V^{-1}) = \sigma(\overline{T})$. Therefore, following Proposition 2.2 (*iv*), we obtain

$$\sigma(\overline{T}) = \sigma(V\overline{T}V^{-1}) \subseteq \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1}).$$

According to the convexity of the numerical spectrum (see Proposition 2.2 (i)), we infer that

$$conv(\sigma(\overline{T})) \subseteq \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1}).$$

Consequently, we get the desired inclusion

 $conv(\sigma(\overline{T})) \subseteq \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } \mathcal{H}_2 \right\}.$

In order to prove the second inclusion, we suppose that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, which satisfies that $\lambda \in \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1})$, for all invertible operator. Yet $\lambda \notin conv(\sigma(\overline{T}))$.

Now, by translating, scaling and thanking into account Proposition 2.2 (*ii*), we may assume that $conv(\sigma(\overline{T}))$ is a subset of the open unit disk of radius and $|\lambda| \ge 1$.

Since $\sigma(T) \subseteq conv(\sigma(T))$ is a subset of the open disk of radius, then Lemma 3.2 implies that there exists an invertible element $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that $\|V\overline{T}V^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} < 1$. From Proposition 2.2 (*vi*), one has $\sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1})$ is a subset of the open unit disk which contradicts the fact that $\lambda \in \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1})$ and $|\lambda| \ge 1$. Hence, we get the second inclusion and we conclude that

 $conv(\sigma(\overline{T})) = \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(V\overline{T}V^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } \mathcal{H}_2 \right\}.$

From here, taking the restriction *T* of \overline{T} to *X*, the result follows and we get

$$conv(\sigma(T)) = \int \left\{ \sigma_n(VTV^{-1}), V \text{ is a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\} \Box$$

Remark 3.3. Note that, Theorem 1.3 can be considered as a generalization and an extension of Theorem 2.2 in [6] to the Banach space case.

Now, we are able to prove Theorem 1.4 which gives an improvement of [6, Theorem 2.3].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 requires two steps: **Step 1**: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, we claim that

$$conv(\sigma_w(T)) \subseteq \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), V \text{ is a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}.$$

Indeed, since *V* is a bounded invertible operator, we have $\sigma(VTV^{-1}) = \sigma(T)$. Following Proposition 2.2 (*iv*), one has

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(VTV^{-1}) \subseteq \sigma_n(VTV^{-1}).$$

Therefore

$$\sigma(T+K) = \sigma(V(T+K)V^{-1}) \subseteq \sigma_n(V(T+K)V^{-1}), \ \forall K \in \mathcal{K}(X).$$

Let \widetilde{K} be the compact operator defined by $\widetilde{K} := VKV^{-1}$. Clearly, we have

$$\sigma(VTV^{-1} + \widetilde{K}) \subseteq \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + \widetilde{K}).$$

So,

$$\bigcap_{\mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma(T+K) \subseteq \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \left\{ \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + \widetilde{K}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}.$$

In other terms, we have

K€I

$$\sigma_w(T) \subseteq \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}$$

Therefore, taking the convex hulls, we deduce that

$$conv(\sigma_w(T)) \subseteq \bigcap \{\sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X\}$$

Step 2: At this step, we will prove the opposite inclusion, that is:

 $\bigcap \{\sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X\} \subseteq conv(\sigma_w(T)).$

Indeed, Since the operator *T* satisfy the Salinas problem, we infer that there exists a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$, such that

$$\sigma_w(T) = \sigma(T+K)$$

Therefore, by the convexity hulls of the Weyl spectrum of T and the spectrum of T + K, we deduce that

$$conv(\sigma_w(T)) = conv(\sigma(T+K)).$$
 (3)

Using Theorem 1.3, it follows that:

$$conv(\sigma(T+K)) = \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(V(T+K)V^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}$$
$$= \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + VKV^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}$$
$$= \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + \widetilde{K}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\},$$
(4)

where $\widetilde{K} := VKV^{-1}$ is a compact operator as the product of the compact operator *K* and the bounded invertible operator *V*.

On the other hand, we have:

$$\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + K) \subseteq \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + \widetilde{K}),$$

١

which allows us to conclude that:

1

$$\bigcap \left\{ \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + K), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}$$
$$\subset \bigcap \left\{ \sigma_n(VTV^{-1} + \widetilde{K}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}.$$

According to Eqs. (4) and (3), we assert that:

$$\int \left\{ \sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\} \subset conv(\sigma_w(T)).$$

Now, combining the results of the two steps, we conclude that

$$conv(\sigma_w(T)) = \left(\left\{ \sigma_{w,n}(VTV^{-1}), \text{ Vis a bounded invertible operator on } X \right\}, \right)$$

which ends the proof.□

Remark 3.4. Our results improve and extend those established by J. Bračič et al. given in [6] from Hilbert to Banach spaces by using the concept of numerical spectrum on the Banach space.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank the editor and the anonymous reviewers for valuable comments and suggestions, which allowed us to improve the paper.

References

- M. Adler, W. Dada, A. Radl, Semi group approach to numerical range of operators on Banach spaces, Semigroup Forum 94 (1) (2017) 51–70.
- [2] C. Apostol, The correction by compact perturbation of the singular behavior of operators, Revue Roumaine de Mathématique Pures et Appliqués 21 (1976) 155–175.
- [3] F. L. Bauer, On the field of values subordinate to a norm, Numerische Mathematik 4 (1962) 103–113.
- [4] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, No. 2, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1971.
- [5] F. F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, Numerical ranges II, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, No. 10, Cambridge University Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [6] J. Bracic, C. Diogo, Hildebrandt's theorem for the essential spectrum, Opuscula Mathematica 35 (3) (2015) 279–285.
- [7] S. Charfi, A. Jeribi, I. Walha, Essential spectra, matrix operator and applications, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 111 (3) (2010) 319–337.
- [8] S. Charfi, I. Walha, On relative essential spectra of block operators matrices and application, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society 53 (3) (2016) 681–698.
- [9] T. L. Gill, F. Mensah, W. W. Zachary, V. Steadman, Adjoint for operators in Banach spaces, arXiv:1010.4922v1 [math-ph] (2010).
- [10] K. E. Gustafson, D. K. M. Rao, Numerical Range, the field of values of linear operators and matrices, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [11] P. R. Halmos, A Hilbert space problem book, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1982.
- [12] F. Hausdorff, Der Wertvorrat einer Bilinear form, Mathematische Zeitschrift 3 (1919) 314–316.
- [13] S. Hildebrandt, Über den numerischen wertebereich eines operators, Mathematische Annalen 163 (1966) 230–247.
- [14] K. Jahedi, S. B. Yousefi, Numerical range of operators acting on Banach spaces, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 62 (137) (2012) 495–503.
- [15] A. Jeribi, Linear operators and their essential pseudospectra, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2018.
- [16] A. Jeribi, Spectral theory and applications of linear operators and block operator matrices, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2015.
- [17] G. Lumer, Semi-inner-product spaces, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 100 (1961) 29-43.

- [18] M. Schechter, On the essential spectrum of an arbitrary operator, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 13 (1966) 205–215.
- [19] M. Schechter, Principles of functional analysis, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.
- [20] M. H. Stone, Linear transformations in Hilbert space and their applications to analysis, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1932.
- [21] O. Toeplitz, Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satz von Fejér, Mathematische Zeitschrift 2 (1-2) (1918) 187–197.
- [22] J. Von Neumann, Über adjungierte Funktionaloperatoren, Annals of Mathematics 33 (1932) 294–310.
 [23] H. Weyl, Über gewöhnliche ifferentialgleichungen mit singularitäten und die zugehörigen entwicklungen willkürlicher funktionen, Mathematische Annalen 68 (1910) 220–269.