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#### Abstract

For getting the numerical solution of the linear complementary problem (LCP), there are many methods such as the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration and the modulus-based nonsmooth Newton's method. We proposed the Square-Newton method to solve the LCP. This method could solve LCP efficiently. We gave the theoretical analysis and numerical experiments in the paper.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we focus on the solution of LCP, which is to find a pair of real vectors $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{z}}:=A \hat{\mathbf{x}}+\mathbf{q} \geq 0, \hat{\mathbf{x}} \geq 0, \quad \text { where } \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a given large, sparse matrix, and $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a given vector.
LCP was raised by Lemke in 1964, and Cottle and Dantzig formally defined the linear complementarity problem and called it the fundamental problem in [1]. A wide variety of applications require the solution of LCP, such as the economies with institutional restrictions upon prices, the linear and quadratic programming, the free boundary problems and the optimal stopping in Markov chain [2, 3].

There are many efficient iteration methods to solve LCP by using matrix splitting, such as the projected relaxation iteration methods [4,5], the general fixed-point iteration methods $[7,9,10]$ and the matrix multisplitting iteration methods [11-13]. These methods mainly convert LCP into a implicit fixed-point equation [7], that is,

$$
(I+A) \mathbf{y}=(I-A)|\mathbf{y}|-\mathbf{q}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=(\hat{\mathbf{x}}-\hat{\mathbf{z}}) / 2,|\mathbf{y}|=(\hat{\mathbf{x}}+\hat{\mathbf{z}}) / 2$.
Based on this previous work, a modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method was presented by Bai in [14]. Bai construct a general framework of the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods to obtain the solution of LCP. By choosing different matrix splitting, a series of modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods are given, such as the modulus-based Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, SOR and AOR iteration methods [14]. More discussions and further generalization of modulus-based matrix splitting iteration

[^0]methods have been extensively studied; see [15-20, 22] for details. On the other hand, Zheng gave some Newton methods to solve LCP in [23-25].

In this paper, we consider solving LCP without splitting of coefficient matrix $A$. We observe that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}} \geq 0$ and any square number is non-negative. Let $\mathbf{x}^{2}:=\left(x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{z}^{2}:=\left(z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}, \ldots, z_{n}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ replace $\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, respectively. Obviously, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}=0$ is equivalent to $x_{i} z_{i}=0, i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Then the problem (1) can be transformed into the following system of nonlinear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} A \mathbf{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
x_{1} z_{1}=0 \\
x_{2} z_{2}=0 \\
\vdots \\
x_{n} z_{n}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

For the nonlinear problems, the Newton method is efficient and has the property of quadratic convergence. Then we propose a Square-Newton iteration method by combining the Newton method with the non-negative of square number to solve LCP. The structure of our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some necessary notations, definitions and lemmas, and the MSOR method is introduced in this section. In Section 3, the Square-Newton iteration for solving LCP is established and the convergence properties of our method are discussed. Meanwhile, Some numerical experiments are proposed to prove the effectiveness of our method in Section 4. Finally, our conclusions are shown in Section 5.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce some necessary notations, definitions and lemmas. And then we show the basic modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method and give the expression of 'MSOR' method.

### 2.1. Definition and notation

For $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define the Euclidean norm of $x$ as $\|\mathbf{x}\|=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}}$, the infinity norm $\mathbf{x}$ is defined as $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right|$. For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},\|A\|$ is denoted as the spectral norm, that is $\|A\|=\max \left\{\|A \mathbf{x}\|: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\|\mathbf{x}\|=1\right\}$, which $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ is the Euclidean norm. And the vector $\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{z}$ is defined by

$$
\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{z}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} z_{1} \\
x_{2} z_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n} z_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Definition 2.1 ([25]). Let $f: \mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a given function, and let $\mathbf{x}$ be a given point in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The function $f$ is said to be Lipschitz near $\mathbf{x}$, if there exist a scalar $L$ and a positive number $\epsilon$, s.t

$$
\left\|f\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)-f\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq L\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime \prime}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|
$$

for all $\mathbf{x}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{x}+\epsilon B$, where $B$ signifies the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, so that $\mathbf{x}+\epsilon B$ is the unit open ball of radius $\epsilon$ centred at $\mathbf{x}$.

Lemma 2.2 ([21]). Let $\|\cdot\|$ satisfy $\|A B\| \leq\|A\|\|B\|$. Then $\|X\| \leq 1$ implies that $I-X$ is invertible, and

$$
\left\|(I-X)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\|X\|}
$$

Corollary 2.3. For the matrix $A, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if $A$ is nonsingular, $\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \leq \alpha$ and $\|A-C\| \leq \beta, \alpha \beta<1$, then $C$ is nonsingular, and

$$
\left\|C^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \beta}
$$

Proof. Let $B=A^{-1}(A-C)=I-A^{-1} C$, then

$$
\|B\| \leq\left\|A^{-1}\right\|\|(A-C)\| \leq \alpha \beta<1
$$

According Lemma 2.2, it is obvious that $I-B=A^{-1} C$ is nonsingular, then $C$ is nonsingular, and $C^{-1}=(I-B)^{-1} A^{-1}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|C^{-1}\right\| & \leq\left\|(I-B)^{-1}\right\| \cdot\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\|B\|} \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the convex domain on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, F(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. F is differentiable on $\mathbb{D}$. If $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists $\gamma>0$, s.t $\left\|F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})-F^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})\right\| \leq \gamma\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|$. Then

$$
\left\|F(\mathbf{x})-F(\mathbf{y})-F^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right\| \leq \frac{\gamma\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}}{2}, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{D}
$$

Proof. Let $h(\tau)=F(\mathbf{y}+\tau(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})), \tau \in(0,1)$. According to the definition of derivative, we know $h(\tau)$ is derivable, and $h^{\prime}(\tau)=F^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}+\tau(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}))(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$.

For $\tau \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h^{\prime}(\tau)-h^{\prime}(0)\right\| & \leq\left\|F^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}+\tau(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}))-F^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})\right\| \cdot\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\| \\
& \leq \gamma \tau\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F(\mathbf{x})-F(\mathbf{y})-F^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right\| & =\left\|h(1)-h(0)-h^{\prime}(0)\right\| \\
& =\left\|\int_{0}^{1}\left(h^{\prime}(\tau)-h^{\prime}(0)\right) d \tau\right\| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\left(h^{\prime}(\tau)-h^{\prime}(0)\right)\right\| d \tau \\
& \leq \gamma\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \tau d \tau \\
& =\frac{\gamma\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

### 2.2. The MSOR method

The following Theorem establishes an equivalent expression of LCP, and it is useful to propose matrix splitting iteration methods for solving LCP.

Theorem 2.5 ([14]). Let $A=M-N$ be a splitting of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ be $n \times n$ nonnegative diagonal matrices, and $\Omega$ and $\Gamma$ be $n \times n$ positive diagonal matrices such that $\Omega=\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}$. For the LCP, the following statements hold true:
(i) If $(\omega, z)$ is a solution of LCP, then $\mathbf{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{-1} z-\Omega^{-1} \omega\right)$ satisfied the implicit fixed-point equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M \Gamma+\Omega_{1}\right) \mathbf{x}=\left(N \Gamma-\Omega_{2}\right) \mathbf{x}+(\Omega-A \Gamma)|\mathbf{x}|-\mathbf{q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $x$ satisfied the implicit fixed-point equation (3), then

$$
z=\Gamma(|\mathbf{x}|+\mathbf{x}), \omega=\Omega(|\mathbf{x}|-\mathbf{x})
$$

is a solution of LCP.
Setting $\Omega_{1}=\Omega, \Omega_{2}=0$ and $\Gamma=\frac{1}{\gamma} I$, then the implicit fixed-point equation can be simplified, that is,

$$
(M+\Omega) \mathbf{x}=(N) \mathbf{x}+(\Omega-A)|\mathbf{x}|-\gamma \mathbf{q}
$$

Based on the simplified implicit fixed-point equation, the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration(MS) method for LCP was proposed by Bai [14].

Method (MS)[14]: Let $A=M-N$ be a splitting of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Given an initial vector $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ until the iteration sequence $\left\{z^{(k)}\right\}_{k=0}^{+\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is convergent, compute $x^{(k+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by solving the linear system

$$
(M+\Omega) \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}=(N-\Omega) \mathbf{x}^{k}+(\Omega-A)\left|\mathbf{x}^{k}\right|-\gamma \mathbf{q}
$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an positive diagonal matrix, $\gamma>0$. Then set

$$
\mathbf{z}^{(k+1)}=\gamma\left(\left|\mathbf{x}^{k+1}\right|+\mathbf{x}^{k+1}\right)
$$

the value of $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}})$ are obtained.
When $M=(1 / \alpha) D-L, N=(1 / \alpha-1) D+U$, and $\gamma=2$, MS method is transformed into the modulus-based SOR(MSOR) iteration method, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D+\Omega-\alpha L) \mathbf{x}^{k+1}=[(1-\alpha) D+\alpha U] \mathbf{x}^{k}+(\Omega-\alpha M)\left|\mathbf{x}^{k}\right|-2 \alpha \mathbf{q} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{z}^{(k+1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\mathbf{x}^{k+1}\right|+\mathbf{x}^{k+1}\right)$.

## 3. The proposed method

We now discuss how to use Newton method to solve LCP by combining the non-negative of square number. And the convergence of the proposed method are introduced in this section.

### 3.1. The Square-Newton iteration method

In order to establish the Square-Newton iteration, based on (2), we give the following function $G(\mathbf{y})$ :

$$
G(\mathbf{y})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} A \mathbf{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^{2}  \tag{5}\\
\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=\left[\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 n}, \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
From (5), we obtain the Jacobian matrix $G^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})$ :

$$
G^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A D & -W  \tag{6}\\
W & D
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), W=\operatorname{diag}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$.
It is clear that the Newton iteration for solving the equation $G(\mathbf{y})=0$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\mathbf{y}_{k}\right)+G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{k+1}-\mathbf{y}_{k}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on (5) and (6), the Newton iteration (7) simplifies to the following form:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A D_{k} & -W_{k}  \tag{8}\\
W_{k} & D_{k}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{k+1} \\
\mathbf{z}_{k+1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} A \mathbf{x}_{k}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{2} \\
\mathbf{x}_{k} * \mathbf{z}_{k}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Now for the linear complementarity problem, we propose the Square-Newton iteration as follows:

```
Algorithm: The Square-Newton iteration(SN)
Input: Given matrix \(A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\), vector \(\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\) and a tolerance \(\varepsilon>0\).
The initial value \(\mathbf{y}_{0}=[1, \cdots, 1]^{\mathrm{T}}\).
Output: The desired vector \(\mathbf{y}_{*}\).
1. Set \(k:=0\).
2. Compute \(G_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{2} A \mathbf{x}_{k}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{2} \\ \mathbf{x}_{k} * \mathbf{z}_{k}\end{array}\right]\) and \(G_{k}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}A D_{k} & -W_{k} \\ W_{k} & D_{k}\end{array}\right]\).
3. Solve the linear system \(G_{k}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{k+1}=G_{k}\),
to get \(\mathbf{y}_{k+1}\) (here we use the left division).
4. If \(\left\|\mathbf{y}_{k+1}-\mathbf{y}_{k}\right\| \leq \varepsilon\), the solution \(\mathbf{y}_{*}=\mathbf{y}_{k+1}\).
Otherwise, Set \(k=k+1\) and go to step 1 .
```


### 3.2. Convergence analysis

Here, we discuss the convergence of Square-Newton iteration method and prove the quadratic convergence of our method.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the function $F(\mathbf{x}): D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\exists \mathbf{x}_{*} \in D$ s.t. $F\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)=0$. If $F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ is continuous in the open neighborhood $S \subset D$ of $\mathbf{x}_{*}$ and $F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)$ is nonsingular, then the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{k}\right\}$ by the Newton iteration superlinearly converges to $\mathbf{x}_{*}$. Specially, if there exists a constant $L>0$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \leq L\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\|, \forall \mathbf{x} \in S \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{k}\right\}$ at least quadratic convergence.
Proof. Since $F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)$ is nonsingular, set $\left\|F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)^{-1}\right\|=\alpha>0 . F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ is continuous at $\mathbf{x}_{*}$, so for $\forall \varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2 \alpha}\right), \exists \delta>0$, s.t $\forall \mathbf{x} \in S=S\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}, \delta\right)$, there is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Corollary 2.3, we know for $\alpha \varepsilon<\frac{1}{2}, F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ is nonsingular and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha \varepsilon} \leq 2 \alpha, \forall \mathbf{x} \in S \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\|= & \left\|-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)^{-1} F\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)+\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\| \\
= & \left\|-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)^{-1}\left[F\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right]\right\| \\
= & \|-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)^{-1}\left[F\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right] \| \\
\leq & 2 \alpha\left[\left\|F\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-F\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|\left(F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\|\right] . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})$ exists and is continuous, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-F\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon\left\|\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\|, \forall \mathbf{x}_{k} \in S \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\| & \leq 2 \alpha(\varepsilon+\varepsilon)\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \\
& =4 \alpha \varepsilon\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\mathbf{x}_{k} \neq \mathbf{x}_{*}$, then

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\|}=0
$$

which shows $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{k}\right\}$ superlinear convergence.
According to lemma 2.4, if (9) is satisfied, then the equation (12) transforms into

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right\| \leq & 2 \alpha\left\|F\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)-F\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \\
& +2 \alpha\left\|\left(F^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)-F^{\prime}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \alpha L\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha L\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & 3 \alpha L\left\|\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{x}_{*}\right)\right\|^{2} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{k}\right\}$ at least quadratic convergence.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that there exists $\mathbf{y}_{*} \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $G\left(\mathbf{y}_{*}\right)=0$ and the corresponding Jacobian matrix $G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{*}\right)$ is nonsingular. Let the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{y}_{k}\right\}$ be generated by Square-Newton iteration. If $G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{*}\right)$ is nonsingular, then the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{y}_{k}\right\}$ is at least quadratic convergence to the unique solution $\mathbf{y}_{*}$.
Proof. It is obvious that $G^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})$ exists and $\mathbf{y}_{*}$ is the unique solution of $L C P$, so $G\left(\mathbf{y}_{*}\right)=0$.
Now, we will prove that $G(\mathbf{y})$ satisfied (9).

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{k}\right)-G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{*}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A D_{k} & -W_{k} \\
W_{k} & D_{k}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A D_{*} & -W_{*} \\
W_{*} & D_{*}
\end{array}\right]\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A\left(D_{k}-D_{*}\right) & -W_{k}+W_{*} \\
W_{k}-W_{*} & D_{k}-D_{*}
\end{array}\right]\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]\right\| \cdot\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{k}-D_{*} & 0 \\
0 & D_{k}-D_{*}
\end{array}\right]\right\| \\
& +\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\left(W_{k}-W_{*}\right) \\
W_{k}-W_{*} & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\| . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $B=\operatorname{diag}(M, I)$, due to $D_{k}, W_{k}$ are diagonal matrix, so

$$
\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{k}-D_{*} & 0 \\
0 & D_{k}-D_{*}
\end{array}\right]\right\| \leqslant \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}^{(k)}-x_{i}^{*}\right| ;
$$

the same is true for $W_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{k}\right)-G^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{y}_{*}\right)\right\| & \leq\|B\| \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}^{(k)}-x_{i}^{*}\right|+\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|z_{i}^{(k)}-z_{i}^{*}\right| \\
& \leq(\|B\|+1) \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\left|x_{i}^{(k)}-x_{i}^{*}\right|,\left|z_{i}^{(k)}-z_{i}^{*}\right|\right\} \\
& =(\|B\|+1)\left\|\mathbf{y}_{k}-\mathbf{y}_{*}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq(\|B\|+1)\left\|\mathbf{y}_{k}-\mathbf{y}_{*}\right\| . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

From Definition 2.1, we know that $G^{\prime}(\mathbf{y})$ is Lipschitz continuous. So according to Theorem 2.5, the sequence $\left\{\mathbf{y}_{k}\right\}$ which is generated by Square-Newton iteration converges to the real solution $\mathbf{y}_{*}$ at least quadratic rate.

## 4. Numerical experiments

In this section, we use some numerical experiments to verify the efficiency of our algorithm in terms of iteration steps (denoted as IT), computing time in seconds (denoted as CPU) and the norm of residual vectors (denoted as RES). Here, we define the RES as

$$
\mathrm{RES}:=\left\|\min \left(\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{z}_{k}\right)\right\|_{2},
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{k}, \mathbf{z}_{k}$ is the approximate solution of LCP (1).
The Square-Newton iteration method is proposed by combining Newton iteration and the non-negativity of square number. We compare the Square-Newton iteration method with 'MSOR' iteration (4) which was presented in [14] in those experiments.

All experiments are performed in $\operatorname{MATLAB}(\mathrm{R} 2016 \mathrm{~b})$ with machine precision $10^{-16}$, and all experiments are implemented on a personal computer with 2.00 G memory and Win10 operating system. Here we take the initial value $y_{0}=[1,1, \ldots, 1]^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Example 4.1 ([14]). Let $m$ be a prescribed positive integer and $n=m^{2}$. Consider the $L C P(1)$, in which $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=\hat{A}+\mu I$ and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given by $\mathbf{q}=-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} D-L\right) \mathbf{z}_{*}$, where

$$
\hat{A}=\operatorname{tridiag}(-I, S,-I)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
S & -I & & & & \\
-I & S & -I & & & \\
& -I & S & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -I & \\
& & & -I & S & -I \\
& & & & -I & S
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},
$$

is a block-tridiagonal matrix,

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
4 & -1 & & & & \\
-1 & 4 & -1 & & & \\
& -1 & 4 & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -1 & \\
& & & -1 & 4 & -1 \\
& & & & -1 & 4
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}
$$

is a tridiagonal matrix, and $\mathbf{z}_{*}=[1,2, \ldots, 1,2]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In this example, $\alpha=1$.
We should attention that when $\mu \geq 0$, the system matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is strictly diagonally dominant. Then the LCP (1) has one unique solution.

Example 4.2 ([14]). Let $m$ be a prescribed positive integer and $n=m^{2}$. Consider the LCP (1), in which $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by $A=\hat{A}+\mu I$ and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given by $\mathbf{q}=-A \mathbf{z}_{*}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{A} & =\operatorname{tridiag}(-1.5 I, S,-0.5 I) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
S & -0.5 I & & & & \\
-1.5 I & S & -0.5 I & & & \\
& -1.5 I & S & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -0.5 I & \\
& & & -1.5 I & S & -0.5 I \\
& & & & -1.5 I & S
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},
\end{aligned}
$$

| $m$ | Method | IT | CPU | RES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | MSOR | 35 | 0.1637 | $7.1345 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 0.1250 | $1.4296 \mathrm{e}-17$ |
| 20 | MSOR | 37 | 0.3055 | $8.3960 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 0.1563 | $9.3952 \mathrm{e}-17$ |
| 30 | MSOR | 38 | 0.8966 | $8.1090 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 0.3594 | $9.9269 \mathrm{e}-17$ |
| 40 | MSOR | 38 | 2.2490 | $9.8112 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 1.1873 | $1.1336 \mathrm{e}-16$ |
| 50 | MSOR | 39 | 6.3224 | $7.9998 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 2.0313 | $1.2965 \mathrm{e}-16$ |

Table 1: Numerical results for $\mu=4$ for Example 1(Symmetric matrix).

| m |  | IT | CPU | RES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | MSOR | 22 | 0.1083 | $7.4094 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 0.0781 | $6.8370 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 20 | MSOR | 26 | 0.2429 | $8.2821 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 0.1875 | $1.7799 \mathrm{e}-14$ |
| 30 | MSOR | 27 | 0.6576 | $9.4333 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 0.5625 | $2.0279 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 40 | MSOR | 28 | 2.4853 | $9.8149 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 5 | 2.8281 | $1.6786 \mathrm{e}-15$ |
| 50 | MSOR | 30 | 5.2891 | $9.7299 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | SN | 6 | 3.6719 | $3.2384 \mathrm{e}-14$ |

Table 2: Numerical results for $\mu=2$ for Example 2(Nonsymmetric matrix).
is a block-tridiagonal matrix,

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
4 & -0.5 & & & & \\
-1.5 & 4 & -0.5 & & & \\
& -1.5 & 4 & \ddots & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & -0.5 & \\
& & & -1.5 & 4 & -0.5 \\
& & & & -1.5 & 4
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m},
$$

is a tridiagonal matrix, and $\mathbf{z}_{*}=[1,2, \ldots, 1,2]^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In this example, $\alpha=0.8$.
Table 1 and Table 2 show that the iteration steps, computing time and residual generated by the SquareNewton and 'MSOR' method to solve LCP. We can find when $A$ is Symmetric matrix, our iteration steps are much less than the steps of 'MSOR', and our accuracy is much higher than that of 'MSOR'. Meanwhile, the computing time of Square-Newton method is nearly half of the computing time of 'MSOR'. When $A$ is nonsymmetric matrix, the iteration steps and the accuracy of our method are much less than that of 'MSOR', but we attention that the computing time of both methods is nearly the same, because the computation of Jacobian matrix. In Fig.1-2, we find the convergence rate of our method is faster than that of 'MSOR', and it's clear that Square-Newton method has quadratic convergence.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a Square-Newton method for solving LCP. And we analyze the convergence of our method, the quadratic convergence of our method is proved. In the numerical experiments, we


Figure 1: The Res comparison of Example 1, when $m=40$.


Figure 2: The Res comparison of Example 2, when $m=40$
verify that our method can effectively solve LCP. By comparing our method with 'MSOR' method, it can be proved that the convergence rate of our method is faster than that of 'MSOR'.
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