Filomat 37:12 (2023), 3769–3775 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2312769S



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Some results on higher order symmetric operators

Junli Shen^a, Fei Zuo^b, Alatancang Chen^c

^aCollege of Computer and Information Technology, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China.
^bCollege of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China.
^cSchool of Mathematical Science, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Hohhot 010022, China.

Abstract. For some operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, positive integers *m* and *k*, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric if $T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T^{*m-j} A T^{j}) T^{k} = 0$, which is a generalization of the *m*-symmetric operator. In this paper, some basic structural properties of *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operators are established with the help of operator matrix representation. We also show that if *T* and *Q* are commuting operators, *T* is *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric and *Q* is *n*-nilpotent, then T + Q is (k + n - 1)-quasi-(*A*, *m* + 2*n* – 2)-symmetric. In addition, we obtain that every power of *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric is also *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric. Finally, some spectral properties of *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric are investigated.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the complex separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let L_S and $R_T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ denote the operators $L_S(X) = SX$ and $R_T(X) = XT$ of left multiplication by S and right multiplication by T. Recall the definition of the usual derivation operator $\delta_{S,T}(X)$ given by $\delta_{S,T}(X) = SX - XT$ for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For every positive integer m, we have $\delta_{S,T}^m(X) = \delta_{S,T}(\delta_{S,T}^{m-1}(X))$ for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Given any positive integer m, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be m-symmetric (also called m-selfadjoint in the literature) if

$$\delta^m_{T^*,T}(I) = (L_{T^*} - R_T)^m(I) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} T^{*m-j} T^j = 0,$$

where $\binom{m}{j}$ is the binomial coeffcient and T^* is the adjoint operator of T. The *m*-symmetric operators have applications in positive definite differential operators of odd order, conjugate point theory, and classical disconjugacy theory [1, 3, 12, 13]. In [11] Helton initiated the study of the *m*-symmetric operator, in a series of papers [11–13], he modelled these operators as multiplication t on a Sobolev space, established their connections to Sturm-Liouville operators. Note that T is 1-symmetric if and only if T is selfadjoint. It is clear that if T is *m*-symmetric, then T is *n*-symmetric for all $n \ge m$. In [17], McCullough and Rodman obtained some algebraic and spectral properties of *m*-symmetric operators. On the other hand, the perturbation of

Research supported by the National Research Cultivation Foundation of Henan Normal University(No.20210372)

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B20; Secondary 47A10

Keywords. (A, m)-symmetric operator, m-symmetric operator, Perturbation by nilpotent operator, Spectrum.

Received: 28 June 2022; Revised: 04 December 2022; Accepted:23 December 2022

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Email addresses: zuoyawen1215@126.com (Junli Shen), zuofei2008@sina.com (Fei Zuo), alatanc@imu.edu.cn (Alatancang Chen)

m-symmetric operators by nilpotent operators has been considered in [9, 16, 17], and products and sums of two commuting *m*-symmetric operators were discussed in [4, 5, 7–9]. In addition, *m*-symmetric weighted shift operators have been explored in [18]. Recently, in [14], Jeridi and Rabaoui extended the notion of *m*-symmetric operators to (*A*, *m*)-symmetric operators. For a positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and positive integer *m*, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called (*A*, *m*)-symmetric if

$$\delta^m_{T^*,T}(A) = (L_{T^*} - R_T)^m(A) = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j {m \choose j} T^{*m-j} A T^j = 0.$$

(A, m)-symmetric operators inherit many interesting properties of *m*-symmetric operators, for example, if *T* and *Q* are commuting operators, *T* is an (A, m)-symmetric operator and *Q* is *n*-nilpotent, then *T* + *Q* is an (A, m + 2n - 2)-symmetric operator; if *T* is an (A, m)-symmetric operator, then *T* is an (A, n)-symmetric operator for all $n \ge m$; the powers of an (A, m)-symmetric operator are also (A, m)-symmetric operators.

Now we consider an extension of the notion of the (A, m)-symmetric operator.

Definition 1.1. For some operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, positive integers *m* and *k*, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric if

$$T^{*k}\delta^m_{T^*,T}(A)T^k = T^{*k}(L_{T^*} - R_T)^m(A)T^k = T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j {m \choose j}T^{*m-j}AT^j)T^k = 0.$$

In particular, for A = I, the operator *T* is said to be *k*-quasi-*m*-symmetric if

$$T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}T^{j})T^{k} = 0$$

In this paper, we study various properties of k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operators. The perturbation of k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operators by nilpotent operators is obtained. In addition, some spectral properties of k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric are investigated.

2. Main Results

Henceforth, let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} be the set of natural numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. *A* will denote a bounded linear operator unless explicitly stated otherwise, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ will denote the closure of a set \mathcal{M} . If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we shall write $\mathcal{N}(T)$, $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\sigma(T)$ for the null space, the range space and the spectrum of T, respectively.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ be an operator on \mathcal{H} where $A_1 = A|_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)}}$ and $A_2 = A|_{\overline{\mathcal{N}(T^{-k})}}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{R}(T^k)$ is not dense. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) *T* is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator;

(2) $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(T^{*k})$, where T_1 is an (A_1, m) -symmetric operator and $T_3^k = 0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Consider the matrix representation of *T* with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(T^{*k})$:

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{cc} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{array}\right).$$

Let *P* be the projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)}$. Since *T* is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator, we have

$$P(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j})P = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T_{1}^{*m-j} A_{1} T_{1}^{j} = 0.$$

On the other hand, for any $x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$(T_3^k x_2, x_2) = (T^k (I - P)x, (I - P)x) = ((I - P)x, T^{*k} (I - P)x) = 0,$$

which implies $T_3^k = 0$. Since $\sigma(T_1) \cap \{0\}$ has no interior point, by [10, Corollary 7] $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$. (2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose that $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(T^{*k})$, where T_1 is an (A_1, m) -symmetric operator and $T_3^k = 0$. We have

$$T^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{1}^{k} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let
$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T_{1}^{*m-j} A_{1} T_{1}^{j}$$
. Then $F = 0$. Since

$$T^{*k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T^{*m-j} A T^{j} \right) T^{k}$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{ccc} T_{1}^{*k} & 0\\ (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j})^{*} & 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T_{1}^{*m-j} A_{1} T_{1}^{j} & *\\ & * & * \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} T_{1}^{k} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{ccc} T_{1}^{*k} F T_{1}^{k} & T_{1}^{*k} F \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\ (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j})^{*} F T_{1}^{k} & (\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j})^{*} F \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= 0$$

for some non specified entries *. Hence *T* is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator. \Box

Corollary 2.2. ([19]) Suppose that $\mathcal{R}(T^k)$ is not dense. Then the following statements are equivalent: (1) *T* is a *k*-quasi-*m*-symmetric operator;

(2) $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(T^{*k})$, where T_1 is an m-symmetric operator and $T_3^k = 0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. This is a result of Theorem 2.1. \Box

Corollary 2.3. Suppose that T is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator and $\mathcal{R}(T^k)$ is dense. Then T is an (A, m)-symmetric operator.

Proof. This is a result of Definition 1.1. \Box

Proposition 2.4. Suppose that T is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator. Then T^n is also a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since *T* is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator, we have

$$T^{*k}\delta^m_{T^*,T}(A)T^k = T^{*k}(L_{T^*} - R_T)^m(A)T^k = T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j {m \choose j}T^{*m-j}AT^j)T^k = 0.$$

3771

Therefore

$$\begin{split} T^{*nk} \delta^m_{T^{*n},T^n}(A) T^{nk} &= T^{*nk} (L_{T^{*n}} - R_{T^n})^m (A) T^{nk} \\ &= T^{*nk} (L_{T^*}^n - R_T^n)^m (A) T^{nk} \\ &= T^{*nk} \{L_{T^*}^{n-1} \delta_{T^*,T} + L_{T^*}^{n-2} \delta_{T^*,T} R_T + L_{T^*}^{n-3} \delta_{T^*,T} R_T^2 \\ &+ \dots + L_{T^*} \delta_{T^*,T} R_T^{n-2} + \delta_{T^*,T} R_T^{n-1} \}^m (A) T^{nk} \\ &= T^{*(n-1)k} \{L_{T^*}^{n-1} + L_{T^*}^{n-2} R_T + L_{T^*}^{n-3} R_T^2 + \dots \\ &+ L_{T^*} R_T^{n-2} + R_T^{n-1} \}^m \{T^{*k} \delta_{T^*,T}^m (A) T^k \} T^{(n-1)k} \\ &= 0, \end{split}$$

i.e., T^n is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \Box

Remark The converse of Proposition 2.4 is not true in general as shown in the following example.

that $T^{*2}(T^{*6}A - 3T^{*4}AT^2 + 3T^{*2}AT^4 - AT^6)T^2 = 0$ and $T^*(T^{*3}A - 3T^{*2}AT + 3T^*AT^2 - AT^3)T \neq 0$. So, we obtain that T^2 is a quasi-(A, 3)-symmetric operator, but T is not a quasi-(A, 3)-symmetric operator.

Corollary 2.6. Suppose that T is an invertible k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator. Then T^{-1} is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator.

Proof. Suppose that *T* is an invertible *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator. Then *T* is an (*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator, and so is T^{-1} . Hence T^{-1} is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator.

Proposition 2.7. Suppose that $\{T_n\}$ is a sequence of k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operators such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T_n - T|| = 0$. Then *T* is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator.

Proof. Suppose that $\{T_n\}$ is a sequence of *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operators such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||T_n - T|| = 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} &||T_{n}^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T_{n}^{*m-j}AT_{n}^{j})T_{n}^{k}-T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j})T^{k}||\\ \leq &||T_{n}^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T_{n}^{*m-j}AT_{n}^{j})T_{n}^{k}-T_{n}^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j})T^{k}||\\ &+||T_{n}^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j})T^{k}-T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j})T^{k}||\\ \leq &||T_{n}^{*k}||||\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T_{n}^{*m-j}AT_{n}^{j+k}-\sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j+k}||\\ &+||T_{n}^{*k}-T^{*k}||||\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j+k}|| \to 0. \end{split}$$

Since $\{T_n\}$ is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator,

$$\Gamma_n^{*k} (\sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j {m \choose j} T_n^{*m-j} A T_n^j) T_n^k = 0,$$

we have

$$T^{*k}(\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{j}\binom{m}{j}T^{*m-j}AT^{j})T^{k}=0,$$

i.e., *T* is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator. \Box

Lemma 2.8. ([6, Proposition 2.2]) Suppose that T is an (A, m)-symmetric operator and Q is an n-nilpotent operator such that TQ = QT. Then T + Q is an (A, m + 2n - 2)-symmetric operator.

Theorem 2.9. Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ be an operator on \mathcal{H} where $A_1 = A|_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)}}$ and $A_2 = A|_{\overline{\mathcal{N}(T^k)}}$. Suppose that T is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator and Q is an n-nilpotent operator such that TQ = QT. Then T + Q is a (k + n - 1)-quasi-(A, m + 2n - 2)-symmetric operator.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{R}(T^k)$ is dense. Then *T* is an (A, m)-symmetric operator, T + Q is an (A, m + 2n - 2)-symmetric operator by Lemma 2.8, hence T + Q is a (k + n - 1)-quasi-(A, m + 2n - 2)-symmetric operator. Now we may assume that T^k does not have dense range. Then by Theorem 2.1 the *k*-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric *T* can be decomposed as follows:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } \mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(T^{*k}),$$

where T_1 is an (A_1, m) -symmetric operator and $T_3^k = 0$. Since TQ = QT, it follows that Q has the upper triangular representation

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & Q_2 \\ 0 & Q_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } \mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^k)} \oplus \mathcal{N}(T^{*k}),$$

hence $T_iQ_i = Q_iT_i$ and $Q_i^n = 0$ (i = 1, 3). Since T_1 is an (A_1, m)-symmetric operator, by Lemma 2.8, $T_1 + Q_1$ is an ($A_1, m + 2n - 2$)-symmetric operator. We have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{T^*+Q^*,T+Q}^{m+2n-2}(A) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m+2n-2} (-1)^j \binom{m+2n-2}{j} (T+Q)^{*(m+2n-2-j)} A(T+Q)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m+2n-2} (-1)^j \binom{m+2n-2}{j} \binom{T_1+Q_1}{0} \frac{T_2+Q_2}{T_3+Q_3}^{*(m+2n-2-j)} \\ &\qquad \left(\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0\\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \binom{T_1+Q_1}{0} \frac{T_2+Q_2}{T_3+Q_3} \right)^j \\ &= \binom{\delta_{T_1^*+Q_1^*,T_1+Q_1}^{m+2n-2}(A_1)}{F_2} \frac{F_3}{F_3} \\ &= \binom{0 & F_1}{F_2} F_3 \end{split}$$

for some operators F_i (i = 1, 2, 3) and

$$(T+Q)^{k+n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 + Q_1 & T_2 + Q_2 \\ 0 & T_3 + Q_3 \end{pmatrix}^{k+n-1}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (T_1 + Q_1)^{k+n-1} & F \\ 0 & (T_3 + Q_3)^{k+n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} (T_1 + Q_1)^{k+n-1} & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

3773

for some operator F. Hence

$$(T^* + Q^*)^{k+n-1} \delta_{T^* + Q^*, T+Q}^{m+2n-2}(A)(T + Q)^{k+n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (T_1^* + Q_1^*)^{k+n-1} & 0 \\ F^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_1 \\ F_2 & F_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (T_1 + Q_1)^{k+n-1} & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

i.e., T + Q is a (k + n - 1)-quasi-(A, m + 2n - 2)-symmetric operator. \Box

In the sequel, let $\sigma_{ap}(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma_{su}(T)$, $\sigma_w(T)$, $\sigma_b(T)$ and $\sigma_T(x)$ for the approximate point spectrum of *T*, the point spectrum of *T*, the surjective spectrum of *T*, the Weyl spectrum of *T*, the Browder spectrum of *T* and the local spectrum of *T* at *x*, respectively.

Theorem 2.10. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$. The following statements hold:

(1) $\sigma_v(T) \subset \mathbb{R};$

(2) For distinct non-zero real numbers a, b and non-zero vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, if Tx = ax and Ty = by, then (Ax, y) = 0; (3) For distinct non-zero real numbers a, b and sequences of unit vectors $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} (T - a)x_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} (T - b)y_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} (Ax_n, y_n) = 0$.

Proof. (1) We argue by contradiction. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, then there exists a non-zero vecter $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(T - \lambda)x = 0$. Thus, for each integer l, $(T^l - \lambda^l)x = 0$. Moreover,

$$0 = (T^{*k} (\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T^{*m-j} A T^{j}) T^{k} x, x)$$

= $|\lambda|^{2k} (\overline{\lambda} - \lambda)^{m} (Ax, x)$
= $|\lambda|^{2k} (-2Im(\lambda))^{m} ||A^{\frac{1}{2}}x||,$

which implies that $Im(\lambda) = 0$ since $||A^{\frac{1}{2}}x|| \neq 0$, this is a contradiction. Hence, $\sigma_p(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. (2) Since *a*, *b* are two non-zero eigenvalues of *T* and Tx = ax and Ty = by, we have

$$0 = (T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j} T^{*m-j} A T^{j}) T^{k} x, y) = a^{k} b^{k} (a-b)^{m} (Ax, y)$$

Hence (Ax, y) = 0.

(3) By similar arguments of the proof of (2), we have

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^{*k}(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {m \choose j}) T^{*m-j} A T^{j}) T^{k} x_{n}, y_{n}) = a^{k} b^{k} (a-b)^{m} \lim_{n \to \infty} (A x_{n}, y_{n})$$

Hence $\lim_{n \to \infty} (Ax_n, y_n) = 0.$

Definition 2.11. [15] An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ has the single-valued extension property, abbreviated SVEP, if, for every open set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, the only analytic solution $f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ of the equation $(T - \lambda I)f(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ is the zero function on \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 2.12. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$. Then T has SVEP.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *k*-quasi-(*A*, *m*)-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$. Then by Theorem 2.10 $\sigma_p(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. An operator such that its point spectrum has empty interior has SVEP [2, Remark 2.4(d)], hence *T* has SVEP. \Box

Corollary 2.13. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a k-quasi-(A, m)-symmetric operator for some positive $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$. The following statements hold: (1) $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{su}(T) = \bigcup \{\sigma_T(x) : x \in \mathcal{H}\};$ (2) $\sigma_w(T) = \sigma_b(T)$.

Proof. Note that *T* has SVEP. For (1) we can apply [15, Proposition 1.3.2]. For (2) we can apply [2, Corollary 3.53]. \Box

Corollary 2.14. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a k-quasi-m-symmetric operator. The following statements hold: (1) $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{su}(T) = \bigcup \{\sigma_T(x) : x \in \mathcal{H}\};$ (2) $\sigma_w(T) = \sigma_b(T).$

Proof. This is a result of Corollary 2.13. \Box

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referees for several delicate comments and suggestion to revise the original manuscript.

References

- [1] J. Agler, A disconjugacy theorem for Toeplitz operators, Amer. J. Math. 112(1)(1990) 1-14.
- [2] P. Aiena, Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory with Applications to Multipliers, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2004.
- [3] J.A. Ball, J.W. Helton, Nonnormal dilations, disconjugacy and constrained spectral factorization, Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 3(1980) 216–309.
- [4] M. Cho, J.E. Lee, K. Tanahashi, J. Tomiyama, On [m, C]-symmetric operators, Kyungpook Math. J. 58(2018) 637-650.
- [5] M. Cho, O.A. Mahmoud Sid Ahmed, (A, m)-Symmetric commuting tuples of operators on a Hilbert space, Math. Inequal. Appl. 22(2019) 931–947.
- [6] B.P. Duggal, I.H. Kim, Left *m*-invertibility by the adjoint of Drazin inverse and *m*-selfadjointness of Hilbert spaces, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **70(12)**(2022) 2264–2277.
- [7] B.P. Duggal, I.H. Kim, Structure of elementary operators defining *m*-left invertible, *m*-selfadjoint and related classes of operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 49(2021) 5124718. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124718
- [8] B.P. Duggal, I.H. Kim, Structure of n-quasi left m-invertible and related classes of operators, Demonstratio Math. 53(2020) 249–268.
- [9] C. Gu, M. Stankus, Some results on higher order isometries and symmetries: products and sums with a nilpotent operator, Linear Algebra Appl. 469(2015) 500–509.
- [10] J.K. Han, H.Y. Lee, W.Y. Lee, Invertible completions of 2 * 2 upper triangular operator matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128(1999) 119–123.
- [11] J.W. Helton, Operators with a representation as multiplication by x on a Sobolev space, Colloquia Mathematical Society Janos Bolyai 5. Hilbert Space Operator, Tihany, Hungary, 1970.
- [12] J.W. Helton, Infinite dimensional Jordan operators and Sturm-Liouville conjugate point theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 170(1972) 305–331.
- [13] J.W. Helton, Jordan operators in infinite dimensions and Sturn-Liouville conjugate point theory, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78(1972) 57–61.
- [14] N. Jeridi, R. Rabaoui, On (A, m)-symmetric operators in a Hilbert space, Results Math. 124(74)(2019) doi: 10.1007/s00025-019-1049-0
- [15] K.B. Laursen, M.M. Neumann, Introduction to Local Spectral Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [16] T. Le, Algebraic properties of operator roots of polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **421**(2015) 1238–1246.
- [17] S.A. McCullough, L. Rodman, Hereditary classes of operators and matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly 104(1997) 415-430.
- [18] M. Salehi, K. Hedayatian, On higher order selfadjoint operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 587(2020) 358–386.
- [19] F. Zuo, S. Mecheri, A class of operators related to *m*-symmetric operators, Turk. J. Math. 45(2021) 1300–1309.