Filomat 37:30 (2023), 10229–10236 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2330229M



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Abelian theorems for the index $_2F_1$ -transform over distributions of compact support and generalized functions

Jeetendrasingh Maan^{a,b}, B. J. González^{c,d}, E. R. Negrín^{c,d}

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Computing, Indian Institute of Technology (Indian School of Mines), Dhanbad-826004, India ^bDepartment of Mathematics and Scientific Computing, National Institute of Technology, Hamirpur, Hamirpur-177005, India ^cDepartamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de La Laguna (ULL). Campus de Anchieta. ES-38271 La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain ^dInstituto de Matemáticas y Aplicaciones (IMAULL), Universidad de La Laguna (ULL), ULL Campus de Anchieta, ES-38271 La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain

Abstract. The goal of this paper is to derive new Abelian theorems for the index $_2F_1$ -transform over distributions of compact support and over certain spaces of generalized functions. From these results one also obtains Abelian theorems for the conventional index $_2F_1$ -transform.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The index $_2F_1$ -transform of a suitable complex-valued function f is given by

$$F(\tau) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \,_2 F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right) t^\alpha dt, \quad \tau > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where ${}_{2}F_{1}(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t)$ is the Gauss hypergeometric function, μ and α are complex parameters with $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$.

The Gauss hypergeometric function [3, p. 57] is defined for |z| < 1 as

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n}}{(c)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!},$$
$$(\lambda)_{n} := \lambda(\lambda+1)\cdots(\lambda+n-1), \ n = 1,2\dots(\lambda)_{0} := 1.$$

For $|z| \ge 1$ is defined as its analytic continuation [16, p. 431] as

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z) := \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-tz)^{-a} dt,$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 44A15; Secondary 46F12.

Keywords. Index $_2F_1$ -transform; Distributions of compact support; Generalized functions; Regular distributions; Regular generalized functions; Abelian theorems.

Received: 24 January 2023; Accepted: 02 July 2023

Communicated by Hari M. Srivastava

Email addresses: jsmaan111@rediffmail.com (Jeetendrasingh Maan), bjglez@ull.es (B. J. González), enegrin@ull.es (E. R. Negrín)

$$\Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0; |\arg(1-z)| < \pi.$$

The Gauss hypergeometric function satisfies the following differential equation [3, p. 56]

$$z(1-z)\frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + [c - (a+b+1)z]\frac{dw}{dz} - abw = 0,$$

where

$$w = w(z) = {}_2F_1(a,b;c;z).$$

The integral transform (1.1) was first mentioned in [25] as a particular case of a more general integral transform with the Meijer G-function as the kernel.

In a series of papers Hayek, González and Negrín have considered several properties of the index $_2F_1$ -transform both from a classical point of view and spaces of generalized functions (cf. [5], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11]). Moreover this transform has been cited in [2], [26] and [27].

Abelian theorems have been studied in several works (see [4], [6], [13] and [20]), for certain index transforms. For more details of index transforms see [18], [19] and [26], amongst others.

Abelian theorems for distributional transforms were first established by Zemanian in [28], (see also [1], [4], [6], [15], [21], [22], [23], and [24]).

Now, we consider the differential operator

$$A_t = t^{\alpha - \mu} (t+1)^{\mu} D_t t^{\mu + 1} (t+1)^{\mu + 1} D_t t^{-\alpha}.$$
(1.2)

From [8, (2.3), p. 658] one has that

$$A_{t 2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}$$

= $-\left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} + \tau^{2}\right]_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}.$ (1.3)

Next, from [3, (7), p. 122 and (6), p. 155], we obtain

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha} =$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\mu + 1)t^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(1 + 2t + 2\sqrt{t(t+1)}\cos\xi\right)^{-\mu - 1/2 - i\tau} (\sin\xi)^{2\mu}d\xi,$$
(1.4)

which is valid for

$$t > 0, \ \tau > 0, \ \Re(\mu) > -1/2, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Observe that one has

$$\sin \xi \ge 0, \quad \xi \in [0, \pi],$$

1+2 $\sqrt{t+2t(t+1)}\cos \xi \ge 0, \quad t > 0, \ \xi \in [0, \pi]$

and hence, for $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$, it follows from (1.4) that

$$\begin{split} & \left| {}_{2}F_{1} \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t \right) t^{\alpha} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\left| \Gamma(\mu + 1) \right| t^{\Re(\alpha)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \left| \Gamma\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right|} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(1 + 2t + 2\sqrt{t(t+1)}\cos\xi \right)^{-\Re(\mu) - \frac{1}{2}} (\sin\xi)^{2\Re(\mu)} d\xi \end{split}$$

10230

J. Maan et al. / Filomat 37:30 (2023), 10229–10236 10231

$$= \frac{\left|\Gamma(\mu+1)\right| t^{\Re(\alpha)}}{\sqrt{\pi} \left|\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right|} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left(1+2t+2\sqrt{t(t+1)}\cos\xi\right)^{-\Re(\mu)-\frac{1}{2}} (\sin\xi)^{2\Re(\mu)} d\xi$$

$$= \frac{\left|\Gamma(\mu+1)\right| \Gamma(\Re(\mu)+\frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \left|\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right| \Gamma(\Re(\mu)+1)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\Re(\mu)+\frac{1}{2}, \Re(\mu)+\frac{1}{2}; \Re(\mu)+1; -t\right) t^{\Re(\alpha)}.$$
(1.5)

Also, from [3, (7), p. 122] and [14, p.171, Entry (12.08) and p. 172, Entry (12.20)], for $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$ we have

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\Re(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}, \Re(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}; \Re(\mu) + 1; -t\right)t^{\Re(\alpha)} = O\left(t^{\Re(\alpha)}\right), \quad t \to 0^{+},$$
(1.6)

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mathfrak{R}(\mu)+\frac{1}{2},\mathfrak{R}(\mu)+\frac{1}{2};\mathfrak{R}(\mu)+1;-t\right)t^{\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)}=O\left(t^{\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)-\mathfrak{R}(\mu)-\frac{1}{2}}\ln t\right),\quad t\to+\infty.$$
(1.7)

2. Abelian theorems for the distributional index $_2F_1$ -transform

The space $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$ is defined as the vector space of all infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions ϕ defined on $(0, \infty)$. This space equipped with the locally convex topology arising from the family of seminorms

$$\rho_{k,K}(\phi) = \sup_{t \in K} \left| D_t^k \phi(t) \right|$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, all compact sets $K \subset (0, \infty)$, and with D_t^k denoting the k-th derivative with respect to the variable t, becomes a Fréchet space. As usual, we denote by $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ the dual of the space $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$.

The generalized index $_2F_1$ -transform of $f \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ was defined by the kernel method in [8] by means of

$$F(\tau) = \left\langle f(t), {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha} \right\rangle, \quad \tau > 0,$$
(2.1)

where μ and α are complex parameters with $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$.

In this section we establish Abelian theorems for the index $_2F_1$ -transform (2.1). Previously we prove some results.

The following Lemma was showed in [8, Lemma 2.1, p. 659]

Lemma 2.1. For each compact $K \subset (0, \infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let $\gamma_{k,K}$ be the seminorm defined by

$$\gamma_{k,K}(\phi) = \sup_{t \in K} \left| A_t^k \phi(t) \right|, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{E}'((0,\infty)),$$

where A_t is the operator given by (1.2). Then, $\{\gamma_{k,K}\}$ gives rise to a topology on $\mathcal{E}'((0,\infty))$ which coincides with is usual topology.

Now, by using the above Lemma 2.1 we obtain the following result

Lemma 2.2. Set $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let f be in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, and let F be defined by (2.1). Then there exist a constant M > 0 and a nonnegative integer p, all depending on f, such that

$$|F(\tau)| \le M \max_{0 \le k \le p} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$
(2.2)

Proof. Observe that $_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}$ is an eigenfunction of A_t , i.e.,

$$A_{t\,2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}$$

= $-\left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} + \tau^{2}\right]_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}.$ (2.3)

According to Lemma 2.1, we may consider the space $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$ equipped with the topology arising from the family of seminorms $\gamma_{k,K}$. From [12, Proposition 2, p. 97], there exist C > 0, a compact set $K \subset (0, \infty)$, and a nonnegative integer p, all depending on f, such that

$$\left|\left\langle f,\phi\right\rangle\right| \le C \max_{0\le k\le p} \max_{t\in K} \left|A_t^k\phi(t)\right| \tag{2.4}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$. In particular,

$$|F(\tau)| = \left| \left\langle f(t), {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t \right) t^{\alpha} \right\rangle \right|$$

$$\leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq p} \max_{t \in K} \left| A_{t}^{k} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t \right) t^{\alpha} \right|$$

$$= C \max_{0 \leq k \leq p} \max_{t \in K} \left| \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} + \tau^{2} \right]^{k} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t \right) t^{\alpha} \right|.$$
(2.5)

From (1.5) it follows that, for $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$, (2.5) is bounded above by

$$C \max_{0 \le k \le p} \max_{t \in K} \left\{ \frac{\left| \Gamma(\mu+1) \right| \Gamma(\mathfrak{R}(\mu) + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \left| \Gamma\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right| \Gamma(\mathfrak{R}(\mu) + 1)} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k {}_2F_1\left(\mathfrak{R}(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}, \mathfrak{R}(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}; \mathfrak{R}(\mu) + 1; -t \right) t^{\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)} \right\}$$

$$\leq M \max_{0 \le k \le p} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k.$$
(2.6)

for all $\tau > 0$ and certain M > 0, since *t* ranges on the compact set $K \subset (0, \infty)$. \Box

The smallest integer p which verifies the inequality (2.4) is defined as the order of the distribution f (cf. [17, Théorème XXIV, p. 88]).

In the following statement we establish Abelian theorems for the distributional index $_2F_1$ -transform (2.1).

Theorem 2.3. (Abelian theorem) Set $\mathfrak{R}(\mu) > -1/2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let f be a member of $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ of order $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and let F be given by (2.1). Then

(*i*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0^+} \{\tau^{\gamma} F(\tau)\} = 0$$

(*ii*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \{ \tau^{-2r - \gamma} F(\tau) \} = 0.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 one obtains

$$|F(\tau)| \le M \max_{0 \le k \le r} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k, \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

for some M > 0, from which the conclusion follows. \Box

10232

Next, let *f* be a locally integrable function on $(0, \infty)$ and *f* has compact support on $(0, \infty)$, then *f* gives rise to a regular member T_f of $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ of order r = 0 by means of

$$\langle T_f, \phi \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(t)\phi(t)dt, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{E}((0,\infty)).$$

Observe that

$$\left| \langle T_{f}, \phi \rangle \right| = \left| \int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)\phi(t)dt \right| \leq \sup_{t \in \operatorname{supp}(f)} \left| \phi(t) \right| \int_{\operatorname{supp}(f)} \left| f(t) \right| dt$$
$$= \gamma_{0,\operatorname{supp}(f)}(\phi) \int_{\operatorname{supp}(f)} \left| f(t) \right| dt,$$

where supp(*f*) represents the support of the function *f*, it follows that T_f has order r = 0.

Consequently, we have

$$F(\tau) = \left\langle T_f(t), {}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha} \right\rangle$$

= $\int_0^\infty f(t) {}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}dt, \ \tau > 0,$ (2.7)

for $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$.

From this fact one concludes that the index $_2F_1$ -transform of the regular distribution generated by the function f is the classical index $_2F_1$ -transform of the function f.

Furthermore, by using Theorem 2.3 for the index $_2F_1$ -transform of these regular members of $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, one obtains the following

Corollary 2.4. Set $\Re(\mu) > -1/2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let *f* be a locally integrable function in $(0, \infty)$ and such that *f* has compact support on $(0, \infty)$. Then the function *F* given by (2.7), satisfies the following:

(*i*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0^+} \{\tau^{\gamma} F(\tau)\} = 0,$$

(*ii*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \{ \tau^{-\gamma} F(\tau) \} = 0.$$

3. Abelian theorems for the index $_2F_1$ -transform of generalized functions

In [8], Hayek and González studied the index $_2F_1$ -transform over certain spaces of generalized functions. In that paper it was considered the linear space $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ of all smooth complex-valued functions ϕ defined on $(0, \infty)$, such that

$$\gamma_{k,a,\mu,\alpha}(\phi) = \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \left| (2t+1)^a t^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (t+1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_k^t \phi(t) \right| < \infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$
(3.1)

where A_t is the differential operator given by (1.2).

The space $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ equipped with the topology arising from the family of seminorms $\{\gamma_{k,a,\mu}\}$ is a Fréchet space.

As usual, by $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ is denoted the dual space of $U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

By using (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) it follows that

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}+i\tau,\mu+\frac{1}{2}-i\tau;\mu+1;-t\right)t^{\alpha}\in U_{a,\mu,\alpha},$$

for $\Re(\mu) \ge 0$, a < 1/2 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and thus, as it is usual, the generalized index $_2F_1$ -transform is defined for $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ by

$$F(\tau) = \left\langle f(t), {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha} \right\rangle \tau > 0.$$
(3.2)

From [12, Proposition 2, p. 97], one has that for all $f \in U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$, there exist a C > 0 and a nonnegative integer p, all depending on f, such that

$$\left|\left\langle f,\phi\right\rangle\right| \le C \max_{0\le k\le p} \gamma_{k,a,\mu}(\phi) = C \max_{0\le k\le p} \sup_{t\in(1,\infty)} \left| (2t+1)^a t^{\frac{\mu}{2}-\alpha} (t+1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_t^k \phi(t) \right|,\tag{3.3}$$

for all $\phi \in U_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

Now we prove Abelian theorems for the transform (3.2). First we prove a previous result

Lemma 3.1. Set $\mathfrak{R}(\mu) \ge 0$, a < 1/2 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let f be in $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$, and let F be defined by (3.2). Then there exist M > 0 and a nonnegative integer p, all depending on f, such that

$$|F(\tau)| \le M \max_{0 \le k \le p} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k, \, \forall \tau > 0.$$
(3.4)

Proof. From (1.5) and (3.3) one has

$$\begin{split} |F(\tau)| &= \left| \left\langle f(t), {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t \right) t^{\alpha} \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq p} \sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} \left| (2t+1)^{a} t^{\frac{\mu}{2} - \alpha} (t+1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A_{t2}^{k} F_{1}\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t \right) t^{\alpha} \right| \\ &\leq C \max_{0 \leq k \leq p} \sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} \left| \left[\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} + \tau^{2} \right]^{k} \frac{\left| \Gamma(\mu + 1) \right| \Gamma(\mathfrak{R}(\mu) + \frac{1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \left| \Gamma\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right| \Gamma(\mathfrak{R}(\mu) + 1)} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\mathfrak{R}(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}, \mathfrak{R}(\mu) + \frac{1}{2}; \mathfrak{R}(\mu) + 1; -t \right) t^{\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)} \right|. \end{split}$$

Now, from (1.6) and (1.7), and taking into account the fact that $\Re(\mu) \ge 0$ and a < 1/2, it follows that

$$|F(\tau)| \le M \max_{0 \le k \le p} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k, \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

for certain M > 0. \Box

As it is usual, the smallest integer p which verifies the inequality (3.3) is called the order of the generalized function f.

The next statement gives an Abelian theorem for the index $_2F_1$ -transform of generalized functions in $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$.

Theorem 3.2. (Abelian theorem) Set $\mathfrak{R}(\mu) \ge 0$, a < 1/2 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. If f is a generalized function on $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$, of order $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and F is given by (3.2), then

(*i*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{\tau\to 0^+} \{\tau^{\gamma} F(\tau)\} = 0,$$

(ii) for any $\gamma > 0$ *one has*

$$\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \{ \tau^{-2r - \gamma} F(\tau) \} = 0$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 one has

$$|F(\tau)| \le M \max_{0 \le k \le r} \left[\left(|\mu| + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \tau^2 \right]^k, \quad \forall \tau > 0,$$

for some M > 0, and hence the conclusion follows. \Box

Otherwise, from Proposition 2.1 (v) in [8], a function f defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $(2t+1)^{-a}t^{\alpha-\frac{\mu}{2}}(t+1)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}}f(t)$, $\Re(\mu) \ge 0$, a < 1/2, is Lebesgue integrable on $(0, \infty)$, gives rise to a regular generalized function T_f on $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ or order r = 0 through

$$< T_f, \phi > = \int_0^\infty f(t)\phi(t)dt, \quad \forall \phi \in U_{a,\mu,\alpha}.$$

In fact, taking into account that

$$\begin{split} \left| \left\langle T_{f}, \phi \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t)\phi(t)dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} (2t+1)^{-a} t^{\alpha-\frac{\mu}{2}} (t+1)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} f(t)(2t+1)^{a} t^{\frac{\mu}{2}-\alpha} (t+1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \phi(t)dt \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in (0,\infty)} \left| (2t+1)^{a} t^{\frac{\mu}{2}-\alpha} (t+1)^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \phi(t) \right| \int_{0}^{\infty} \left| (2t+1)^{-a} t^{\alpha-\frac{\mu}{2}} (t+1)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} f(t) \right| dt \\ &= \gamma_{0,a,\mu,\alpha}(\phi) \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} (2t+1)^{-a} t^{\Re(\alpha)-\frac{\Re(\mu)}{2}} (t+1)^{-\frac{\Re(\mu)}{2}} \left| f(t) \right| dt, \end{split}$$

it follows that T_f is a distribution of order r = 0.

In this case,

$$F(\tau) = \left\langle T_f(t), {}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha} \right\rangle$$

=
$$\int_0^\infty f(t) {}_2F_1\left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \mu + \frac{1}{2} - i\tau; \mu + 1; -t\right)t^{\alpha}dt, \ \tau > 0,$$
(3.5)

for $\Re(\mu) \ge 0$.

Again, as in the case of the regular distributions of compact support, it follows that the index $_2F_1$ -transform of the regular generalized function generated by the function f is the classical index $_2F_1$ -transform of the function f.

Consequently, by Theorem 3.2, one obtains the following

Corollary 3.3. Set $\mathfrak{K}(\mu) \ge 0$, a < 1/2 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Let f be a function defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $(2t + 1)^{-a}t^{\alpha - \frac{\mu}{2}}(t + 1)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}}f(t)$ is Lebesgue integrable on $(0, \infty)$, and F is given by (3.5). Then

(*i*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

 $\lim_{\tau\to 0^+} \{\tau^{\gamma} F(\tau)\} = 0,$

(*ii*) for any $\gamma > 0$ one has

$$\lim_{\tau\to+\infty} \{\tau^{-\gamma} F(\tau)\} = 0.$$

10235

4. Conclusions

The behaviour of the Gauss hypergeometric function, used as the kernel of the index $_2F_1$ -transform, allows us to establish Abelian theorems for this transform over distributions of compact support on $(0, \infty)$ and over the space of generalized functions $U'_{a,\mu,\alpha}$ introduced in [8] under the conditions $\mathfrak{K}(\mu) \ge 0$, a < 1/2 and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note: The manuscript has no associated data.

Disclosure statement: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Acknowledgements: Authors are very thankful to the reviewer for his/her valuable and constructive comments and suggestions.

References

- Z.A. Ansari, A. Prasad, Abelian theorems and Calderón's reproducing formula for linear canonical wavelet transform, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 12(1), Paper No. 4, 26 pp (2021).
- J. Denzler, A hypergeometric function approach to the persistence problem of single sine-Gordon breathers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349(10), 4053–4083 (1997).
- [3] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. Tricomi, Higher transcendental functions, Vol. I. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London (1953).
- [4] B.J. González and E.R. Negrín, Abelian Theorems for Distributional Kontorovich-Lebedev and Mehler-Fock Transforms of General Order, Banach J. Math. Anal. 13(3), 524–537 (2019).
- [5] N. Hayek, B.J. González and E.R. Negrín, Abelian theorems for the index ₂F₁-transform, Rev. Técn. Fac. Ingr. Univ. Zulia 15(3), 167–171 (1992).
- [6] N. Hayek and B.J. González, Abelian theorems for the generalized index ₂*F*₁-transform, Rev. Acad. Canaria Cienc., 4(1–2), 23–29 (1992).
- [7] N. Hayek and B.J. González, A convolution theorem for the index $_2F_1$ -transform, J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math. Ser. **6**(1), 21–24 (1993).
- [8] N. Hayek and B.J. González, The index $_2F_1$ -transform of generalized functions, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **34**(4), 657–671 (1993).
- [9] N. Hayek and B.J. González, On the distributional index $_2F_1$ -transform, Math. Nachr. **165**, 15–24 (1994).
- [10] N. Hayek and B.J. González, An operational calculus for the index $_2F_1$ -transform, Jñānābha **24**, 13–18 (1994).
- [11] N. Hayek and B.J. González, A convolution theorem for the distributional index ₂*F*₁-transform, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **42**(7–8), 567–578 (1997).
- [12] J. Horváth, Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions, Vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1966).
- [13] J. Maan and A. Prasad, Abelian theorems in the framework of the distributional index Whittaker transform, Math. Commun. 27, 1–9 (2022).
- [14] F.W.J. Olver, Asymptotics and Special Functions, Academic Press, New York (1974).
- [15] A. Prasad and P. Kumar, Abelian theorems for fractional wavelet transform, Asian-Eur. J. Math. 10(1), 1750019, 15 pp (2017).
- [16] A.P. Prudnikov, Y.A. Brychkov and O.I. Marichev, Integrals and Series, vol. 3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York (1990).
- [17] L. Schwartz, Théorie des Distributions, Publ. Inst. Math. Univ. Strasbourg 9–10 Hermann, Paris (1966).
- [18] H.M. Srivastava, Some general families of integral transformations and related results, Appl. Math. Comput. Sci. 6, 27–41 (2022).
 [19] H.M. Srivastava, Yu.V. Vasilév and S.B. Yakubovich, A class of index transforms with Whittaker's function as the kernel, Quart.
- J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **49**(195), 375–394 (1998).
- [20] H.M. Srivastava, B.J. González and E.R. Negrín, A new class of Abelian theorems for the Mehler-Fock transforms, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 24, 124–126 (2017) (see also Errata Russ. J. Math. Phys. 24, 278–278 (2017)).
- [21] H.M. Srivastava, B.J. González and E.R. Negrín, An operational calculus for a Mehler-Fock type index transform on distributions of compact support, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Natur. Ser. A Mat. (RACSAM) 117, Article ID 3, 1-11 (2023).
- [22] H.M. Srivastava, S. Yadav and S.K. Upadhyay, The Weinstein transform associated with a family of generalized distributions, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Natur. Ser. A Mat. (RACSAM) 117, Article ID 132, 1–32 (2023).
- [23] H.M. Srivastava, R. Singh and S.K. Upadhyay, The Bessel wavelet convolution involving the Hankel transformations, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 23, 2649–2661 (2022).
- [24] H.M. Srivastava, P. Shukla and S.K. Upadhyay, The localization operator and wavelet multipliers involving the Watson transform, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 13, Article ID 46, 1–21 (2022).
- [25] J. Wimp, A Class of Integral Transforms, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 14(2), 33–40 (1964).
- [26] S.B. Yakubovich, Index transforms (with Foreword by H. M. Srivastava), World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ 1996.
- [27] S.B. Yakubovich, On the Plancherel theorem for the Olevskii transform, Acta Math. Vietnam. 31(3), 249–260 (2006).
- [28] A.H. Zemanian, Some Abelian theorems for the distributional Hankel and K transformations, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14(6), 1255– 1265 (1966).