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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a ring and $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$. First, we obtain several equivalent conditions for the equality $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ to hold, under the condition $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. Then, when $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$, the equality $a^{m} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m}(m \in \mathbb{N})$ is also investigated by means of Drazin inverses. Next, some characterizations for the invertibility of $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| l d_{2}} a$ are obtained. Particularly, a number of examples are given to illustrate our results.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $R$ denotes an associative ring with unity 1 and $\mathbb{N}$ means the set of all positive integers. An involution $*: R \rightarrow R$ is an anti-isomorphism: $\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}=a,(a+b)^{*}=a^{*}+b^{*}$ and $(a b)^{*}=b^{*} a^{*}$ for all $a, b \in R$. We call $R$ a *-ring if there exists an involution $*$ on $R$. First, we list several types of generalized inverses as follows.

An element $a \in R$ is said to be Moore-Penrose invertible with respect to the involution * [18] if the following equations

$$
\text { (1) } a x a=a \text {, (2) } x a x=x, \quad \text { (3) }(a x)^{*}=a x, \quad \text { (4) }(x a)^{*}=x a
$$

have a common solution. Such solution is unique if it exists, and is denoted by $a^{\dagger}$.
The Drazin inverse [9] of $a \in R$ is the element $x \in R$ which satisfies

$$
\left(1^{k}\right) a^{k}=a^{k+1} x \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \text {, (2) } x a x=x \text {, (5) } a x=x a
$$

The element $x$ is unique if it exists and we will write $x=a^{D}$. The smallest such $k$ is called the index of $a$, and denoted by ind $(a)$. Particularly, if $\operatorname{ind}(a)=1$, then the Drazin inverse $a^{D}$ is called the group inverse of $a$ and it is denoted by $a^{\#}$.

[^0]In 2010, Baksalary and Trenkler [1] introduced the core inverse and dual core inverse for complex matrices, which were extended to the $*$-ring case [19]. The core inverse of $a \in R$ is the unique element $x$ (written $x=a^{\oplus}$ ) satisfying

$$
\text { (1) } a x a=a, \text { (2) } x a x=x, \text { (3) }(a x)^{*}=a x, \text { (6) } x a^{2}=a, \quad \text { (7) } a x^{2}=x
$$

Similarly, the dual core inverse of $a \in R$ is the unique element $x \in R$ (written $x=a_{\oplus}$ ) satisfying

$$
\text { (1) } a x a=a \text {, (2) } x a x=x \text {, (4) (xa })^{*}=x a \text {, (6') } a^{2} x=a \text {, (7') } x^{2} a=x \text {. }
$$

The symbols $R^{-1}, R^{\dagger}, R^{D}, R^{\#}, R^{\oplus}$ and $R_{\oplus}$ stand for the sets of all invertible, Moore-Penrose invertible, Drazin invertible, group invertible, core invertible and dual core invertible elements of $R$, respectively.

As is well known, EP matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}[20]$ means $\mathcal{R}(A)=\mathcal{R}\left(A^{*}\right)$, where $\mathcal{R}(A)$ denotes the column space of $A$, i.e., $A A^{+}=A^{\dagger} A$. Then, a square matrix $A$ is said to be co-EP [5] if $A A^{+}-A^{\dagger} A$ is invertible. In a $*$-ring $R$, an element $a \in R$ is said to be EP (resp. co-EP) if $a \in R^{\dagger}$ and $a a^{\dagger}=a^{\dagger} a$ (resp. $a a^{\dagger}-a^{\dagger} a \in R^{-1}$ ). Many researchers studied the EP-ness and co-EP-ness in different settings, such as complex matrices, $C^{*}$-algebras, Banach algebras and rings [2, 4-8, 11, 15-17]. For the co-EP matrix, we have to mention the next results. Benítez and Rakočević [5] showed that the co-EP-ness of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ implies the nonsingularity of $A \pm A^{+}$, $A \pm A^{*}, A A^{*} \pm A^{*} A$ and $A A^{\dagger} \pm A^{\dagger} A$, which were extended to the nonsingularity [25] of $a A+b A^{\dagger}+c A A^{\dagger}$, $a A+b A^{*}+c A A^{*}, a A A^{*}+b A^{*} A+c A\left(A^{*}\right)^{2} A, a A A^{\dagger}+b A^{\dagger} A+c A\left(A^{\dagger}\right)^{2} A$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a b \neq 0$. Later, the authors [23] showed that if $A$ is a co-EP matrix, then $a A A^{\dagger}+b A^{\dagger} A+c A\left(A^{\dagger}\right)^{2} A+d A^{\dagger} A^{2} A^{\dagger}$ is nonsingular, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a b \neq c d$.

In 2011, Mary [13] defined a new generalized inverse called the inverse along an element (namely Mary inverse) in a ring or semigroup. The element $a \in R$ is said to be invertible along $d \in R$ [13] if there exists $b \in R$ such that

$$
b a d=d=d a b, b R \subseteq d R \text { and } R b \subseteq R d,
$$

i.e.,

$$
b a b=b, b R=d R \text { and } R b=R d .
$$

If such $b$ exists, then it is unique and is said to be the inverse of $a$ along $d$, which will be denoted by $a^{\| l d}$. In particular, $a^{\| 1}=a^{-1}, a^{\| a}=a^{\#}$ and $a^{\| a^{*}}=a^{\dagger}$. Moreover, if $a a^{\| l d} a=a$, then we say that $a^{\| d}$ is an inner inverse of $a$ along $d$, and $a$ is inner invertible along $d$. Next, we use $R^{\| d d}$ and $R^{\| 0 d}$ to denote the sets of all invertible elements along $d$ and inner invertible elements along $d$ in the ring $R$, respectively.

After introducing the notion of the inverse along an element, EP and co-EP properties were investigated by means of Mary inverses. For example, Benítez and Boasso [3] gave several equivalent characterizations for the equality $a a^{\| d d}=\|^{\| d d} a$ (when $a \in R^{\| \| d}$ ), which were applied in a $*$-ring by taking $d=a^{*}$. Wang, Mosić and Yao [22] also studied this equality in a ring. Recently, the authors [24] showed that the invertibility of $a a^{\| d d}-a^{\| d} d$ is related to the invertibility of elements expressed by certain functions of $a, d$ and suitable elements from the center of the ring.

Motivated by the above results, in this paper we will consider more general case, that is to say when $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$ or $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$, the equality $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a$, as well as the invertibility of $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ is investigated, extending the special case $d_{1}=d_{2}$. In addition, the results obtained are applied to the core and dual core inverses in a *-ring.

The following lemmas will be used in the sequel.
Lemma 1.1. [10, Theorem 1] Let $a \in R$. Then $a \in R^{\#}$ if and only if $a \in a^{2} R \cap R a^{2}$. In this case, if $a=a^{2} x=y a^{2}$, then $a^{\#}=a x^{2}=y^{2} a=y a x$.

Lemma 1.2. [14, Theorem 2.1] Let $a, d \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a \in R^{\mid d d}$. (ii) $d R \subseteq d a R$ and $d a \in R^{\#}$. (iii) $R d \subseteq R a d$ and $a d \in R^{\#}$.

In this case, $a^{\| d}=d(a d)^{\#}=(d a)^{\#} d$.

Lemma 1.3. [24, Lemma 3] and [21, Corollary 1] Let $a, d \in R$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

$$
\text { (i) } a \in R^{\| \bullet d} \text {. (ii) } d \in R^{\| \bullet a} \text {. (iii) } a \in R^{\| d} \text { and } d \in R^{\| l a} \text {. }
$$

In this case, $a a^{\| d}=d^{\| l a} d$ and $a^{\| l d} a=d d^{\| a}$.
2. Characterizations for the equality $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$

In this section, we will mainly consider two aspects. One is the characterizations for the equality $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a$, when $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. The other is the equivalent conditions of the equality $a^{m} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a^{m}$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$, when $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$. Both of the aspects cover the special case $d_{1}=d_{2}$. First, we have to give the following example to illustrate that $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a$ does not imply $d_{1}=d_{2}$ or $a^{\| l d_{2}} a=a^{\| l d_{1}} a$ in general.

Example 2.1. Let $R=\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$. Then, take $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), d_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $d_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. By direct computation we see that $a^{\| d_{1}}=d_{1}$ and $a^{\| \| d_{2}}=d_{2}$. Clearly, $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$. However, $d_{1} \neq d_{2}$ and $a^{\| d_{2}} a \neq a^{\| d_{1}} a$.

Inspired by [3, Theorem 7.3], we characterize the equality $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a$ under the condition $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$ as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$.
(ii) $d_{1}=d_{1} a^{\| d d_{2}} a$ and $d_{2}=a a^{\| d_{1}} d_{2}$.
(iii) $R d_{2} a \subseteq R d_{1}$ and $a d_{1} R \subseteq d_{2} R$.
(iv) $R d_{1} \subseteq R d_{2} a$ and $d_{2} R \subseteq a d_{1} R$.
(v) $R d_{1}=R d_{2} a$ and $d_{2} R=a d_{1} R$.
(vi) $R a d_{1}=R d_{2} a$ and $d_{2} a R=a d_{1} R$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii), (iii) and (iv). Suppose that $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a$. Then, by Lemma 1.2 we deduce

$$
d_{1}=d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}}=d_{1} a^{\| d_{2}} a=d_{1}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a \in R d_{2} a
$$

and

$$
d_{2}=a^{\| d_{2}} a d_{2}=a a^{\| d_{1}} d_{2}=a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} d_{2} \in a d_{1} R,
$$

which conclude that items (ii) and (iv) hold. In addition,

$$
a d_{1}=a a^{\| l d_{1}} a d_{1}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{2} d_{1}=d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a^{2} d_{1} \in d_{2} R
$$

and

$$
d_{2} a=d_{2} a a^{\| d_{2}} a=d_{2} a^{2} a^{\| d_{1}}=d_{2} a^{2}\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1} \in R d_{1} .
$$

So, item (iii) holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By item (ii), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a a^{\| d_{1}} & =a\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1}=a\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1} a^{\| l d_{2}} a=a a^{\| l d_{1}} a^{\| \| d_{2}} a=a a^{\| l d_{1}} d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a \\
& =d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a=a^{\| d_{2}} a .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Note that $a d_{1}=d_{2} u$ and $d_{2} a=v d_{1}$, for some $u, v \in R$. So, we claim that

$$
a a^{\| l d_{1}}=a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=d_{2} u\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=a^{\| d_{2}} a d_{2} u\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=a^{\| d_{2}} a a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{2} a^{\| d_{1}} .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
a^{\| \| d_{2}} a=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} v d_{1}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} v d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a a a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{2} a^{\| d_{1}} .
$$

Therefore, $a a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a$.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since $R d_{1} \subseteq R d_{2} a$, we obtain $d_{1}=x d_{2} a$ for some $x \in R$. Multiplying the previous equality by $a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ from the right, we get $d_{1} a^{\| d_{2}} a=x d_{2} a a^{\| d_{2}} a=x d_{2} a=d_{1}$. Similarly, $d_{2}=a a^{\| d_{1}} d_{2}$.
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v) is clear by what we have proved just now.
(v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi). Note that $R d_{1}=\operatorname{Ra}^{\| \| d_{1}} a d_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}_{1}$ and $R a d_{1} \subseteq R d_{1}$. Hence $R d_{1}=\operatorname{Rad}_{1}$. Similarly, $d_{2} R=d_{2} a R$, as required.

Let us recall the following facts in a *-ring [19]: (1) $a \in R^{\oplus} \cap R_{\oplus}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\#} \cap R^{\dagger}$. (2) If $a \in R^{\dagger}$, then $a \in R^{\| a a^{*}}$ if and only if $a \in R^{\oplus}$. In this case, $a^{\| l a a^{*}}=a^{\oplus}$. (3) If $a \in R^{\dagger}$, then $a \in R^{\| a a^{*} a}$ if and only if $a \in R_{\oplus}$. In this case, $a^{\| l a a^{*} a}=a_{\oplus}$. (4) $a$ is EP if and only if $a \in R^{\oplus} \cap R_{\oplus}$ with $a a^{\oplus}=a_{\oplus} a$. Then, by taking $d_{1}=a a^{*}$ and $d_{2}=a^{*} a$ in Theorem 2.2, we directly obtained the next results, which can been seen as the new characterizations for the EP element in a *-ring.

Corollary 2.3. Let $R$ be $a *$-ring and $a \in R^{\oplus} \cap R_{\oplus}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a$ is EP.
(ii) $a=a_{\oplus} a^{2}=a^{2} a^{\oplus}$.
(iii) $R a^{*} a^{2} \subseteq R a a^{*}$ and $a^{2} a^{*} R \subseteq a^{*} a R$.
(iv) $R a a^{*} \subseteq R a^{*} a^{2}$ and $a^{*} a R \subseteq a^{2} a^{*} R$.
(v) $R a a^{*}=R a^{*} a^{2}$ and $a^{*} a R=a^{2} a^{*} R$.
(vi) $R a^{2} a^{*}=R a^{*} a^{2}$ and $a^{*} a^{2} R=a^{2} a^{*} R$.

Next, we show that the equality $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ can be described by the equations.
Proposition 2.4. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$.
(ii) There exist $x, y \in R$ such that $d_{1} a d_{1} x a=d_{1}, a y d_{2} a d_{2}=d_{2}$ and $a d_{1} x a=a y d_{2} a$.
(iii) There exist $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in R$ such that $d_{1} x^{\prime}=d_{1}, y^{\prime} d_{2}=d_{2}, R x^{\prime} \subseteq R d_{2} a$ and $y^{\prime} R \subseteq a d_{1} R$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $x=\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a^{\| \| d_{2}}$ and $y=a^{\| l d_{1}}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}$. Then, it is easy to check that such $x, y$ satisfy item (ii).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that item (ii) holds. Then, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a a^{\| l d_{1}} & =a\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1}=a\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1} a d_{1} x a=a a^{\| d_{1}} a d_{1} x a=a d_{1} x a \\
& =a y d_{2} a=a y d_{2} a a^{\| l d_{2}} a=a y d_{2} a d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a=d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a \\
& =a^{\| l d_{2}} a .
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $x^{\prime}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a$ and $y^{\prime}=a a^{\| d_{1}}$. By Theorem 2.2 (i) and (ii), we obtain $d_{1} x^{\prime}=d_{1}$ and $y^{\prime} d_{2}=d_{2}$. Also, it is clear that $R x^{\prime}=R\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a \subseteq R d_{2} a$ and $y^{\prime} R=a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} R \subseteq a d_{1} R$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $R x^{\prime} \subseteq R d_{2} a$ and $y^{\prime} R \subseteq a d_{1} R$, there exist $u, v \in R$ such that $x^{\prime}=u d_{2} a$ and $y^{\prime}=a d_{1} v$. Hence, $R d_{1}=R d_{1} x^{\prime}=R d_{1} u d_{2} a \subseteq R d_{2} a$ and $d_{2} R=y^{\prime} d_{2} R=a d_{1} v d_{2} R \subseteq a d_{1} R$. Using Theorem 2.2 (i) and (iv), we have $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$.

In the following theorem, we consider the relationship between $a d_{1}=d_{2} a$ and $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$.
Theorem 2.5. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a d_{1}=d_{2} a$.
(ii) $a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ and $d_{1} a^{\| d d_{2}}=a^{\| d d_{1}} d_{2}$.
(iii) There exists $x \in R$ such that $d_{1} a d_{1} x=d_{1}, x d_{2} a d_{2}=d_{2}$ and $a d_{1} x=x d_{2} a$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) and (iii). Suppose that $a d_{1}=d_{2} a$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a a^{\| \| d_{1}} & =a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=d_{2} a\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a d_{2} a\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} \\
& =a^{\| d d_{2}} a a a^{\| d_{1}}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a a a^{\| l d_{1}}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} a d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}} \\
& =\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} a d_{1}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a \\
& =a^{\| l d d_{2}} a
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} a^{\| l d_{2}} & =d_{1}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2}=d_{1} d_{2} a\left(\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\right)^{2} d_{2}=d_{1} a d_{1}\left(\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\right)^{2} d_{2} \\
& =d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\right)^{2} d_{2}=a^{\| d_{1}}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{2}\left(\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\right)^{2} d_{2} \\
& =a^{\| d_{1}} d_{2} a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2}=a^{\| l d_{1}} d_{2} a a^{\| l d_{2}} \\
& =a^{\| d_{1}} d_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, item (ii) holds.
Let $x=\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}$. Then, we get $d_{1} a d_{1} x=d_{1} a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}}=d_{1}$ and $x d_{2} a d_{2}=d_{2}$ goes similarly. In addition, $a d_{1} x=a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=a a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a=x d_{2} a$, which means item (iii) holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ and $d_{1} a^{\| \| d_{2}}=a^{\| \| d_{1}} d_{2}$, we have

$$
a d_{1}=a d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}}=a d_{1} a^{\| l d_{2}} a=a \|^{\| l d_{1}} d_{2} a=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a d_{2} a=d_{2} a .
$$

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that (iii) holds. Then,

$$
a d_{1}=a d_{1} a d_{1} x=a d_{1} x d_{2} a=x d_{2} a d_{2} a=d_{2} a
$$

Now, we focus on the equivalent conditions for $a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{m}$ to hold, when $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$.
Theorem 2.6. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{m}$.
(ii) $R a^{m} \subseteq R d_{1}$ and $a^{m} R \subseteq d_{2} R$.
(iii) There exist $x \in R d_{1}$ and $y \in d_{2} R$ such that $a^{m}=a^{m+1} x=y a^{m+1}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $x=a^{\| d_{1}}$ and $y=a^{\| d_{2}}$. Clearly, $x \in R d_{1}$ and $y \in d_{2} R$. Also, we see that $a^{m}=a y a^{m}=a^{m+1} x$ and $a^{m}=a^{m} x a=y a^{m+1}$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let us write $a^{m}=u d_{1}=d_{2} v$, for $u, v \in R$. Then,

$$
a^{m+1} a^{\| d d_{1}}=a^{m} a a^{\| d_{1}}=u d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}}=u d_{1}=a^{m}
$$

and

$$
a^{\| d_{2}} a^{m+1}=a^{\| d_{2}} a a^{m}=a^{\| d_{2}} a d_{2} v=d_{2} v=a^{m}
$$

Hence, $a^{m} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m+1} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a^{m}$.
Let $m=1$ in Theorem 2.6, we have
Corollary 2.7. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$.
(ii) $R a \subseteq R d_{1}$ and $a R \subseteq d_{2} R$.
(iii) $a \in R^{\#}$ and $a^{\#}=a^{\| d_{2}} a a^{\| \| d_{1}}$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) and (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are trivial by Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 1.1.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). From item (iii), we deduce that

$$
a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a \|^{\| l d_{2}} a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a a^{\#}=a^{\#} a=a^{\| l d_{2}} a a^{\| l d_{1}} a=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a .
$$

Applying Corollary 2.7 (i)(ii) and Lemma 1.3, we deduce the following result.
Corollary 2.8. Let $a, b, d \in R$ be such that $a, b \in R^{\| \bullet d}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{1 \mid d}=b^{\mid l d} b$.
(ii) $d R \subseteq a R$ and $R d \subseteq R b$.

By Theorem 2.6 (iii) and [9, Theorem 4], we see that if $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$ and $a^{m} a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m}$, then $a \in R^{D}$. So, we will characterize the equality $a^{m} a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m}$ by using Drazin inverses.
Theorem 2.9. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}} \cap R^{D}$ and let $m, n \geq \operatorname{ind}(a), i, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{m}$.
(ii) $a^{n} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{n}$.
(iii) $R\left(a^{D}\right)^{i} \subseteq R d_{1}$ and $\left(a^{D}\right)^{i} R \subseteq d_{2} R$.
(iv) $\left(a^{D}\right)^{j} a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}}\left(a^{D}\right)^{j}$.
(v) $a^{l} a^{D} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a^{D} a^{l}$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). Obviously, we only need to show that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{m}$.
Case 1. If $n>m$, then we get

$$
a^{n} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{n-m}\left(a^{m} a^{\| d_{1}}\right)=a^{n-m} a^{\| d_{2}} a^{m}=a^{n-m-1}\left(a a^{\| \| d_{2}} a\right) a^{m-1}=a^{n-1} .
$$

Similarly, we have $a^{\| d_{2}} a^{n}=a^{n-1}$. Hence, $a^{n} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{n}$.
Case 2: If $n<m$, then by the hypotheses we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{n} a^{\| d_{1}} & =\left(a^{D}\right)^{m-n}\left(a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}\right)=\left(a^{D}\right)^{m-n} a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m}=\left(a^{D}\right)^{m-n+1}\left(a a^{\| d_{2}} a\right) a^{m-1} \\
& =\left(a^{D}\right)^{m-n+1} a^{m}=a^{D} a^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have $a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{n}=a^{n} a^{D}$. So, $a^{n} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a^{n}$.
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). Since $a \in R^{D}$ and $m \geq$ ind ( $a$ ), we get

$$
R a^{m}=R a^{D}=R\left(a^{D}\right)^{i} \text { and } a^{m} R=a^{D} R=\left(a^{D}\right)^{i} R .
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.6 we obtain the equivalence of (i) and (iii).
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). By the condition $a^{m} a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{m}$, we have

$$
\left(a^{D}\right)^{j} a^{\| d_{1}}=\left(a^{D}\right)^{m+j} a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=\left(a^{D}\right)^{m+j} a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m}=\left(a^{D}\right)^{m+j+1} a a^{\| d_{2}} a^{m}=\left(a^{D}\right)^{j+1} .
$$

Similarly, we get $a^{\| d_{2}}\left(a^{D}\right)^{j}=\left(a^{D}\right)^{j+1}$. Hence, $\left(a^{D}\right)^{j} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}}\left(a^{D}\right)^{j}$.
(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v). Suppose that item (iv) holds. Then, we get

$$
a^{l} a^{D} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{l+j-1}\left(a^{D}\right)^{j} a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{l+j-1} a^{\| \| d_{2}}\left(a^{D}\right)^{j}=a^{l+j-1} a^{\| \| d_{2}} a\left(a^{D}\right)^{j+1}=a^{l-1} a^{D} .
$$

Similarly, $a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{D} a^{l}=a^{D} a^{l-1}$. Hence, $a^{l} a^{D} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{D} a^{l}$.
(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By the hypotheses, we conclude that

$$
a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{m+1} a^{D} a^{\| l d_{1}}=a^{m}\left(a a^{D}\right)^{l} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{m}\left(a^{D}\right)^{l-1} a^{l} a^{D} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{m}\left(a^{D}\right)^{l-1} a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{D} a^{l}=a^{m} a^{D} .
$$

Analogously, we get $a^{\| l d_{2}} a^{m}=a^{D} a^{m}$. So, $a^{m} a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}} a^{m}$.
As a consequence of Theorem 2.9 (i) and (ii), we get the following.
Corollary 2.10. Let $R$ be $a *$-ring and $a \in R^{\oplus} \cap R_{\oplus}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a^{m} a^{\oplus}=a_{\oplus} a^{m}$.
(ii) $a^{n} a^{\oplus}=a_{\oplus} a^{n}$.

## 3. Characterizations for the invertibility of $a a^{\| l d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a$

In this section, for given $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$, when $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$, we investigate several equivalent conditions for the invertibility of $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a$, extending related results in [24]. In the beginning, we need to give an example to show that $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$ does not imply $d_{1} \neq d_{2}$ or $a^{\| l d_{2}} a \neq a^{\| d_{1}} a$ in general.
Example 3.1. Setting $R=M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Let $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right), d_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $d_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then, we can check that $a^{\| d_{1}}=d_{1}, a^{\| d_{2}}=d_{2}$ and $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}-a^{\| l d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$. But, $d_{1} \neq d_{2}$ and $a^{\| d_{2}} a \neq a^{\| \| d_{1}} a$.

The following lemmas are necessary to prove our main theorems.
Lemma 3.2. [12, Theorem 3.2] and [4, Theorem 1] Let $f, g \in R$ be idempotents. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f-g \in R^{-1}$.
(ii) $f R \oplus g R=R$ and $R f \oplus R g=R$.
(iii) There exist idempotents $h, k \in R$ such that $f h=h, h f=f, g(1-h)=1-h,(1-h) g=g, k f=k, f k=f$, $(1-k) g=1-k$ and $g(1-k)=g$.

By Lemma 3.2 and the definition of the inverse along an element, we directly obtain
Lemma 3.3. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. If a $\|^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$, then there exist idempotents $h, k \in R$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
a a^{\| \| d_{1}} h=h, h a d_{1}=a d_{1}, a^{\| d_{2}} a(1-h)=1-h, h d_{2}=0, \\
\text { and }  \tag{*1}\\
k a a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=k, d_{1} k=d_{1},(1-k) a^{\| d_{2}} a=1-k, d_{2} a k=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Denote by $C(R)$ the center of $R$, that is the set of such elements that commute with all elements of $R$. The right annihilator of $a \in R$ is defined by $a^{0}=\{x \in R \mid a x=0\}$. Now, we are ready to establish the following result concerning the invertibility of $a a^{\| l d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| d_{1}} \cap R^{\| d_{2}}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| l d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$.
(ii) $r=\lambda_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}+\lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}+\lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}+\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} \in R^{-1}, \lambda_{1} d_{1} r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}=d_{1}, \lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} r^{-1} d_{2}=d_{2}$, $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}=-\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}$ and $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} d_{1} r^{-1} d_{2}=-\lambda_{3} d_{1} d_{2}$, where $\lambda_{i} \in C(R)(i \in \overline{1,4}), \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \in R^{-1}$, $\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4} \in a^{0}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $a a^{\| l d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$. In view of Lemma 3.3, there exist idempotents $h, k \in R$ satisfying (*1). Now, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}(1-k)\left(\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\right)^{n}(1-h)+\lambda_{2} k\left(\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\right)^{m} h-\lambda_{3} k(1-h)-\lambda_{4}(1-k) h \tag{*2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{i} \in C(R)(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4} \in a^{0}$, combining what we have shown yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r r^{\prime}= & \left(\lambda_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}+\lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}+\lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}+\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\right) . \\
& \left(\lambda_{1}(1-k)\left(\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\right)^{n}(1-h)+\lambda_{2} k\left(\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\right)^{m} h-\lambda_{3} k(1-h)-\lambda_{4}(1-k) h\right) \\
= & \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\# \#} h-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}(1-h)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} d_{2} a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}(1-h)-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} h \\
& +\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} d_{2} a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}(1-h)+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} h \\
= & \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a a^{\| l d_{1}} h-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}(1-h)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} a^{\| d_{2}} a(1-h)-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} h \\
& +\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} a^{\| l d} a(1-h)+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} a a^{\| d d_{1}} h \\
= & \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} h-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}(1-h)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}(1-h)-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} h \\
& +\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}(1-h)+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} h \\
= & \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, one can check that $r^{\prime} r=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}$. Owing to $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \in R^{-1}$, then we get $r \in R^{-1}$ and $r^{-1}=\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)^{-1} r^{\prime}$, which leads to the equality $\lambda_{1} d_{1} r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}=\lambda_{2}^{-1} d_{1} r^{\prime}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}$. Now, substituting $(* 2)$ into the previous equality, we conclude $\lambda_{1} d_{1} r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}=d_{1}$. In addition, $\lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} r^{-1} d_{2}=d_{2}, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}=$ $-\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}$ and $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} d_{1} r^{-1} d_{2}=-\lambda_{3} d_{1} d_{2}$ go similarly.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). First we show that there exist $h, k \in R$ such that $h a d_{1}=a d_{1}, h d_{2}=0, d_{1} k=d_{1}$ and $d_{2} a k=0$. In order to verify this, we need to define $h=\left(\lambda_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}+\lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\right) r^{-1}$ and $k=r^{-1}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}+\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\right)$. By item (ii), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} & =\left(\lambda_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}+\lambda_{3}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\right) r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} \\
& =\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m-1} a\left(\lambda_{1} d_{1} r^{-1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\right)-\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right)\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} \\
& =\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $h a d_{1}=h\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\right)^{m-1}=\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\left(\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\right)^{m-1}=a d_{1}$. Also, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h d_{2} & =\left(r-\lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}-\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m}\right) r^{-1} d_{2} \\
& =d_{2}-\lambda_{2}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n} r^{-1} d_{2}-\lambda_{4}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m-1} a\left(d_{1} r^{-1} d_{2}\right) \\
& =d_{2}-d_{2}+\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(d_{2} a\right)^{n}\left(\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right)\left(a d_{1}\right)^{m} d_{2} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously, we have $d_{1} k=d_{1}$ and $d_{2} a k=0$.
Next, our aim is to see that $a a^{\| l d_{1}}-a^{\| l d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$. By Lemma 3.2, we only need to infer $a a^{\| d_{1}} R \oplus a^{\| d_{2}} a R=R$ and $R a a^{\| l d_{1}} \oplus R a^{\| l d_{2}} a=R$, which is clearly equivalent to $a d_{1} R \oplus d_{2} a R=R$ and $R a d_{1} \oplus R d_{2} a=R$. From the invertibility of $r$, we get $a d_{1} R+d_{2} a R=R$. Let $x \in a d_{1} R \cap d_{2} a R$. So, $x=a d_{1} w_{1}=d_{2} a w_{2}$, for suitable $w_{1}, w_{2} \in R$. Hence, $x=h a d_{1} w_{1}=h d_{2} a w_{2}=0$, which means $a d_{1} R \cap d_{2} a R=\{0\}$. Therefore, $a d_{1} R \oplus d_{2} a R=R$. Similarly, $R a d_{1} \oplus R d_{2} a=R$, as announced above.

In particular, when $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$, we further characterize the invertibility of $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}-a^{\| \| d_{2}} a$ as follows.
Theorem 3.5. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$.
(ii) $s=\underline{\mu_{1}} a+\mu_{2} a d_{1}+\mu_{3} d_{2} a+\mu_{4} a d_{1} d_{2} a \in R^{-1}, a s^{-1} a=0$ and $\mu_{2} a d_{1} s^{-1} a=\mu_{3} a s^{-1} d_{2} a=a$, where $\mu_{i} \in C(R)$ $(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\mu_{2} \mu_{3} \in R^{-1}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Now, we know that there exist idempotents $h, k \in R$ satisfying ( $* 1$ ). Furthermore, we find that $h a=a$ and $a k=0$, because $h a=h a a^{\| l d_{1}} a=\operatorname{had}_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a=a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a=a a^{\| \| d_{1}} a=a$ and $a k=a a^{\| \| d_{2}} a k=$ $a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a k=0$. Write

$$
s^{\prime}=-\mu_{1} k\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a(1-h)+\mu_{2}(1-k)\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}(1-h)+\mu_{3} k\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} h-\mu_{4} k(1-h) .
$$

Note that $a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}=\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a$. Then, one can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
s s^{\prime} & =\mu_{2} \mu_{3}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2} a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a(1-h)+\mu_{1} \mu_{2} a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}(1-h) \\
& =\mu_{2} \mu_{3}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\left(a a^{\| d_{1}} a\right) d_{2}\left(\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#}\right)^{2} a(1-h)+\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a(1-h) \\
& =\mu_{2} \mu_{3}-\mu_{1} \mu_{2} a d_{2}\left(\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#}\right)^{2} a(1-h)+\mu_{1} \mu_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#} a(1-h) \\
& =\mu_{2} \mu_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A symmetric argument shows that it is true for $s^{\prime} s=\mu_{2} \mu_{3}$. So, $s \in R^{-1}$ and $s^{-1}=\left(\mu_{2} \mu_{3}\right)^{-1} s^{\prime}$. Using the expression of $s^{-1}$, we conclude that the equalities in item (ii) hold.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that item (ii) holds. Set $h=\left(\mu_{1} a+\mu_{2} a d_{1}+\mu_{4} a d_{1} d_{2} a\right) s^{-1}$ and $k=\mu_{2} s^{-1} a d_{1}$. Since $\mu_{3} a s^{-1} d_{2} a=a$, we deduce that $\mu_{3} d_{2} a s^{-1} d_{2}=d_{2}\left(\mu_{3} a s^{-1} d_{2} a\right) a^{\| l d_{2}}=d_{2} a a^{\| l d_{2}}=d_{2}$. Also, from $\mu_{2} a d_{1} s^{-1} a=a$, it follows that $\mu_{2} d_{1} s^{-1} a d_{1}=a^{\| d_{1}}\left(\mu_{2} a d_{1} s^{-1} a\right) d_{1}=a^{\| d_{1}} a d_{1}=d_{1}$. Then, it is straightforward to check that $h a=a$, $h d_{2}=0, d_{1} k=d_{1}$ and $a k=0$.

Now, we have to claim that $a R \oplus d_{2} R=R$. Since $m=\mu_{1} a+\mu_{2} a d_{1}+\mu_{3} d_{2} a+\mu_{4} a d_{1} d_{2} a \in R^{-1}$, we get $a R+d_{2} R=R$. Let $y \in a R \cap d_{2} R$. Then, $y=a w_{1}=d_{2} w_{2}$, for some $w_{1}, w_{2} \in R$. Thereby, $y=h a w_{1}=h d_{2} w_{2}=0$. This implies $a R \cap d_{2} R=\{0\}$. Consequently, $a R \oplus d_{2} R=R$. Observe that $a R=a a^{\| d_{1}} a R=a a^{\| d_{1}} R$ and $d_{2} R=a^{\| d_{2}} a R$. Hence, $a a^{\| d_{1}} R \oplus a^{\| d_{2}} a R=R$. Dually, Raall ${ }^{\| d_{1}} \oplus R a^{\| d_{2}} a=R$. Therefore, $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$.

From Lemma 1.3, it follows that Theorem 3.5 becomes to the next result.
Corollary 3.6. Let $a, b, d \in R$ be such that $a, b \in R^{\| \bullet d}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| d}-b^{\| d} b \in R^{-1}$.
(ii) $t=\xi_{1} d+\xi_{2} a d+\xi_{3} d b+\xi_{4} d b a d \in R^{-1}, d t^{-1} d=0$ and $\xi_{2} d t^{-1} a d=\xi_{3} d b t^{-1} d=d$, where $\xi_{i} \in C(R)(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\xi_{2} \xi_{3} \in R^{-1}$.

Let us recall [16, Theorem 4.3]: if $a \in R^{\boxplus} \cap R_{\text {® }}$, then $a$ is co-EP if and only if $a a^{\boxplus}-a_{\oplus} a \in R^{-1}$. Motivated by this, we get the following result, which is a new property of the co-EP element.

Corollary 3.7. Let $R$ be $a *$-ring and $a \in R^{\oplus} \cap R_{\oplus}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a$ is co-EP.
(ii) $r=\tau_{1} a^{2} a^{*}+\tau_{2} a^{*} a^{2}+\tau_{3} a^{2}\left(a^{*}\right)^{2} a^{2}+\tau_{4} a^{*} a^{4} a^{*} \in R^{-1}, \tau_{1} \tau_{2} a r^{-1} a=-\tau_{4} a^{2}, \tau_{1} a^{*} r^{-1} a=a_{\oplus}, \tau_{2} a r^{-1} a^{*}=a^{\oplus}$, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2} a^{*} r^{-1} a^{*}=-\tau_{3}\left(a^{*}\right)^{2}$, where $\tau_{i} \in C(R)(i \in \overline{1,4}), \tau_{1} \tau_{2} \in R^{-1}$ and $\tau_{3} \tau_{4} \in a^{0}$.
(iii) $s=v_{1} a+v_{2} a^{2} a^{*}+v_{3} a^{*} a^{2}+v_{4} a^{2}\left(a^{*}\right)^{2} a^{2} \in R^{-1}, a s^{-1} a=0, v_{2} a^{*} s^{-1} a=a_{\oplus}, v_{3} a s^{-1} a^{*}=a^{\oplus}$, where $v_{i} \in C(R)$ $(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $v_{2} v_{3} \in R^{-1}$.

Proof. Note that $a^{\oplus}=a^{\| l a a^{*}}$ and $a_{\oplus}=a^{\| a^{*} a}$, when $a \in R^{\dagger}$. Then, by taking $d_{1}=a a^{*}$ and $d_{2}=a^{*} a$ in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we conclude that Corollary 3.7 holds. Indeed, since $a \in R^{\oplus} \cap R_{\oplus}$, we have $a \in R^{\dagger} \cap R^{\#}$, $a^{\oplus}=a^{\#} a a^{\dagger}$ and $a_{\oplus}=a^{\dagger} a a^{\#}$. Combining that $a$ is $*$-cancellable, we get

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2} a^{*} a^{2} r^{-1} a^{2} a^{*}=-\tau_{4} a^{*} a^{4} a^{*} \Leftrightarrow \tau_{1} \tau_{2} a^{2} r^{-1} a^{2}=-\tau_{4} a^{4} \Leftrightarrow \tau_{1} \tau_{2} a r^{-1} a=-\tau_{4} a^{2}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{1} a a^{*} r^{-1} a^{2} a^{*}=a a^{*} \Leftrightarrow \tau_{1} a a^{*} r^{-1} a^{2}=a \Leftrightarrow \tau_{1} a^{\dagger} a a^{*} r^{-1} a^{2} a^{\#}=a^{\dagger} a a^{\#} \Leftrightarrow \tau_{1} a^{*} r^{-1} a=a_{\oplus},
$$

as required.
If we add the condition $d_{1} \in d_{2} R$ and $d_{2} \in R d_{1}$ in Theorem 3.5, then we obtain

Theorem 3.8. Let $a, d_{1}, d_{2} \in R$ be such that $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}, d_{1} \in d_{2} R$ and $d_{2} \in R d_{1}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$.
(ii) $u=\eta_{1} d_{1}+\eta_{2} a d_{1}+\eta_{3} d_{2} a+\eta_{4} d_{2} a^{2} d_{1} \in R^{-1}, d_{1} u^{-1} d_{2}=0$ and $\eta_{2} a d_{1} u^{-1} a=\eta_{3} a u^{-1} d_{2} a=a$, where $\eta_{i} \in C(R)$ $(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\eta_{2} \eta_{3} \in R^{-1}$.
(iii) $v=\delta_{1} d_{2}+\delta_{2} a d_{1}+\delta_{3} d_{2} a+\delta_{4} d_{2} a^{2} d_{1} \in R^{-1}, d_{1} v^{-1} d_{2}=0$ and $\delta_{2} a d_{1} v^{-1} a=\delta_{3} a v^{-1} d_{2} a=a$, where $\delta_{i} \in C(R)$ $(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\delta_{2} \delta_{3} \in R^{-1}$.
Proof. To begin with, we show that $a a^{\| l d_{1}}-a^{\| l d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$ imply $u, v \in R^{-1}$. Note that $d_{1} \in d_{2} R$ and $d_{2} \in R d_{1}$. So, we obtain $d_{1}=d_{2} z_{1}$ and $d_{2}=z_{2} d_{1}$, for $z_{1}, z_{2} \in R$. Hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a a^{\| l d_{1}} & =a \|^{\| d_{2}} a a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} a a^{\| l d_{1}}=a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} z_{2} d_{1} a a^{\| d_{1}} \\
& =a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} z_{2} d_{1}=a\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2} \\
& =a \|^{\| d_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\| l d_{1}} a & =d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a=d_{2} z_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a=a^{\| l d_{2}} a d_{2} z_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a \\
& =a^{\| l d_{2}} a d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} a=a^{\| l d_{2}} a \|^{\| l d_{1}} a \\
& =a^{\| l d_{2}} a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $a^{\| d_{2}} a d_{1}=a^{\| d_{1}} a d_{1}=d_{1}$ and $a^{\| d_{2}}=a^{\| d_{2}} a a^{\| d_{2}}=a^{\| d_{1}} a a^{\| d_{2}} \in d_{1} R$. Dually, $d_{1} a a^{\| d_{2}}=d_{1}$ and $a^{\| d_{2}} \in R d_{1}$. Then, by the definition of the inverse along an element we claim $a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| l d_{2}}$, which implies that $d_{1}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#}=$ $\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1}=d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#}=\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#} d_{2}$. When item (i) holds, it has been known to us that there exist idempotents $h, k \in R$ satisfying $(* 1)$, and we further have $h a=a, a k=0, h d_{1}=h d_{2} z_{1}=0, d_{2} k=z_{2} d_{1} k=z_{2} d_{1}=d_{2}$. Now, let

$$
u^{\prime}=-\eta_{1}(1-k)\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}\left(d_{1} a\right)^{\#} d_{1} h+\eta_{2}(1-k)\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}(1-h)+\eta_{3} k\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} h-\eta_{4}(1-k) h
$$

and

$$
v^{\prime}=-\delta_{1}(1-k) d_{2}\left(a d_{2}\right)^{\#}\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} h+\delta_{2}(1-k)\left(d_{2} a\right)^{\#}(1-h)+\delta_{3} k\left(a d_{1}\right)^{\#} h-\delta_{4}(1-k) h .
$$

Then, it is easily verified that $u u^{\prime}=u^{\prime} u=\eta_{2} \eta_{3}$ and $v v^{\prime}=v^{\prime} v=\delta_{2} \delta_{3}$ by what we have shown already, as desired.

Next, the remaining part of this theorem can be inferred by applying the same strategy as the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Remark that, the condition $d_{1} \in d_{2} R$ and $d_{2} \in R d_{1}$ of Theorem 3.8 in general can not be deleted, which can been seen from the following example.

Example 3.9. In $R=\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, let us choose $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), d_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $d_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then, we can check that $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}, a^{\| d_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$ and $a^{\| d_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Clearly, a $a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| d_{2}} a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & -1\end{array}\right)$ is invertible. But, $d_{2}+a d_{1}-d_{2} a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ is not invertible.

Although by the proof of Theorem 3.8 we see that the condition $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}, d_{1} \in d_{2} R, d_{2} \in R d_{1}$ and $a a^{\| \| d_{1}}-a^{\| \| d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$ yields $a^{\| \| d_{1}}=a^{\| \| d_{2}}$. However, such condition does not imply $d_{1}=d_{2}$ or $d_{1} a=d_{2} a$ in general, as we will see in the next example.

Example 3.10. Let $R=\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$. Setting $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), d_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $d_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Observe that $d_{1} \in d_{2} R, d_{2} \in R d_{1}$ and $a^{\| d_{1}}=a^{\| d_{2}}=d_{1}$. Hence, $a \in R^{\| \bullet d_{1}} \cap R^{\| \bullet d_{2}}$ and $a a^{\| d_{1}}-a^{\| l d_{2}} a \in R^{-1}$. However, $d_{1} \neq d_{2}$ and $d_{1} a \neq d_{2} a$.

## Apply Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 1.3, we directly have

Corollary 3.11. Let $a, b, d \in R$ be such that $a, b \in R^{\| \bullet d}, a \in R b$ and $b \in a R$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $a a^{\| l d}-b^{\| d} b \in R^{-1}$.
(ii) $p=\beta_{1} a+\beta_{2} a d+\beta_{3} d b+\beta_{4} a d^{2} b \in R^{-1}, b p^{-1} a=0$ and $\beta_{2} d p^{-1} a d=\beta_{3} d b p^{-1} d=d$, where $\beta_{i} \in C(R)(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\beta_{2} \beta_{3} \in R^{-1}$.
(iii) $q=\gamma_{1} b+\gamma_{2} a d+\gamma_{3} d b+\gamma_{4} a d^{2} b \in R^{-1}, b q^{-1} a=0$ and $\gamma_{2} d q^{-1} a d=\gamma_{3} d b q^{-1} d=d$, where $\gamma_{i} \in C(R)(i \in \overline{1,4})$ and $\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} \in R^{-1}$.
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