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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study properties and structures of $n$-power quasinormal operators. In particular, we show that every $n$-power quasinormal operator satisfies some local spectral properties. Finally, we consider the $n$-power quasinormality of operator matrices.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we write $\sigma(T)$ and $\sigma_{a p}(T)$ for the spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of $T$, respectively, while $r(T)$ denotes the spectral radius of $T$.

A closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under the operator $A$ if $A \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. In addition, if both $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}$ are invariant subspaces for $A$, then we say $\mathcal{M}$ is a reducing subspace for $A$. The collection of all subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ invariant under $A$ is denoted by LatA. A hyperinvariant subspace for $A$ is a closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{S M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ for every operator $S$ which commutes with $A$. The collection of all subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ hyperinvariant under $A$ is denoted by HLat $A$.

An operator $T$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has the unique polar decomposition $T=U|T|$, where $|T|=\left(T^{*} T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $U$ is the appropriate partial isometry satisfying $\operatorname{ker}(U)=\operatorname{ker}(|T|)=\operatorname{ker}(T)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(U^{*}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*}\right)$. Associated with $T$ is a related operator $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ called the Aluthge transform of $T$, denoted throughout this paper by $\tilde{T}$. In many cases, the Aluthge transforms of $T$ have the better properties than $T$ (see [12] for more details). The Duggal transform of $T$, denoted by $\tilde{T}^{D}$, is given by $\tilde{T}^{D}=|T| U$.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be normal if $T$ and $T^{*}$ commute, quasinormal if $T$ and $T^{*} T$ commute, respectively. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a $p$-hyponormal operator if $\left(T^{*} T\right)^{p} \geq\left(T T^{*}\right)^{p}$, where $0<p<\infty$. Especially, if $p=1, T$ is called hyponormal.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called n-power normal if and only if $T^{n} T^{*}=T^{*} T^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be $n$-power quasinormal if and only if $\left[T^{n}, T^{*}\right] T=0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $[A, B]:=A B-B A$.

[^0]It is clear that every nilpotent operator of order $n+1$ is $n$-power quasinormal. However, every $n$-power quasinormal operator is not necessary to be normal, hyponormal, or $p$-hyponormal (see Example 3.9).

In this paper, we study properties and structures of $n$-power quasinormal operators. In particular, we show that every $n$-power quasinormal operator satisfies some local spectral properties. Finally, we consider the $n$-power quasinormality of operator matrices.

## 2. Preliminaries

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has the single valued extension property (i.e., SVEP) at $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ if for every open neighborhood $U$ of $\lambda_{0}$ the only analytic function $f: U \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which satisfies the equation $(T-\lambda) f(\lambda) \equiv 0$ is the constant function $f \equiv 0$ on $U$. The operator $T$ is said to have the single valued extension property if $T$ has the single valued extension property at every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and for a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, the local resolvent set $\rho_{T}(x)$ of $T$ at $x$ is defined as the union of every open subset $G$ of $\mathbb{C}$ on which there is an analytic function $f: G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $(T-\lambda) f(\lambda) \equiv x$ on $G$. The local spectrum of $T$ at $x$ is given by $\sigma_{T}(x)=\mathbb{C} \backslash \rho_{T}(x)$. We define the local spectral subspace of an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\mathcal{H}_{T}(F)=\left\{x \in \mathcal{H}: \sigma_{T}(x) \subset F\right\}$ for a subset $F$ of $\mathbb{C}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to have Dunford's property $(C)$ if $\mathcal{H}_{T}(F)$ is closed for each closed subset $F$ of $\mathbb{C}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to have Bishop's property $(\beta)$ if for every open subset $G$ of $\mathbb{C}$ and every sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$-valued analytic functions on $G$ such that $(T-\lambda) f_{n}(\lambda)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of $G$, we get that $f_{n}(\lambda)$ converges uniformly to 0 in norm on compact subsets of $G$ An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be decomposable if for every open cover $\{U, V\}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ there are $T$-invariant subspaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{X}+\mathcal{Y}, \sigma\left(\left.T\right|_{X}\right) \subset \bar{U}, \text { and } \sigma\left(\left.T\right|_{\mathcal{Y}}\right) \subset \bar{V}
$$

It is well known that
Bishop's property $(\beta) \Rightarrow$ Dunford's property $(C) \Rightarrow$ SVEP.
Any of the converse implications does not hold, in general (see [16] for more details).

## 3. Main results

In this section, we investigate several properties of $n$-power quasinormal operators. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is n-power quasinormal, then $T^{n}$ is quasinormal. Conversely, if $T^{n}$ is quasinormal and ker $T^{* n} \subset$ ker $T^{n}$, then $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal.

Proof. If $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal, then $|T|^{2}$ commutes with $T^{n}$ and $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$. Hence

$$
\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}\right] T^{n}=T^{* n-1}|T|^{2} T^{n-1} T^{n}=T^{* n-1} T^{n-1} T^{n}|T|^{2}=\cdots \cdots \cdot=T^{n}\left(|T|^{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$
T^{n}\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}\right]=T^{n}\left[T^{* n-1}|T|^{2} T^{n-1}\right]=T^{n}\left[T^{* n-1} T^{n-1}\right]|T|^{2}=\cdots \cdots=T^{n}\left(|T|^{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Hence $\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}\right] T^{n}=T^{n}\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}\right]$. Thus $T^{n}$ is quasinormal.
Conversely, if $T^{n}$ is quasinormal and $\operatorname{ker} T^{* n} \subset \operatorname{ker} T^{n}$, then it follows that $\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}-T^{n}\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}\right] T^{n}=0$. Hence $T^{n}$ is normal on $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T^{n}}$. Since $T^{n} T=T T^{n}$, Fuglede-Putnam theorem implies that $T^{n} T^{*}=T^{*} T^{n}$ on $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T^{n}}$. Since $T^{n}$ is quasinormal and $\operatorname{ker} T^{* n} \subset \operatorname{ker} T^{n}$, we have $\operatorname{ker} T^{* n}=\operatorname{ker} T^{n}$. Moreover, since $T^{* n} T^{n}-T^{n} T^{* n}=0$ on $\operatorname{ker} T^{* n}=\operatorname{ker} T^{n}, T^{n}$ is normal on $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\operatorname{ran} T^{n}} \oplus \operatorname{ker} T^{* n}$. By the similar method above, $T^{n} T^{*}=T^{*} T^{n}$ on $\operatorname{ker} T^{* n}=\operatorname{ker} T^{n}$. Hence $T^{n} T^{*}=T^{*} T^{n}$ on $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\operatorname{ran} T^{n}} \oplus \operatorname{ker} T^{* n}$. That implies $\left(T^{n} T^{*}-T^{*} T^{n}\right) T=0$. Thus $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal.

Theorem 3.2. Every n-power quasinormal operator $T$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has the single-valued extension property.
Proof. Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an analytic function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T-\lambda) f(\lambda)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is a disck. Since $T-\lambda$ is invertible on $D \backslash \sigma(T)$, it follows that $f(\lambda)=0$. Hence we may assume that $D \subset \sigma(T)$. From (1),

$$
0=\left(T^{n}-\lambda^{n}\right) f(\lambda)=(T-\lambda) g(T, \lambda)
$$

on $D$. Choose nonzero $\lambda_{0} \in D$. Consider $D_{0}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|<r\right\}$ with sufficiently small $r$ in $D$ such that $\frac{1}{\lambda^{n}}$ exists on $D_{0}^{n}$. Set $k(\mu)=f\left(\mu^{-n}\right)$ on $D_{0}^{n}$. Then $\left(T^{n}-\mu\right) k(\mu)=0$ on $D_{0}^{n}$. Since $T^{n}$ is quasinormal by Lemma 3.1, $T^{n}$ has the single-valued extension property. Hence $k(\mu)=0$. Therefore, $f(\lambda)=0$ on $D_{0}$. By the Identity Theorem, $f(\lambda)=0$ on $D$. Thus $T$ has the single-valued extension property.

Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be nilpotent of order $k$ if $T^{k}=0$ for some positive integer $k$.

Corollary 3.3. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is n-power quasinormal, then the following statements hold.
(i) $\sigma(T)=\cup_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \sigma_{T}(x)$ and $\max \left\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \sigma_{T}(x)\right\}=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{n} x\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$.
(ii) If $T$ is quasinilpotent (i.e., $\sigma(T)=\{0\}$ ), then it is nilpotent of order $n$.

Proof. (i) Since $T$ has the single-valued extension property by Theorem 3.2, it follows from [16].
(ii) Since $T^{n}$ is quasinormal from Lemma 3.1, $T^{n}$ is normaloid, i.e., $r\left(T^{n}\right)=\left\|T^{n}\right\|$ where $r\left(T^{n}\right)=\sup \{|\lambda|$ : $\left.\lambda \in \sigma\left(T^{n}\right)\right\}$. Since $\sigma\left(T^{n}\right)=\{0\}$, we have $\left\|T^{n}\right\|=0$. Hence $T$ is nilpotent of order $n$.

The class of $n$-power quasinormal operators may not have the translation invariant property. For example, if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ is defined as $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & S \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, then $T$ is 2-power quasinormal. However, $(T-\lambda)^{2}(T-$ $\lambda)^{*}(T-\lambda)-(T-\lambda)^{*}(T-\lambda)^{3}=-\lambda^{2} T^{2} T^{*}-2 \lambda T T^{*} T+2 \lambda^{2} T T^{*}-2 \lambda^{2} T^{*} T+3 \lambda T^{*} T^{2} \neq 0$. Hence $T-\lambda$ is not 2-power quasinormal. In the following theorem, we consider the case when the traslation invariant property holds.

Theorem 3.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $T-\lambda I$ is $n$-power quasinormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $T$ is normal.
Proof. If $T-\lambda I$ is $n$-power quasinormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
(T-\lambda I)^{n}(T-\lambda I)^{*}(T-\lambda I)=(T-\lambda I)^{*}(T-\lambda I)^{n+1}
$$

Since $(T-\lambda I)^{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \lambda^{j} T^{n-j}$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \lambda^{j} T^{n-j}\right)\left(T^{*} T-\bar{\lambda} T-\lambda T^{*}+|\lambda|^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(T^{*} T-\bar{\lambda} T-\lambda T^{*}+|\lambda|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \lambda^{j} T^{n-j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculating the above equation, we obtain that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \lambda^{j}\left[T^{n-j} T^{*} T-T^{*} T^{n-j+1}\right]-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \lambda^{j+1}\left[T^{n-j} T^{*}-T^{*} T^{n-j}\right]=0
$$

Set $\lambda=r e^{i \theta}$ for every $0 \leq \theta<2 \pi$ and $r>0$. Dividing both sides by $\lambda^{n}$, for each positive $r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{r^{n-j} e^{i(n-j)}}\left(T^{n-j} T^{*} T-T^{*} T^{n-j+1}\right) \\
& -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{r^{n-j-1} e^{i(n-j-1)}}\left(T^{n-j} T^{*}-T^{*} T^{n-j}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{r}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{r^{n-j-1} e^{i(n-j)}}\left(T^{n-j} T^{*} T-T^{*} T^{n-j+1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{j=0}^{n-2}(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{r^{n-j-1} e^{i(n-j-1)}}\left(T^{n-j} T^{*}-T^{*} T^{n-j}\right)\right]-(-1)^{j}\binom{n}{j}\left(T T^{*}-T^{*} T\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ in above equation, we have $T T^{*}=T^{*} T$. Thus $T$ is normal.
The converse implication is trivial.

Proposition 3.5. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) Let $\left\{T_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of n-power qusinormal operators in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. If $T_{k} \rightarrow T$ in norm, then $T$ is n-power quasinormal.
(ii) $T$ is n-power quasinormal if and only if $|T|$ commutes with $\operatorname{Re} T^{n}$ and $\operatorname{Im} T^{n}$ where $\operatorname{Re} A=\frac{1}{2}\left\{A+A^{*}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Im} A=\frac{1}{2 i}\left\{A-A^{*}\right\}$.
(iii) If $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal and compact, then $T$ is $n$-power normal.

Proof. (i) Since $T_{k} \rightarrow T$ in norm, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{n} T^{*} T-T^{*} T^{n+1}\right\| \leq & \left\|T^{n}-T_{k}^{n}\right\|\left\|T^{*} T\right\|+\left\|T_{k}\right\|^{n}\left\|T^{*}-T_{k}^{*}\right\|\|T\| \\
& +\left\|T_{k}\right\|\left\|^{n}\right\| T_{k}^{*}\| \| T-T_{k}\|+\| T_{k}^{*}-T^{*}\| \| T_{k}^{n+1} \| \\
& +\left\|T^{*}\right\|\| \| T_{k}^{n+1}-T^{n+1} \| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $T^{n} T^{*} T=T^{*} T^{n+1}$. Thus $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal.
(ii) If $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal, then $T^{n}|T|^{2}=|T|^{2} T^{n}$. Since $T^{n} p\left(|T|^{2}\right)=p\left(|T|^{2}\right) T^{n}$ for any polynomial $p(t)$ with $p(0)=0$, take $p_{k}(t) \rightarrow t^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $T^{n}|T|=|T| T^{n}$ since the square root $|T|$ of a positive operator $|T|^{2}$ is approximated uniformly by polynomials of $|T|^{2}$. Since $|T| T^{* n}=T^{* n}|T|,|T|\left(\operatorname{Re} T^{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Re} T^{n}\right)|T|$ and $|T|\left(\operatorname{Im} T^{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Im} T^{n}\right)|T|$ hold. Conversely, if $|T|$ commutes with $\operatorname{Re} T^{n}$ and $\operatorname{Im} T^{n}$, then $|T|$ commutes with $T^{n}$. Thus $T^{n}|T|^{2}=|T|^{2} T^{n}$. So $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal.
(iii) If $T$ is compact, then $T^{n}$ is compact and quasinormal by Lemma 3.1. Hence $T^{n}$ is normal by [7, Corollary 4.10]. Since $T^{n} T=T T^{n}$, by Fuglede-Putnam $T^{n} T^{*}=T^{*} T^{n}$. Thus $T$ is $n$-power normal.

The following propositions provide several examples for $n$-power quasinormal operators.
Proposition 3.6. Every nilpotent operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of order $n-1$ is n-power quasinormal.
Proof. Since $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is nilpotent of order $n-1$, by Halmos characterization $T$ is unitarily equivalent an operator matrix $S$, where $S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & S_{12} & \cdots & S_{1 n} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & S_{2 n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & S_{(n-1) n} \\ 0 & & \cdots & 0\end{array}\right)$. Thus $\left[S^{n}, S^{*}\right] S=0$. Hence $S$ is $n$-power quasinormal.
Since $T$ is unitarily equivalent to $S, T$ is $n$-power quasinormal.

Proposition 3.7. Let $W$ be a unilateral weighted shift defined by $W e_{k}=\alpha_{k} e_{k+1}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$ where $\left\{e_{k}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $W$ is n-power quasinormal if and only if $\left|\alpha_{k}\right|=\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right|$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$. In this case, if $W$ is hyponormal, then $\left|\alpha_{1}\right|=\left|\alpha_{k}\right|$ for all $k=1,2, \cdots$.
(ii) $W^{n}$ is quasinormal if and only if $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \cdots\left|\alpha_{k+n-1}\right|=\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right| \cdots\left|\alpha_{k+2 n-1}\right|$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$.

Proof. (i) Since $W^{n} W^{*} W e_{k}=\left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{2} \alpha_{k} \cdots \alpha_{k+n-1} e_{k+n}$ and
$W^{*} W^{n+1} e_{k}=\alpha_{k} \cdots \alpha_{k+n-1}\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right|^{2} e_{k+n}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots,\left|\alpha_{k}\right|=\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right|$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$. The converse implication is similar. In this case, if $W$ is hyponormal, then $\left\{\left|\alpha_{k}\right|\right\}$ is increasing. Hence

$$
\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{k+1}\right| \leq \cdots \leq\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right|=\left|\alpha_{k}\right|
$$

for $k=1,2, \cdots$. Thus $\left|\alpha_{1}\right|=\left|\alpha_{k}\right|$ for all $k=1,2, \cdots$.
(ii) Since $\left[\left(W^{n}\right)^{*} W^{n}\right] W^{n} e_{k}=\alpha_{k} \cdots \alpha_{k+n-1}\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|\alpha_{k+2 n-1}\right|^{2} e_{k+n}$ and
$W^{n}\left[\left(W^{n}\right)^{*} W^{n}\right] e_{k}=\left|\alpha_{k}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|\alpha_{k+n-1}\right|^{2} \cdots \alpha_{k} \cdots \alpha_{k+n-1} e_{k+n}, W^{n}$ is quasinormal if and only if $\left|\alpha_{k}\right| \cdots\left|\alpha_{k+n-1}\right|=$ $\left|\alpha_{k+n}\right| \cdots\left|\alpha_{k+2 n-1}\right|$ for $k=1,2, \cdots$.

We observe from Proposition 3.7 that the following implications hold. However, the converse implications do not hold, in general.

$$
\{\text { quasinormality of } T\} \Rightarrow\{n \text {-power quasinormality of } T\} \Rightarrow\left\{\text { quasinormality of } T^{n}\right\}
$$

Moreover, there exist $n$-power quasinormal operators which are neither hyponormal nor $p$-hyponormal, in general (see Example 3.9).
Proposition 3.8. Let $T$ be any $2 \times 2$ matrix in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. Then $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal if and only if $T$ is unitarily equivalent to one of the following matrices;

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right], \text { and }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right] \text { where } \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} c^{j}=0
$$

Proof. Since $T$ is unitarily equivalent to $S=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right]$, it suffices to consider the $n$-power quasinormality of $S$. It is easy to show that $S$ is $n$-power quasinormal if and only if the following identities hold.
(i) $\left[a^{n}, \bar{a}\right] a+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} b c^{j}\right) \bar{b} a=0$.
(ii) $\left[a^{n}, \bar{a}\right] b+\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} b c^{j}\right)\left(|b|^{2}+|c|^{2}\right)-\bar{a}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} b c^{j}\right) c=0$.
(iii) $c^{n} \bar{b} a-\bar{b} a^{n+1}=0$.
(iv) $c^{n}|b|^{2}-a^{n}|b|^{2}+\left[c^{n}, \bar{c}\right] c-\bar{b}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} b c^{j}\right) c=0$.

If $a=c=0, a=b=0$, or $b=0$, then (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) are satisfied. Hence $S$ is $n$-power quasinormal. If $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} \mathcal{C}^{j}=0$, then $a^{n}-c^{n}=(a-c) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} \mathcal{C}^{j}=0$. Since (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) hold, $S$ is also $n$-power quasinormal.

Conversely, if $S$ is $n$-power quasinormal, then from (i), $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} C^{j}\right)|b|^{2} a=0$. Hence $a=0, b=0$, or $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} \mathcal{C}^{j}=0$. If $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} \mathcal{C}^{j}=0$, then it is clear. If $a=0$, from (ii) and (iv) $b=0$ or $c=0$. If $b=0$, (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) hold. Hence we complete the proof.

We observe from Proposition 3.8 that every $n$-power quasinormal operator is not necessary to be normal on a finite dimensional space. Hence it is neither hyponormal nor $p$-hyponormal, in general.

Example 3.9. Let $w$ be a root of $z^{n}-1=0$. Then $S=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & b \\ 0 & w\end{array}\right]$ is $n$-power quasinormal. Indeed, since $a=1$, $c=w$, and $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} w^{j}=0$ in Proposition 3.8, $S$ is $n$-power quasinormal. Moreover, if $b \neq 0, S$ is not normal. Thus if $b \neq 0, S$ is neither hyponormal nor $p$-hyponormal, in general.

Recall that an antilinear map $C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is called a conjugation on $\mathcal{H}$ if $C^{2}=I$ and $\langle C x, C y\rangle=\langle y, x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. We say that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is complex symmetric if there exists a conjugation $C$ such that $C T C=T^{*}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ) is a quasiaffinity if $T$ has trivial kernel and dense range. We next consider complex symmetric operators which are $n$-power quasinormal.

Theorem 3.10. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is n-power quasinormal and complex symmetric, then there exists a nilpotent operator $R$ of order $n$ and an n-power normal operator $S$ such that $T=R \oplus S$.

Proof. Assume that $C T C=T^{*}$ for some conjugation $C$ and $T^{n} T^{*} T=T^{*} T^{n+1}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C T^{* n} T C T & =T^{n} C T C T=T^{n} T^{*} T=T^{*} T^{n+1} \\
& =C T C T^{n+1}=C T T^{* n} C T
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
T^{* n} T T^{*} C=T^{* n} T C T=T T^{* n} C T=T T^{* n+1} C
$$

Thus $T^{* n} T T^{*}=T T^{* n+1}$, i.e., $T^{*}$ is $n$-power quasinormal. Since both $T$ and $T^{*}$ are $n$-power quasinormal, both $T^{n}$ and $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$ are quasinormal from Lemma 3.1. Since $\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}-T^{n}\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}\right] T^{n}=0,\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}-T^{n}\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}=0$ on $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T^{n}}$. Since both $T^{n}$ and $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$ are quasinormal, it is clear that $\operatorname{ker} T^{n}=\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$. Hence $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}-T^{n}\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}=0$ on $\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$. Thus $T^{n}$ is normal. By [11, Theorem 3.1], there exists a nilpotent operatos $R$ of order $n$ and an operator $S$ which is quasisimilar to a normal operator $N$ with $\sigma(S)=\sigma(N)$ such that $T=R \oplus S$. Let $X$ be a quasiaffinity such that $S^{n} X=X N^{n}$. By [8, Theorem 7], $S^{n}$ is normal. Hence $T=R \oplus S$ where $R$ is nilpotent operator of order $n$ and $S$ is $n$-power normal.

Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ has finite ascent if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ker $T^{n}=\operatorname{ker} T^{n+1}$.

Corollary 3.11. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is n-power quasinormal and complex symmetric, the following statements hold.
(i) $\operatorname{ker} T^{n}=\operatorname{ker} T^{n+k}$ for all positive integer $k$. Hence $T$ has finite ascent.
(ii) Both $T$ and $T^{*}$ have the single-valued extension property.

Proof. (i) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is $n$-power quasinormal and complex symmetric, then $T=R \oplus S$ where $R^{n}=0$ and $S^{n}$ is normal from Theorem 3.10. Now it suffices to show that $\operatorname{ker} T^{n+1} \subset$ ker $T^{n}$. If $T^{n+1} x=0$, then

$$
0=T^{n+1} x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & S^{n+1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}=\binom{0}{S^{n+1} x_{2}}
$$

Hence $S^{n+1} x_{2}=0$, i.e., $S x_{2} \in \operatorname{ker} S^{n}=\operatorname{ker} S^{* n}$. Therefore, $S^{* n} S x_{2}=0$. Since $S^{n} S=S S^{n}$, by Fuglede-Putnam Theorem $S^{* n} S=S S^{* n}$. Moreover, since $S^{*} S S^{* n} x_{2}=S^{*} S^{* n} S x_{2}=0$, it follows that $\left\|S S^{* n} x_{2}\right\|^{2}=0$. Hence $S^{n} S^{* n} x_{2}=0$, and so $\left\|S^{* n} x_{2}\right\|^{2}=0$. Then $x_{2} \in \operatorname{ker} S^{* n}=\operatorname{ker} S^{n}$. Thus $x \in \operatorname{ker} T^{n}$.
(ii) If $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is $n$-power quasinormal and complex symmetric, then both $T^{n}$ and $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$ are quasinormal by Lemma 3.1. Hence both $T^{n}$ and $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}$ have the single-valued extension property by Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.12. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be n-power quasinormal. If ran $T=$ ran $T^{n+1}$, then $T$ has the following matrix representation,

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1} & 0 \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right]: \overline{\operatorname{ran} T} \oplus \operatorname{ker} T^{*} \rightarrow \overline{\operatorname{ran} T} \oplus \operatorname{ker} T^{*}
$$

where $T_{1}=\left.T\right|_{\overline{r a n T}}$ is n-power normal and $T_{3}$ is nilpotent of order $n$, and $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.

Proof. Since $\left[T^{*}, T^{n}\right] T=0$, we get that $\left[T^{*}, T^{n}\right]=0$ on $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$. If $T$ has dense range in $\mathcal{H}$, then $T$ is $n$-power normal. Otherwise, $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T} \neq \mathcal{H}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T} \in$ Lat $T$. Hence $T$ has the matrix representation, $T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ 0 & T_{3}\end{array}\right]$ on $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T} \oplus \operatorname{ker} T^{*}$. If $y \in \overline{\operatorname{ranT}}$, then there is a sequence $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ in $\operatorname{ranT}$ such that $y_{k} \rightarrow y$. Since $\operatorname{ran} T=\operatorname{ran} T^{n+1}$, we get $y_{k} \in \operatorname{ran} T=\operatorname{ran} T^{n+1}$. Then there is a sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $y_{k}=T^{n+1} x_{k} . T^{*} y_{k}=T^{*} T^{n+1} x_{k}=$ $T^{n} T^{*} T x_{k} \in \operatorname{ran} T^{n}=\operatorname{ran} T$. Therefore $T^{*} y_{k} \in \operatorname{ran} T$, and so $T^{*} y \in \overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$. Thus $T^{*}(\overline{\operatorname{ran} T}) \subset \overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$ reduces $T$. Since $T^{*} T^{n+1}=T^{n} T^{*} T$ and $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$ is a reducing subspace for $T, T_{1}=\left.T\right|_{\overline{r a n} T}$ is $n$-power normal. Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$. For any $z=\left(\begin{array}{l}z_{1}\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{H}=\overline{\operatorname{ran} T} \oplus \operatorname{ker} T^{*},(I-P) z \in \operatorname{ker} T^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T_{3}^{n} z_{2}, z_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle T^{n}(I-P) z,(I-P) z\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle(I-P) z, T^{* n}(I-P) z\right\rangle \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $T_{3}$ is nilpotent of order $n$ and $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.

Corollary 3.13. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be $n$-power quasinormal. If $\overline{\operatorname{ran} T}$ is a reducing subspace of $T$, then $\left.T\right|_{\overline{\text { ran } T}}$ is $n$-power normal and $\left.T\right|_{\text {ker } T^{*}}$ is nilpotent.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.12, we get the results.

Corollary 3.14. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be $n$-power quasinormal. If $\tan T=\operatorname{ran} T^{n+1}$, then $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{a p}(T)$.
Proof. From Theorem 3.12, $T=T_{1} \oplus T_{3}$ where $T_{1}$ is $n$-power normal and $T_{3}$ is nilpotent of order $n$. Since $T_{1}$ is $n$-power normal, $T_{1}^{n}$ is normal as in the proof of Corollary 3.11. Hence $T_{1}$ has the single-valued extension property by Theorem 3.2. Since $T_{3}$ has also the single-valued extension property, $T$ has the single-valued extension property. Since $T^{*}=T_{1}^{*} \oplus T_{3}^{*}$ where $T_{1}^{*}$ is $n$-power normal and $T_{3}^{*}$ is nilpotent of order $n, T^{*}$ has the single-valued extension property. Hence the proof follows from [2, Corollary 2.45].

We next consider the operator transforms of an $n$-power quasinormal operator.

Theorem 3.15. Let $T=U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an $n$-power qusinormal operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $T^{n} U^{*}=U^{*} T^{n}$, then the Aluthge transform $\widetilde{T}$ of $T$ is n-power quasinormal.
(ii) The Duggal transform $\widetilde{T}^{D}$ of $T$ is also n-power quasinormal.

Proof. (i) If $T$ is n-power quasinormal, then $T^{n}|T|^{2}=|T|^{2} T^{n}$. Since $T^{n} p\left(|T|^{2}\right)=p\left(|T|^{2}\right) T^{n}$ for any polynormial $p(t)$ with $p(0)=0$, take $p_{k}(t) \rightarrow t^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $T^{n}|T|=|T| T^{n}$ since the square root $|T|$ of a positive operator $|T|^{2}$ is approximated uniformly by polynomials of $|T|^{2}$. Since $T^{n} U^{*}=U^{*} T^{n}$ and $T^{n}|T|=|T| T^{n}$,

$$
\widetilde{T}^{n}\left(\widetilde{T^{*}} \widetilde{T}\right)-\left(\widetilde{T^{*}} \widetilde{T}\right) \widetilde{T}^{n}=|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[T^{n} U^{*}|T| U-U^{*}|T| T^{n} U\right]|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

Hence $\widetilde{T}$ is $n$-power quasinormal.
(ii) Since $T^{n}|T|^{2}=|T|^{2} T^{n}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\widetilde{T}^{D}\right)^{n}\left(\left(\widetilde{T}^{D}\right)^{*} \widetilde{T}^{D}\right)-\left(\left(\widetilde{T}^{D}\right)^{*} \widetilde{T}^{D}\right)\left(\widetilde{T}^{D}\right)^{n}=U^{*}\left[T^{n}|T|^{2}-|T|^{2} T^{n}\right] U=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\widetilde{T}^{D}$ is also $n$-power quasinormal.

Corollary 3.16. Let $T=U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an $n$-power quasinormal operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. If $U$ is unitary, then $T$ is n-power quasinormal if and only if $\widetilde{T}^{D}$ is.

Proof. Since $U$ is unitary, the proof follows from (2).
Recall that given $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, we define $x \otimes y$ mapping $\mathcal{H}$ into itself by $(x \otimes y) h=\langle h, y\rangle x$. We next consider the case of rank one operators.

Theorem 3.17. Let $T$ be a rank one operator defined by $T=x \otimes y$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal.
(ii) $T^{n}$ is normal.
(iii) $T^{n}$ is quasinormal.
(iv) $x=\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{\|y\|^{2}} y$ holds.

Proof. If $\langle x, y\rangle=0$, it is trivial. So we may assume that $\langle x, y\rangle \neq 0$.
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) If (i) holds, then $T^{n}$ is normal by Lemma 3.1. Conversely, if (ii) holds, $T^{n} T^{*}=T^{*} T^{n}$ by FugledePutnam theorem since $T^{n} T=T T^{n}$. Thus $T^{n} T^{*} T=T^{*} T^{n+1}$.
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) Since $T^{n}=\langle x, y\rangle^{n-1} x \otimes y$ and $T^{*} T=\|x\|^{2} y \otimes y$,

$$
T^{n}\left(T^{*} T\right)=\langle x, y\rangle^{n-1}\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2} x \otimes y \text { and }\left(T^{*} T\right) T^{n}=\langle x, y\rangle^{n}\|x\|^{2} y \otimes y
$$

Then $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal if and only if

$$
\langle x, y\rangle^{n-1}\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2} x \otimes y=\langle x, y\rangle^{n}\|x\|^{2} y \otimes y
$$

Hence $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal if and only if $\|y\|^{2} x \otimes y=\langle x, y\rangle y \otimes y$ if and only if $\|y\|^{2} x=\gamma\langle x, y\rangle y$ and $y=\bar{\gamma} y$ for some nonzero $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\gamma=1, T$ is $n$-power quasinormal if and only if $x=\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{\|y\|^{2}} y$ holds.
(iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) Since $T^{n}=\langle x, y\rangle^{n-1} x \otimes y$ and $\left(T^{n}\right)^{*}=\langle y, x\rangle^{n-1} y \otimes x$,

$$
\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}=|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2(n-1)}\|x\|^{2} y \otimes y
$$

Then

$$
\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}\right] T^{n}=|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2(n-1)}\langle x, y\rangle^{n}\|x\|^{2} y \otimes y
$$

and

$$
T^{n}\left[\left(T^{n}\right)^{*} T^{n}\right]=|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2(n-1)}\langle x, y\rangle^{n-1}\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2} x \otimes y
$$

Hence $T^{n}$ is quasinormal if and only if

$$
|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2(n-1)}\langle x, y\rangle^{n}\|x\|^{2} y \otimes y=|\langle x, y\rangle|^{2(n-1)}\langle x, y\rangle^{n-1}\|x\|^{2}\|y\|^{2} x \otimes y .
$$

Hence $T^{n}$ is quasinormal if and only if $\|y\|^{2} x \otimes y=\langle x, y\rangle y \otimes y$ if and only if $\|y\|^{2} x=\gamma\langle x, y\rangle y$ and $y=\bar{\gamma} y$ for some nonzero $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\gamma=1, T^{n}$ is quasinormal if and only if $x=\frac{\langle x, y\rangle}{\|y\|^{2}} y$ holds.

We next consider the $n$-power quasinormality of operator matrices.
Lemma 3.18. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ be defined as $T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ 0 & C\end{array}\right]$. Then $T$ is n-power quasinormal if and only if the following identities hold.
(i) $\left[A^{n}, A^{*}\right] A+Z B^{*} A=0$.
(ii) $\left[A^{n}, A^{*}\right] B+Z\left(B^{*} B+C^{*} C\right)-A^{*} Z C=0$.
(iii) $C^{n} B^{*} A-B^{*} A^{n+1}=0$.
(iv) $C^{n} B^{*} B-B^{*} A^{n} B+\left[C^{n}, C^{*}\right] C-B^{*} Z C=0$
where $Z=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}$.

Proof. Set $Z=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}$. Then

$$
T^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{n} & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j} \\
0 & C^{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{n} & Z \\
0 & C^{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal, an easy calculation shows that

$$
T^{n} T^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{n} A^{*}+Z B^{*} & Z C^{*} \\
C^{n} B^{*} & C^{n} C^{*}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T^{*} T^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} A^{n} & A^{*} Z \\
B^{*} A^{n} & B^{*} Z+C^{*} C^{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[T^{n}, T^{*}\right] T \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[A^{n}, A^{*}\right]+Z B^{*}} & Z C^{*}-A^{*} Z \\
C^{n} B^{*}-B^{*} A^{n} & {\left[C^{n}, C^{*}\right]-B^{*} Z}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1} & R_{2} \\
R_{3} & R_{4}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$, and $R_{4}$ satisfy the following identities;
$R_{1}=\left[A^{n}, A^{*}\right] A+Z B^{*} A$,
$R_{2}=\left[A^{n}, A^{*}\right] B+Z\left(B^{*} B+C^{*} C\right)-A^{*} Z C$,
$R_{3}=C^{n} B^{*} A-B^{*} A^{n+1}$, and
$R_{4}=C^{n} B^{*} B-B^{*} A^{n} B+\left[C^{n}, C^{*}\right] C-B^{*} Z C$
where $Z=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}$. So we complete the proof.

Proposition 3.19. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ be defined as $T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ 0 & C\end{array}\right]$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $Q$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & B \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, then $Q$ is n-power quasinormal.
(ii) When $B=0, T$ is $n$-power quasinormal if and only if both $A$ and $C$ are n-power quasinormal.
(iii) If $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}=0$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{n}\right)^{*} \subset \operatorname{ker} A^{n}$, then $A^{n}$ is normal. In addition, if $T$ is hyponormal and $n=2$, then $A$ is normal.
(iv) If $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}=0$ and $A=B$, then $A$ and $C$ have the single valued extension property.

Proof. (i) If $Q$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & B \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$, then there exists a unitary operator $U$ such that $U^{*} Q U=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & B \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Since $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & B \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is $n$-power quasinormal by Lemma 3.18 with $A=B=0$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{n} Q^{*} Q & =\left(U T U^{*}\right)^{n}\left(U T U^{*}\right)^{*}\left(U T U^{*}\right) \\
& =\left(U T^{n} U^{*}\right)\left(U T^{*} U^{*}\right)\left(U T U^{*}\right) \\
& =U T^{n} T^{*} T U^{*} \\
& =U\left(T^{*} T^{n+1}\right) U^{*} \\
& =U\left(U^{*} Q U\right)^{*}\left(U^{*} Q U\right)^{n+1} U^{*} \\
& =Q^{*} Q^{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $Q$ is $n$-power quasinormal.
(ii) If $B=0$, then $Z=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}=0$ in Lemma 3.18. Hence the proof follows from Lemma 3.18.
(iii) If $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}=0$, then $A$ is $n$-power quasinormal from Lemma 3.18 and hence $A^{n}$ is quasinormal from Lemma 3.1. Then $\left[A^{n},\left(A^{n}\right)^{*}\right] A^{n}=0$, i.e., $\left[A^{n},\left(A^{n}\right)^{*}\right]=0$ on $\overline{\operatorname{ran} A^{n}}$. Since $A^{n}$ is quasinormal, it is clear
that $\operatorname{ker} A^{n} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(A^{n}\right)^{*}$. Thus $\operatorname{ker} A^{n}=\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{n}\right)^{*}$. Then $\left[A^{n},\left(A^{n}\right)^{*}\right]=0$ on $\operatorname{ker}\left(A^{n}\right)^{*}$. Hence $A^{n}$ is normal. In addition, if $T$ is hyponormal and $n=2$, then

$$
0 \leq T^{*} T-T T^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} A-A A^{*}-B B^{*} & A^{*} B-B C^{*} \\
B^{*} A-C B^{*} & B^{*} B+C^{*} C+C C^{*}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $A^{*} A-A A^{*}-B B^{*} \geq 0$ from [19]. Thus $A$ is hyponormal. Since $A^{2}$ is normal and $A$ is hyponormal,

$$
A\left(A^{*} A\right) A^{*} \geq A\left(A A^{*}\right) A^{*}=A^{*}\left(A^{*} A\right) A \geq A^{*}\left(A A^{*}\right) A
$$

Hence

$$
\left(A A^{*}\right)^{2} \geq\left(A^{*} A\right)^{2}
$$

By Löwner's theorem (see [17]), $A A^{*} \geq A^{*} A$. Hence $A$ is normal.
(iv) If $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{n-1-j} B C^{j}=0$ and $A=B$, then $A$ and $C$ are $n$-power quasinormal from Lemma 3.18. Hence $A$ and $C$ are $n$th roots of quasinormal operators from Lemma 3.1. Since $A^{n}$ and $C^{n}$ have the single valued extension property from Theorem 3.2.

Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be binormal if $T^{*} T$ and $T T^{*}$ commute. In the following examples, we observe that there are no inclusion relationships between the binormality and the $n$-power quasinormality.

Proposition 3.20. Let $T$ be any $2 \times 2$ matrix in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$. Assume that $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal. Then $T$ is binormal if and only if it is unitarily equivalent to $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right]$ for $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$ where $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} \mathcal{C}^{j}=0,\left(|a|^{2}-|c|^{2}\right)(\bar{a}-\bar{c})+$ $|b|^{2}\left(|a|^{2}+|c|^{2}\right)=0$, and $a \bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since $T$ is $n$-power quasinormal, we get from Proposition 3.8 that $T$ is unitarily equivalent to one of the following matrices;

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right] \text {, and }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right] \text { where } \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-j} c^{j}=0
$$

Since the first, second, third cases are binormal, it suffice to check the fourth case with $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$, and $c \neq 0$. Moreover, it is an elementary calculation that $T^{*} T$ and $T T^{*}$ commute if and only if $\left(|a|^{2}-|c|^{2}\right)(\bar{a}-\bar{c})+$ $|b|^{2}\left(|a|^{2}+|c|^{2}\right)=0$, and $a \bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we complete the proof.

Example 3.21. Let $T$ be a $2 \times 2$ matrix in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ defined as $T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1+\sqrt{3} i\end{array}\right]$. Then $T$ is 3-power quasinormal, but is not binormal. Indeed, since $\sum_{j=0}^{2} 2^{2-j}(-1+\sqrt{3} i)^{j}=0, T$ is 3-power quasinormal from Proposition 3.8. However, $T$ is not binormal from Proposition 3.20. On the other hand, if $T$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)$ defined as $T=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$, then $T$ is binormal, but is not $n$-power quasinormal for any odd number $n$ from Propositions 3.8 and 3.20.
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