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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce regularization methods for finding a point, being not only a solution for a monotone variational inequality problem but also a common zero for an infinite family of inverse strongly monotone non-self operators of a closed convex subset in a real Hilbert space. In these methods, only a finite number of the operators is used at each iteration step. Applications to the problem of common fixed point for an infinite family of strictly pseudo-contractive non-self operators and the split feasibility and fixed point problems are considered. As a particular case, a regularization extragradient iterative method without prior knowledge of operator norms for solving the split feasibility problem (SFP) is obtained. Numerical examples are given for illustration.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with an inner product and a norm denoted by the symbols $\langle.,$.$\rangle and$ $\|$.$\| , respectively, and let K$ be a closed convex subset in $H$. We denote the metric projection of $H$ onto $K$ by $P_{K}$. An operator $A$ of $K$ into $H$ is called monotone if $\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in K$. If $\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq \alpha\|x-y\|^{2}$ for some positive real number $\alpha$, then it is called an $\alpha$-strongly monotone non-self operator. If $\langle A x-A y, x-y\rangle \geq \lambda\|A x-A y\|^{2}$, then it is said to be a $\lambda$-inverse strongly monotone non-self operator.

The variational inequality problem is to find $p \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A p, p-x\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in K . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of solutions of the variational inequality problem is denoted by $V I(K, A)$.
Let $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite family of $\lambda_{i}$-inverse strongly monotone non-self operators of $K$ such that $\inf _{i \geq 1} \lambda_{i}>0$.

The problem considered in this paper is to find a point

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{*} \in \Gamma:=V I\left(K, A_{0}\right) \cap S \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]assumed to be non-empty, where $A_{0}$ is a monotone, non-self and $L_{0}$-Lipschitz continuous operator of $K$, i.e., $\left\|A_{0} x-A_{0} y\right\| \leq L_{0}\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in K$ and $L_{0}$ is a positive constant, $S=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{i}$ and $S_{i}=\left\{x \in K: A_{i}(x)=0\right\}$. Problem (2) and its particular cases were investigated in [1],[2],[7],[8],[15]-[17],[19],[21]-[33],[35]-[40] and references therein.

For solving (1) with $V I(K, A) \neq \emptyset$, when $A$ is a strongly monotone and L-Lipschitz continuous operator, Polyak [28] introduced a projection-gradient iterative method,

$$
x^{k+1}=P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta A x^{k}\right), x^{1} \in K
$$

and $k \geq 1$. He proved global convergence of the method under condition $\beta \in(0,1 / L)$. When the operator $A$ of $K$ is $L$-Lipschitz-continuous monotone in the finite-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{n}$, Korpelevich [23] suggested the following so-called extragradient method,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{1} & =x \in K \\
\bar{x}^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta A x^{k}\right), \\
x^{k+1} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta A \bar{x}^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

She showed that the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\bar{x}^{k}\right\}$ generated by this process converge to the same point $p \in V I(K, A)$. Next, Antipin and Vasiliev [1] proposed a regularization variant of the extragradient method by

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in K \\
y^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(A x^{k}+\alpha_{k} x^{k}\right)\right)  \tag{3}\\
x^{k+1} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(A y^{k}+\alpha_{k} y^{k}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}>0, \beta_{k}>0, k \geq 1$. They proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $\mathbb{E}^{n}$, let $A$ be an L-Lipschitz continuous monotone operator of $K$ such that $V I(K, A) \neq \emptyset$ and let $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}$ be chosen such that

$$
\alpha_{k}>0, \beta_{k}>0, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}=0, \sup _{k \geq 1} \beta_{k}<1 / L, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}=+\infty,
$$

and
(b) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{k} /\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)=0$, where $\tilde{\alpha}_{k}=\left(\alpha_{k-1} / \alpha_{k}\right)-1$.

Then, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$, generated by (3), converges to $p$ solving (1), as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
Obviously, any $\lambda$-inverse strongly monotone operator $A$ is $L$-Lipschitz continuous with $L=1 / \lambda$. Put $T:=I-A$. It is easy to see that $A$ is $\lambda$-inverse strongly monotone if and only if $T$ is $\lambda$-strictly pseudocontractive, i.e.,

$$
\langle T x-T y, x-y\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-\lambda\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}
$$

that is equivalent to

$$
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\lambda^{\prime}\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}$ is some positive constant. Thus, the class of strictly pseudo-contractive operators contains the class of nonexpansive ones, that is, $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in K$, as a subclass. It is well known [30] that if $T$ is a nonexpansive operator of $K$, then $A=I-T$ is (1/2)-inverse strongly monotone. Therefore, the problem of finding a point of $V I\left(K, A_{0}\right) \cap S_{A}$, where $S_{A}$ denotes the set of zeros for $A$, is equivalent to that of $V I\left(K, A_{0}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ where $\operatorname{Fix}(T):=\{x \in K: T x=x\}$.

Motivated by the idea of the extragradient method, Nadezhkina and Takahashi [25] introduced an iterative process for finding a point $p \in V I(K, A) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ when $A$ is an L-Lipschitz continuous monotone operator and $T$ is a nonexpansive operator of $K$ in $H$. They proved the following result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$, let $A$ be an L-Lipschitz continuous monotone non-self operator of $K$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive operator of $K$ into itself such that $V I(K, A) \cap$ Fix $(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ be sequences generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in K \\
y^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k} A x^{k}\right)  \tag{4}\\
x^{k+1} & =\alpha_{k} x^{k}+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) T P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k} A y^{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for every $k=1,2, \ldots$, where $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in(0,1 / L)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\} \subset(c, d)$ for some $c, d \in(0,1)$. Then, the sequences $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{k}\right\}$ converge weakly to the same point $z \in \operatorname{VI}(K, A) \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T)$, where $z=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{V I(K, A) \cap F i x(T)} x^{k}$.

Further, Zeng and Yao [38] obtained strong convergence for method (4) by replacing the term $\alpha_{k} x^{k}$ in (4) by $\alpha_{k} x^{1}$ with some new condition on $\alpha_{k}$ provided $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\|=0$. The last condition was overcomed in [27] and [36] by replacing the expression of $x^{k+1}$ in (4), respectively, by

$$
x^{k+1}=\alpha_{k} u+\theta_{k} x^{k}+\delta_{k} T P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k} A y^{k}\right)
$$

and

$$
x^{k+1}=\alpha_{k} f\left(x^{k}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{k}\right) T P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k} A y^{k}\right)
$$

where the parameters $\alpha_{k}, \theta_{k}, \delta_{k}, \beta_{k}$ have some properties, $u$ is some point in $H$ and $f$ is a contraction, i.e., an $\alpha$-Lipschitz continuous self operator of $K$ with some fixed $\alpha \in[0,1)$. See, also [26] and [39]. In the case that $A$ is $\lambda$-inverse strongly monotone, hence ( $1 / \lambda$ )-Lipschitz continuous monotone, several improved modifications of (4) were proposed in [15], [16] and [32]. If $A_{N+i}=A_{N}$ for all $i \geq 1$, we have a finite family of strictly pseudo-contractive operators $T_{i}:=I-A_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq N$. To solve (2) in this case, the author [2] proposed the regularization methods of Browder-Tikhonov and extragradient types. Next, Ceng et al. [7] introduced two weakly convergent methods of extragradient type.

In this paper, motivated by the results in [2], for solving (2), we first construct a Browder-Tikhonov regularization solution $u^{k}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{k} \in K:\left\langle A^{k} u^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(u^{k}-x^{+}\right), u^{k}-x\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in K \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A^{k}=A_{0}+\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right) A_{i}
$$

$\alpha_{k}$ is a regularization parameter, $x^{+}$is a guess point in $H, \mu \in(0,1)$ is a fixed number and $\gamma^{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i}$ with $\gamma_{i}>0$ satisfying some condition formulated below. Next, we consider the regularization extragradient method,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in K \\
y^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(A^{k} x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{6}\\
x^{k+1} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(A^{k} y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and will prove strong convergence of $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$, defined by (6), under the following assumptions:
(a) $\alpha_{k} \in(0,1), \alpha_{k-1}>\alpha_{k}, \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}=0, \sup _{k \geq 1} \beta_{k}<1 / L_{0}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}=\infty$;
(b) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{k} /\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2-\mu}\right)=0$;
(c) $\gamma_{i}>0$ for all $i \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}=1$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{k} /\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2-\mu}\right)=0$.

The following lemmas will be used in the proof of our results.

Lemma 1.3. [34] Let $\left\{a_{k}\right\},\left\{b_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ be the sequences of positive real numbers satisfying the conditions:
(i) $a_{k+1} \leq\left(1-b_{k}\right) a_{k}+c_{k}, b_{k}<1$;
(ii) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}=+\infty, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left(c_{k} / b_{k}\right)=0$.

Then, $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} a_{n}=0$.
Lemma 1.4. [40] Assume that $C$ is a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T$ is a non-self operator of $C$. If $T$ is a $\lambda$-strict pseudo-contractive, then $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero. That is, if $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ is a sequence in $C$ such that $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to a point $\tilde{x}$ and $\left\{(I-T) u^{k}\right\}$ converges strongly to 0 , then $(I-T) \tilde{x}=0$.
Lemma 1.5. [2] Let $K$ be a closed convex subset in a Hilbert space $H$, let $A$ be $\gamma$-inverse strongly monotone of $K$ and let $K_{1}$ be a closed convex subset of $K$ such that $K_{1} \cap S_{A} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\operatorname{VI}\left(K_{1}, A\right)=S_{A} \cap K_{1}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the theoretical results and show their particular cases. Applications to the problem of common fixed point for an infinite family of strictly pseudo-contractive non-self operators and the split feasibility and fixed point problems with illustrated numerical examples are given in Section 3.

## 2. Main results

We have the following results.
Theorem 2.1. Let $A_{i}$, for each $i \geq 0$, be a non-self operator of a closed convex subset $K$ in a real Hilbert space $H$ such that $A_{0}$ be L L -Lipschitz continuous monotone and the rest $A_{i}$ be $\lambda_{i}$-inverse strongly-monotone with $\lambda=\inf _{i \geq 1} \lambda_{i}>0$. Assume that $\gamma_{i}>0$ for all $i \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}=1$. Then, we have:
(i) For each $\alpha_{k}>0$, problem (5) has a unique solution $u^{k}$;
(ii) If $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u^{k}=p_{*} \in \Gamma$, having the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{*}-x^{+}\right\| \leq\left\|p-x^{+}\right\| \quad \forall p \in \Gamma ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\| \leq d_{k}=\left[\left(\tilde{\alpha}_{k}+\frac{2 \gamma_{k}}{\gamma^{k}}\right) \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}^{1-\mu}}+\tilde{\alpha}_{k}\right]\left(M_{1}+\left\|x^{+}\right\|\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ is some positive constant.
Proof. (i) Since $A^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(I-x^{+}\right)$is an $\alpha_{k}$-strongly monotone operator from $K$ into $H$, then, by [29], (5) possesses a unique solution $u^{k}$ for each $k \geq 1$.
(ii) First, we prove that $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Take a point $p \in \Gamma$. Then, we have immediately that $p \in \operatorname{VI}\left(K, A_{0}\right) \cap S^{k}$, where $S^{k}=\cap_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A^{k} p, p-x\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in K . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, taking $x=p$ in (5) with $x=u^{k}$ in (9), adding the results and using the monotonicity of $A^{k}$, we get the inequality $\left\langle u^{k}-x^{+}, u^{k}-p\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall p \in \Gamma$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{k}-x^{+}\right\| \leq\left\|p-x^{+}\right\| \quad \forall p \in \Gamma \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $\left\|A_{i} x-A_{i} y\right\| \leq\left(1 / \lambda_{i}\right)\|x-y\| \leq(1 / \lambda)\|x-y\|$ for any $x, y \in K$ and for all $i \geq 1$, the double sequence $\left\{A_{i} u^{k}\right\}$ is bounded. It means that there exists a positive constant $M_{1}$ such that $\left\|u^{k}\right\|,\left\|A_{i} u^{k}\right\| \leq M_{1}$ for all $k, i \geq 1$.

Now, we prove that $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ converges strongly to $p_{*}$, satisfying (7). For this purpose, we first prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A_{i} u^{k}\right\|=0 \forall i \geq 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that from (5) and the monotonicities of $A_{0}$ and $A_{i}$ it follows that, for any $p \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\lambda_{i} \gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right)\left\|A_{i} u^{k}\right\| & \leq \alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right)\left\langle A_{i} u^{k}-A_{i} p, u^{k}-p\right\rangle \\
& =\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right)\left\langle A_{i} u^{k}, u^{k}-p\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\langle A_{0} u^{k}, p-u^{k}\right\rangle+\alpha_{k}\left\langle u^{k}-x^{+}, p-u^{k}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\langle A_{0} u^{k}-A_{0} p, p-u^{k}\right\rangle+\alpha_{k}\left\langle p-x^{+}, p-u^{k}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \alpha_{k}\left\langle p-x^{+}, p-u^{k}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

because $-\left\langle A_{0} p, p-u^{k}\right\rangle \geq 0$, that is followed from $p \in V I\left(K, A_{0}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\left\|A_{i} u^{k}\right\| \leq\left(\gamma^{k} /\left(\lambda_{i} \gamma_{i}\right)\right) \alpha_{k}^{1-\mu}\left\|p-x^{+}\right\|\left(\|p\|+M_{1}\right)
$$

Since $1>\mu, \alpha_{k} \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma^{k} \rightarrow 1$, tending $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality we get (11). Further, put $T_{i}=I-A_{i}$. It is clear that $p \in S_{i}$ if and only if $p \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)$. Since $A_{i}$ is $\lambda_{i}$-inverse strongly monotone, the operator $T_{i}$ is $\lambda_{i}$-strictly pseudocontrative. Noting (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(I-T_{i}\right) u^{k}\right\|=0 \forall i \geq 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, from (5) and the monotonicity of $A_{0}$ we can write that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle A_{0} x, u^{k}-x\right\rangle & \leq\left\langle A_{0} u^{k}, u^{k}-x\right\rangle \\
& \leq \alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right)\left\langle A_{i} u^{k}, x-u^{k}\right\rangle+\alpha_{k}\left\langle u^{k}-x^{+}, x-u^{k}\right\rangle  \tag{13}\\
& \leq\left[\alpha_{k}^{\mu} M_{1}+\alpha_{k}\left(M_{1}+\left\|x^{+}\right\|\right)\right]\left(\|x\|+M_{1}\right) \forall x \in K .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\left\{u^{n}\right\}$ of the sequence $\left\{u^{k}\right\}, u^{n}=u^{k_{n}}$, such that $\left\{u^{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to some point $\bar{p} \in H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As the sequence $\left\{u^{n}\right\} \subset K, \bar{p} \in K$. Next, replacing $k$ in (13) by $n$ and tending $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the inequality $\left\langle A_{0} x, \bar{p}-x\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in K$ that is equivalent to $\left\langle A_{0} \bar{p}, \bar{p}-x\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in K$, i.e., $\bar{p} \in \operatorname{VI}\left(K, A_{0}\right)$. Moreover, by virtue of Lemma 1.4 and (12) with $k$ replaced by $n$, we obtain that $\bar{p} \in \operatorname{Fix}\left(T_{i}\right)=S_{i}$ for each $i \geq 1$. It means that $\bar{p} \in S$. So, $\bar{p} \in \Gamma$. Using the weak convergence of $\left\{u^{n}\right\}$ and inequality (10) with $k$ replaced by $n$, we get (7) where $p_{*}$ is changed by $\bar{p}$. It is easy to see that any weak cluster point of $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ has the property as $\bar{p}$ does. Moreover, we know that the point $p_{*}$ in (7) is uniquely defined. Thus, all sequence $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ converges weakly to $p_{*}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Next, from the weak convergence of $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ to $p_{*}$ and (10), we have that $\left\|u^{k}-x^{+}\right\| \rightarrow\left\|p_{*}-x^{+}\right\|$. Using the property of $H$, we get the strong convergence of $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ to $p_{*}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) Now, we estimate the value $\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|$. Clearly, from (5), it follows that

$$
\left\langle A^{k} u^{k}-A^{k-1} u^{k-1}+\alpha_{k}\left(u^{k}-x^{+}\right)-\alpha_{k-1}\left(u^{k-1}-x^{+}\right), u^{k-1}-u^{k}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

which together the monotonicity of $A^{k}$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{k}\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\langle A^{k-1} u^{k-1}-A^{k} u^{k-1}, u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left(\alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_{k}\right)\left\langle u^{k-1}-x^{+}, u^{k}-x^{k-1}\right\rangle \\
\leq & {\left[\left\|A^{k} u^{k-1}-A^{k-1} u^{k-1}\right\|\right.}  \tag{14}\\
& \left.\quad+\left(\alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_{k}\right)\left\|u^{k-1}-x^{+}\right\|\right]\left\|u^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|A^{k} u^{k-1}-A^{k-1} u^{k-1}\right\| & =\left\|\left(A_{0}+\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} A_{i}\right) u^{k-1}-\left(A_{0}+\frac{\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{i} A_{i}\right) u^{k-1}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{i} A_{i} u^{k-1}-\frac{\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_{i} A_{i} u^{k-1}+\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma_{k} A_{k} u^{k-1}\right\| \\
& \leq\left|\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}}-\frac{\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k-1}} \gamma^{k-1}\right| M_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma_{k} M_{1}  \tag{15}\\
& =\left|\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma^{k-1}-\frac{\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma^{k}\right| M_{1}+\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma_{k} M_{1} \\
& =\left|\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \gamma^{k}-\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu} \gamma^{k}-\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \gamma_{k}}{\gamma^{k}}\right|+\frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma_{k} M_{1} \\
& \leq\left|\alpha_{k}^{\mu}-\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}\right|+2 \frac{\alpha_{k}^{\mu}}{\gamma^{k}} \gamma_{k} M_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, from assumption (a) it follows that

$$
\left|\alpha_{k}^{\mu}-\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}\right|=\alpha_{k-1}^{\mu}-\alpha_{k}^{\mu}=\left(\left(\frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{\alpha_{k}}\right)^{\mu}-1\right) \alpha_{k}^{\mu} \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{k} \alpha_{k}^{\mu}
$$

which together with (14) and (15) implies (8). This completes the proof.
Remark 1. Obviously, if $\left\{u^{k}\right\}$ converges strongly to some point $\tilde{u}$, where $u^{k}$ is the solution of (5), and $\alpha_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$, then $V I\left(K, A_{0}\right) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $A_{i}$ be as in Theorem 2.1 for each $i \geq 0$. Assume that there hold assumptions (a)-(c). Then, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$, defined by (6), converges strongly to the point $p_{*}$ in (7) as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. Since $\left\langle P_{K}(v)-v, x-P_{K}(v)\right\rangle \geq 0$ for any $x \in K$ and $v \in H$, from (6) we have the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle y^{k}-x^{k}+\beta_{k}\left[A^{k} x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right], x-y^{k}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K, \\
\left\langle x^{k+1}-x^{k}+\beta_{k}\left[A^{k} y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right], x-x^{k+1}\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in K .
\end{gathered}
$$

By replacing $x=x^{k+1}$ and $x=u^{k}$, in the first and second inequalities above, respectively, and adding the results, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle y^{k}-x^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle x^{k+1}-x^{k}, u^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\rangle+ \\
& \beta_{k}\left\langle A^{k} x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right), x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle+  \tag{16}\\
& \beta_{k}\left\langle A^{k} y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right), u^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\rangle \geq 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left[\left\langle y^{k}-x^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle x^{k+1}-x^{k}, u^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\rangle\right]= \\
& \quad\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and (16) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \beta_{k}\left\langle A^{k} x^{k}-A^{k} y^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \beta_{k}\left\langle A^{k} y^{k}-A^{k} u^{k}, u^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \beta_{k}\left\langle A^{k} u^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(u^{k}-x^{+}\right), u^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle  \tag{17}\\
& +2 \alpha_{k} \beta_{k}\left[-\left\langle u^{k}-x^{+}, u^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle x^{k}-x^{+}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\left\langle y^{k}-x^{+}, u^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\rangle\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

By using (17), the properties of $A_{i}$ with $i \geq 0$ and the inequality $2|a b| \leq \varepsilon a^{2}+b^{2} / \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon=1 / 2$ we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left\langle A^{k} x^{k}-A^{k} y^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle \leq 2\left[L_{0}+\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k} \lambda_{i}\right)\right]\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(L_{0}+\left(\alpha_{k}^{\mu} / \lambda\right)\left(\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}\right),\right. \\
&\left\langle A^{k} y^{k}-A^{k} u^{k}, u^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0, \\
&\left\langle A^{k} u^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(u^{k}-x^{+}\right), u^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle \leq 0, \\
& 2 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left[-\left\langle u^{k}-x^{+}, u^{k}-y^{k}\right\rangle\right.\left.+\left\langle x^{k}-x^{+}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle y^{k}-x^{+}, u^{k}-x^{k+1}\right\rangle\right]= \\
& 2 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left[-\left\|y^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\langle x^{k}-y^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle\right]= \\
& \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left[-\left\|\left(y^{k}-x^{k}\right)+\left(x^{k}-u^{k}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\langle x^{k}-y^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle\right]= \\
&-2\left\langle y^{k}-x^{k}, x^{k}-u^{k}\right\rangle\left.+\left\langle x^{k}-y^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle\right] \\
& \leq \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left[-\left\|y^{k}-x^{k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}+2\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq-\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}+3 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left[-1+\beta_{k}\left(L_{0}+\left(\alpha_{k}^{\mu} / \lambda\right)\right)+3 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right]\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left[-1+\beta_{k}\left(L_{0}+\left(\alpha_{k}^{\mu} / \lambda\right)\right)+\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right]\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha_{k} \rightarrow 0, \mu>0$ and $\beta_{k} L_{0}<1$, there exists an integer $k_{0}$ such that for $k \geq k_{0}$ two last terms in the above inequality are negative. Hence,

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Set $a_{k}=\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|$. Then, $a_{k} \leq\left\|x^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|u^{k-1}-u^{k}\right\|$, and hence, we have

$$
\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\| \leq\left(1-\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|x^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|u^{k-1}-u^{k}\right\| \quad \forall k \geq k_{0}
$$

Thus, applying the inequality $(a+b)^{2} \leq(1+\varepsilon)\left(a^{2}+b^{2} / \varepsilon\right)(\varepsilon>0), \varepsilon=\beta_{k} \alpha_{k} / 2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1+\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k} / 2\right)\right)\left(1-\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2}+d_{k}^{2} \frac{2}{\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}}\left(1+\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k} / 2\right)\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{1}{2} \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)^{2}\right)\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{d_{k}}{\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}}\right)^{2} 2 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left(1+\beta_{k} \alpha_{k} / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left(1+\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right) \\
& c_{k}=\left(\frac{d_{k}}{\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}}\right)^{2} 2 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\left(1+\beta_{k} \alpha_{k} / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, by virtue of (8) and assumptions (a), (b) with (c),

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{k} /\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)=0
$$

Hence by lemma (1.3), $\lim _{\rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|^{2}=0$. This fact together with

$$
\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\| \leq\left\|x^{k}-u^{k-1}\right\|+\left\|u^{k-1}-u^{k}\right\|
$$

and $d_{k},\left\|u^{k-1}-u^{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|=0$. The proof is completed.
Remark 2. In the case that operator $A_{0}$ is also $\lambda_{0}$-inverse strongly monotone, instead of $A^{k}$ in (5) and (6), we can use the operator $\bar{A}^{k}$, defined by

$$
\bar{A}^{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right) A_{i}
$$

where $\gamma^{k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{k}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ has the property
(c)' $\gamma_{i}>0$ for all $i \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{i}=1$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{k} /\left(\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}^{2}\right)=0$.

Then, repeating the proof processes for Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 and noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left\langle\bar{A}^{k} x^{k}-\bar{A}^{k} y^{k}, x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\rangle & \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k} \lambda_{i}\right)\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\| \\
& \leq(1 / \lambda)\left(\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right)\left\|x^{k}-u^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left[-1+\left(\beta_{k} / \lambda\right)+3 \beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right]\left\|x^{k}-y^{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left[-1+\left(\beta_{k} / \lambda\right)+\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}\right]\left\|x^{k+1}-y^{k}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can obtain the following results.
Theorem 2.3. Let $A_{i}$ for each $i \geq 0$ be a $\lambda_{i}$-inverse strongly-monotone non-self operator of a closed convex subset $K$ in a real Hilbert space $H$ with $\lambda=\inf _{i \geq 0} \lambda_{i}>0$. Then, we have:
(i) For each $\alpha_{k}>0$, the variational inequality problem

$$
\left\langle\bar{A}^{k} u^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(u^{k}-x^{+}\right), u^{k}-x\right\rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in K,
$$

has a unique solution $u^{k}$;
(ii) If $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u^{k}=p_{*} \in \Gamma$ satisfying (7);
(iii)

$$
\left\|x^{k}-x^{k-1}\right\| \leq \bar{d}_{k}:=\frac{2 \gamma_{k}}{\alpha_{k} \gamma^{k}} M_{3}+\tilde{\alpha}_{k}\left(M_{3}+\left\|x^{+}\right\|\right),
$$

where $M_{3}$ is some positive constant.
Theorem 2.4. Let $A_{i}$ be as in Theorem 2.3 for each $i \geq 0$. Assume that there hold assumptions (a) with $\sup _{k \geq 0} \beta_{k}<\lambda$, (b)' and (c)'. Then, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{1} & =x \in K, \\
y^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(\bar{A}^{k} x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right), \\
x^{k+1} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(\bar{A}^{k} y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

converges strongly to the element $p_{*}$ in (7) as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.
We can formulate similar results as Theorems 2.1-(2.4, when $A_{N+i}=A_{N}$ for all $i \geq 1$, by replacing $A^{k}$ and $\bar{A}^{k}$, by the operators $A_{0}+\alpha_{k}^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{i}^{\prime} A_{i}$ with $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}>0$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \gamma_{i}^{\prime} A_{i}$ with the same properties for $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$, respectively. For example, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $A_{i}$ be as in Theorem 2.3 for each $i=0,1, \cdots, N$ with some positive integer $N$ and let $\gamma_{i}>0$ for all $i=0,1, \cdots, N$. Assume that there hold assumptions (a) with $\sup _{k \geq 0} \beta_{k}<\lambda$ and $(b)^{\prime}$. Then, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{1} & =x \in K \\
y^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(S x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right), \\
x^{k+1} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(S y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S=\sum_{i=0}^{N} \gamma_{i} A_{i}$, converges strongly to the element $p_{*}$ in (7) as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.
Remark 3. Examples of sequences, having all properties (a)-(c) and (b)'-(c)', are: $\gamma_{i}=1 /(i(i+1)), \beta_{k}=1 /(k+1)^{a}$ and $\alpha_{k}=1 /(k+1)^{b}$, where $0<b<a$ and $a+(2-\mu) b<1$.
Remark 4. Note that problem (2), when $A_{0}$ is pseudomonotone, $L_{0}$-Lipschitz continuous and sequentially weakly continuous on $K$ and $S=S_{1} \cap S_{2}$, where $A_{i}=I-T_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ and one of $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}$ is asymptotically nonexpansive and the other is pseudocontractive, is considered very recently by Ceng et al. [9]. To solve it, they introduced two new iterative algorithms with linear-search process, that are different from our methods, presented in this paper.

## 3. Applications and numerical examples

### 3.1. The fixed point problem for infinite families of strictly pseudo-contractive operators

It ie well known in [40] that if $T$ is a $\lambda$-strictly pseudo-contractive operator of a closed convex subset $K$ in $H$, then $T^{\prime}:=\tilde{\lambda} I+(1-\tilde{\lambda}) T$, where $\tilde{\lambda} \in[\lambda, 1)$ is a fixed number, is nonexpansive with $\operatorname{Fix}(T)=\operatorname{Fix}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore, in order to find a common fixed point for an infinite family of $\lambda_{i}$-strictly pseudo-contractive

Table 1: Computational results by method (18)

| $k$ | $x_{1}^{k+1}$ | $x_{2}^{k+1}$ | $e^{k+1}=\left\\|z^{k+1}-p_{*}\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | -0.0129142584 | 0.9999166075 | 0.0129145277 |
| 10 | -0.0006517104 | 0.9999997876 | 0.0006517104 |
| 15 | -0.0000716226 | 0.9999999974 | 0.0000716226 |
| 20 | -0.0000121665 | 0.9999999999 | 0.0000121665 |

operator $T_{i}$ for all $i \geq 0$, ones usually have introduced iterative methods for finding a common fixed point for an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings $\left\{T_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ where $T_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{\lambda}_{i} I+\left(1-\tilde{\lambda}_{i}\right) T_{i}$ with $\tilde{\lambda}_{i} \in\left[\lambda_{i}, 1\right)$, by using $W_{k}, V_{k}$ or $S_{k}$-mappings, where

$$
S_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right) T_{i}^{\prime} \quad \text { or } \quad S_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right)\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime} I+\left(1-\alpha_{i}^{\prime}\right) T_{i}^{\prime}\right),
$$

with some conditions on $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$. See, for example, [3]-[5],[18],[20] and references therein. Using the results in the previous section, we show that the transformation process from $T_{i}$ to $T_{i}^{\prime}$ is not necessary. Indeed, put $A_{i}:=I-T_{i}$. By Lemma 1.5, $p \in S_{A_{0}}$ if and only if $p \in \operatorname{VI}\left(K, A_{0}\right)$. Then, by Theorem 2.4, we have the result.

Theorem 3.1. Let $K$ be a closed convex subset in a real Hilbert space $H$ and let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$ be an infinite family of $\lambda_{i}$-strictly pseudo-contractive non-self operators of K such that the common fixed point set $\Gamma:=\cap_{i \geq 0} F i x\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that there hold assumptions (a) with $\sup _{k \geq 0} \beta_{k}<\lambda,(b)^{\prime}$ and (c)'. Then, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in K \\
y^{k} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(\tilde{A}^{k} x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{18}\\
x^{k+1} & =P_{K}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(\tilde{A}^{k} y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

converges strongly to the element $p_{*}$ in (7) as $k \rightarrow+\infty$, where $\tilde{A}^{k}=I-\sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\gamma_{i} / \gamma^{k}\right) T_{i}, \gamma^{k}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{k}$ and $x^{+}$is a guess point in $H$.

For computations, we consider a concrete example, where $K=\left\{x=x \in \mathbb{E}^{2}: x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq 1\right\}$ and $T_{i}=P_{C_{i}}$, where $C_{i}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}^{2}:(1 /(i+1)) x_{1}-x_{2} \leq 0\right\}$ and $\mathbb{E}^{2}$ is the Euclidian space, whose inner product and norm are defined by $\langle x, y\rangle=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}$ and $\|x\|^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}$, respectively. It is well known that $T_{i}$ is nonexpansive for all $i \geq 0$, and hence, strictly pseudo-contractive in $\mathbb{E}^{2} . \Gamma=\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}^{2}: x_{2} \geq 0,2 x_{2} \geq x_{1} \geq 0\right\} \cap K$ is the set of common fixed points for $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}$. Taking $x^{+}=(0 ; 3)$, we have $p_{*}=(0 ; 1)$. Using method (18) with $\gamma_{i}=1 /((i+1)(i+2)), \beta_{k}=1 /(k+1)^{1 / 2}, \alpha_{k}=1 /(k+1)^{1 / 4}$ and the starting point $z^{1}=(-0.9 ;-0.3)$, we obtain the following table of numerical results, Table 1.

### 3.2. The split feasibility and fixed point problems

We consider the problem of finding a common point of the solution set $S_{S F P}$ for the SFP and the set $\operatorname{Fix}(T)$ of fixed points for a nonexpansive operator $T$ in the setting of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The SFP is to find a point

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \in C \quad \text { such that } \quad A p \in Q \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ and $Q$ are two closed convex subsets in two Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively, and $A$ is a bounded linear operator from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$ with inner products and norms denoted also by the symbols $\langle.,$. and $\|$.$\| , respectively. Problem (19) was first introduced by Censor and Elfving [10] for modeling inverse$
problems that arise from phase retrievals and in image reconstruction [6]. Recently, it can also be used to model the intensity-modulated radiation therapy [11]-[14].

Let $T$ be a nonexpansive operator of $C$ such that $\Gamma:=S_{S F P} \cap \operatorname{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. The problem of finding a point $p \in \Gamma$ has been studied in [8],[16],[17],[22] and [37] and references therein. Ceng et al. [8] introduced the iterative method,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in C \\
y^{k} & =P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(A_{1} x^{k}+\alpha_{k} x^{k}\right)\right)  \tag{20}\\
x^{k+1} & =\tau_{k} x^{k}+\left(1-\tau_{k}\right) T P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(A_{1} y^{k}+\alpha_{k} y^{k}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

that converges weakly to a point in $\Gamma$ with some conditions on $\tau_{k}, \beta_{k}$ and $\alpha_{k}$, one of which is that $\left\{\beta_{k}\right\} \subset[a, b]$ for some $a, b \in\left(0,1 /\|A\|^{2}\right)$ where $A_{1}:=A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A$ and $A^{*}$ is the adjoint of $A$. Yao et al. [37] obtained the strong convergence for method (20) with conditions:
(i) $\alpha_{k} \in(0,1), \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}=0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}=\infty$;
(ii) $0<\liminf \operatorname{in}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{k} \leq \limsup \operatorname{sum}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{k}<1$;

Deepho et al. [17], by combining (20) with the hybrid methods, proposed a strong convergent modification of (20). It is not difficult to verify that $A_{1}$ is an $\left(1 /\|A\|^{2}\right)$-inverse strongly monotone operator of $H_{1}$. As spoken in Introduction, $A_{0}:=I-T$ is also an $\lambda_{0}$-inverse strongly monotone non-self operator of $C$ with $\lambda_{0}=1 / 2$. Therefore, $A_{0}$ is 2-Lipschitz continuous and $p \in \operatorname{Fix}(T)$ if and only if $p \in S_{A_{0}}=\operatorname{VI}\left(C, A_{0}\right)$, by Lemma 1.5. Using Theorem 2.5 with $N=1$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $C$ and $Q$ be two closed convex subsets in two real Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively, let $A$ be a bounded linear operator from $H_{1}$ to $H_{2}$ with adjoint $A^{*}$ and let $T$ be a nonexpansive non-self operator of $C$. Assume that there hold assumptions (a) with $\sup _{k \geq 0} \beta_{k}<\lambda\left(=\min \left\{1 / 2 ; 1 /\|A\|^{2}\right\}\right.$ and $(b)^{\prime}$. Then, the sequence $\left\{x^{k}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in C, B=\gamma A_{0}+(1-\gamma) A_{1}, \\
y^{k} & =P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(B x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{21}\\
x^{k+1} & =P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(B y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

converges strongly to the element $p_{*}$ in (7), as $k \rightarrow+\infty$, where $x^{+}$is a guess point in $H_{1}$ and $\gamma \in(0,1)$ is a fixed number.
Remark 5. From Theorem 2.2, it is easily to see that Theorem 3.2 has still value under conditions (a) with $\lambda=1 / 2$ and (b), if the operator $B$ is replaced by $B^{k}=A_{0}+\alpha_{k}^{\mu} A_{1}$. Next, by taking $T=I$, the identity operator of $H_{1}$, we obtain the regularization extragradient method for the SFP,

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{1} & =x \in C \\
y^{k} & =P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\mu} A_{1} x^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(x^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{22}\\
x^{k+1} & =P_{C}\left(x^{k}-\beta_{k}\left(\alpha_{k}^{\mu} A_{1} y^{k}+\alpha_{k}\left(y^{k}-x^{+}\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

strong convergence is guaranteed by assumptions (a) with $\sup _{k \geq 0} \beta_{k}<1 / 2$ and (b). Clearly, the parameter $\beta_{k}$ in method (22) can be chosen without prior knowledge of $\|A\|^{2}$ as (20) and its modifications need.

For computations, we take

$$
C=\left\{\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}\right):(1 / 2) x_{1}-x_{2} \leq 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{E}^{2}, \quad Q=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{3}\right): x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2} \leq 1\right\} \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}
$$

and $A$ is a matrix of order $3 \times 2$, whose elements $a_{11}=a_{22}=a_{31}=a_{32}=1$ and the rest ones are zeros. It is not difficult to verify that $p_{*}=(0 ; 0)$ is the unique solution of (19) with the given data. Using method (22) with the same values for $\beta_{k}=1 /(k+2)^{1 / 2}, \alpha_{k}=\beta_{k}^{1 / 2}, \mu=1 / 2$ and the starting point $x^{1}=(-2.0 ;-1.0)$, we get the following numerical table, Table 2.

Table 2: Computational results by method (22).

| $k$ | $x_{1}^{k+1}$ | $x_{2}^{k+1}$ | $e^{k+1}=\left\\|z^{k+1}-p_{*}\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | -0.0085032236 | 0.0208253661 | 0.0224944590 |
| 10 | -0.0011621321 | 0.0028461944 | 0.0030743086 |
| 15 | -0.0002820483 | 0.0006907684 | 0.0007461315 |
| 20 | -0.0000918736 | 0.0002250090 | 0.0002430428 |

The numerical results above show fast convergence of the proposed methods.
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