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#### Abstract

For some operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, positive integers $m$ and $k$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called $k$-quasi( $A, m$ )-symmetric if $T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\left(j_{j}^{m}\right) T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}=0$, which is a generalization of the $m$-symmetric operator. In this paper, some basic structural properties of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operators are established with the help of operator matrix representation. We also show that if $T$ and $Q$ are commuting operators, $T$ is $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric and $Q$ is $n$-nilpotent, then $T+Q$ is $(k+n-1)$-quasi- $(A, m+2 n-2)$-symmetric. In addition, we obtain that every power of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric is also $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric. Finally, some spectral properties of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric are investigated.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. For $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $L_{S}$ and $R_{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ denote the operators $L_{S}(X)=S X$ and $R_{T}(X)=X T$ of left multiplication by $S$ and right multiplication by $T$. Recall the definition of the usual derivation operator $\delta_{S, T}(X)$ given by $\delta_{S, T}(X)=S X-X T$ for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For every positive integer $m$, we have $\delta_{S, T}^{m}(X)=\delta_{S, T}\left(\delta_{S, T}^{m-1}(X)\right)$ for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Given any positive integer $m$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be $m$-symmetric (also called $m$-selfadjoint in the literature) if

$$
\delta_{T^{*}, T}^{m}(I)=\left(L_{T^{*}}-R_{T}\right)^{m}(I)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} T^{j}=0
$$

where $\binom{m}{j}$ is the binomial coeffcient and $T^{*}$ is the adjoint operator of $T$. The $m$-symmetric operators have applications in positive definite differential operators of odd order, conjugate point theory, and classical disconjugacy theory $[1,3,12,13]$. In [11] Helton initiated the study of the $m$-symmetric operator, in a series of papers [11-13], he modelled these operators as multiplication $t$ on a Sobolev space, established their connections to Sturm-Liouville operators. Note that $T$ is 1 -symmetric if and only if $T$ is selfadjoint. It is clear that if $T$ is $m$-symmetric, then $T$ is $n$-symmetric for all $n \geq m$. In [17], McCullough and Rodman obtained some algebraic and spectral properties of $m$-symmetric operators. On the other hand, the perturbation of

[^0]$m$-symmetric operators by nilpotent operators has been considered in $[9,16,17]$, and products and sums of two commuting $m$-symmetric operators were discussed in [4, 5, 7-9]. In addition, $m$-symmetric weighted shift operators have been explored in [18]. Recently, in [14], Jeridi and Rabaoui extended the notion of $m$-symmetric operators to $(A, m)$-symmetric operators. For a positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and positive integer $m$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called $(A, m)$-symmetric if
$$
\delta_{T^{*}, T}^{m}(A)=\left(L_{T^{*}}-R_{T}\right)^{m}(A)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}=0 .
$$
( $A, m$ )-symmetric operators inherit many interesting properties of $m$-symmetric operators, for example, if $T$ and $Q$ are commuting operators, $T$ is an $(A, m)$-symmetric operator and $Q$ is $n$-nilpotent, then $T+Q$ is an $(A, m+2 n-2)$-symmetric operator; if $T$ is an $(A, m)$-symmetric operator, then $T$ is an $(A, n)$-symmetric operator for all $n \geq m$; the powers of an $(A, m)$-symmetric operator are also $(A, m)$-symmetric operators.

Now we consider an extension of the notion of the $(A, m)$-symmetric operator.
Definition 1.1. For some operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, positive integers $m$ and $k$, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called $k$-quasi$(A, m)$-symmetric if

$$
T^{* k} \delta_{T^{*}, T}^{m}(A) T^{k}=T^{* k}\left(L_{T^{*}}-R_{T}\right)^{m}(A) T^{k}=T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}=0
$$

In particular, for $A=I$, the operator $T$ is said to be $k$-quasi- $m$-symmetric if

$$
T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} T^{j}\right) T^{k}=0
$$

In this paper, we study various properties of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operators. The perturbation of $k$ -quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operators by nilpotent operators is obtained. In addition, some spectral properties of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric are investigated.

## 2. Main Results

Henceforth, let $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ be the set of natural numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. $A$ will denote a bounded linear operator unless explicitly stated otherwise, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ will denote the closure of a set $\mathcal{M}$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we shall write $\mathcal{N}(T), \mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\sigma(T)$ for the null space, the range space and the spectrum of $T$, respectively.
Theorem 2.1. Let $A=A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ be an operator on $\mathcal{H}$ where $A_{1}=\left.A\right|_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}\left(T^{k}\right)}$ and $A_{2}=\left.A\right|_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(T^{* *}\right)}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)$ is not dense. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator;
(2) $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ 0 & T_{3}\end{array}\right)$ on $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus \mathcal{N}\left(T^{* k}\right)$, where $T_{1}$ is an $\left(A_{1}, m\right)$-symmetric operator and $T_{3}^{k}=0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.
Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Consider the matrix representation of $T$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus$ $\mathcal{N}\left(T^{* k}\right):$

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $P$ be the projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)}$. Since $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator, we have

$$
P\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) P=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T_{1}^{* m-j} A_{1} T_{1}^{j}=0
$$

On the other hand, for any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T} \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\left(T_{3}^{k} x_{2}, x_{2}\right)=\left(T^{k}(I-P) x,(I-P) x\right)=\left((I-P) x, T^{* k}(I-P) x\right)=0
$$

which implies $T_{3}^{k}=0$. Since $\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cap\{0\}$ has no interior point, by [10, Corollary 7] $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.
(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose that $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ 0 & T_{3}\end{array}\right)$ on $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus \mathcal{N}\left(T^{* k}\right)$, where $T_{1}$ is an $\left(A_{1}, m\right)$-symmetric operator and $T_{3}^{k}=0$. We have

$$
T^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{k} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $F=\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\left({ }_{j}^{m}\right) T_{1}^{* m-j} A_{1} T_{1}^{j}$. Then $F=0$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k} \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{* k} & 0 \\
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T_{1}^{* m-j} A_{1} T_{1}^{j} & * \\
* & *
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{k} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{* k} F T_{1}^{k} & T_{1}^{* k} F \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} F T_{1}^{k} & \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} F \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for some non specified entries $*$. Hence $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator.
Corollary 2.2. ([19]) Suppose that $\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)$ is not dense. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is a $k$-quasi-m-symmetric operator;
(2) $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ 0 & T_{3}\end{array}\right)$ on $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus \mathcal{N}\left(T^{* k}\right)$, where $T_{1}$ is an m-symmetric operator and $T_{3}^{k}=0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.

Proof. This is a result of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.3. Suppose that $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator and $\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)$ is dense. Then $T$ is an $(A, m)$ symmetric operator.

Proof. This is a result of Definition 1.1.
Proposition 2.4. Suppose that $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator. Then $T^{n}$ is also a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator, we have

$$
T^{* k} \delta_{T^{*}, T}^{m}(A) T^{k}=T^{* k}\left(L_{T^{*}}-R_{T}\right)^{m}(A) T^{k}=T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{* n k} \delta_{T^{* n}, T^{n}}^{m}(A) T^{n k}= & T^{* n k}\left(L_{T^{* n}}-R_{T^{n}}\right)^{m}(A) T^{n k} \\
= & T^{* n k}\left(L_{T^{*}}^{n}-R_{T}^{n}\right)^{m}(A) T^{n k} \\
= & T^{* n k}\left\{L_{T^{*}}^{n-1} \delta_{T^{*}, T}+L_{T^{*}}^{n-2} \delta_{T^{*}, T} R_{T}+L_{T^{*}}^{n-3} \delta_{T^{*}, T} R_{T}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+L_{T^{*}} \delta_{T^{*}, T} R_{T}^{n-2}+\delta_{T^{*}, T} R_{T}^{n-1}\right\}^{m}(A) T^{n k} \\
= & T^{*(n-1) k}\left\{L_{T^{*}}^{n-1}+L_{T^{*}}^{n-2} R_{T}+L_{T^{*}}^{n-3} R_{T}^{2}+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+L_{T^{*}} R_{T}^{n-2}+R_{T}^{n-1}\right\}^{m}\left\{T^{* k} \delta_{T^{*}, T}^{m}(A) T^{k}\right\} T^{(n-1) k} \\
= & 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $T^{n}$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark The converse of Proposition 2.4 is not true in general as shown in the following example.
Example 2.5. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right) \in B\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}\right)$ and $T=\left(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \in B\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}\right)$. A simple calculation shows that $T^{* 2}\left(T^{* 6} A-3 T^{* 4} A T^{2}+3 T^{* 2} A T^{4}-A T^{6}\right) T^{2}=0$ and $T^{*}\left(T^{* 3} A-3 T^{* 2} A T+3 T^{*} A T^{2}-A T^{3}\right) T \neq 0$. So, we obtain that $T^{2}$ is a quasi- $(A, 3)$-symmetric operator, but $T$ is not a quasi- $(A, 3)$-symmetric operator.

Corollary 2.6. Suppose that $T$ is an invertible $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator. Then $T^{-1}$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)-$ symmetric operator.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is an invertible $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator. Then $T$ is an $(A, m)$-symmetric operator, and so is $T^{-1}$. Hence $T^{-1}$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator.

Proposition 2.7. Suppose that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operators such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{n}-T\right\|=0$. Then $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operators such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{n}-T\right\|=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T_{n}^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T_{n}^{* m-j} A T_{n}^{j}\right) T_{n}^{k}-T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|T_{n}^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T_{n}^{* m-j} A T_{n}^{j}\right) T_{n}^{k}-T_{n}^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}\right\| \\
& +\left\|T_{n}^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}-T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|T_{n}^{* k}\right\|\| \| \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T_{n}^{* m-j} A T_{n}^{j+k}-\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j+k} \| \\
& +\left\|T_{n}^{* k}-T^{* k} \mid\right\|\left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j+k}\right\| \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator,

$$
T_{n}^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T_{n}^{* m-j} A T_{n}^{j}\right) T_{n}^{k}=0
$$

we have

$$
T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k}=0
$$

i.e., $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator.

Lemma 2.8. ([6, Proposition 2.2]) Suppose that $T$ is an $(A, m)$-symmetric operator and $Q$ is an n-nilpotent operator such that $T Q=Q T$. Then $T+Q$ is an $(A, m+2 n-2)$-symmetric operator.

Theorem 2.9. Let $A=A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ be an operator on $\mathcal{H}$ where $A_{1}=\left.A\right|_{\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)}}$ and $A_{2}=\left.A\right|_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}\left(T^{* *}\right)}$. Suppose that $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator and $Q$ is an n-nilpotent operator such that $T Q=Q T$. Then $T+Q$ is a ( $k+n-1)$-quasi-( $A, m+2 n-2)$-symmetric operator.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)$ is dense. Then $T$ is an $(A, m)$-symmetric operator, $T+Q$ is an $(A, m+2 n-2)$ symmetric operator by Lemma 2.8, hence $T+Q$ is a $(k+n-1)$-quasi- $(A, m+2 n-2)$-symmetric operator. Now we may assume that $T^{k}$ does not have dense range. Then by Theorem 2.1 the $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric $T$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right) \text { on } \mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus \mathcal{N}\left(T^{* k}\right)
$$

where $T_{1}$ is an $\left(A_{1}, m\right)$-symmetric operator and $T_{3}^{k}=0$. Since $T Q=Q T$, it follows that $Q$ has the upper triangular representation

$$
Q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{1} & Q_{2} \\
0 & Q_{3}
\end{array}\right) \text { on } \mathcal{H}=\overline{\mathcal{R}\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus \mathcal{N}\left(T^{* k}\right)
$$

hence $T_{i} Q_{i}=Q_{i} T_{i}$ and $Q_{i}^{n}=0(i=1,3)$. Since $T_{1}$ is an $\left(A_{1}, m\right)$-symmetric operator, by Lemma 2.8, $T_{1}+Q_{1}$ is an ( $A_{1}, m+2 n-2$ )-symmetric operator. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{T^{*}+Q^{*}, T+Q}^{m+2 n-2}(A) & =\sum_{j=0}^{m+2 n-2}(-1)^{j}\binom{m+2 n-2}{j}(T+Q)^{*(m+2 n-2-j)} A(T+Q)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{m+2 n-2}(-1)^{j}\binom{m+2 n-2}{j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}+Q_{1} & T_{2}+Q_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}+Q_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{*(m+2 n-2-j)} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & 0 \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}+Q_{1} & T_{2}+Q_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}+Q_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{j} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{T_{1}^{+}+Q_{1}^{\prime}, T_{1}+Q_{1}}^{m+2 n-2} & \left.A_{2}\right) \\
F_{1} & F_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F_{1} \\
F_{2} & F_{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some operators $F_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(T+Q)^{k+n-1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}+Q_{1} & T_{2}+Q_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}+Q_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{k+n-1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T_{1}+Q_{1}\right)^{k+n-1} & F \\
0 & \left(T_{3}+Q_{3}\right)^{k+n-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T_{1}+Q_{1}\right)^{k+n-1} & F \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some operator $F$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T^{*}+Q^{*}\right)^{k+n-1} \delta_{T^{k+2}+Q^{-T}, T+Q}^{m+2}(A)(T+Q)^{k+n-1} \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(T_{1}^{*}+Q_{1}^{*}\right)^{k+n-1} & 0 \\
F^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & F_{1} \\
F_{2} & F_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T_{1}+Q_{1}\right)^{k+n-1} & F \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $T+Q$ is a $(k+n-1)$-quasi- $(A, m+2 n-2)$-symmetric operator.

In the sequel, let $\sigma_{a p}(T), \sigma_{p}(T), \sigma_{s u}(T), \sigma_{v}(T), \sigma_{b}(T)$ and $\sigma_{T}(x)$ for the approximate point spectrum of $T$, the point spectrum of $T$, the surjective spectrum of $T$, the Weyl spectrum of $T$, the Browder spectrum of $T$ and the local spectrum of $T$ at $x$, respectively.

Theorem 2.10. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$. The following statements hold:
(1) $\sigma_{p}(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$;
(2) For distinct non-zero real numbers $a, b$ and non-zero vecters $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, if $T x=a x$ and $T y=b y$, then $(A x, y)=0$;
(3) For distinct non-zero real numbers $a, b$ and sequences of unit vectors $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(T-a) x_{n}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(T-b) y_{n}=0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0$.
Proof. (1) We argue by contradiction. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda \in \sigma_{p}(T)$, then there exists a non-zero vecter $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(T-\lambda) x=0$. Thus, for each integer $l,\left(T^{l}-\lambda^{l}\right) x=0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\left({ }_{j}^{m}\right) T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k} x, x\right) \\
& =|\lambda|^{2 k}(\bar{\lambda}-\lambda)^{m}(A x, x) \\
& =|\lambda|^{2 k}(-2 I m(\lambda))^{m}| | A^{\frac{1}{2}} x \|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)=0$ since $\left\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right\| \neq 0$, this is a contradiction. Hence, $\sigma_{p}(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$.
(2) Since $a, b$ are two non-zero eigenvalues of $T$ and $T x=a x$ and $T y=b y$, we have

$$
0=\left(T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\left(c_{j}^{m}\right) T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k} x, y\right)=a^{k} b^{k}(a-b)^{m}(A x, y)
$$

Hence $(A x, y)=0$.
(3) By similar arguments of the proof of (2), we have

$$
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(T^{* k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j} T^{* m-j} A T^{j}\right) T^{k} x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=a^{k} b^{k}(a-b)^{m} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A x_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
$$

Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0$.
Definition 2.11. [15] An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has the single-valued extension property, abbreviated SVEP, if, for every open set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, the only analytic solution $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of the equation $(T-\lambda I) f(\lambda)=0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ is the zero function on $\mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 2.12. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$. Then $T$ has SVEP.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$. Then by Theorem $2.10 \sigma_{p}(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. An operator such that its point spectrum has empty interior has SVEP [2, Remark 2.4(d)], hence $T$ has SVEP.

Corollary 2.13. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $k$-quasi- $(A, m)$-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_{p}(A)$. The following statements hold:
(1) $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{s u}(T)=\cup\left\{\sigma_{T}(x): x \in \mathcal{H}\right\}$;
(2) $\sigma_{w}(T)=\sigma_{b}(T)$.

Proof. Note that $T$ has SVEP. For (1) we can apply [15, Proposition 1.3.2]. For (2) we can apply [2, Corollary 3.53].

Corollary 2.14. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $k$-quasi-m-symmetric operator. The following statements hold:
(1) $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{\text {su }}(T)=\cup\left\{\sigma_{T}(x): x \in \mathcal{H}\right\}$;
(2) $\sigma_{w}(T)=\sigma_{b}(T)$.

Proof. This is a result of Corollary 2.13.
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