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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital $*$-algebra. In this paper, under some mild conditions on $\mathcal{A}$, it is shown that $\Phi$ is a nonlinear bi-skew Jordan-type derivations on $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation. As applications, the nonlinear bi-skew Jordan-type derivations on prime $*$-algebras, von Neumann algebras with no central summands of type $I_{1}$, factor von Neumann algebras and standard operator algebras are characterized.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra. Recall that a linear map $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a derivation if $\delta(A B)=\delta(A) B+A \delta(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and a Lie derivation if $\delta([A, B])=[\delta(A), B]+[A, \delta(B)]$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, where $[A, B]=A B-B A$ is the usual Lie product of $A$ and $B$. The question of characterizing Lie derivations and revealing the relationship between Lie derivations and derivations has attracted the attentions of many researchers, see for example $[2,3,21-23,25,27,28]$. Furthermore, we say that a map (without the additivity or linearity assumption) $\delta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a nonlinear Lie derivation or a Lie derivable map if $\delta([A, B])=[\delta(A), B]+[A, \delta(B)]$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Undoubtedly, it is interesting to study nonlinear Lie derivations. Recently, many mathematicians devoted themselves to study the characterizations of nonlinear Lie derivations, see for example [1, 8$14,16,19,20,24,33]$.

Recently, many authors have studied derivations related to some new products, such as the nonlinear skew Lie derivations (see [7,15, 17,34]), the nonlinear Jordan *-derivations (see [15,30,35-39]), the noninear bi-skew Lie derivations (see $[26,32]$ ) and so on. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $*$-algebra. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, define the bi-skew Jordan product of $A$ and $B$ by $A \circ B=A^{*} B+B^{*} A$. It is clear that the bi-skew Jordan product is different from the Jordan product $A B+B A$, the Lie product $A B-B A$, the skew Lie product $A B-B A^{*}$, the Jordan *-product $A B+B A^{*}$ and the bi-skew Lie product $A^{*} B-B^{*} A$. Quite recently, the bi-skew Jordan products have attracted many scholars to study. C. Li et al. [18] proved that every bijective map preserving bi-skew Jordan product between von Neumann algebras with no central abelian projections is just a sum of a linear *-isomorphism and a conjugate linear *-isomorphism. A. Taghavi and S. Gholampoor [31] studied surjective maps preserving bi-skew Jordan product between $C^{*}$-algebras. A map $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a nonlinear bi-skew Jordan derivation if

$$
\Phi(A \circ B)=\Phi(A) \circ B+A \circ \Phi(B)
$$

[^0]for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. V. Darvish et al. [5] proved any nonlinear bi-skew Jordan derivation on prime *-algebra is an additive *-derivation. Similarly, a map $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a bi-skew Jordan triple derivation if
$$
\Phi(A \circ B \circ C)=\Phi(A) \circ B \circ C+A \circ \Phi(B) \circ C+A \circ B \circ \Phi(C)
$$
for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, where $A \circ B \circ C:=(A \circ B) \circ C$. In [6], V. Darvish et al. proved any nonlinear bi-skew Jordan triple derivation on prime $*$-algebra is an additive $*$-derivation.

Given the consideration of nonlinear bi-skew Jordan derivations and nonlinear bi-skew Jordan triple derivations, we can further develop them in one natural way. Suppose that $n \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer. Accordingly, a nonlinear bi-skew Jordan-type derivation is a map $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\Phi\left(A_{1} \circ A_{2} \circ \cdots \circ A_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{k-1} \circ \Phi\left(A_{k}\right) \circ A_{k+1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{n}
$$

for all $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$, where $A_{1} \circ A_{2} \circ \cdots \circ A_{n}=\left(\cdots\left(\left(A_{1} \circ A_{2}\right) \circ A_{3}\right) \cdots \circ A_{n}\right)$. By the definition, it is clear that every bi-skew Jordan derivation is a bi-skew Jordan-2 derivation and every bi-skew Jordan triple derivation is a bi-skew Jordan-3 derivation. It is obvious that every nonlinear bi-skew Jordan derivation on any *-algebra is a bi-skew Jordan- $n$ derivation. But we do not know whether the converse is true.

Motivated by the above mentioned works, we will concentrate on giving a description of nonlinear bi-skew Jordan-type derivations on *-algebras. In this paper, our main results not only improve the results of the previous articles $[5,6]$, but also, most importantly, the methods used in our article are different from theirs.

## 2. The main result and its proof

Our main theorem in this paper is as follows.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital *-algebra with the unit I. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ contains a nontrivial projection $P$ which satisfies

$$
\text { (ャ) } X \mathcal{A P}=0 \text { implies } X=0
$$

and
(ヵ) $X \mathcal{A}(I-P)=0$ implies $X=0$.
Then a map $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\Phi\left(A_{1} \circ A_{2} \circ \cdots \circ A_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{k-1} \circ \Phi\left(A_{k}\right) \circ A_{k+1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{n}
$$

for all $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots A_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive *-derivation.
In the following, let $P_{1}=P$ and $P_{2}=I-P$. Denote $\mathcal{A}^{a}=\left\{A \in \mathcal{A}: A=A^{*}\right\}, \mathcal{A}_{11}=P_{1} \mathcal{A}^{a} P_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{12}=$ $\left\{P_{1} A P_{2}+P_{2} A P_{1}: A \in \mathcal{A}^{a}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{22}=P_{2} \mathcal{A}^{a} P_{2}$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}^{a}$, we may write $A=A_{11}+A_{12}+A_{22}$, where $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, A_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$. Clearly, we only need to prove the necessity. We will complete the proof by several lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. $\Phi(0)=0$.
Proof. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(0) & =\Phi(0 \circ 0 \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =\Phi(0) \circ 0 \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+\cdots+0 \circ 0 \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \circ \Phi(I) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.3. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}^{a}$, we have $\Phi(A) \in \mathcal{F}^{a}$.
Proof. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}^{a}, A=A \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}$. Since $B \circ C \in \mathcal{A}^{a}$ for any $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(A) & =\Phi\left(A \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
& =\Phi(A) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}+\cdots+A \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.4. For any $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, A_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$ and $B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)
$$

and

$$
\Phi\left(A_{22}+B_{12}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $T=\Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $T^{*}=T$. So we only need to prove $T=T_{11}+T_{12}+T_{22}=0$. Since $P_{2} \circ A_{11}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+P_{2} \circ \Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+\cdots \\
& +P_{2} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{2} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{2} \circ A_{11} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(P_{2} \circ B_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+P_{2} \circ\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+\cdots \\
& +P_{2} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P_{2} \circ T \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I=0$, and then it yields that $T_{12}=T_{22}=0$.
It follows from $\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ B_{12}=0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right)+\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ \Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right)+\cdots \\
& +\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) \\
& \left.=\Phi\left(\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ A_{11} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ B_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right)+\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)\right)+\cdots \\
& +\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left(P_{1}-P_{2}\right) \circ T \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I=0$. So $T_{11}=0$, and then $T=0$.
Lemma 2.5. For any $A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$ and $C_{22} \in \mathcal{A}_{22}$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(C_{22}\right)
$$

Proof. Let

$$
T=\Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)-\Phi\left(C_{22}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have $T^{*}=T$. Since $P_{1} \circ C_{22}=0$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+C_{22}\right)+P_{1} \circ \Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& +\cdots+P_{1} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ C_{22} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ A_{11} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ B_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ C_{22} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+P_{1} \circ\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(C_{22}\right)\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& +\cdots+P_{1} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}+C_{22}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P_{1} \circ T \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I=0$, and then it yields that $T_{11}=T_{12}=0$. Similarly, we can get that $T_{22}=0$. Thus $T=0$.

Lemma 2.6. For any $A_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{12}+B_{12}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $A_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}$. Then $A_{12}=P_{1} A P_{2}+P_{2} A P_{1}, B_{12}=P_{1} B P_{2}+P_{2} B P_{1}$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{a}$. Since

$$
\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}=A_{12}+B_{12}+A_{12} B_{12}+B_{12} A_{12}
$$

where

$$
A_{12}+B_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12}
$$

and

$$
A_{12} B_{12}+B_{12} A_{12}=P_{1}\left(A P_{2} B+B P_{2} A\right) P_{1}+P_{2}\left(A P_{1} B+B P_{1} A\right) P_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{11}+\mathcal{A}_{22}
$$

by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{12}+B_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} B_{12}+B_{12} A_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{12}+B_{12}+A_{12} B_{12}+B_{12} A_{12}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}+\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ \Phi\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\
& +\cdots+\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(P_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)\right) \circ\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}+\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ\left(\Phi\left(P_{2}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2} \\
& +\cdots+\left(P_{1}+A_{12}\right) \circ\left(P_{2}+B_{12}\right) \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi\left(\frac{I}{2}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ P_{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right)+\Phi\left(P_{1} \circ B_{12} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} \circ P_{2} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
& +\Phi\left(A_{12} \circ B_{12} \circ \frac{I}{2} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{I}{2}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{12} B_{12}+B_{12} A_{12}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\Phi\left(A_{12}+B_{12}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{12}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{12}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.7. For any $A_{i i}, B_{i i} \in \mathcal{A}_{i i}, i=1,2$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{i i}+B_{i i}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{i i}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{i i}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $T=\Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)-\Phi\left(B_{11}\right)$. Since $P_{2} \circ A_{11}=P_{2} \circ B_{11}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+P_{2} \circ \Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+ \\
& +\cdots+P_{2} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{2} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{2} \circ A_{11} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(P_{2} \circ B_{11} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(P_{2}\right) \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+P_{2} \circ\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{11}\right)\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& +\cdots+P_{2} \circ\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $P_{2} \circ T \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I=0$, and then $T_{22}=T_{12}=0$.
For any $D \in \mathcal{A}$, let $C_{12}=P_{1} D P_{2}+\left(P_{1} D P_{2}\right)^{*}$. Then

$$
C_{12}, A_{11} \circ C_{12}, B_{11} \circ C_{12} \in \mathcal{A}_{12} .
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ \Phi\left(C_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& +\cdots+\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I) \\
& =\Phi\left(\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{11} \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right)+\Phi\left(B_{11} \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{11}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{11}\right)\right) \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ \Phi\left(C_{12}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& +\cdots+\left(A_{11}+B_{11}\right) \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(I),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
T \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I=T_{11} \circ C_{12} \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I=0,
$$

that is $T_{11} P_{1} D P_{2}+\left(P_{1} D P_{2}\right)^{*} T_{11}=0$. Multiplying the above equation by $P_{2}$ from the right, we have $T_{11} P_{1} D P_{2}=$ 0 for any $D \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from (*) that $T_{11}=0$, and so $T=0$. Similarly, we can prove that $\Phi\left(A_{22}+B_{22}\right)=$ $\Phi\left(A_{22}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{22}\right)$.
Remark 2.8. It follows from Lemmas 2.5-2.7 that $\Phi$ is additive on $\mathcal{A}^{a}$.
Lemma 2.9. (1) $\Phi(I)=\Phi(i I)=0$;
(2) For every $M^{*}=-M$, we have $\Phi(M)^{*}=-\Phi(M)$ and $\Phi(i M)=i \Phi(M)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.8 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-1} \Phi(I) & =\Phi\left(2^{n-1} I\right)=\Phi(I \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =\Phi(I) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+\cdots+I \circ \cdots \circ I \circ \Phi(I) \\
& =2^{n-1} n \Phi(I),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\Phi(I)=0$.
For any $M^{*}=-M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\Phi(M \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =\Phi(M) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& =2^{n-2}\left(\Phi(M)+\Phi(M)^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\Phi(M)^{*}=-\Phi(M)$.
Now, we can obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =2^{n-1} \Phi(I)=\Phi\left(2^{n-1} I\right) \\
& =\Phi((i I) \circ(i I) \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =\Phi(i I) \circ(i I) \circ \cdots \circ I+(i I) \circ \Phi(i I) \circ \cdots I \\
& =-2^{n} i \Phi(i I),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $\Phi(i I)=0$.
For any $M^{*}=-M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2^{n-1} \Phi(i M) & =\Phi\left(-2^{n-1} i M\right)=\Phi((i I) \circ M \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =(i I) \circ \Phi(M) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& =-2^{n-1} i \Phi(M) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Phi(i M)=i \Phi(M)$.

Lemma 2.10. For any $A_{1}^{*}=-A_{1}, A_{2}^{*}=-A_{2}$, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $A_{1}^{*}=-A_{1}, A_{2}^{*}=-A_{2}$. It follows from Remark 2.8 and Lemma 2.9 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \Phi\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(i\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(i A_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(i A_{2}\right)=i\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\Phi\left(A_{1}+A_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+\Phi\left(A_{2}\right)$.
Now we can obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-1} i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right) & =\Phi\left(\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& =2^{n-2}\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)^{*}+\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2^{n-1} i \Phi\left(A_{1}\right) & =\Phi\left(\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) \circ(i I) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) \circ(i I) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& =2^{n-2} i\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)^{*}-\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the above two equations, we get that $\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 2.11. (1) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\Phi(i A)=i \Phi(A)
$$

and

$$
\Phi\left(A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A)^{*} .
$$

(2) $\Phi$ is additive on $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. (1) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $A=A_{1}+i A_{2}$, where $A_{1}^{*}=-A_{1}, A_{2}^{*}=-A_{2}$. It follows from Lemmas 2.9 and 2.10 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(i A) & =\Phi\left(i A_{1}-A_{2}\right)=i \Phi\left(A_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(A_{2}\right) \\
& =i\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right)\right)=i \Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right) \\
& =i \Phi(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(A^{*}\right) & =\Phi\left(-A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)=-\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right)\right)^{*}=\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}+i A_{2}\right)\right)^{*} \\
& =\Phi(A)^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $A=A_{1}+i A_{2}$ and $B=B_{1}+i B_{2}$, where $A_{1}^{*}=-A_{1}, A_{2}^{*}=-A_{2}, B_{1}^{*}=-B_{1}, B_{2}^{*}=$ $-B_{2}$. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(A+B) & =\Phi\left(\left(A_{1}+B_{1}\right)+i\left(A_{2}+B_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{1}+B_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}+B_{2}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(A_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(A_{2}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{1}\right)+i \Phi\left(B_{2}\right) \\
& =\Phi(A)+\Phi(B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.12. $\Phi$ is an additive *-derivation.
Proof. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, by Lemma 2.11, on the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-2} i \Phi\left(A^{*} B-B^{*} A\right) & =\Phi\left(2^{n-2} i\left(A^{*} B-B^{*} A\right)\right) \\
& =\Phi(A \circ(i B) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =\Phi(A) \circ(i B) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+A \circ \Phi(i B) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& =2^{n-2} i\left(\Phi(A)^{*} B-B^{*} \Phi(A)+A^{*} \Phi(B)-\Phi(B)^{*} A\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which impies that

$$
\Phi\left(A^{*} B-B^{*} A\right)=\Phi(A)^{*} B-B^{*} \Phi(A)+A^{*} \Phi(B)-\Phi(B)^{*} A
$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n-2}\left(\Phi\left(A^{*} B+B^{*} A\right)\right) & =\Phi(A \circ B \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I) \\
& =\Phi(A) \circ B \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I+A \circ \Phi(B) \circ I \circ \cdots \circ I \\
& =2^{n-2}\left(\Phi(A)^{*} B+B^{*} \Phi(A)+A^{*} \Phi(B)+\Phi(B)^{*} A\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which impies that

$$
\Phi\left(A^{*} B+B^{*} A\right)=\Phi(A)^{*} B+B^{*} \Phi(A)+A^{*} \Phi(B)+\Phi(B)^{*} A
$$

By summing the above equation, we have

$$
\Phi\left(A^{*} B\right)=\Phi(A)^{*} B+A^{*} \Phi(B)
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.11 (1) that

$$
\Phi(A B)=\Phi(A) B+A \Phi(B)
$$

## 3. Corollaries

An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called prime if $A \mathcal{A} B=\{0\}$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ implies either $A=0$ or $B=0$. Observing that prime $*$-algebras satisfy $(\boldsymbol{\bullet})$ and ( $\boldsymbol{\bullet})$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a prime $*$-algebra with unit $I$ and $P$ be a nontrivial projection in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\Phi$ is a nonlinear bi-skew Jordan type derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive *-derivation.

Let $B(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $H$, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq B(H)$ be a von Neumann algebra. $\mathcal{A}$ is a factor if its center is $\mathbb{C}$. It is well known that a factor von Neumann algebra is prime and then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a factor von Neumann algebra with $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A} \geq 2$. Then $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a nonlinear bi-skew Jordan type derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive *-derivation.

We denote the subalgebra of all bounded finite rank operators by $\mathcal{F}(H) \subseteq B(H)$. We call a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $B(H)$ a standard operator algebra if it contains $\mathcal{F}(H)$. Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $H$ be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and $\mathcal{A}$ be a standard operator algebra on $H$ containing the identity operator I. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under the adjoint operation. Then $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a nonlinear bi-skew Jordan type derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is a linear *-derivation. Moreover, there exists an operator $T \in B(H)$ satisfying $T+T^{*}=0$ such that $\Phi(A)=A T-T A$ for all $A \in A$, i.e., $\Phi$ is inner.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is prime, we know that $\Phi$ is an additive $*$-derivation. It follows from [29] that $\Phi$ is a linear inner derivation, i.e., there exists an operator $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\Phi(A)=A S-S A$. Using the fact $\Phi\left(A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A)^{*}$, we have

$$
A^{*} S-S A^{*}=\Phi\left(A^{*}\right)=\Phi(A)^{*}=-A^{*} S^{*}+S^{*} A^{*}
$$

for all $A \in A$. This leads to $A^{*}\left(S+S^{*}\right)=\left(S+S^{*}\right) A^{*}$. Hence, $S+S^{*}=\lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us set $T=S-\frac{1}{2} \lambda I$. One can check that $T+T^{*}=0$ such that $\Phi(A)=A T-T A$.

It is shown in [4] and [15] that if a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has no central summands of type $I_{1}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ satifies $(\boldsymbol{\bullet})$ and $(\boldsymbol{\bullet})$. Now we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra with no central summands of type $I_{1}$. Then $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a nnonlinear bi-skew Jordan type derivation if and only if $\Phi$ is an additive *-derivation.
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