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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider inverse problem of scattering theory for Klein-Gordon s-wave equation with boundary condition depends on spectral parameter. We define the scattering data and we also prove that the continuity of the scattering function discussing the scattering solutions. Furthermore, we obtain Levinson type formula.


## 1. Introduction

Scattering problems and analysis have been significant roles in mathematical physics. Studies of scattering problems first begin for Schrödinger equations in [1,2]. In [2], Marchenko has investigated the properties of eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem given by

$$
\begin{align*}
-y^{\prime \prime}+q(x) y & =\lambda^{2} y, \quad 0 \leq x<\infty  \tag{1.1}\\
y(0) & =0 \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for a real valued function $q$, and then he has obtained the Jost function of (1.1) defined by

$$
e(\lambda)=1+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \lambda t} d t, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+}:=\{\lambda: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0\}
$$

which has a finite number of simple zeros in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. The scattering data of (1.1)-(1.2) is the following set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{S(\lambda), i \lambda_{k}, m_{k}: k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i \lambda_{k}$ are the zeros of Jost function, $m_{k}^{-1}$ are the norm of the zeros of Jost function for $\lambda=i \lambda_{k}$ in $L_{2}(0, \infty)$ and $S(\lambda)$ is scattering function of (1.1)-(1.2) defined by

$$
S(\lambda):=\frac{\overline{e(\lambda)}}{e(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in(-\infty, \infty)
$$

[^0]([1]). As the potential function $q$ is given, the problem of getting scattering data written (1.3) and investigating the properties of scattering data is called the direct problem for scattering theory. Oppositely, finding the potential function $q$ according to the scattering data is known inverse problem of scattering theory. There are a lot of papers about direct and inverse scattering problems for Sturm-Liouville, Schrödinger and Dirac equations. Some of them are given by [3-9]. Furthermore, the spectral properties with eigenvalues and spectral singularities of Klein-Gordon s-wave equation was given in [10], and the continuity of the scattering function and Levinson Formula of Sturm-Liouville problem depends on spectral parameter was examined in [11-12]. But scattering theory of Klein-Gordon s-wave equation with quadratic spectral parameter dependent boundary condition has not been investigated yet. In this work, we will consider the operator $L_{\mu}$ generated by the Klein-Gordon s-wave equation of second order
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+[\lambda-q(x)]^{2} y=0, \quad 0 \leq x<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with boundary condition depends on spectral parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(0, \lambda)+\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \lambda+\alpha_{2} \lambda^{2}\right) y(0, \lambda)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a complex parameter $\lambda=\mu^{2}$ where $\alpha_{i}$ are real numbers for $i=0,1,2, \alpha_{1} \leq 0, \alpha_{2}>0, \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \lambda+\alpha_{2} \lambda^{2} \neq 0$ and $q$ is a non-negative real valued function satisfying the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x\left[|q(x)|+\left|q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right] d x<\infty \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the condition (1.6) is satisfied, equation (1.4) has the following solutions $f^{(1)}(x, \mu)=f\left(x, \mu^{2}\right)$ and $\overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}=\overline{f\left(x, \mu^{2}\right)}$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{1}:=\{\mu: \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0, \operatorname{Im} \mu=0\}$. Moreover, they have analytic continuation to $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{1}^{+}}:=\{\mu \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0, \operatorname{Im} \mu \geq 0\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{1}^{-}}:=\{\mu \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0, \operatorname{Im} \mu \leq 0\}$, respectively and the asymptotic behaviour

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{(1)}(x, \mu)=e^{i \mu^{2} x}[1+o(1)], x \rightarrow \infty \\
& f_{x}^{(1)}(x, \mu)=e^{i \mu^{2} x}\left[i \mu^{2}+o(1)\right], x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

is valid. The solutions $f^{(1)}(x, \mu)$ and $\overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}$, called Jost solutions of $L_{\lambda}$, are respectively analytic in $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{+}:=\{\mu \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \mu>0, \operatorname{Im} \mu>0\}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{-}:=\{\mu \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \mu>0, \operatorname{Im} \mu<0\}$, and they are continuous on real and imaginary axes with respect to $\mu$ ([10]). The Jost solutions can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{(1)}(x, \mu)=f\left(x, \mu^{2}\right)=e^{i\left[\alpha(x)+\mu^{2} x\right]}+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t  \tag{1.8}\\
& \overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}=\overline{f\left(x, \mu^{2}\right)}=e^{-i\left[\alpha(x)+\mu^{2} x\right]}+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) e^{-i \mu^{2} t} d t
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} q(t) d t$ and $K(x, t)$ are solutions of integral equations of Volterra type and are continuously differentiable with respect to their arguments.

Moreover, $|K(x, t)|,\left|K_{x}(x, t)\right|,\left|K_{t}(x, t)\right|$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |K(x, t)| \leq c \omega\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right) \exp (\gamma(x))  \tag{1.9}\\
& \left|K_{x}(x, t)\right|,\left|K_{t}(x, t)\right| \leq c\left[\omega^{2}\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right)+\theta\left(\frac{x+t}{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty}\left[|q(t)|^{2}+\left|q^{\prime}(t)\right|\right] d t \\
& \gamma(x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} t\left[|q(t)|^{2}+2|q(t)|\right] d t \\
& \theta(x)=\frac{1}{4}\left[2|q(x)|^{2}+\left|q^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $c>0$ is a constant ([13]).
From (1.7) and (1.8), the Wronskian of the solutions of $f^{(1)}(x, \mu)$ and $\overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}$ is

$$
W\left[f^{(1)}(x, \mu), \overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}\right]=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} W\left[f^{(1)}(x, \mu), \overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}\right]=-2 i \mu^{2}
$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{1}$. Hence $f^{(1)}(x, \mu)$ and $\overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}$ are the fundamental solutions of (1.4) for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{*}=\mathbb{R}_{1} \backslash\{0\}$.
Let $\varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu)=\varphi\left(x, \mu^{2}\right)$ denote the solution of (1.4) satisfying the initial conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{(1)}(0, \mu)=\varphi\left(0, \mu^{2}\right)=1 \\
& \varphi_{x}^{(1)}(0, \mu)=\varphi_{x}\left(0, \mu^{2}\right)-\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mu^{2}+\alpha_{2} \mu^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

compatible with (1.5). We must give the following lemma which could have been proved as like [14]:
Lemma 1.1. The identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 i \mu^{2} \varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu)}{f_{x}^{(1)}(0, \mu)+\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mu^{2}+\alpha_{2} \mu^{4}\right) f^{(1)}(0, \mu)}=\overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}-S_{1}(\mu) f^{(1)}(x, \mu) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{*}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(\mu)=S\left(\mu^{2}\right)=\frac{\overline{F\left(\mu^{2}\right)}}{F\left(\mu^{2}\right)}=\frac{\overline{F_{1}(\mu)}}{\overline{F_{1}(\mu)}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{S_{1}(\mu)}=\left[S_{1}(\mu)\right]^{-1} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $F_{1}(\mu)=f_{x}^{(1)}(0, \mu)+\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mu^{2}+\alpha_{2} \mu^{4}\right) f^{(1)}(0, \mu)$ and $S_{1}(\mu)$ are respectively called Jost function and scattering function of $L_{\mu} . S_{1}(\mu)$ is meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{+}$and the poles councide wtih the zeros of $F_{1}(\mu)$. After examining the properties of zeros of $F_{1}(\mu)$ then we can say that zeros of $F_{1}(\mu)$ may have only a finite number on the imaginary axis and they are all simple. Also, $S_{1}(\mu)=1+O\left(\frac{1}{\mu^{2}}\right)$ for $|\mu| \rightarrow \infty$ under the condition (1.6). These relations were obtained in [14].

To find the unique kernel $K(x, y)$ of the solution $f^{(1)}(x, \mu)$ and the potential $q(x)=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x} K(x, x)$, it suffices to find the Levinson formula for $L_{\mu}$.

## 2. The Continuity of the Scattering Function $S_{1}(\mu)$

Lemma 2.1. If the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{S_{1}}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu\left[1-S_{1}(\mu)\right] e^{i \mu^{2} x} d \mu \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is Fourier transformation of $\mu\left[1-S_{1}(\mu)\right]$ for all $x \geq 0$, it belongs to the $L_{2}(0, \infty)$ space.
Proof. We can easily verify that

$$
\mu\left[1-S_{1}(\mu)\right] \approx O\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right), \quad|\mu| \rightarrow \infty
$$

It follows that $\mu\left[1-S_{1}(\mu)\right] \in L_{2}(0, \infty)$ and hence the function $F_{S_{1}}(x)$ also belongs to the space $L_{2}(0, \infty)$.
Definition 2.2. For $k=1,2, \ldots, n$,

$$
m_{k}^{-1}=\frac{\left[f^{(1)}\left(0, \mu_{k}\right)\right]^{2}}{\mu_{k}^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{\left|f^{(1)}\left(0, \mu_{k}\right)\right|^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[q(x)-\mu_{k}^{2}\right]\left|f^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right)\right|^{2} d x-\frac{\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{k}^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

where $\mu_{k}$ are zeros of Jost function on the upper imaginary axis.
Lemma 2.3. The kernel function $K(x, t)$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \alpha(x)} G(x+y)+K(x, y)+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) G(t+y) d t=0, \quad(x<y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k} e^{i \mu_{k}^{2} x}+F_{S_{1}}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Lets rewrite (1.11) as follows

$$
\frac{2 i \mu^{2} \varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu)}{F_{1}(\mu)}=\overline{f^{(1)}(x, \mu)}-S_{1}(\mu) f^{(1)}(x, \mu)
$$

and substitute $f^{(1)}(x, \mu)$ in this by its expressions (1.8), we get that

$$
\frac{2 i \mu^{2} \varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu)}{F_{1}(\mu)}=e^{-i\left[\alpha(x)+\mu^{2} x\right]}+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) e^{-i \mu^{2} t} d t-S_{1}(\mu)\left[e^{i\left[\alpha(x)+\mu^{2} x\right]}+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right]
$$

Also, as necessary arrangements are made and equation (2.1) is used, we reach

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{3} \varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu) e^{i \mu^{2} y}}{F_{1}(\mu)} d \mu=e^{i \alpha(x)} F_{S_{1}}(x+y)+K(x, y)+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) F_{S_{1}}(t+y) d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Jordan Lemma and Residue Theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{3} \varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu) e^{i \mu^{2} y}}{F_{1}(\mu)} d \mu & =2 \pi i \frac{2 i}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Res}\left(F_{1}, \mu_{k}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{4 \mu_{k}^{3} \varphi^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right) e^{i \mu_{k}^{2} y}}{\left(\dot{F}_{1}\right)\left(\mu_{k}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then

$$
\frac{2 i}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{3} \varphi^{(1)}(x, \mu)}{F_{1}(\mu)} e^{i \mu^{2} y} d \mu=\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k} f^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right) e^{i \mu_{k}^{2} y}
$$

because of the fact that $\varphi^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right)$ and $f^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right)$ are linearly dependent with $\varphi^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right)=\frac{f^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right)}{f^{(1)}\left(0, \mu_{k}\right)}$ since $F_{1}\left(\mu_{k}\right)=0$. If we consider the last equation and (2.4) together, we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} m_{k}\left[f^{(1)}\left(x, \mu_{k}\right) e^{i \mu_{k}^{2} y}\right]=e^{i \alpha(x)} F_{S_{1}}(x+y)+K(x, y)+\int_{x}^{\infty} K(x, t) F_{S_{1}}(t+y) d t
$$

and from (2.3), we obtain (2.2).
Lemma 2.4. For $F_{1}(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, t) d t+\left[\alpha_{0}+i \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right] e^{i \alpha(0)}+\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) d t=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (1.8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{(1)}(0,0)=e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) d t \\
& f_{x}^{(1)}(0,0)=i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

As last equations are substituted in $F_{1}(0)=0$, we hold (2.5).
Lemma 2.5. Following integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(z)=\int_{z}^{\infty}\left[K_{x}(0, y)+\alpha_{0} e^{i \alpha(0)} K(0, y)\right] d y \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $L_{1}(0, \infty)$ space and $K_{1}(z)$ is bounded.
Proof. The equation (2.6) can be proved belongs $L_{1}(0, \infty)$ space easily using equations (1.9) and (1.10).
Substituting $x=0$ into the main equation (2.3) and using partial integrate respect to $x$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)} G(y)+e^{i \alpha(0)} G_{x}(y)+K_{x}(0, y) \\
& -K(0,0) G(y)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, t) G(t+y) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If we multiple both sides of the main equation (2.2) by $\alpha_{0}$ and we add to (2.7) then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{z}^{\infty}\left[K_{x}(0, y)+\alpha_{0} K(0, y)\right] d y+\left[\left(\alpha_{0}+i \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)\right] \int_{z}^{\infty} G(y) d y \\
& -e^{i \alpha(0)} G(z)+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[K_{x}(0, t)+\alpha_{0} K(0, t)\right] d t \int_{z+t}^{\infty} G(\xi) d \xi \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

including

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d\left\{\int_{t}^{\infty}\left[K_{x}(0, y)+\alpha_{0} K(0, y)\right] d y\right\}=K_{x}(0, t)+\alpha_{0} K(0, t) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (2.9) is used in integral equation (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =K_{1}(z)+\left[\left(\alpha_{0}+i \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)\right] \int_{z}^{\infty} G(y) d y \\
& -e^{i \alpha(0)} G(z)-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{z+t}^{\infty} G(\xi) d \xi\right) d K_{1}(t) d t \\
& =K_{1}(z)+\left[\left(\alpha_{0}+i \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)+K_{1}(0)\right] \int_{z}^{\infty} G(y) d y \\
& -e^{i \alpha(0)} G(z)-\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) G(z+t) d t . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}+\alpha_{0} e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)=-\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) d t-\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, t) d t \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $K_{1}(0)$ is added to both sides of (2.11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}+\alpha_{0} e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)+K_{1}(0)=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If last equation is used in (2.10), we get

$$
K_{1}(z)-\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) G(z+t) d t=e^{i \alpha(0)} G(z)
$$

Finally, we have shown that the function $K_{1}(z)$ is a bounded solution to $L_{\mu}$.
Theorem 2.6. Under the condition (1.6), the scattering function $S_{1}(\mu)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}_{1}$ when $\alpha_{1}$ is not equal to $[-\sin \alpha(0)]^{-1}\left[\cos \alpha(0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) d t\right]$ or $\sin \alpha(0)\left[\cos \alpha(0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) d t\right]^{-1}$.

Proof. It is clear that $F_{1}(\mu)$ does not have zero in $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{*}$. Moreover, if $F_{1}(0) \neq 0$ then the function $S_{1}(\mu)=\overline{F_{1}(\mu)} \overline{F_{1}(\mu)}$ is continuous at $\mu=0$.

Now we shall prove the continuity of the function $S_{1}(\mu)$ for $\mu=0$ in case of $F_{1}(0)=0$.
The Jost function $F_{1}(\mu)$ can be written via (1.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}(\mu) & =i\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)+\mu^{2}\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t \\
& +\alpha_{0}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right]+\alpha_{1} \mu^{2}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2} \mu^{4}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right] . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

If we define $I_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=-K(0,0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t+\alpha_{0}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get that $F_{1}(\mu)$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}(\mu) & =I_{1}+\alpha_{1} \mu^{2}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right] \\
& +\alpha_{2} \mu^{4}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right]+i\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)+\mu^{2}\right) e^{i \alpha(0)} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

If we apply the partial integration to $I_{1}$, then we hold

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & =-K(0,0)+\alpha_{0} e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, y) d y+\alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, y) d y \\
& +i \mu^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, y) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d y d t+i \mu^{2} \alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} K(0, y) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d y d t . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =-i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}+i \mu^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} K_{x}(0, y) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d y d t+i \mu^{2} \alpha_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} K(0, y) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d y d t \\
& =-i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}+i \mu^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty}\left[K_{x}(0, y)+\alpha_{0} K(0, y)\right] e^{i \mu^{2} t} d y d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 2.5, we obtain that

$$
I_{1}=-i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}+i \mu^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t
$$

If $I_{1}$ is written in the integral equation (2.15), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}(\mu)= & -i \alpha^{\prime}(0) e^{i \alpha(0)}+i\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)+\mu^{2}\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}+i \mu^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t \\
& +\alpha_{1} \mu^{2}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right]+\alpha_{2} \mu^{4}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right] \\
= & i \mu^{2}\left\{e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right. \\
& \left.-i \alpha_{1}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right]-i \alpha_{2} \mu^{2}\left[e^{i \alpha(0)}+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $K_{1}(t) \in L_{1}(0, \infty)$ and $K(0, t) \in L_{1}(0, \infty)$ and definition of $\alpha(x)$, we can rewrite $F_{1}(\mu)$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(\mu)=i \mu^{2} \widetilde{K}(\mu) \\
& \overline{F_{1}(\mu)}=-i \mu^{2} \widetilde{\widetilde{K}}(\mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{K}(\mu) & =e^{i \alpha(0)}\left(1-i \alpha_{1}-i \alpha_{2} \mu^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t \\
& -i\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{i \mu^{2} t} d t \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\widetilde{K}(\mu)}= & e^{-i \alpha(0)}\left(1+i \alpha_{1}+i \alpha_{2} \mu^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) e^{-i \mu^{2} t} d t \\
& +i\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) e^{-i \mu^{2} t} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

From definition of scattering function, we obtained following result

$$
S_{1}(\mu)=\frac{-i \mu^{2} \overline{\widetilde{K}}(\mu)}{i \mu^{2} \widetilde{K}(\mu)}=-\frac{\overline{\widetilde{K}(\mu)}}{\widetilde{K}(\mu)}
$$

and for $\mu=0$,

$$
S_{1}(0)=-\frac{\overline{\widetilde{K}}(0)}{\widetilde{K}(0)}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{K}(0)=\alpha_{1} \sin \alpha(0)+\cos \alpha(0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{1}(t) d t$ and $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{K}(0)=\sin \alpha(0)-\alpha_{1}\left[\cos \alpha(0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} K(0, t) d t\right]$. So, the scattering function $S_{1}(\mu)$ is continuous at $\mu=0$ because $\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{K}(0) \neq 0$ or $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{K}(0) \neq 0$. As a result, $S_{1}(\mu)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}_{1}$.

## 3. The Levinson Formula of $L_{\mu}$

Lemma 3.1. Let the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(\mu)=r e^{i \theta(\mu)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds where $\arg F_{1}(\mu)=\theta(\mu)$.
Theorem 3.2. The following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\theta(\infty)-\theta_{i}(\infty)}{2 \pi}+C\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+T\left(F_{1}\right)=n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{i}(\tau)=\theta(\text { i } \tau), \\
& C\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}, \text { if } \alpha_{1} \neq 0 \\
1, \\
1 \text { if } \alpha_{1}=0
\end{array},\right. \\
& T\left(F_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
0, \text { if } F_{1}(0) \neq 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2}, \text { if } F_{1}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and $n$ is the number of the zeros of the function $F_{1}(\mu)$ on the first quarter of complex plane. The equation (3.2) is called the Levinson Type Formula.

Proof. We define the following equation for sufficiently large $R>0$ and sufficiently little $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\Gamma_{R, \varepsilon}^{+}=C_{R}^{+} \cup C_{\varepsilon}^{-} \cup C_{\mathrm{Im}} \cup C_{\mathrm{Re}}
$$

where $C_{R}^{+}$and $C_{\varepsilon}^{-}$are quarter circles with centers in origin and corresponding radius of $R$ and $\varepsilon$, respectively. $C_{R}^{+}$is oriented by opposite of clockwise and $C_{\varepsilon}^{-}$is oriented by clockwise. Also, $C_{R e}$ and $C_{\text {Im }}$ are line segments oriented points $\varepsilon$ to $R$ and $i R$ to $i \varepsilon$, respectively (see Figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1

The function $F_{1}(\mu)$ is analytic inside the curve $\Gamma_{R, \varepsilon}^{+}$, and is continuous on the boundary of $\Gamma_{R, \varepsilon}^{+}$. Furthermore, $\Gamma_{R, \varepsilon}^{+}$does not include the finite zeros of $F_{1}(\mu)$ defined as $\mu_{k},(k=1,2, \ldots, n)$. By the argument principle, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
n & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta \Gamma_{R, \varepsilon}^{+} \arg F_{1}(\mu)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta \Gamma_{R, \varepsilon}^{+} \theta(\mu) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta C_{R}^{+} \theta(\mu)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta C_{\varepsilon}^{-} \theta(\mu) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta C_{\mathrm{Re}} \theta(\mu)+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta C_{\operatorname{Im}} \theta(\mu) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

If we use the asymptotic equation for Jost function in [14] as

$$
F_{1}(\mu) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\alpha_{1}+i\right) e^{i \alpha(0)} \mu^{2} & , \alpha_{1} \neq 0, \\
\alpha_{2} \mu^{4} & , \alpha_{1}=0,|\mu| \rightarrow \infty \\
& |\mu| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

and following equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \arg F_{1}(\mu)=\theta(\mu)=\arg \left[\left(\alpha_{1}+i\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}\right]+2 \arg \mu, \alpha_{1} \neq 0, \\
& \arg F_{1}(\mu)=\theta(\mu)=\arg \left(\alpha_{2}\right)+4 \arg \mu, \quad \alpha_{1}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then we can obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \Delta C_{R}^{+} \theta(\mu)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\pi, & \alpha_{1} \neq 0 \\
2 \pi, & \alpha_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
C\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2}, \text { if } \alpha_{1} \neq 0  \tag{3.4}\\
1, \text { if } \alpha_{1}=0
\end{array},\right.
$$

because

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \Delta C_{R}^{+}\left[\left(\alpha_{1}+i\right) e^{i \alpha(0)}\right]=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \Delta C_{R}^{+}\left[\arg \left(\alpha_{2}\right)\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \Delta C_{R}^{+}[\arg \mu]=\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

Moreover, we can write $F_{1}(\mu)$ by using (2.17) as follows

$$
F_{1}(\mu) \approx\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
F_{1}(0), & \text { if } F_{1}(0) \neq 0 \\
i \mu^{2} \bar{K}(0), & \text { if } F_{1}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0, \operatorname{Im} \mu \geq 0,|\mu| \rightarrow 0$.
Thus, we hold

$$
T\left(F_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Delta C_{\varepsilon}^{-} \theta(\mu)=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0, \text { if } F_{1}(0) \neq 0  \tag{3.5}\\
-\pi, \text { if } F_{1}(0)=0
\end{array}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0, \text { if } F_{1}(0) \neq 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2}, \text { if } F_{1}(0)=0
\end{array} .\right.\right.
$$

Furthermore, we get following equality

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{\substack{R \rightarrow \infty \\
\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}} \Delta\left(C_{\operatorname{Re}} \cup C_{\operatorname{Im}}\right) \theta(\mu) & =\lim _{\substack{R \rightarrow \infty \\
\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}} \frac{1}{2 \pi}[\theta(i \varepsilon)-\theta(i R)+\theta(R)-\theta(\varepsilon)] \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\theta(\infty)-\theta_{i}(\infty)\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account (3.4)-(3.6) in (3.3), we can find (3.2).

Corollary 3.3. Under the condition (1.6), the Levinson Formula for $L_{\mu}$ has also following representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\ln S_{1}^{i}(\infty)-\ln S_{1}(\infty)}{4 \pi i}=n-C\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-T\left(F_{1}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S_{1}^{i}(\tau)=S_{1}(i \tau)
$$

Proof. From definition of scattering function, we can write

$$
S_{1}(\mu)=\frac{\overline{F_{1}(\mu)}}{F_{1}(\mu)}=\frac{r e^{-i \theta(\mu)}}{r e^{i \theta(\mu)}}=e^{-2 i \theta(\mu)}
$$

By using properties of logarithm function,

$$
\theta(\mu)=-\frac{\ln S_{1}(\mu)}{2 i}
$$

Also, we can find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\theta(\infty)-\theta_{i}(\infty)}{2 \pi}=\frac{\ln S_{1}^{i}(\infty)-\ln S_{1}(\infty)}{4 \pi i} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (3.2), and then (3.7) can be obtained from (3.8).

## 4. Acknowledgement

This article does not have any conflicts of interest. The authors contributed equally to the design and implementation of the research, the analysis of the results, and the writing of the article. Also, the authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

## References

[1] Z. S. Agranovich, V. A. Marchenko, The Inverse Problem of Scattering Theory, Pratt Institute Brooklyn, New York, 1963.
[2] V. A. Marchenko, Sturm-Liouville Operators and Applications, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 1986.
[3] Y. Aygar, E. Bairamov, Scattering theory of impulsive Sturm-Liouville equation in quantum calculus, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 42(6) (2019), 3247-3259.
[4] E. Bairamov, S. Solmaz, Spectrum and scattering function of the impulsive discrete Dirac systems, Turkish J. Math 42(6) (2018), 3182-3194.
[5] E. Bairamov, S. Solmaz, Scattering theory of Dirac operator with the impulsive condition on whole axis, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 44(9) (2021), 7732-7746.
[6] E. Bairamov, Y. Aygar, B. Eren, Scattering theory of impulsive Sturm-Liouville equations, Filomat 31(17) (2017), 5401-5409.
[7] E. Bairamov, Y. Aygar, G.B. Oznur, Scattering properties of eigenparameter-dependent impulsive Sturm-Liouville equations, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 43(3) (2020), 2769-2781.
[8] L. D. Faddeev, The construction of the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator for a three-particle system, and the scattering problem, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 7 (1963), 600-602.
[9] M. G. Gasymov, B. M. Levitan, Determination of the Dirac system from scattering phase, Sov. Math. Dokl. 167 (1966), 1219-1222.
[10] E. Bairamov, Ö. Karaman, Spectral singularities of the Klein-Gordon s-wave equations with and integral boundary conditions, Acta Math. Hung. 97(1-2) (2002), 121-131.
[11] Kh.R. Mamedov, Uniqueness of the solution to the inverse problem of scattering theory for the Sturm-Liouville operator with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition, Math. Notes 74(1) (2003), 136-140.
[12] Kh.R. Mamedov, H. Menken, The Levinson-type formula for a boundary value problem with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition, The Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 34(1) (2009), 219-226.
[13] G. Tunca Bascanbaz, Spectral properties of the Klein-Gordon s-wave equation with spectral parameter-dependent boundary condition, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2004), 1437-1445.
[14] E. Kir Arpat, T. Koprubasi, Uniqueness of the solution to the inverse problem of scattering theory for spectral parameter dependent Klein-Gordon s-wave equation, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. 72(1) (2023), 59-70.


[^0]:    2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B07, 34L25, 47A10.
    Keywords. Scattering theory; Inverse problem; Scattering data; Levinson formula.
    Received: 18 October 2022; Accepted: 07 March 2023
    Communicated by Snezana Č. Živkković-Zlatanović
    Email addresses: esrakir@gazi.edu.tr (Esra Kir Arpat), tkoprubasi@kastamonu.edu.tr (Turhan Koprubasi)

