Filomat 37:29 (2023), 10125–10131 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2329125S



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

m-quasi Einstein manifolds with subharmonic potential

Absos Ali Shaikh^{a,*}, Prosenjit Mandal^a, Chandan Kumar Mondal^b, Akram Ali^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India ^bDepartment of Mathematics, School of Sciences, Netaji Subhas Open University, Durgapur Regional Center, Durgapur-713214, Paschim Bardhaman, West Bengal, India ^cDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science, King Khalid University, 9004 Abha, Saudi Arabia

Abstract. The main objective of this paper is to investigate the *m*-quasi Einstein manifold when the potential function becomes subharmonic. In this article, it is proved that an *m*-quasi Einstein manifold satisfying some integral conditions with vanishing Ricci curvature along the direction of potential vector field has constant scalar curvature and hence the manifold turns out to be an Einstein manifold. It is also shown that in an *m*-quasi Einstein manifold the potential function agrees with Hodge-de Rham potential up to a constant. Finally, it is proved that if a complete non-compact and non-expanding *m*-quasi Einstein manifold has bounded scalar curvature and the potential vector field has global finite norm, then the scalar curvature vanishes.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension n(> 2) is called an m-quasi Einstein manifold (see e.g. [3, 5, 20]), if its Ricci tensor *Ric* satisfies the following relation:

$$Ric + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g - \frac{1}{m} X^{\flat} \otimes X^{\flat} = \lambda g, \tag{1}$$

where *X* is a smooth vector field on *M*, X^{\flat} is the dual 1-form of *X* with respect to the metric *g* and *m*, λ are scalars such that $0 < m \le \infty$. If we omit the Lie derivative term in (1), then we get the notion of quasi Einstein manifold (see [10, 22, 23] and also references therein) which appeared in the literature while considering the investigation of exact solution of Einstein field equation and also during the consideration of quasi umbilical hypersurfaces, studied by Cartan. In [11] it is shown that 3-dimensional Cartan hypersurfaces are quasi-Einstein manifolds. Throughout the paper we will consider the *m*-quasi Einstein manifolds ([5]), which is a generalization of Ricci soliton in case of *m*-Bakry-Emery tensor. An *m*-quasi Einstein manifold is said to be expanding (resp., steady or shrinking), if $\lambda < 0$ (resp., $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 0$). If *X* is the gradient of a smooth function *f*, then (1) reduces to the following form:

$$Ric + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df = \lambda g.$$

(2)

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C20; 53C21; 53C44.

Keywords. Quasi Einstein manifold; Scalar curvature; Subharmonic function; Convex function; Einstein manifold.

Received: 25 April 2022; Accepted: 21 June 2023

Communicated by Pratulananda Das

^{*} Corresponding author: Absos Ali Shaikh

Email addresses: aask2003@yahoo.co.in (Absos Ali Shaikh), prosenjitmandal235@gmail.com (Prosenjit Mandal), chandanmondal@wbnsou.ac.in (Chandan Kumar Mondal), akramali133@gmail.com (Akram Ali)

The function *f* is called potential function of the *m*-quasi Einstein manifold. The tensor of the left hand side of (2) is called the *m*-Bakry-Emery tensor. Further, if $m = \infty$, then (2) reduces to the equation of gradient Ricci soliton (see [4, 8, 9, 13, 17, 19, 21, 24]) with the potential function *f*. If *m* and λ are smooth functions on *M*, then (2) is called the generalized *m*-quasi Einstein manifold [6]. Moreover, taking the trace of (2), we deduce

$$\Delta f = \lambda n - R + \frac{1}{m} |\nabla f|^2, \tag{3}$$

where *R* denotes the scalar curvature of the manifold.

For a given vector field X on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold M, the Hodge-de Rham decomposition theorem, (see [25]) states that we may decompose X as the sum of a divergence free vector field and gradient of a smooth function h such that

$$X = W + \nabla \xi,$$

where div W = 0 and ξ is a smooth function on M, called the Hodge-de Rham potential. Case et al. [5] proved that a compact *m*-quasi Einstein metric with constant scalar curvature is trivial. They also showed that all 2-dimensional *m*-quasi Einstein metrics on compact manifolds are trivial. In 2012, Barros and Ribeiro [3] proved that in a complete non-compact *m*-quasi Einstein manifold if $\lambda n \ge R$ and $|\nabla f| \in L^1(M)$, then the manifold becomes Einstein. In 2015, Hu et al. [14] classified *m*-quasi Einstein manifolds with parallel Ricci tensor, and also in [15], they classified *m*-quasi Einstein manifolds with all eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor as constant. Kim and Shin [16] also classified 3-dimensional *m*-quasi Einstein manifolds. Barros and Gomes [2] studied compact *m*-quasi Einstein manifolds and also showed that if such a manifold is Einstein, then its potential vector field vanishes. We note that the investigation of various structures of Riemannian manifolds through the convexity revel several geometrical and topological properties of such manifolds. Hence by motivating the above studies as well as the study of [1], in the present paper, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose (M, g) is an m-quasi Einstein manifold which is complete non-compact and $Ric \ge -(n - 1)K$ for some positive constant K. If the Ricci curvature of the manifold vanishes along ∇f and the positive subharmonic potential function f satisfies

$$\int_{M-B(p,r)} \frac{f}{d(x,p)^2} < \infty, \tag{4}$$

where B(p, r) is an open ball with center p and radius r, and d(x, p) is the shortest distance between x and p in M, then the following holds:

(i) The manifold is Einstein,

(ii) The scalar curvature of the manifold is a non-positive constant,

(iii) The Ricci curvature of the manifold is non-positive everywhere in M,

(iv) The potential function f is harmonic.

Corollary 1.2. Let (M, g) be an *m*-quasi Einstein manifold which is complete non-compact and Ric $\ge -(n - 1)K$ for some positive constant *K*. If the Ricci curvature of the manifold vanishes along ∇f and the positive convex potential function *f* satisfies

$$\int_{M-B(p,r)} \frac{f}{d(x,p)^2} < \infty,$$
(5)

where B(p, r) is an open ball with center p and radius r, and d(x, p) is the shortest distance between x and p in M, then *f* is harmonic, thus *f* is constant and consequently the manifold is Einstein.

Theorem 1.3. If (M, g) is a compact oriented *m*-quasi Einstein manifold such that *f* is a potential function on *M*, then *f* agrees, upto a constant, with the Hodge-de Rham potential.

Theorem 1.4. Let (M, g) be a complete non-compact and non-expanding *m*-quasi Einstein manifold with finite volume. If the potential vector field X is of finite global norm, then there exists an open ball where the scalar curvature $R \ge \lambda n$.

Corollary 1.5. Let (M, g) be a complete non-compact and non-expanding m-quasi Einstein manifold with finite volume. If the potential vector field X is of finite global norm and the scalar curvature is bounded above by λn , then the scalar curvature of M vanishes.

2. Proof of the results

To prove Theorem 1.1 we need the following result of Hu et al. [14], which we state first:

Theorem 2.1 ([14]). In an m-quasi Einstein manifold (M, g) with constant scalar curvature, $\lambda \leq 0$.

Proof. [**Proof of Theorem 1.1**] Let us consider the cut-off function, studied in [7], $\varphi_r \in C_0^2(B(p, 2r))$ for r > 0, where $C_0^2(B(p, 2r))$ is a class of second order continuously differentiable functions with compact support and *C* being a constant, $(B(p, 2r)) \subseteq M$, $p \in M$ such that

$(\varphi_r = 1)$	in <i>B</i> (<i>p</i> , <i>r</i>)
$0 \le \varphi_r \le 1$	in $B(p, 2r)$
$ \nabla \varphi_r ^2 \le \frac{C}{r^2}$	in $B(p, 2r)$
$\left(\Delta\varphi_r \leq \frac{C}{r^2}\right)$	in <i>B</i> (<i>p</i> , 2 <i>r</i>).

Then for $r \to \infty$, by the property of cut-off function, we have $\Delta \varphi_r^2 \to 0$ as $\Delta \varphi_r^2 \le \frac{4C}{r^2}$. Since *f* is subharmonic, i.e., $\Delta f \ge 0$, in view of integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{M} \Delta f \varphi_r^2 = \int_{M} f \Delta \varphi_r^2. \tag{6}$$

Since $\varphi_r \equiv 1$ in B(p, r), using (6), we get

$$\int_{B(p,r)} \Delta f = 0.$$

Again, in view of integration by parts and also by our assumption, we obtain

$$0 \leq \int_{B(p,2r)} \varphi_r^2 \Delta f = \int_{B(p,2r) - B(p,r)} f \Delta \varphi_r^2 \leq \int_{B(p,2r) - B(p,r)} f \frac{C}{r^2}$$

But the right hand side tends to zero as $r \to \infty$. Hence we get

$$\int_M \Delta f = 0.$$

Thus, the subharmonocity of *f* implies that $\Delta f = 0$ in *M*. Therefore, (3) entails that

$$R - \lambda n = \frac{1}{m} |\nabla f|^2, \tag{7}$$

which implies that $R \ge \lambda n$. Taking $u = \log f$ and then simplifying we obtain

$$-\Delta u = |\nabla u|^2 = \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{f^2}.$$

Let l(x) be the distance of $x \in M$ from the fixed point p. For any r > 0, consider the function $\eta : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \eta(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \le r \\ \eta(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \ge 2r \\ \eta' \le 0 \\ (\eta')^2 \le \frac{(\eta')^2}{\eta} \le \frac{C}{r^2} \\ |\eta''| \le \frac{C}{r^2}, \end{cases}$$

for some constant $C < \infty$. Now define the function η on M by $\eta(x) = \eta(l(x))$ for $x \in M$. Then the function u satisfies the following inequality:

$$|\nabla \nabla u|^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} (\Delta u)^2 = \frac{1}{n} |\nabla u|^4.$$

By virtue of above inequality and the Bochner formula, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\eta|\nabla u|^2) &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \nabla\eta\nabla|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\eta\Delta|\nabla u|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \nabla\eta\nabla|\nabla u|^2 + \eta|\nabla\nabla u|^2 + \eta\nabla u\nabla(\Delta u) + \eta Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \nabla\eta\nabla|\nabla u|^2 + \eta|\nabla\nabla u|^2 - \eta\nabla u\nabla|\nabla u|^2 + \eta Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2\eta}\Delta\eta - \frac{1}{\eta^2}|\nabla\eta|^2 + \frac{1}{\eta}\nabla\eta\nabla u\right)\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\nabla\eta - \nabla u\right)\nabla(\eta|\nabla u|^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\eta}\eta|\nabla u|^4 + \eta Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u). \end{split}$$

Again, calculation shows that η satisfies the following inequality:

$$|\nabla \eta \nabla u| \le \frac{\eta |\nabla u|^2}{2n} + \frac{n}{2\eta} |\nabla \eta|^2.$$
(8)

Since $|\nabla u|^2$ is non-zero, there is a point at which $\eta |\nabla u|^2$ is maximum where η is smooth, and hence $\Delta(\eta |\nabla u|^2) \le 0$ and $\nabla(\eta |\nabla u|^2) = 0$ such that

$$0 \geq \frac{1}{2}\Delta\eta - \frac{1}{\eta}|\nabla\eta|^2 + \nabla\eta\nabla u + \frac{1}{n}\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\eta}{|\nabla u|^2}Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

By using (8), we have

$$-\Delta\eta + \frac{n}{\eta}|\nabla\eta|^2 + \frac{2}{\eta}|\nabla\eta|^2 = -\Delta\eta + \frac{n}{\eta}|\nabla\eta|^2 + \frac{2}{\eta}|\nabla\eta|^2 + 2\nabla\eta\nabla u - 2\nabla\eta\nabla u$$
(9)

$$\geq \frac{n}{\eta} |\nabla \eta|^2 + 2\nabla \eta \nabla u + \frac{2}{n} \eta |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2\eta}{|\nabla u|^2} Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u)$$
(10)

$$\geq \frac{1}{n}\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2\eta}{|\nabla u|^2}Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$
(11)

Therefore, the property of distance function (see [18], p. 41) and the chain rule together imply that

$$-\eta'\Delta l - \eta'' + \frac{n+2}{\eta}(\eta')^2 \ge \frac{1}{n}\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2\eta}{|\nabla u|^2}Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

Since $Ric \ge -(n-1)K$, the Laplace comparison theorem implies that

$$\Delta l \leq \frac{n-1}{l} (1 + \sqrt{K}l) \leq \frac{n-1}{r} + (n-1)\sqrt{K},$$

where $\eta' \neq 0$. Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n}\eta|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2\eta}{|\nabla u|^2}Ric(\nabla u,\nabla u) \leq \frac{C'(n)}{r^2} + \frac{C''(n)}{r}\sqrt{K},$$

where C'(n) and C''(n) are positive constants depend only on *n*. Consequently, we get

$$\frac{1}{n}\eta|\nabla u|^2 \leq \frac{C'(n)}{r^2} + \frac{C''(n)}{r}\sqrt{K} - \frac{2\eta}{|\nabla u|^2}Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

Taking limit as $r \to \infty$ in both sides, yields for all $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u|_x^4 &\leq -2nRic_x(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ &= \frac{-2n}{f^2}Ric_x(\nabla f, \nabla f). \end{aligned}$$
(12)

But Ricci curvature vanishes along ∇f , i.e., $Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) = 0$, hence, we have $|\nabla u| = 0$, which shows that f is constant. Therefore, (2) implies that the manifold becomes Einstein and R is equal to λn which is a constant. Again, Theorem 2.1 implies that in an *m*-quasi Einstein manifold $\lambda \leq 0$. Hence, $R = \lambda n \leq 0$ and it also implies that Ricci curvature is non-positive. \Box

To prove Corollary 1.2, we need the following result of Greene and Wu [12], which we state first:

Theorem 2.2 ([12]). *If* $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a convex function on a Riemannian manifold* M*, then* f *is subharmonic.*

Proof. [**Proof of Corollary 1.2**] Since the potential function f is convex, Theorem 2.2 implies that f is subharmonic. Again, under the same conditions, in Theorem 1.1 we have showed that a subharmonic function is harmonic, thus f is constant and consequently the manifold is Einstein. This completes the proof of the Corollary. \Box

Proof. [**Proof of Theorem 1.3**] If X is a vector field on *M*, then by virtue of Hodge-de Rham decomposition theorem, (see [25]), X can be written as

$$X = W + \nabla \xi, \tag{13}$$

where div W = 0 and ξ is a smooth function called the Hodge-de Rham potential. We consider an *m*-quasi Einstein manifold (*M*, *g*) such that equation (1) yields

$$R + divX - \frac{1}{m}|X|^2 = \lambda n.$$
(14)

Hence (13) entails that $divX = \Delta \xi$ and consequently (14) takes the form

$$R + \Delta \xi - \frac{1}{m} |X|^2 = \lambda n.$$
⁽¹⁵⁾

Again from (2) we have

$$R + \Delta f - \frac{1}{m} |\nabla f|^2 = \lambda n.$$

From (15), it follows that

$$\Delta(f-\xi) = 0,\tag{16}$$

which implies that $f = \xi + C_1$, for some constant C_1 , this proves the result. \Box

10129

Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold and $\Lambda^k(M)$ be the set of all *k*-th differential forms in *M*. Now for any integer $k \ge 0$, the global inner product in $\Lambda^k(M)$ is defined by

$$\langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \int_M \eta \wedge \ast \omega,$$

for $\eta, \omega \in \Lambda^k(M)$. Here '*' is the Hodge star operator. Hence, we define the global norm of $\eta \in \Lambda^k(M)$ by $\|\eta\|^2 = \langle \eta, \eta \rangle$ and remark that $\|\eta\|^2 \le \infty$.

Proof. [**Proof of Theorem 1.4**] For any r > 0 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \int_{B(p,2r)} |X| dV &\leq \left(\int_{B(p,2r)} \langle X, X \rangle dV \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B(p,2r)} \left(\frac{1}{r} \right)^2 dV \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \||X\|_{B(p,2r)} \frac{1}{r} \left(Vol(M) \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where Vol(M) denotes the volume of M. Thus we obtain

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r}\int_{B(p,2r)}|X|dV=0.$$

Again, there exists a Lipschitz continuous function ω_r such that for some constant K > 0, (see [27]),

 $\begin{aligned} |d\omega_r| &\leq \frac{K}{r} & \text{almost everywhere on } M \\ 0 &\leq \omega_r(x) \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in M \\ \omega_r(x) &= 1 \quad \forall x \in B(p, r) \\ \text{supp } \omega_r &\subset B(p, 2r). \end{aligned}$

Then taking limit, we get $\lim \omega_r = 1$. Therefore, by using the function ω_r , we have (see [28], p. 467)

$$\left|\int_{B(p,2r)} \omega_r div X dV\right| \leq \frac{C}{r} \int_{B(p,2r)} |X| dV.$$

In view of the *m*-quasi Einstein manifold equation (1), we get

$$\int_M \{\lambda n - R + \frac{1}{m} |X|^2\} dV = 0,$$

which yields

$$\int_{M} (\lambda n - R) dV \le 0.$$
⁽¹⁷⁾

Since *R* is continuous, there is an open ball where $R \ge \lambda n$. \Box

Proof. [**Proof of Corollary 1.5**] Our assumption and (17) together imply that $R = \lambda n$ in M. Again, using Theorem 2.1, we get $\lambda \le 0$. But the manifold is non-expanding *m*-quasi Einstein. Therefore, $R = \lambda n = 0$ in M. \Box

Acknowledgment

The authors convey their sincere thanks and gratitude to the referee for the valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper. Also, the authors extend their appreciation to the deanship of scientific research at King Khalid University for funding this work through research groups program under grant number R.G.P. 2/91/44.

Data Availability Statement

Our manuscript has no associated data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- C. Aquino, A. Barros and E. Jr. Ribeiro, Some applications of the Hodge-de Rham decomposition to Ricci solitons, Results. Math., 60 (2011), 245–254.
- [2] A. A. Barros and J. N. Gomes, Triviality of compact m-quasi-Einstein manifolds, Results Math., 71(1-2) (2016), 241-250.
- [3] A. Barros and E. Ribeiro, Integral formulae on quasi-Einstein manifolds and applications, Glasgow Math. J., 54(1) (2012), 213–223.
- [4] A. M. Blaga, On warped product gradient η-Ricci solitons, Filomat, **31** (2017), 5791–5801.
- [5] J. Case, Y. J. Shu and G. Wei, Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics, Diff. Geom. Appl., 29(1) (2011), 93–100.
- [6] G. Catino, Generalized quasi Einstein manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor, Math. Z., 271 (2012), 751--756.
- [7] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products, Ann. Math., 144(1) (1996), 189–237.
- [8] B. Chow and D. Knopf, The Ricci flow: an introduction, Amer. Math. Soc., 2004.
- [9] S. Deshmukh and H. Al-Sodais, A note on almost Ricci solitons, Anal. Math. Phys., 10(4) (2020), 75–86.
- [10] R. Deszcz, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, Quasi Einstein totally real submanifolds of the nearly Kahler 6-sphere, Tohoku Math. J., 51(4) (1999), 461–478.
- [11] R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen and S. Yaprak, Pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional spaces of constant curvature, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 22(2) (1994), 167–179.
- [12] R. E. Greene and H. Wu, On the subharmonicity and plurisubharmonicity of a geodesic convex function, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 22(1971), 641–653.
- [13] R. S. Hamilton, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J. Diff. Geom., 17 (1982), 255–306.
- [14] Z. Hu, D. Li and J. Xu, On generalized m-quasi-Einstein manifolds with constant scalar curvature, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 432(2) (2015), 733–743.
- [15] Z. Hu, D. Li and S. Zhai, On generalized m-quasi-Einstein manifolds with constant Ricci curvatures, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 446(1) (2017), 843–851.
- [16] J. Kim and J. Shin, Three dimensional m-quasi Einstein manifolds with degenerate Ricci tensor, Math. Nach., 292(8) (2019), 1727–1750.
- [17] C. K. Mondal and A. A. Shaikh, On Ricci solitons whose potential is convex, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 130(55) (2020), 1–7.
- [18] P. Petersen, Riemannian Geometry, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [19] A. A. Shaikh and C. K. Mondal, Isometry theorem of gradient Shrinking Ricci solitons, J. Geom. Phys. 163 (2021), 104110.
- [20] A. A. Shaikh, S. K. Hui and A. Patra, On m-quasi-Einstein spacetimes, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., 18(5) (2021), 2150066.
- [21] A. A. Shaikh, C. K. Mondal and P. Mandal, Compact gradient ρ-Einstein soliton is isometric to the Euclidean sphere, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 52 (2021), 335–339.
- [22] A. A. Shaikh, Y. H. Kim and S. K. Hui, On Lorentzian quasi-Einstein manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc., 48(4) (2011), 669–689.
- [23] A. A. Shaikh, D. W. Yoon and S. K. Hui, On quasi-Einstein spacetimes, Tsukuba J. Math., 33(2) (2009), 305–326.
- [24] R. Sharma and S. Deshmukh, Ricci almost solitons with associated projective vector field , Adv. Geom., 22(1) (2022), 1-8.
- [25] F. Warner, Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups, Springer- Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [26] S. T. Yau, Non-existence of continuous convex functions on certain Riemannian manifolds, Math. Ann., 207 (1974), 269–270.
- [27] S. T. Yau, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifold and their applications to geometry, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 25(7) (1976), 659–670.
- [28] S. Yorozu, Conformal and Killing vector fields on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds, Geom. of Geodesics and Related Topics, 3 (1984), 459–472.